Page 1 1 STATE OF INDIANA ) ) SS: 2 COUNTY OF MONROE ) 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MONROE COUNTY 4 CAUSE NO. 53C06-2203-PL-000509 5 COUNTY RESIDENTS AGAINST ANNEXATION, ) 6 INC., an Indiana not for profit ) 7 corporation, et al. 8 9 Remonstrators/Appellants/Petitioners,) ) 10 -vs-) THE COMMON COUNCIL of the City of 11 ) Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, ) 12 et al. ) ) 13 Respondents. ) 14 15 DEPOSITION OF DUSTIN DILLARD 16 17 The deposition upon oral examination of DUSTIN DILLARD, a witness produced and sworn before me, 18 Colleen Brady, Notary Public in and for the County of Monroe, State of Indiana, taken on behalf of the 19 Respondents, at the offices of Bloomington City Hall, 401 North Morton Street, Room 225, 20 Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, on the 20th day of March 2024, at 11:01 a.m., pursuant to 21 the Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure with written notice as to time and place thereof. 2.2 23 24 25

Page 2	Page 4
1 APPEARANCES	1 INDEX OF EXHIBITS
2 FOR THE PETITIONERS:	2 Page
3 William J. Beggs	Deposition Exhibit No.:
BUNGER & ROBERTSON           4         211 South College Avenue	3
Bloomington, IN 47404	-
5 812.332.9295	Exhibit 73 - Monroe Fire Protection District 42
wjbeggs@lawbr.com	4 document
6 EOD THE DECIDINIDENTS:	5 Exhibit 74 - Map, dated 2022 104
FOR THE RESPONDENTS: 7	6
Stephen C. Unger	7
8 BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP	8
111 Monument Circle	9
9 Suite 2700 Indianapolis, IN 46204	10
10 317.684.5000	11
sunger@boselaw.com	12
11	13
12 ALSO PRESENT:	14
13	15
Margaret Clements	16
14	17
15 16	18
17	19
18	20
19 20	21
20 21	22
22	23
23	24
24 25	25
Page 3	Page 5
Page 3 1 INDEX OF EXAMINATION	Page 5 1 (Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)
1 INDEX OF EXAMINATION	1 (Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page	1 (Time noted: 11:01 a.m.) 2 DUSTIN DILLARD,
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page3DIRECT EXAMINATION5	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> </ol>
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4         CROSS-EXAMINATION         100	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4         CROSS-EXAMINATION         100	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       6	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       8	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       10	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         2       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       12	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       13	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>14 right?</li> </ol>
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page3DIRECT EXAMINATION	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>TOIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       13         14       15	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>14 right?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall.</li> <li>16 Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4         CROSS-EXAMINATION         5         Questions by William J. Beggs         6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>14 right?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall.</li> <li>16 Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>17 represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> </ol>
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page3DIRECT EXAMINATION4Questions by Stephen C. Unger4CROSS-EXAMINATION5Questions by William J. Beggs67891011121314151617	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>14 right?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall.</li> <li>16 Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>17 represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>18 Have you ever been deposed before?</li> </ol>
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page3DIRECT EXAMINATION4Questions by Stephen C. Unger4CROSS-EXAMINATION5Questions by William J. Beggs6789101112131415161718	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A I have.</li> </ol>
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page3DIRECT EXAMINATION4Questions by Stephen C. Unger4CROSS-EXAMINATION5Questions by William J. Beggs678910111213141516171819	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>14 right?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall.</li> <li>16 Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>17 represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>18 Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>19 A I have.</li> <li>20 Q Okay. What for?</li> </ol>
1INDEX OF EXAMINATION2Page3DIRECT EXAMINATION4Questions by Stephen C. Unger4CROSS-EXAMINATION5Questions by William J. Beggs6789101112131415161718192020	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A I have.</li> <li>Q Okay. What for?</li> <li>A Fire investigation related stuff.</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       13         13       14         15       16         17       18         19       20         21       1	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>7 DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>9 Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>11 Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>12 A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>13 Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>14 right?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall.</li> <li>16 Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>17 represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>18 Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>19 A I have.</li> <li>20 Q Okay. What for?</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       13         14       15         16       17         18       19         20       21         22       21	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A I have.</li> <li>Q Okay. What for?</li> <li>A Fire investigation related stuff.</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4         CROSS-EXAMINATION         5         Questions by William J. Beggs         6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20         21         22         23	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A I have.</li> <li>Q Okay. What for?</li> <li>A Fire investigation related stuff.</li> <li>So you know it's important we have a court</li> </ol>
1       INDEX OF EXAMINATION         2       Page         3       DIRECT EXAMINATION         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by Stephen C. Unger         4       CROSS-EXAMINATION         5       Questions by William J. Beggs         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       13         14       15         16       17         18       19         20       21         22       21	<ol> <li>(Time noted: 11:01 a.m.)</li> <li>DUSTIN DILLARD,</li> <li>having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole</li> <li>truth, and nothing but the truth relating to said</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>matter, was examined and testified as follows:</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION,</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY STEPHEN C. UNGER:</li> <li>Q Could you state your name for the record?</li> <li>A Yeah. Dustin Dillard.</li> <li>Q Chief, is it all right if I call you chief?</li> <li>A You can call me whatever you want.</li> <li>Q We've never met before today that I can recall;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A I don't recall.</li> <li>Q I'm Steve Unger. I'm one of the attorneys that</li> <li>represents the city in their annexation efforts.</li> <li>Have you ever been deposed before?</li> <li>A I have.</li> <li>Q Okay. What for?</li> <li>A Fire investigation related stuff.</li> <li>So you know it's important we have a court</li> <li>reporter; you understand you're under oath?</li> </ol>

		Page 6	Page 8
		Absolutely.	1 Q All with Monroe Fire Protection District?
2	Q	It's important that when I ask you a yes-or-no	2 A Correct. When I started, the name of the
3		question, you answer yes or no instead of	3 district was Perry-Clear Creek Fire Protection
4		nodding your head.	4 District. It was renamed after several mergers.
5	А	Okay.	5 Q When did you start with Perry-Clear Creek Fire
6	Q	Because a head nod doesn't show up in the	6 Protection District?
7		transcript.	7 A 2006.
8	Α	Okay. Not a problem.	8 Q And you started, I assume, as just a
9	Q	And it's also important we try not to talk over	9 firefighter?
10		each other just so that she is able to keep	10 A Volunteer firefighter.
11		track of what I say and you what you say.	11 Q Okay. At that time was Perry-Clear Creek a
12		You understand that?	12 volunteer Perry-Clear Creek Fire Department
13	Α	Understood.	13 District a volunteer department?
14	Q	So do you understand that I'm going to ask you	14 A It was a combination department. There were
15		questions and your responsibility is to answer	15 paid and volunteer staff.
16		the questions that I ask you as truthfully and	16 Q Okay. When did you become let me back up.
17		honestly and completely as you can.	17 Prior to Perry-Clear Creek, were you with
18		Do you understand that?	18 any other departments?
19	Α	Absolutely.	19 A No.
20	Q	If for whatever reason I ask you something and	20 Q When did you convert from a volunteer to a paid
21		you don't understand it, will you let me know?	21 firefighter?
22	Α	Absolutely.	22 A I don't remember the date. It was within the
23	Q	If we need a break at any point just let me	23 first year. I started working part time.
24		know.	24 Q Do you recall when you became full time?
25	Α	Okay.	25 A 2010. March of 2010.
		Page 7	Page 9
1	Q	Just I ask that you finish the answer to the	1 Q When you became full time, was that still as a
2		question I've asked.	2 firefighter?
3	А	Absolutely.	3 A It was.
4	Q	What did you do to prepare for your deposition	4 Q And then by September of 2014, you became the
5	1	today?	
6	Α		5 chief?
7	11	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a	5 chief? 6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I
/		•	
8		Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a	6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I
· ·		Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents.	<ul><li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li><li>7 took over training as a captain.</li></ul>
8 9		Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry,	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> </ul>
8 9	Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot.	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11	Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11	QA	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for?	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up.	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed?	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District.	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief. How long have you been in that role?	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief.	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> <li>17 18 with everyone that was career include.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief. How long have you been in that role?	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> <li>17 18 with everyone that was career include.</li> <li>18 Q How many volunteers were there at that time?</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief. How long have you been in that role? Since September 2014. Coming up on 10 years.	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> <li>17 18 with everyone that was career include.</li> <li>18 Q How many volunteers were there at that time?</li> <li>19 A 20 maybe. It's always a hard one to answer</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief. How long have you been in that role? Since September 2014. Coming up on 10 years. What did you do prior? What were you you've	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> <li>17 18 with everyone that was career include.</li> <li>18 Q How many volunteers were there at that time?</li> <li>19 A 20 maybe. It's always a hard one to answer</li> <li>20 because there's active and not so active people.</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A A Q A	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief. How long have you been in that role? Since September 2014. Coming up on 10 years. What did you do prior? What were you you've been the chief since September 2014?	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> <li>17 18 with everyone that was career include.</li> <li>18 Q How many volunteers were there at that time?</li> <li>19 A 20 maybe. It's always a hard one to answer</li> <li>20 because there's active and not so active people.</li> <li>21 Q Meaning some people that are on the volunteer</li> </ul>
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	Looked at some previous emails. Looked at a I got a folder that has a couple of documents. Newspaper articles mostly. Really sorry, counsel, but not a whole lot. When you say you looked at previous emails and documents, what were you looking for? I just typed in "Annexation" in my email and just looked at whatever came up. Who are you employed? Monroe Fire Protection District. What is your role there? I am the fire chief. How long have you been in that role? Since September 2014. Coming up on 10 years. What did you do prior? What were you you've been the chief since September 2014? Correct.	<ul> <li>6 A Correct. Shortly after I became full time, I</li> <li>7 took over training as a captain.</li> <li>8 Q When you started in 2006, can you say what</li> <li>9 percentage was volunteer firefighters were</li> <li>10 volunteer versus full time? How many</li> <li>11 maybe a way to ask that is how many full time,</li> <li>12 fully paid, firefighters did they have at that</li> <li>13 time?</li> <li>14 A Probably around 15.</li> <li>15 Q Would that include upper level policymaking?</li> <li>16 A No. There were only two at that time. So 15 to</li> <li>17 18 with everyone that was career include.</li> <li>18 Q How many volunteers were there at that time?</li> <li>19 A 20 maybe. It's always a hard one to answer</li> <li>20 because there's active and not so active people.</li> <li>21 Q Meaning some people that are on the volunteer</li> <li>22 list</li> </ul>

	Page 10	Page 12
1	Q Right? Correct?	1 MR. UNGER: Clements, okay.
	A Yeah.	2 MS. CLEMENTS: With a "T."
