

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF INDIANA

COUNTY OF MONROE	55:	
of Bloomington, Monroe Co of the records of the Bloomi the attached copy of the min Bloomington City Council is	g the duly elected, qualified and current Clerk of nty, Indiana, hereby do certify that I am the cust gton City Council and the City of Bloomington, a utes for the February 20, 2002 meeting of the a full, true and complete copy of drafts of the mi pt in this office in the normal course of business	todian and that nutes of
I affirm under the peare true.	alties for perjury that the foregoing representat	tions
	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I has set my signature as Clerk of Bloomington on the forth below.	f the
	Nicole Bolden City Clerk City of Bloomington, Indian	 na
Date:		
Bloomington Common Cour	utes for the February 20, 2002 meeting of the cil of the City of Bloomington, Indiana as present on the, 20	
	Isabel Piedmont-Smith President, Common Counci City of Bloomington, Indian	

In the Council Chambers of the Showers City Hall on Wednesday, February 20, 2002 at 7:30 pm with Council President Gaal presiding over a Regular Session of the Common Council.

COMMON COUNCIL REGULAR SESSION February 20, 2002

Roll Call: Banach, Diekhoff, Ruff, Pizzo, Gaal, Willsey, Cole, Sabbagh, Mayer

ROLL CALL

Council President Gaal gave the Agenda Summation

AGENDA SUMMATION

There were no minutes to be approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved and seconded that the following persons be reappointed to the following boards and commissions: BOARD AND COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS

Ethan Klump Telecommunications Council
Dorothy Salzman Commission on the Status of Women
Aneta Sperber Commission on the Status of Women
Jeffrey R. White Utilities Service Board

The reappointments were approved by a voice vote.

It was moved and seconded that <u>Appropriation Ordinance 02-01</u> be introduced and read by title and synopsis. Clerk Moore read the legislation and synopsis, giving the Do-Pass Recommendation of 8-0-1. It was moved and seconded that <u>Appropriation Ordinance 02-01</u> be adopted.

The Ordinance received a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 0, Abstain: 1 (Banach).

It was moved and seconded to limit debate on <u>Resolution 02-04</u>. The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 4 (Banach, Pizzo, Willsey, Cole), Nays: 5 (Diekhoff, Ruff, Gaal, Sabbagh, Mayer) and failed.

It was moved and seconded that <u>Resolution 02-04</u> be introduced and read by title and synopsis. Clerk Moore read the legislation and synopsis, giving the Do-Pass Recommendation of 5-2-2. It was moved and seconded that <u>Resolution 02-04</u> be adopted.

It was moved and seconded to adopt Amendment #1.

Amendment #1 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 1 (Cole), Nays: 7, Abstain: 1 (Pizzo) and thus failed.

LEGISLATION FOR SECOND READING

Appropriation Ordinance 02-01 To Specially Appropriate from the General Fund Expenditures Not Otherwise Appropriated (To Present a \$19,000 Grant to Indiana University for the Purpose of Sponsoring or Co-Sponsoring Arts Week Events)

Motion to Limit Debate

Resolution 02-04 To Amend the City's Comprehensive Plan to Adopt a Subarea Plan for the West Kirkwood Corridor known as "The Plan For West Kirkwood"

Amendment #1 This amendment adds a policy recommendation on page 40 regarding the Adams and Kirkwood intersection or what is known as the western gateway to the West Kirkwood corridor. It identifies a change in City policy over the last 10 years towards a reduction in the expected level of traffic on this corridor. In particular it says that the "city should undertake the elimination of the excess traffic capacity while enhancing the intersection as a neighborhood gateway."

Amendment #2 This amendment recognizes that the new more intense commercial uses can adversely affect the quality of life for residents of the

It was moved and seconded to adopt Amendment #2.

Amendment #2 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 0, Nays: 9 and thus failed.

It was moved and seconded to adopt Amendment #3.

Amendment #3 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 0, Nays: 9 and thus failed.

It was moved and seconded to adopt Amendment #4.

Amendment #4 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 0, Nays: 9 and thus failed.

It was moved and seconded to adopt Amendment #5.

Amendment #5 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 6 (Banach, Ruff, Gaal, Willsey, Sabbagh, Mayer), Nays: 3 (Diekhoff, Pizzo, Cole).