3	Q Understood. What is your home address?	3 MR. UNGER: Thank you.
	A 811 East Monroe Dam Road. And that's	4 Q And then Mr. Beggs a couple of months ago?
5	Bloomington, 47401.	5 A No. It was within the last month.
6	Q Is that in an annexation area?	6 Q Within the last month. Okay. The discussion
7	A No.	7 with Mr. Beggs within the last month, what did
8	Q So I'm clear here, you understand there are	8 that discussion entail?
9	seven annexation areas in total that were,	9 A Just to ask if anything was known about this
10	ultimately, adopted by the city?	10 deposition. The group was listed in the letter
11	A Understood.	11 that I received.
12	Q But we're really here on only two: Area 1A and	12 Q The group, I'm sorry?
13	1B. You understand that?	13 A What is it? Community the CRAA. It says it
14	A Yes, sir.	14 on the top of the letter. I don't know.
15	Q So when I say "annexation areas," that does not	15 Q So go ahead.
16	include any of the annexation areas?	16 A The people that you're in court with.
17	A Oh, no, correct. I'm way south.	17 Q Yeah. So your discussions with Mr. Beggs
18	Q Have you lived there the entire time that you	18 related to CR County Residents Against
19	A I moved to that location in 2010.	19 Annexation?
20	Q Where's the headquarters for the fire district?	20 A Yeah. The question was "What is this about?"
21	A 3953 South Kennedy Drive, Station 22.	21 Q Did you talk at all about the impacts on of
	Q Is that in any of the annexation areas?	22 annexation on firefighting operations in
23	A I think it would be in 1A maybe. It's by Kroger	23 Bloomington and Monroe County?
24	South. I can't remember if it's 1A or B.	24 A I was reminded of the conversation we had
25	Q So you think it's in Area 1A or 1B?	25 several years ago when that was a question that
	Page 11	Page 13
1		
	A I believe so.	1 was asked at the time was: was there going to
2	Q So you've been identified as someone who, if	2 be an impact to the tax revenue?
2 3	Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked	<ul><li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li><li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li></ul>
2 ( 3 4	Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting	<ul><li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li><li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li><li>4 revenue?</li></ul>
2 ( 3 4 5	Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6	Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur.	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7	Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> </ul>
2 ( 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur.</li> <li>You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> </ul>
2 ( 3 4 5 6 7 8 4 9 6	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 4 9 0	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 4 9 0 10 11 4 12	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 1 12 13	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 4 9 0 10 11 4 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 2 13 14 15 0	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 2 13 14 15 0 16	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 2 9 0 10 11 2 13 14 15 0 16 17	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 4 9 0 10 11 4 12 13 14 15 0 16 17 18 4	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes within</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 4 15 0 16 17 18 4 19	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes withir the last month. I don't recall what day.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> <li>19 Q And so what was the if you can tell me, what</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 . 12 13 14 15 0 16 17 18 . 19 20 0	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes withir the last month. I don't recall what day.</li> <li>Q So I just want to make sure I'm exhausting them.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> <li>19 Q And so what was the if you can tell me, what</li> <li>20 was the impact to annexation the tax impact</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 . 12 13 14 15 0 16 17 18 . 19 20 0 21	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes withir the last month. I don't recall what day.</li> <li>Q So I just want to make sure I'm exhausting them. A couple of years ago with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> <li>19 Q And so what was the if you can tell me, what</li> <li>20 was the impact to annexation the tax impact</li> <li>21 that you discussed a couple of years ago and</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 2	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes withir the last month. I don't recall what day.</li> <li>Q So I just want to make sure I'm exhausting them. A couple of years ago with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> <li>19 Q And so what was the if you can tell me, what</li> <li>20 was the impact to annexation the tax impact</li> <li>21 that you discussed a couple of years ago and</li> <li>22 were reminded about?</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes withir the last month. I don't recall what day.</li> <li>Q So I just want to make sure I'm exhausting them. A couple of years ago with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> <li>19 Q And so what was the if you can tell me, what</li> <li>20 was the impact to annexation the tax impact</li> <li>21 that you discussed a couple of years ago and</li> </ul>
2 0 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q So you've been identified as someone who, if called to testify in this case, would be asked to testify about the impacts on firefighting operations in Bloomington and Monroe County if the annexations occur. You understand that?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Have you discussed any of those issues with Mr. Beggs or anybody at his firm?</li> <li>A Not for sometime. At one point in time, I had a phone conversation with Mr. Beggs and Margaret. I couldn't tell you when, but it's been one if not two to three years ago.</li> <li>Q Any other occasions since that call one to two or two to three years ago? I don't want to misstate.</li> <li>A Spoke with Mr. Beggs for 15 or 20 minutes withir the last month. I don't recall what day.</li> <li>Q So I just want to make sure I'm exhausting them. A couple of years ago with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 be an impact to the tax revenue?</li> <li>3 Q Did you discuss any other impacts beyond tax</li> <li>4 revenue?</li> <li>5 A I don't recall.</li> <li>6 Q And I want to be clear. Any other did you</li> <li>7 discuss any other impacts besides tax revenue in</li> <li>8 the last month with Mr. Beggs?</li> <li>9 A No.</li> <li>10 Q And then two or three years ago, when you had</li> <li>11 the other conversation, any other impacts</li> <li>12 besides revenue that were discussed that you</li> <li>13 recall?</li> <li>14 A I remember specifically talking about income</li> <li>15 tax. I think public safety and regular income</li> <li>16 tax. I was actually out of town and on the</li> <li>17 phone in a parking lot. So it was a pretty</li> <li>18 casual conversation.</li> <li>19 Q And so what was the if you can tell me, what</li> <li>20 was the impact to annexation the tax impact</li> <li>21 that you discussed a couple of years ago and</li> <li>22 were reminded about?</li> </ul>

Page 14	Page 16
1 and if I knew what the impact would be.	1 Q What is that change?
2 Q So you're familiar with the county study and the	2 A Well, I would say that there was clarification.
3 city study?	3 There was legislation for quite sometime about
4 A I wouldn't say I'm familiar, but I have read	4 fire districts and annexation. There was a date
5 them in the past.	5 that was changed; and then there was some
6 Q Do you have any other knowledge of the impact,	6 language added that had to do with the size of a
7 financial impact, or other impacts outside of	7 fire district and, essentially, how fire
8 those studies? Or is that what you're	8 protection is provided before and after
9 A So	9 annexation.
10 Q Go ahead.	10 Q You understand when the city started its
11 A I guess when I say I'm familiar with those	11 annexation in 2017, there was an assumption that
12 studies, I haven't put a whole lot of weight	12 the city would take over fire protection to the
13 into their numbers. I understand how the local	13 areas that it annexed; correct?
14 income tax is distributed so I understand	14 A I think assumption would be a fair way to
15 that, you know, it can be variable and I	15 describe it, yeah.
16 understand that it would be impacted if the city	16 Q And then since that time, the legislation
17 were to increase their levy. But without	17 provides that the city will not take over fire
18 knowing the exact numbers, I know there would be	-
19 an impact but I couldn't tell you how much it	19 A Correct.
20 would be.	20 Q in the fire with respect to your
21 Q You haven't done your own study of the financial	21 particular fire district.
22 impact?	22 A Correct.
23 A No. Initially, yes. When the city first	23 Q Did you run any numbers or do any study after
24 proposed the annexation, I know that it was	24 2020 when the city reinitiated the annexation
25 around 44 percent of our property tax levy, the	25 effort?
	D 17
Page 15	Page 17
1 assessed value of our area, that was going to be	1 A No.
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>A Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>A Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the city's annexations?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>city's annexations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the city's annexations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations, was asked about how the services compared.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>city's annexations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations, was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>compared?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the city's annexations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations, was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>Q And what was your answer to how services compared?</li> <li>A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the city's annexations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations, was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>Q And what was your answer to how services compared?</li> <li>A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the reason I say that is because the city and the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>city's annexations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations, was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>compared?</li> <li>A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>17 you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> <li>18 are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> <li>say, 2020 you understand that? 2021?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>17 you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> <li>18 are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> <li>19 medical services, they're very different.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> <li>say, 2020 you understand that? 2021?</li> <li>A I don't necessarily know how all that went down</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>17 you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> <li>18 are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> <li>19 medical services, they're very different.</li> <li>20 The fire district provides a lot more</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> <li>say, 2020 you understand that? 2021?</li> <li>A I don't necessarily know how all that went down</li> <li>in that window. But, yeah.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>17 you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> <li>18 are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> <li>19 medical services, they're very different.</li> <li>20 The fire district provides a lot more</li> <li>21 services, from an emergency medical standpoint,</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> <li>say, 2020 you understand that? 2021?</li> <li>A I don't necessarily know how all that went down</li> <li>in that window. But, yeah.</li> <li>Q And there was a change in how annexation impacts</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had any other discussions about the impacts on firefighting operations as a result of the city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations, was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the fire district provide different services. If you are looking solely at fire protection, they are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> <li>19 medical services, they're very different.</li> <li>20 The fire district provides a lot more</li> <li>21 services, from an emergency medical standpoint, than the City of Bloomington. If you look at</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> <li>say, 2020 you understand that? 2021?</li> <li>A I don't necessarily know how all that went down</li> <li>in that window. But, yeah.</li> <li>Q And there was a change in how annexation impacts</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>17 you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> <li>18 are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> <li>19 medical services, they're very different.</li> <li>20 The fire district provides a lot more</li> <li>21 services, from an emergency medical standpoint,</li> <li>22 than the City of Bloomington. If you look at</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>assessed value of our area, that was going to be</li> <li>impacted beyond that, no.</li> <li>Q When you say "initially," do you mean in 2017?</li> <li>A Yeah. When there was a press conference and it</li> <li>hit the paper.</li> <li>Q I'm sorry. So and I want to make sure I</li> <li>understand. So you did a study in 2017</li> <li>yourself? Or you engaged somebody to do it?</li> <li>A I wouldn't necessarily say it was a study. I</li> <li>just did the math.</li> <li>Q Just did what math so I understand?</li> <li>A I looked at the assessed value of the area that</li> <li>was being annexed and divided that by the total</li> <li>assessed value of the fire district to see what</li> <li>percentage was impacted.</li> <li>Q So then the city, you understand, was stopped by</li> <li>the legislature from proceeding with annexation</li> <li>after 2017 and then reinitiated it in, I want to</li> <li>say, 2020 you understand that? 2021?</li> <li>A I don't necessarily know how all that went down</li> <li>in that window. But, yeah.</li> <li>Q And there was a change in how annexation impacts</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A No.</li> <li>2 Q Did you go back and update your numbers or analysis from 2017?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q Aside from the I want to make sure I'm clear.</li> <li>6 Aside from the financial impact, have you had</li> <li>7 any other discussions about the impacts on</li> <li>8 firefighting operations as a result of the</li> <li>9 city's annexations?</li> <li>10 A Yeah. I I believe in both conversations,</li> <li>11 was asked about how the services compared.</li> <li>12 Q And what was your answer to how services</li> <li>13 compared?</li> <li>14 A I think that it's difficult to answer, and the</li> <li>15 reason I say that is because the city and the</li> <li>16 fire district provide different services. If</li> <li>17 you are looking solely at fire protection, they</li> <li>18 are very similar. If you look at emergency</li> <li>19 medical services, they're very different.</li> <li>20 The fire district provides a lot more</li> <li>21 services, from an emergency medical standpoint,</li> <li>22 than the City of Bloomington. If you look at</li> </ul>

1	Page 18	Page 20
1	provides more services, but I think that would	1 impact us would definitely hinder our progress.
2	be expected based on the geographic area that we	2 Q You expect you'll still be able to provide fire
3	cover. We have to be prepared for a lot more	3 protection service to Areas 1A and 1B adequately
4	types of emergencies, if you will.	4 after the city completes if the city
	Is that because there's more rural area in your	5 completes the annexations?
6	district?	6 A Absolutely. I mean, that is our responsibility;
	A We have quarries. We have different types of	7 right?
8	industry. We cover Lake Monroe, Indiana's	8 Q Any other discussions about economic impacts as
9	largest body of water. There are just things	9 a result of annexation?
10	that we need to be prepared for.	10 A Not anything with regard to who, I guess?
11	It's difficult to compare fire departments	11 Q With regard to Mr. Beggs.
12	as apples to apples because we all have	12 A I don't believe so.
13	geographic areas that we're responsible for, and	13 Q Are you aware of any other economic impacts that
14	that's the important part.	14 you would anticipate testifying about at trial
15	Fire, you know, you can look at fire	15 relating to the annexations?
16	supression; it's the same across the country.	16 A I mean, potentially. I don't know what the
17	You know, the intent is to get there as quickly	17 city's case is; I've not followed it. But if I
18	as possible, put fires out, and save people and	18 were to rewind all the way back to 2017, to the
19	their property; right?	19 very beginning, there was a report that had some
20 0	You cover a larger geographic area, currently,	20 information in it that I would have to refresh
21	than the city covers?	21 on.
22 A	A Correct.	22 Q Maybe the way I anything you, personally, are
23 (	And that area is generally more rural, would you	23 aware of, not that somebody else has told you or
24	agree?	24 somebody else prepared but anything you're
25 A	A I would say more suburban. At one point in	25 personally aware of concerning economic
	•	
1	Page 19	Page 21
1	time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas,	1 impacts
1 2	time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors	<ul><li>1 impacts</li><li>2 A Can you explain that?</li></ul>
	time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> </ol>
2 3 4	time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.	<ul><li>1 impacts</li><li>2 A Can you explain that?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 (	<ul><li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li><li>I want to jump back before we get too far along.</li></ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 0	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>A s a result of annexation?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 ( 12 4	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 ( 12 4 13 (	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>A s a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 5 16	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 0	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>Any reason to believe whatever the impact is</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 18	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>A As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>A Any reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 18 19 4	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>Any reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I think that any income you lose is income</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> <li>personal opinions in this line of work are not</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 18 19 4 20	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>Any reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I think that any income you lose is income that's going to impact you. Without knowing the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> <li>personal opinions in this line of work are not</li> <li>necessarily applicable to my profession.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 17 6 18 19 4 20 21	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>Any reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I think that any income you lose is income that's going to impact you. Without knowing the extent of it, I couldn't sit here and tell you</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> <li>personal opinions in this line of work are not</li> <li>necessarily applicable to my profession.</li> <li>You're asking me to answer something from a</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 18 19 4 20 21 22	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>A s a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>A ny reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I think that any income you lose is income that's going to impact you. Without knowing the extent of it, I couldn't sit here and tell you what that impact would be. But, you know, the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> <li>personal opinions in this line of work are not</li> <li>necessarily applicable to my profession.</li> <li>You're asking me to answer something from a</li> <li>personal standpoint, and I don't understand.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 17 6 18 19 4 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>As a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>Any reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I think that any income you lose is income that's going to impact you. Without knowing the extent of it, I couldn't sit here and tell you what that impact would be. But, you know, the fire district is drastically growing, hiring</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> <li>personal opinions in this line of work are not</li> <li>necessarily applicable to my profession.</li> <li>You're asking me to answer something from a</li> <li>personal standpoint, and I don't understand.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 4 11 6 12 4 13 6 14 4 15 16 17 6 18 19 4 20 21 22	<ul> <li>time, there were a lot of farms, rural areas, but the amount of subdivisions and neighbors that have went up is pretty incredible over the last 15, 20 years.</li> <li>I want to jump back before we get too far along. You also mentioned the impact on income tax. Have you done any numbers looking at the any analysis or data looking at income tax impact?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>A s a result of annexation?</li> <li>A I have not.</li> <li>What do you believe the impact to be?</li> <li>A I don't know without knowing what the city's levy would be, it would be impossible for me to calculate that.</li> <li>A ny reason to believe whatever the impact is would interfere with your operations?</li> <li>A Yeah. I think that any income you lose is income that's going to impact you. Without knowing the extent of it, I couldn't sit here and tell you what that impact would be. But, you know, the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>impacts</li> <li>A Can you explain that?</li> <li>Q Well, have you done any other analysis of</li> <li>economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You've specifically been identified as someone</li> <li>that can testify as to the economic impacts of</li> <li>annexation on Areas 1A and 1B. And so I'm</li> <li>trying to make sure I understand</li> <li>A I understand.</li> <li>Q what your testimony is going to be. So</li> <li>anything else you are personally aware of, have</li> <li>personal knowledge of concerning economic</li> <li>impacts to Area 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A I guess I need some further clarification. I</li> <li>received a subpoena to the fire district as fire</li> <li>chief. I have to be cognizant of the fact that</li> <li>I am a fire chief and a person. Sometimes my</li> <li>personal opinions in this line of work are not</li> <li>necessarily applicable to my profession.</li> <li>You're asking me to answer something from a</li> <li>personal standpoint, and I don't understand.</li> </ol>

1	Page 22			Page 24
1.	A Okay.	1	А	Correct.
2	Q As the fire chief, in a professional capacity,	2	Q	And I want to make sure I've exhausted
3	are you aware of any other economic impacts as a	3		besides the potential impact to the county, any
4	result of the annexations of Area 1A and 1B?	4		other economic impacts, as the fire chief, that
5.	A Yeah. So, yes. Basically those centered around	5		you are personally aware of?
6	the county. And the reason I'm familiar with	6	А	The only other impacts I would be aware of that
7	that is the county council reviews our budget on	7		would have the potential to impact the fire
8	an annual basis. We do, on occasion, ask for	8		district would be townships that are not in the
9	additional funding. Things to support new	9		fire district that contract with the fire
10	projects. For instance, the fire district is	10		district.
11	going to start an ambulance service. ARPA funds	11		Obviously, if their budgets were to be
12	were used to purchase those ambulances.	12		impacted, it would affect the amount of money
13	If the county is impacted negatively and	13		available for contractual services.
14	the budget is tighter, it is more difficult on	14	Q	Have you done any studies of
15	the fire district to ask for additional funds to	15	A	Not independent from the studies that we've
16	create new services that provide protection to	16		already talked about.
17	all county residents. That ambulance service			
18	will not just protect the fire district, it will	18	А	Correct.
19	also protect the City of Bloomington. Those	19	Q	Have you done any analysis of the studies that
20	types of things could be impacted.	20		other people have done whether or not that will
21	Q I want to understand. Is your budget approved	21		impact the contractual arrangements you have?
22	by the county?	22	А	Yeah. There was small impact. I don't remember
23 .	A Yeah. So we have what's called "Binding Review"	23		the dollar amount. And, again, I think all of
24	by the county council. We have our own tax	24		those financial reports are dated at this point.
25	levy, our own fire district board that, you	25	Q	Anything else as fire chief you're aware of in
	Page 23			Page 25
1	know, essentially determines the lines, the	1		term of economic impacts as a result of
2	know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council	2		term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?
2 3	know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.	2 3		term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no.