It was moved and seconded to adopt Amendment #6.

Amendment #6 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 4 (Willsey, Cole, Sabbagh, Mayer), Nays: 4 (Banach, Diekhoff, Pizzo, Gaal) and thus failed. Ruff was out of room.

It was moved by Willsey and seconded that Amendment #6 be reconsidered.

The motion received a roll call vote of Ayes: 6, Nays: 3 (Banach, Diekhoff, Pizzo) and so Amendment #6 was reconsidered.

Amendment #6 on reconsideration received a roll call vote of Ayes: 5 (Ruff, Willsey, Cole, Sabbagh, Mayer), Nays: 4 (Banach, Diekhoff, Pizzo, Gaal).

Amendment #10 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 4 (Banach, Diekhoff, Sabbagh, Mayer), Nays: 5 (Ruff, Pizzo, Gaal, Willsey, Cole) and thus failed.

neighborhood and recommends that careful attention be given to lighting, noise, hours of operation, and other factors that can negatively affect the neighborhood.

Amendment #3 This amendment changes the setback guidelines from "existing front property line" to "existing building fronts of that type", and replaces the term "Build to Line" with "Build-to-Context".

Amendment #4 This amendment addresses concerns about the meaning of the term "traditional neighborhood" as it appears in the Introduction. It does this by deleting the term in some paragraphs and replacing it with the word "older" in another paragraph. It also defines "traditional neighborhoods" as those with "early 20th century domestic architectural styles utilizing a grid street pattern, small lots, building forward orientation, and mixed uses."

Amendment #5 This amendment expands the building types permitted for Traditional Residential-1 Zoning (TR-1) to include two story houses.

Amendment #6 This amendment corrects the number of proposed building types permitted under the Plan from four to five.

Motion to reconsider Amendment #6

Amendment #10 This amendment foresees that the goal of revitalizing the corridor in a manner that is compatible with the existing neighborhood will require balancing design compatibility with economic feasibility and individual creativity. For this reason it recommends that the staff carefully review the architectural components in the "building types code" and acknowledges that the final version may have more variations in building types as well as less specificity in the design and material

standards.

<u>Resolution 02-04</u> as amended by Amendments 5 and 6 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 6, Nays: 3 (Banach, Diekhoff, Sabbagh).

Resolution 02-04 as amended

It was moved and seconded that <u>Resolution 02-05</u> be introduced and read by title and synopsis. Clerk Moore read the legislation and synopsis, stating that there had been a motion to bring this item forward without a committee hearing (7-2). It was moved and seconded that <u>Resolution 02-05</u> be adopted.

Resolution 02-05 To Designate an Economic Revitalization Area (ERA) -Re: West Kirkwood Corridor from Rogers Street to Adams Street

<u>Resolution 02-05</u> received a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 0. Sabbagh was out of room.

It was moved and seconded that <u>Ordinance 02-03</u> be introduced and read by title and synopsis. Clerk Moore read the legislation and synopsis, stating that there had been a motion to bring this item forward without a committee hearing (7-2). It was moved and seconded that <u>Ordinance 02-03</u> be adopted.

Ordinance 02-03 To Designate an Economic Development Target Area -Re: West Kirkwood Corridor from Rogers Street to Adams Street

Ordinance 02-03 received a roll call vote of Ayes: 8, Nays: 0. Sabbagh was out of room.

It was moved and seconded that the council hold a committee of the whole session on March 6th after the regularly scheduled Regular Session to hear upcoming legislation.

Motion to Consider Committee Meeting Schedule

The motion passed with voice vote approval.

It was moved and seconded that the following legislation be introduced and read by title and synopsis only. Clerk Moore read the legislation by title and synopsis.

LEGISLATION FOR FIRST READING

<u>Appropriation Ordinance 02-02</u> To Specially Appropriate from the General Fund Expenditures Not Otherwise Appropriated (Appropriating Two Grants in the General Fund)

Appropriation Ordinance 02-02

The meeting was adjourned at 12:40 a.m. on February 21, 2002.

PUBLIC INPUT ADJOURNMENT

APPROVE: ATTEST:

Chris Gaal, President Regina Moore, CLERK Bloomington Common Council City of Bloomington