2 3 4	<ul><li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li><li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li></ul>	2 3 4		term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no. Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li><li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li><li>A They do.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5		term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no. Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li><li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li><li>A They do.</li><li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6		term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no. Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up. Anything personally you are aware of in
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li><li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li><li>A They do.</li><li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li><li>A Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7		term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no. Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up. Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no. Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up. Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	term of economic impacts as a result of annexation? Not not that comes to mind, no. Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up. Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts? Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings.</li> <li>I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would change.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> <li>A No. Sorry.</li> <li>Q Thank you. Have you done any study or analysis</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would change.</li> <li>And I appreciate you answering because you knew</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> <li>A No. Sorry.</li> <li>Q Thank you. Have you done any study or analysis of the impact on the county's finances as a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would change.</li> <li>And I appreciate you answering because you knew that was going to be my question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> <li>A No. Sorry.</li> <li>Q Thank you. Have you done any study or analysis of the impact on the county's finances as a result of annexation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would change.</li> <li>And I appreciate you answering because you knew that was going to be my question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> <li>A No. Sorry.</li> <li>Q Thank you. Have you done any study or analysis of the impact on the county's finances as a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would change.</li> <li>And I appreciate you answering because you knew that was going to be my question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>know, essentially determines the lines, the categories. But we go before the county council for binding review.</li> <li>Q Do they adopt your budget, the county council?</li> <li>A They do.</li> <li>Q Can they raise your budget?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Can they reduce it?</li> <li>A They cannot reduce it lower than the previous year that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Q If you issue debt, does that have to be approved by the county council?</li> <li>A I don't know.</li> <li>Q Have you had discussions with anybody at the county recently about the financial impact of annexation</li> <li>A No</li> <li>Q economic impacts?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	<ul> <li>term of economic impacts as a result of annexation?</li> <li>Not not that comes to mind, no.</li> <li>Let me ask you, personally. Let me split those up.</li> <li>Anything personally you are aware of in your own personal capacity in terms of economic impacts?</li> <li>Yeah. So, I mean, obviously when this initially started, I went to a lot of city meetings about annexation. I went to a lot of county meetings. I was present at a lot of places. I heard from people that provide trash services to township trustees, to, just, the regular average citizen. And, yeah, I mean, there were lots of things that were discussed. I personally did not conduct any financial studies. But, yeah, I mean, I'm aware of a lot of things that would</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>Page 26</li> <li>1 A Well, for instance, I recall a couple of</li> <li>2 individuals at a meeting who owned a trash</li> <li>3 company, a private trash company. They were</li> <li>4 pretty desperate for information because once</li> <li>5 annexed, they would no longer be able to provide</li> <li>6 services to that area. They seemed pretty</li> <li>7 concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable</li> <li>8 number of residents; so, obviously, I think they</li> <li>9 had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose</li> <li>10 that business, that's damaging.</li> <li>11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or</li> <li>12 it's just something that they told you?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was</li> <li>16 accurate.</li> <li>17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do</li> <li>18 anything to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>19 company?</li> </ul>	scal mic y y about n. ed l in
3company, a private trash company. They were 43Q from County Residents Against Annexa 44pretty desperate for information because once 5annexed, they would no longer be able to provide 6Somebody who may testify that the city's fit 55annexed, they would no longer be able to provide 6Services to that area. They seemed pretty 7concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable 8mumber of residents; so, obviously, I think they 9had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose 107A Economic impact on who?8QI'm asking I'm asking you. I'm trying to 9understand from you what you would testif 1011QWas that something you looked into yourself? Or 12it's just something that they told you?1113AI did. I did read in the report that the city 14would assume the trash pickup services and 15whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was 16accurate.17QI want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do 18anything to study the finances of this trash 19company?18AThat is the plan that was updated that doe 	scal mic y y about n. ed l in
4pretty desperate for information because once4somebody who may testify that the city's fi5annexed, they would no longer be able to provide6services to that area. They seemed pretty5plan fails to properly account for the econd6services to that area. They seemed pretty7concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable6impact of the annexation.7concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable7A Economic impact on who?8number of residents; so, obviously, I think they9had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose10that business, that's damaging.10as to the economic impact of the annexation11Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or11So let me ask you this: Have you studied12it's just something that they told you?13A I did. I did read in the report that the city1314would assume the trash pickup services and15Q Good question. Let me ask you more recented15whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was16Have you studied the fiscal plan that was16accurate.16Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do18A That is the plan that was updated that doe19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	scal mic y y about n. ed l in
<ul> <li>5 annexed, they would no longer be able to provide</li> <li>6 services to that area. They seemed pretty</li> <li>7 concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable</li> <li>8 number of residents; so, obviously, I think they</li> <li>9 had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose</li> <li>10 that business, that's damaging.</li> <li>11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or</li> <li>12 it's just something that they told you?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was</li> <li>16 accurate.</li> <li>17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do</li> <li>18 anything to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>19 company?</li> <li>5 plan fails to properly account for the econd</li> <li>6 impact of the annexation.</li> <li>7 A Economic impact on who?</li> <li>8 Q I'm asking I'm asking you. I'm trying to</li> <li>9 understand from you what you would testified to a sto the economic impact of the annexation</li> <li>10 as to the economic impact of the annexation</li> <li>11 So let me ask you this: Have you studied</li> <li>12 the city's fiscal plan?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 Q Good question. Let me ask you more reco</li> <li>16 Have you studied the fiscal plan that was</li> <li>17 adopted in 2021?</li> <li>18 A That is the plan that was updated that doe</li> <li>19 include the annexation of fire protection?</li> </ul>	mic ) y about n. ed I in
<ul> <li>6 services to that area. They seemed pretty</li> <li>7 concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable</li> <li>8 number of residents; so, obviously, I think they</li> <li>9 had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose</li> <li>10 that business, that's damaging.</li> <li>11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or</li> <li>12 it's just something that they told you?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was</li> <li>16 accurate.</li> <li>17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do</li> <li>18 anything to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>19 company?</li> </ul>	) y about n. d l in
<ul> <li>7 concerned. I mean, 1A and 1B have a sizeable</li> <li>8 number of residents; so, obviously, I think they</li> <li>9 had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose</li> <li>10 that business, that's damaging.</li> <li>11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or</li> <li>12 it's just something that they told you?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was</li> <li>16 accurate.</li> <li>17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do</li> <li>18 anything to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>19 company?</li> <li>7 A Economic impact on who?</li> <li>8 Q I'm asking I'm asking you. I'm trying to</li> <li>8 Q I'm asking I'm asking you. I'm trying to</li> <li>9 understand from you what you would testif</li> <li>10 as to the economic impact of the annexatio</li> <li>11 So let me ask you this: Have you studied</li> <li>12 the city's fiscal plan?</li> <li>13 A And the fiscal plan was the plan presented</li> <li>14 2017. Is that what you're referring to?</li> <li>15 Q Good question. Let me ask you more reco</li> <li>16 Have you studied the fiscal plan that was</li> <li>17 a A That is the plan that was updated that doe</li> <li>19 include the annexation of fire protection?</li> </ul>	ỳ about n. cd l in
<ul> <li>8 number of residents; so, obviously, I think they</li> <li>9 had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose</li> <li>10 that business, that's damaging.</li> <li>11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or</li> <li>12 it's just something that they told you?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was</li> <li>16 accurate.</li> <li>17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do</li> <li>18 anything to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>19 company?</li> <li>8 Q I'm asking I'm asking you. I'm trying to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>10 as to the economic impact of the annexation</li> <li>10 as to the economic impact of the annexation</li> <li>11 So let me ask you this: Have you studied</li> <li>12 the city's fiscal plan?</li> <li>13 A And the fiscal plan was the plan presented</li> <li>14 2017. Is that what you're referring to?</li> <li>15 Q Good question. Let me ask you more recommendation</li> <li>16 Have you studied the fiscal plan that was</li> <li>17 adopted in 2021?</li> <li>18 A That is the plan that was updated that doe</li> <li>19 include the annexation of fire protection?</li> </ul>	ỳ about n. cd l in
<ul> <li>9 had a pretty compelling point. Should they lose</li> <li>10 that business, that's damaging.</li> <li>11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or</li> <li>12 it's just something that they told you?</li> <li>13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city</li> <li>14 would assume the trash pickup services and</li> <li>15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was</li> <li>16 accurate.</li> <li>17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do</li> <li>18 anything to study the finances of this trash</li> <li>19 company?</li> <li>9 understand from you what you would testif</li> <li>10 as to the economic impact of the annexatio</li> <li>10 as to the economic impact of the annexatio</li> <li>11 So let me ask you this: Have you studied</li> <li>12 the city's fiscal plan?</li> <li>13 A And the fiscal plan was the plan presented</li> <li>14 2017. Is that what you're referring to?</li> <li>15 Q Good question. Let me ask you more recomplication in the report of the finances of this trash</li> <li>18 A That is the plan that was updated that doe</li> <li>19 company?</li> </ul>	ỳ about n. cd l in
10that business, that's damaging.10as to the economic impact of the annexation11QWas that something you looked into yourself? Or11So let me ask you this: Have you studied12it's just something that they told you?12the city's fiscal plan?13AI did. I did read in the report that the city13A14would assume the trash pickup services and142017. Is that what you're referring to?15whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was16Good question. Let me ask you more reco16accurate.16Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17QI want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do17adopted in 2021?18anything to study the finances of this trash18AThat is the plan that was updated that doe19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	n. ed I in
11 Q Was that something you looked into yourself? Or11So let me ask you this: Have you studie12 it's just something that they told you?12the city's fiscal plan?13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city13 A And the fiscal plan was the plan presented14 would assume the trash pickup services and142017. Is that what you're referring to?15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was15 Q Good question. Let me ask you more reco16 accurate.16 Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do17 adopted in 2021?18 anything to study the finances of this trash18 A That is the plan that was updated that doe19 company?19	ed I in
12it's just something that they told you?13A13A14would assume the trash pickup services and15whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was16accurate.17Q18anything to study the finances of this trash19company?	l in
13 A I did. I did read in the report that the city13 A And the fiscal plan was the plan presented14 would assume the trash pickup services and14 2017. Is that what you're referring to?15 whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was16 accurate.16 accurate.16 Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do17 adopted in 2021?18 anything to study the finances of this trash18 A That is the plan that was updated that doe19 company?19 include the annexation of fire protection?	
14would assume the trash pickup services and142017. Is that what you're referring to?15whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was15QGood question. Let me ask you more reco16accurate.16Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17QI want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do17adopted in 2021?18anything to study the finances of this trash18AThat is the plan that was updated that doe19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	
15whatnot. Based on that, I assumed that that was15QGood question. Let me ask you more received16accurate.16Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17QI want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do17adopted in 2021?18anything to study the finances of this trash18AThat is the plan that was updated that doe19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	ently.
16accurate.16Have you studied the fiscal plan that was17QI want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do17adopted in 2021?18anything to study the finances of this trash18AThat is the plan that was updated that doe19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	ently.
17 Q I want to make sure I'm clear. Did you do 18 anything to study the finances of this trash 19 company?17 adopted in 2021? 18 A That is the plan that was updated that doe 19 include the annexation of fire protection?	
18anything to study the finances of this trash18AThat is the plan that was updated that doe19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	
19company?19include the annexation of fire protection?	
	s not
20 A No. 20 Q Correct.	
21 Q Didn't look into it yourself; it's just 21 A Yes.	
22 something they told you? 22 Q Do you know what version it was that you	ı
23 A Well, they didn't tell me. They told the group. 23 studied?	
24 Q Okay. You heard them telling other people that? 24 A No, it's been a while.	
25 A Correct. 25 Q Have you study other annexation fiscal pl	ans?
Page 27	Page 29
1 Q Anything else? And I want to I do want to 1 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.	
2 clarify. I don't want to know what other people 2 Clarification, do you mean	
3 have said and what you've heard other people 3 Q I'm sorry. Let me reask the question. I'll	
4 say. I really want to know what you, 4 reask the question.	
5 personally, know. 5 Have you studied other annexation fisca	.1
6 Is there anything else you, personally, are 6 plans relating to annexations around the sta	te,
7 aware of economic impact that you didn't 7 not relating to Bloomington?	
8 hear from somebody else or wasn't a report that 8 A Yes.	
9 somebody else prepared? 9 Q Which ones?	
10 A Right now, I don't know. 10 A I have frequently looked at annexations in	
11 Q If you think of any, will you let me know? 11 relation to fire departments. I couldn't tell	
12 A I totally will.12 you specifically which ones. One that does	come
13 Q Appreciate that. I'll tell you, you've also 13 to mind was related to the Fort Wayne area	•
14 been identified as someone who can testify about 14 Off and on, I've looked at a lot of places	
15 the ways in which the city's fiscal plan fails 15 White River Township Fire District is a clo	se
16 to properly account for the economic impacts of 16 partner of ours, and for years I've had	
17annexation.17discussions with Chief Pell about the impart	t of
18 I want to ask you, have you studied the 18 annexation on their fire district and how we	e're,
19city's fiscal plan?19essentially, the same now.	
20 A Can you explain to me more you said I was 20 Q Essentially the same in what way?	
21 "identified as"; what do you mean by that 21 A White River Township has not been impa	cted by
22 that category of testimony? Like, what would I 22 annexation by Greenwood. They had wh	ien I
23 be expected to testify on? 23 mentioned earlier that there was legislation	in
24 Q That's why I'm asking you. I don't know. I 24 place that protected some fire districts from	ı
25 just know you've been identified in written 25 annexation, White River Township was on	a of

		Page 30			Page 32
1		them.	1		protection to people when someone ceased to do
2	Q	They're large enough that, if they're annexed by	2		that?
3		Greenwood, they don't lose their fire territory?	3		In doing so, I read things about the Fort
4	А	I don't know if it's related to size. White	4		Wayne annexation and how they had not accounted
5		River has always had that protection. It had to	5		for providing the protection because Southwest
6		do with establishment date in the '80s.	6		was supposed to be doing that; and Southwest,
7	Q	So the fiscal plans you studied, who prepared	7		essentially, didn't realize they were supposed
8		those fiscal plans?	8		to do it, I guess. So they didn't.
9	А	I don't know. To clarify, I don't have packets	9	Q	That was before the city started considering its
10		of fiscal plans. It's just things I've read and	10		annexations? Or was that as a part of did
11		searched online. Some of them may have been	11		you review that after the city started
12		towns or cities. Somethings may have been on	12		considering?
13		fire departments' websites. We're talking about		А	I was aware of it before; and then, actually,
14		a variety of	14		the timing of it, there were things going on
	0	So when I use and I apologize. When I use	15		around the same time.
16	X	the phrase "fiscal plans," I'm talking about a		$\mathbf{O}$	Any other annexation fiscal plans or
17		specific document that's prepared by a	17	Y	annexations you've studied?
18		municipality as a part of an annexation process.		Δ	No.
19		Have you studied	-		Do you have any information to suggest that the
	٨	No.	20	Q	2021 fiscal plan failed to properly account for
		Okay. So I'm clear, sorry. I'm going to finish	20 21		the economic impact of annexation?
21	Q			٨	-
	٨	my question. Understood.		A	I'm still confused by that question because the
			23		fiscal plan would be accounting for the city
	Q	Have you studied other annexation fiscal plans,	24		providing services, not the impact to other
25		specifically?	25		agencies. So, I guess, what are you asking?
1	٨	Page 31 I have not.	1	0	Page 33 Let me ask you this: are you aware of any
		Have you reviewed them?	2	Q	deficiencies in the city's fiscal plan?
		Perhaps portions. That would have been included		۸	I know that I had concerns about the placement
4		in the areas I referenced.	4	А	of fire stations and the amount that was being
			4		of the stations and the amount that was being
	v	Do you nome on her who man and them?	5		<b>•</b>
		Do you remember who prepared them?	5		budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I
6	А	No.	6		budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to
6 7	A Q	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they	6 7		budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to ensure that the city had taken into account
6 7 8	A Q	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to?	6 7 8		budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to ensure that the city had taken into account double taxation since the fire district would be
6 7 8 9	A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was	6 7 8 9		budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to ensure that the city had taken into account double taxation since the fire district would be providing services to that area.
6 7 8 9 10	A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne	6 7 8 9 10	Q	<ul><li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li><li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li><li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li><li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li><li>providing services to that area.</li><li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li></ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q	budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to ensure that the city had taken into account double taxation since the fire district would be providing services to that area. And I want to make sure I'm when you say you had concerns about the placement of fire
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q	budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to ensure that the city had taken into account double taxation since the fire district would be providing services to that area. And I want to make sure I'm when you say you had concerns about the placement of fire stations, was that in 2017?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	_	budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I was specifically observant of this, really, to ensure that the city had taken into account double taxation since the fire district would be providing services to that area. And I want to make sure I'm when you say you had concerns about the placement of fire stations, was that in 2017? Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan or the 2021 fiscal plan?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	_	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did not provide the services to the areas that were	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> <li>placement of fire stations?</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did not provide the services to the areas that were annexed like they should have. And that made me	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> <li>placement of fire stations?</li> <li>I see the city significantly needing a fire</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did not provide the services to the areas that were annexed like they should have. And that made me interested because I wasn't sure why or what the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> <li>placement of fire stations?</li> <li>I see the city significantly needing a fire</li> <li>station on the southeast side, and I do not</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did not provide the services to the areas that were annexed like they should have. And that made me	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> <li>placement of fire stations?</li> <li>I see the city significantly needing a fire</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did not provide the services to the areas that were annexed like they should have. And that made me interested because I wasn't sure why or what the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> <li>placement of fire stations?</li> <li>I see the city significantly needing a fire</li> <li>station on the southeast side, and I do not</li> </ul>
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A A	No. Do you remember what specific annexations they were related to? Yes. The only one I can specifically recall was an annexation I believe it was Fort Wayne into the Southwest Fire District. What were you studying with respect to that annexation? So this was prior to any of this relationship, if you will, the 2017 annexation attempt. Southwest Fire District was a fire district like White River Township that did not get annexed. And it was my understanding that Southwest did not provide the services to the areas that were annexed like they should have. And that made me interested because I wasn't sure why or what the impact was: how large of an area? How many	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q	<ul> <li>budgeted for that. I know that at the time, I</li> <li>was specifically observant of this, really, to</li> <li>ensure that the city had taken into account</li> <li>double taxation since the fire district would be</li> <li>providing services to that area.</li> <li>And I want to make sure I'm when you say you</li> <li>had concerns about the placement of fire</li> <li>stations, was that in 2017?</li> <li>Was that based off of the 2017 fiscal plan</li> <li>or the 2021 fiscal plan?</li> <li>I don't recall. I believe the 2021 but I would</li> <li>have to look at the two to make sure.</li> <li>So if the city completes the annexations of Area</li> <li>1A and 1B, what are your concerns about</li> <li>placement of fire stations?</li> <li>I see the city significantly needing a fire</li> <li>station on the southeast side, and I do not</li> <li>recall that being addressed and I do not know</li> </ul>

Page 34	Page 36
1 understand the fire protection district will	1 And that future need is really no longer the
2 continue to provide fire protection service to	2 future. It's there now.
3 the entirety of Area 1B?	3 Q Does the fire district have a need for any
4 A Absolutely.	4 additional station currently?
5 Q Do you believe the city needs a fire station, a	5 A We are building one in Washington Township. And
6 new fire station, still as a result of	6 after that is completed, we will be renovating
7 annexation for Area 1B?	7 or slightly relocating the headquarter station,
8 A No. I know that they need a fire station now.	8 which is 54 plus years old.
9 Q Okay. You believe they need a fire station	9 Q Are there any other needs currently where you
10 currently for current city residents?	10 think you need an additional fire station in the
11 A Correct.	11 district?
12 Q Irrespective of annexation?	12 A As of right now, no. But we will, obviously, be
13 A Correct. But I was looking at the report to see	13 monitoring population growth and where
14 what they were going to do, fire station-wise,	14 developments happen.
<ul><li>15 should annexation go through.</li></ul>	15 The comprehensive plan for Monroe County
16 Q I want to make sure I when we talk about	16 established a couple of areas to allow future
17 when you say "report," you're talking about the	17 growth in rural Monroe County. Two of those
18 fiscal plan?	18 three areas are in the fire district, and when
19 A Correct.	<ul><li>19 that growth begins to occur, we will be looking</li></ul>
20 Q Are there other existing reports that say the	20 at adding firehouses or increasing staffing to
21 city needs a fire station on the southeast side?	21 the areas that currently serve them service
22 A I'm not sure that there's one that discusses	22 those.
23 placement of a fire station, but there is a	23 Q Where are those areas?
24 report that discusses the need for additional	24 A Sanders and Harrisburg. They are much farther
25 apparatus and personnel.	25 south than the annexation area.
<ul> <li>1 Q Do you know what the name of that report is?</li> <li>2 A I do not know what the name of the report is,</li> <li>3 but it was created by the International</li> <li>4 Association of Firefighters; Bloomington's Local</li> <li>5 586. I don't believe the local did it. I</li> <li>6 believe that the international completed the</li> <li>7 study.</li> <li>8 Q Have you done any studies specifically of</li> <li>9 Bloomington Fire Department's needs, currently,</li> <li>10 within the city boundaries irrespective of</li> <li>11 annexation?</li> <li>12 A I, personally, have not conducted studies.</li> <li>13 Q Do you have personal knowledge of a need for a</li> <li>14 new fire statement, currently, beyond what</li> <li>15 you've read somewhere else or heard from</li> <li>16 somebody else?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 Q Aside from your concern over the need for a fire</li> <li>station, currently, any other concerns or</li> <li>deficiencies you believe were in the fiscal</li> <li>plan?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q I just want to make sure because of the way the</li> <li>answer was worded for us. Any other</li> <li>8 A It was windy, wasn't it?</li> <li>Q Yeah. Any other ways in which you believe the</li> <li>fiscal plan fails to account for the economic</li> <li>impact of annexation?</li> <li>A No. My only focus was on fire protection.</li> <li>Q Are you personally opposed to the city's</li> <li>annexations of Areas 1A and 1B?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Why?</li> </ul>
17 A Yes.	17 A I'm very familiar with those areas based on my
18 Q Explain that.	18 profession. And I would have a difficult time
19 A By nature, that is something that a	19 being sold on what services would be received
1 2 2 Dy nature, that is something that a	
20 firefighter specifically a fire chief	20 additionally. And that's no disrespect to the
-	
20 firefighter specifically a fire chief	20 additionally. And that's no disrespect to the
<ul> <li>20 firefighter specifically a fire chief</li> <li>21 looks at. And if I were to produce a map of the</li> </ul>	<ul><li>20 additionally. And that's no disrespect to the</li><li>21 City of Bloomington. I just see a lot of good</li></ul>
<ul> <li>firefighter specifically a fire chief</li> <li>looks at. And if I were to produce a map of the</li> <li>city and show you where those fire stations are</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>additionally. And that's no disrespect to the</li> <li>City of Bloomington. I just see a lot of good</li> <li>things already happening there and people seem</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>firefighter specifically a fire chief</li> <li>looks at. And if I were to produce a map of the</li> <li>city and show you where those fire stations are</li> <li>located now and show you where there has been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>additionally. And that's no disrespect to the</li> <li>City of Bloomington. I just see a lot of good</li> <li>things already happening there and people seem</li> <li>to be happy.</li> </ul>

Page 38	Page 40
1 A They have good water. They have good trash	1 volume was not occurring in the areas that were
2 services. They have sidewalks. They have	2 annexed. It would have been very difficult
3 streetlights. They have good fire protection.	3 to well, it would have been illogical to
4 They have good law enforcement.	4 reduce the force based on an area being annexed
5 The only thing they lack the city also	5 that left the need still existing outside of.
<ul> <li>6 lacks and that's emergency medical services,</li> </ul>	6 I think that should the annexation have
7 ambulance-wise.	<ul><li>7 went through and we had the meetings that we</li></ul>
8 Q Is it fair to say you campaigned against the	8 would have to have with our people, they would
9 city's annexations in 2017?	<ul><li>9 have, probably, opted to keep those services,</li></ul>
10 A I wouldn't I don't know exactly what the	10 which would have meant they were receiving the
11 definition of campaign is, but I clearly was	11 same services and paying approximately 44
12 opposed to the annexation.	12 percent more for them.
13 Q You spoke out against it.	13 Q You said receiving the same services. You mean
14 A Absolutely.	14 in 2017, you were going to continue to provide
15 Q Met with groups that were opposed to it.	15 fire protection service after the city annexed?
16 A I met with all groups. So I wouldn't	16 A No. What I'm saying is should the city have
17 specifically say I only met with groups that	17 annexed and taken over fire protection, those
18 were opposed to it. Anyone that extend an	18 who remained in the fire district would have
19 invitation for me to attend the meeting, I did	19 either chosen to cut back on their fire
20 my best to attend.	20 protection or maintained the same services,
21 But you have to understand the dynamics of	20 protection of maintained the same services, 21 which would have increased the cost of that.
22 that time and the I'm not sure if you were	22 Q You mean the property owners would have chosen
23 involved in 2017 or not, but it was very	23 whether to maintain fire protection or increase
24 difficult as a public safety provider to have	24 fire protection? I'm not sure I'm following
25 conversations and be involved in conversations	25 your answer there.
Bree 20	Dama 41
Page 39	Page 41
1 about things like we're discussing today. And	1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>district still existing in the fire district,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>but they must fund the fire department with</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>4 Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>9 Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>11 A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>12 Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>14 A Yeah.</li> <li>15 Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>16 A 44 percent, yeah.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> <li>A Correct.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>A It was.</li> <li>Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>4 Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>9 Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>11 A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>12 Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>14 A Yeah.</li> <li>15 Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>16 A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>17 Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You were going to have to cut firefighters?</li> <li>20 A I don't necessarily think that the fire district</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You sent out mailers opposed to the annexation;</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>4 Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>9 Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>11 A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>12 Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>14 A Yeah.</li> <li>15 Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>16 A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>17 Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You were going to have to cut firefighters?</li> <li>20 A I don't necessarily think that the fire district</li> <li>21 was going to have to cut firefighters.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You sent out mailers opposed to the annexation;</li> <li>20 right?</li> <li>21 A I don't believe so.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>4 Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>9 Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>11 A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>12 Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>14 A Yeah.</li> <li>15 Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>16 A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>17 Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You were going to have to cut firefighters?</li> <li>20 A I don't necessarily think that the fire district</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You sent out mailers opposed to the annexation;</li> <li>20 right?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>4 Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>9 Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>11 A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>12 Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>14 A Yeah.</li> <li>15 Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>16 A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>17 Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You were going to have to cut firefighters?</li> <li>20 A I don't necessarily think that the fire district</li> <li>21 was going to have to cut firefighters.</li> <li>22 Q With a 44 percent decrease, you would not have</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You sent out mailers opposed to the annexation;</li> <li>20 right?</li> <li>21 A I don't believe so.</li> <li>22 Q Did you draft letters in opposition to the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>about things like we're discussing today. And</li> <li>for that reason alone, it was really hard to</li> <li>support this.</li> <li>4 Q And in 2017, when this as it was proposed at</li> <li>that time and the city was going to takeover</li> <li>fire protection service, that was going to have</li> <li>a significant impact to your department?</li> <li>8 A It was.</li> <li>9 Q It would have a significant impact on your job,</li> <li>probably, wouldn't it?</li> <li>11 A Probably not me, no.</li> <li>12 Q It was going to impact probably it was going</li> <li>to impact your service area significantly.</li> <li>14 A Yeah.</li> <li>15 Q You were going to lose a lot of assessed value?</li> <li>16 A 44 percent, yeah.</li> <li>17 Q It was 44 percent of your assessed value?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You were going to have to cut firefighters?</li> <li>20 A I don't necessarily think that the fire district</li> <li>21 was going to have to cut firefighters.</li> <li>22 Q With a 44 percent decrease, you would not have</li> <li>23 to cut firefighters you thought?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1 A So I'm trying to paint a picture. If you're in</li> <li>2 the fire district and let's just say that Areas</li> <li>3 1A and 1B make up 44 percent. There are</li> <li>4 56 percent of the people who once made that fire</li> <li>5 district still existing in the fire district,</li> <li>6 but they must fund the fire department with</li> <li>7 44 percent less assessed value or pay 44 percent</li> <li>8 more to maintain the same services.</li> <li>9 Q Thank you. I'm understanding you. You're</li> <li>10 talking about the people that are outside of the</li> <li>11 annexation areas.</li> <li>12 A That would still be impacted.</li> <li>13 Q That would still be in the fire district.</li> <li>14 A Correct.</li> <li>15 Q But because of the change in the law and the</li> <li>16 city is not taking that's no longer the case;</li> <li>17 right?</li> <li>18 A Correct.</li> <li>19 Q You sent out mailers opposed to the annexation;</li> <li>20 right?</li> <li>21 A I don't believe so.</li> <li>22 Q Did you draft letters in opposition to the</li> <li>23 annexation?</li> </ul>

Page 42	Page 44
1 impact of annexation?	1 how the meeting came about, but there was an
2 A I don't remember.	2 auditorium full and I know that there was
3 THE WITNESS: Can I fill my water up while	3 information shared about the county. I think it
4 you're looking?	4 was probably the county's fiscal report, and
5 MR. UNGER: Yeah. Let's go off the record.	5 they're the county council is always adamant
6 Take a short break.	6 that, when we went through mergers with the fire
7 (Off the record.)	7 district and whatever, that I show the impact on
8 (Deposition Exhibit 73 marked for	8 different varying levels of the household. So,
9 identification.)	9 you know, \$100,000; \$250,000 house. Based on
10 BY MR. UNGER	10 that, I believe, that's probably what this was
11 Q The court reporter has handed to you what's been	11 prepared for.
12 marked as Exhibit 73. You see that?	12 Q Do you recall ever distributing it otherwise?
13 A I do.	13 A I really don't.
14 Q Are you familiar with this? Do you recognize	14 Q Do you recall doing mailers ever?
15 it?	15 A I don't.
16 A Probably need to read it. Yeah, I definitely	16 Q On the first page, on the table showing increase
17 this is a format of something that I would have	17 due to annexation, did you pull that from
18 created.	18 something else? Where did you get that data
19 Q This was created in 2017. Does that sound	19 from?
20 accurate?	20 A That information would have been from the math I
21 A I don't recall. It most likely would have been	21 did obtaining the 44 percent. So the areas that
22 2017 or 2018.	22 were being annexed, being removed from the fire
23 Q Did you prepare it?	23 district's total assessed value was 44 percent.
24 A I, obviously, don't see my name on it anywhere,	24 So in this you document, I'm applying a 44
25 but based on the font and the charts, I believe	25 percent increase to the property tax rate that
Page 43	Page 45
1 so.	1 folks that were in the district and would be
2 Q Did you distribute it to people?	
2 Q Did you distribute it to people.	2 remaining in the district would pay to keep the
3 A I don't recall.	<ul><li>remaining in the district would pay to keep the</li><li>same services.</li></ul>
<ul><li>3 A I don't recall.</li><li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li></ul>	<ul><li>3 same services.</li><li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li></ul>
<ul><li>3 A I don't recall.</li><li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li><li>5 A I don't.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> <li>19 taken a break, looking at Exhibit 73, do you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> <li>19 involved, if you will, after the clarification</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> <li>19 taken a break, looking at Exhibit 73, do you</li> <li>20 maybe now remember the circumstances that you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> <li>19 involved, if you will, after the clarification</li> <li>20 of the law that protected the fire district.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> <li>19 taken a break, looking at Exhibit 73, do you</li> <li>20 maybe now remember the circumstances that you</li> <li>21 would have prepared this?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> <li>19 involved, if you will, after the clarification</li> <li>20 of the law that protected the fire district.</li> <li>21 Q You were less concerned about annexation once</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> <li>19 taken a break, looking at Exhibit 73, do you</li> <li>20 maybe now remember the circumstances that you</li> <li>21 would have prepared this?</li> <li>22 A Yeah, and I can't say it with certainty, but I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> <li>19 involved, if you will, after the clarification</li> <li>20 of the law that protected the fire district.</li> <li>21 Q You were less concerned about annexation once</li> <li>22 that change occurred?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> <li>19 taken a break, looking at Exhibit 73, do you</li> <li>20 maybe now remember the circumstances that you</li> <li>21 would have prepared this?</li> <li>22 A Yeah, and I can't say it with certainty, but I</li> <li>23 think I prepared this for a meeting that was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> <li>19 involved, if you will, after the clarification</li> <li>20 of the law that protected the fire district.</li> <li>21 Q You were less concerned about annexation once</li> <li>22 that change occurred?</li> <li>23 A Absolutely.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>3 A I don't recall.</li> <li>4 Q Do you recall mailing it out at all?</li> <li>5 A I don't.</li> <li>6 Q You can set that aside.</li> <li>7 A Hey, there's the 44 percent. Can I keep that?</li> <li>8 MR. UNGER: The court reporter is going to</li> <li>9 keep it. I have another one here, Bill, but I</li> <li>10 don't have a copy of it. I wasn't expecting?</li> <li>11 MR. BEGGS: Can we get a copy please?</li> <li>12 MR. UNGER: Want to make a copy?</li> <li>13 MR. BEGGS: If you don't mind.</li> <li>14 MR. UNGER: Let's take a break for a</li> <li>15 second.</li> <li>16 (Off the record.)</li> <li>17 BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>18 Q Chief, we have taken a short break. Since we've</li> <li>19 taken a break, looking at Exhibit 73, do you</li> <li>20 maybe now remember the circumstances that you</li> <li>21 would have prepared this?</li> <li>22 A Yeah, and I can't say it with certainty, but I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3 same services.</li> <li>4 Q Let me make sure I'm understanding. That's</li> <li>5 intended to be an estimated increase of simply</li> <li>6 shifting 44 percent across the remainder of the</li> <li>7 assessed value</li> <li>8 A Correct.</li> <li>9 Q that would have been left in the district at</li> <li>10 that time?</li> <li>11 A Yes.</li> <li>12 Q Okay. I understand. Thank you. Do you recall</li> <li>13 doing any other reports similar to this in</li> <li>14 opposition of the annexation?</li> <li>15 A I don't recall, but I would say it's completely</li> <li>16 possible.</li> <li>17 Q What about since 2021?</li> <li>18 A I don't think so. I mean, I've been a lot less</li> <li>19 involved, if you will, after the clarification</li> <li>20 of the law that protected the fire district.</li> <li>21 Q You were less concerned about annexation once</li> <li>22 that change occurred?</li> </ul>

Page 48
1 We're actually presenting within the next month
2 or two to Beanblossom Township, who is
3 interested in joining.
4 Q How many fire stations or firehouses do you
5 currently have?
6 A We have seven standalone fire stations with an
7 eighth to be completed by the end of this year.
8 And then we dual staff a fire station on South
9 Curry Pike with Ellettsville Fire Department.
10 Q Can you explain the dual staffing?
11 A Two firefighters are Ellettsville firefighters,
12 and two firefighters are Monroe Fire Protection
13 District firefighters.
14 Q Who owns the station?
15 A I assume the town of Ellettsville, but it may be
16 Richland Township.
17 Q Who owns the apparatus of that station?
18 A They own the engine. We own the squad.
20 me the apparatus that the district owns
21 currently for its entire territory?
y 22 A So every station has at least one fire engine.
23 Most stations also have a tender, which is
24 formally known as a tanker. It hauls water.
25 All our tankers are dual rated. So they
Page 49
1 are pumper tankers, which means they can fight
2 fire; they are not just hauling water. Each
<ul><li>3 station has a brush truck, which fights wildland</li><li>4 fires.</li></ul>
5 Some stations have rescues. Those rescues
<ul><li>6 have firefighting capability, but they also</li></ul>
<ul> <li>nave mengining capability, but mey also</li> <li>perform additional rescue functions, extrication</li> </ul>
8 from automobile accidents, specialized
9 equipment. Like I said earlier, we are getting
10 ready to start a transport ambulance service.
11 We have an ARFF truck aircraft, rescue,
12 firefighting which is centered around the
13 Monroe County Airport. I think that's it.
14 Q How many firefighters currently?
15 A Roster is around 140. 80-some of those are
16 career full-time firefighters. Some of those
17 are chief officers, and probably 15 to 20 are
18 volunteers. The remainder are PRN or part time.
19 Q So you guys still have a partial volunteer,
20 partial
20 partial 21 A Correct.
-
21 A Correct.
<ul><li>21 A Correct.</li><li>22 Q Do you staff different areas in the county</li></ul>

	Page 50	Page 52
1	a home station, which is near their home.	1 of my mind, that's what it says.
2	Obviously, we don't want them driving completely	2 Q What would a 1420 be?
3	across Monroe County to respond to a call, but	3 A The focus is more on the assembly stuff because
4	they don't staff the stations. The stations are	4 a lot of those departments that rely on that are
5	staffed with paid folks whether they are full	5 completely volunteer staffed. So they want to
6	time or part time.	6 assemble so many firefighters on a scene within
7	The staffing's based to meet the minimum	7 a certain amount of time.
8	standards for a structure fire. And while we	8 Q Okay. I understand.
9	will never not have volunteers, we also want to	9 A They may be responding from their homes in a
10	make sure we meet the minimums should they not	10 car. You know, you may be bringing the fire
11	be able to respond.	11 truck; the rest of us need to be there within a
12	Q Is the minimum, is it, like, three? Three	12 certain amount of time.
13	firefighters per apparatus for the apparatus to	13 Q So like in a 1420, you would run an engine with
14	roll? Or something like that?	14 maybe one person?
15	A So there's a couple of different standards. The	15 A Maybe one, two, three people. And then you're
16	standards that we focus on are fire scene	16 getting the rest of your numbers
17	what's the word assembly; within so many	17 Q Okay. Okay.
18	minutes of a structure fire, you'll have so many	18 A Yeah.
19	people there. And that number we target is 15	19 Q That makes sense. So you said you have 140
20	plus.	20 roster firefighters. What's that? And then you
	Q Isn't there a number, like, for a I'll hear	21 said 80 my notes are
22	departments sometimes say for an apparatus to	22 A They maintain the roster for, obviously, contact
23	roll, they need at least three people?	23 information, insurance reasons. Again, that
	A It depends. I think they're probably referring	24 roster includes full-time career, part time.
25	to 1410 or 1420. 1410 is like an urban city	25 Part-time firefighters could be people that pick
	Page 51	Page 53
1	fire department. 1420 would be like a rural	1 up shifts with us; maybe they're career
2	fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> </ol>
2 3	fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> </ol>
2 3 4	fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li><li>Q So is there a certain number that you are</li></ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li><li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll</li></ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li><li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li></ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li><li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li><li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on out</li></ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>T A Uh-huh.</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel and equipment are responding.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> <li>Maybe that's the</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel and equipment are responding.</li> <li>Q And I'm just trying to use that that three</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> <li>Maybe that's the</li> <li>A Yeah. So I guess if you go back to that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel and equipment are responding.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> <li>Maybe that's the</li> <li>A Yeah. So I guess if you go back to that</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel and equipment are responding.</li> <li>Q And I'm just trying to use that that three per engine. Is that a 1410 or is that a 1420 number?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> <li>Maybe that's the</li> <li>A Yeah. So I guess if you go back to that</li> <li>document there about the 44 percent growth, at</li> <li>the time, the fire district was struggling to</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 6 21 22	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel and equipment are responding.</li> <li>Q And I'm just trying to use that that three per engine. Is that a 1410 or is that a 1420</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> <li>Maybe that's the</li> <li>A Yeah. So I guess if you go back to that</li> <li>document there about the 44 percent growth, at</li> <li>the time, the fire district was struggling to</li> </ol>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>fire department. 1420 would be like a rural combination volunteer department. We've always tried to kind of fit in between 'cause we kind of are in between.</li> <li>Q So is there a certain number that you are required to have on an apparatus for it to roll out of a department?</li> <li>A Yeah. So we will send a minimum of three on our engine company's, and if it is a two-man station, we will send I mean, obviously, they're still going to take a fire truck, but we consider them basically, like, a tanker company, a water supply company. And our battalion chiefs ensure that we deploy the correct stations and whatnot. Dispatch initially sends the dispatch, and then our folks, our battalions, will monitor that to make sure the right amount of personnel and equipment are responding.</li> <li>Q And I'm just trying to use that that three per engine. Is that a 1410 or is that a 1420 number?</li> <li>A 1410, I believe, would be four on an engine,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>up shifts with us; maybe they're career</li> <li>firefighters somewhere else and they work part</li> <li>time here to fill a shift.</li> <li>So what we're doing is the fire district</li> <li>merge is we're hiring 20 full-time</li> <li>individuals this year. Those 20 people,</li> <li>technically, exist now in the form of full-time</li> <li>equivalence. So they are being filled with</li> <li>full-timers and part-timers. And then as that</li> <li>transitions and those 20 are hired, the</li> <li>part-timers will start to augment the smaller</li> <li>stations. So if there's a station that has</li> <li>three, we'll shift one of them there to be four.</li> <li>And over time, through attrition, we will hire</li> <li>additional full-time firefighters.</li> <li>Q Are you hiring the 20 more because of growth?</li> <li>A Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q What was the impetus for hiring the 20 more?</li> <li>Maybe that's the</li> <li>A Yeah. So I guess if you go back to that</li> <li>document there about the 44 percent growth, at</li> <li>the time, the fire district was struggling to</li> <li>meet national standards. Most fire departments</li> </ul>

	Page 54			Page 56
1	Indian Creek in 2016 a lot of that came to	1		eight fighters.
2	the forefront because when people came, they			Is next year the last year of that?
3	said, "Hey, we want to merge." We said, "Okay.			I think it is. So it might actually be eight
4	What does the fire service truly look like?"	4		firefighters not six.
5	Everything outside of the City of			Was there a reason you were doing 20 all at once
6	Bloomington looked like what was the standard in	6		now?
7	1970 because that's where it was established.			Yes. So we had six firefighters last year; we
8	When we started merging in 2016, we started	8		had exhausted our hiring list. So we did not
9	making sure that we met the minimums for the	9		get those filled. In January actually, in
10	current standards. We didn't do that over	10		December, we started the new hiring process. So
11	night. We're phasing it in, but that's where	11		we had those six from last year, the six from
12	we're going with it.	12		this year, and then there's a couple of retirees
	Do you have, like, a budgeted number? Like, you			and firefighters who moved to other departments.
13 Q	know, municipalities will have to adopt a salary			That you're going to have to fill
15	ordinance, for example, and often will have a			A Correct.
16	budgeted number. Do you guys do the same thing?			) Okay.
	We do, yes.			So in theory, of the 20, this year, 12 of them
	Do you have a budgeted number of full-time	18		are new positions.
10 Q 19	officers?			Okay. 'Cause 20 seemed like a big jump.
	Yeah, off the top of my head, I can't tell you			Like I said, we've been busy.
$\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	what the numbers break down to; but there is a			A Like I said, we've been busy. Are they all, right now, already going through
$21 \\ 22$		21 22		
	number for career firefighters in two different			the
23 24	categories because we currently have two			A So the process is completed. 10 of them start
24	different pensions that will, again, work its	24		April 1st. 10 of them will start in July.
23	way out. There's a budget line for chief	23	Ç	I want to ask you a little bit about the
1	Page 55	1		Page 57
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	officers. There's a budget line for full-time	1		evolution of the Fire Protection District.
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	equivalent part-timers. And then there's a budget line for volunteers.	2 3		When was it originally created and what
3	Do you know are you fully staffed at that budget			townships were members? Fire district was created in the late '80s. I
	item at your current budget?		P	
5	nem at vour current budget?			
6 1		5		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my
	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these	6		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it
7	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan.	6 7		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I
7 8 Q	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get	6 7 8		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek
7 8 Q 9	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard?	6 7 8 9		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships.
7 8 Q 9 10 A	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not	6 7 8 9 10		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing	6 7 8 9 10 11		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service.
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years.
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of officers to hire those additional 20 or I say	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18 19		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee just showed up at a board meeting and said "I've
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of officers to hire those additional 20 or I say officers, sorry firefighters?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18 19 20		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee just showed up at a board meeting and said "I've got four years, if you will, as trustee. I
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 A	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of officers to hire those additional 20 or I say officers, sorry firefighters? Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18 19 20 21		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee just showed up at a board meeting and said "I've got four years, if you will, as trustee. I would like more security for the township beyond
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 A 22 Q	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of officers to hire those additional 20 or I say officers, sorry firefighters? Yes. Do you remember about was so it's just a 20	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18 19 20 21 22		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee just showed up at a board meeting and said "I've got four years, if you will, as trustee. I would like more security for the township beyond the trustee changing every four years and
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 A 22 Q 23	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of officers to hire those additional 20 or I say officers, sorry firefighters? Yes. Do you remember about was so it's just a 20 increase?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18 19 20 21 22 23		couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee just showed up at a board meeting and said "I've got four years, if you will, as trustee. I would like more security for the township beyond the trustee changing every four years and redoing the fire department."
7 8 Q 9 10 A 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Q 19 20 21 A 22 Q 23	Budget-wise, we're fully staffed. We need these 20 hired to implement the plan. Will you need to hire more after these 20 to get to the standard? There will be six additional next year. Not necessarily to get to the standard. We're doing that right now with the full-time equivalents. What we're trying to do is over time, replace full-time equivalents with full-time employees. So basically we're hiring full-time individuals to take on a single spot that multiple people are currently filling. Did you have to increase your budgeted number of officers to hire those additional 20 or I say officers, sorry firefighters? Yes. Do you remember about was so it's just a 20	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 f18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Ç	couldn't tell you the date off the top of my head, but it was in the late '80s. I believe it was '87 or '86. It was right around the time I was born. And it was just Perry and Clear Creek Townships. In 2000 well, there were off and on conversations about townships joining and us all being together, if you will, throughout the entire time I've been in the fire service. There was a fire district board that had approached some townships about joining the fire district or creating a fire territory, and that kind of just was discussions for a few years. In 2016, Indian Creek Township's trustee just showed up at a board meeting and said "I've got four years, if you will, as trustee. I would like more security for the township beyond the trustee changing every four years and

D 50	<b>D</b> (0)
Page 58 1 Monday through Friday, and that was it.	Page 60 1 that we're building now in Washington Township.
2 So they came to the meetings, we figured	2 And in the election, two of the township
3 out the process, and we started the process of	<ul><li>board members were replaced in Washington</li></ul>
4 them joining the district. By the time the	4 Township and they withdrew from the territory.
5 process was figured out and that worked out, the	5 So all of that kind of happened as Van Buren was
<ul><li>6 soonest they could join was January 1, 2019.</li></ul>	6 joining and Bloomington Township kind of
7 And they joined. In that time, Van Buren	7 piggybacked on the process that was already
8 Q Before you go to Van Buren, just quickly	8 underway.
9 A Yes.	9 Q The northern one that you're talking about, was
10 Q briefly, what's the process of adding a	10 that the Northern Monroe Fire Territory?
11 township to the district?	11 A Correct.
12 A So basically we have petitioned the area that is	12 Q And that was a fire territory, not a fire
13 wanting to be added just to see if they are	13 district?
14 interested. The statute lays out the number	14 A Correct.
15 20 percent. If 20 percent of those individuals	15 Q How did Van Buren Township provide fire
16 respond in support of joining, they are at	16 protection before that? Were they a volunteer
17 that point in time, there's more of a financial	17 department?
18 commitment.	18 A They had a township department, they had two
19 We do these fiscal plans. Those are	19 stations, and they had two individuals staffed
20 presented at a minimum of three public hearings.	20 at the southern station and two or three staffed
21 There's a remonstration period, and then the	<ul><li>at the source station and two of the source</li><li>at the airport station. They were a combination</li></ul>
22 commissioners make a decision on whether or not	department very similar to the fire district.
<ul><li>22 commissioners make a decision on whether or not</li><li>23 the township joins.</li></ul>	<ul><li>22 department very similar to the interdistrict.</li><li>23 They were just township ran, if you will. But,</li></ul>
24 Q The county commissioners decide?	24 yeah, they had volunteers as well.
25 A Correct.	25 Q What about the Bloomington Township?
Page 59	Page 61
1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in	1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>board members at the time about options.</li> <li>They ended up looking at potentially</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>board members at the time about options.</li> <li>They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>that was established in the north. But they</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>contract for years. They joined together. They</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>were in the process of building a fire station</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17 1, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew.</li> <li>When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>withdrew.</li> <li>When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17 1, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township?</li> <li>19 A Same time. Yeah, Bloomington Township was at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>2 the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>3 people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>4 continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>5 Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>6 A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>7 withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>8 Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>9 withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>10 Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>11 A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>12 Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>13 contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>14 were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>15 in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>16 withdrew.</li> <li>17 When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> <li>18 immediately came to the fire district to see</li> <li>19 about joining, but they couldn't join because</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17 1, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township was at</li> <li>20 the same time. Kind of completely unrelated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>2 the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>3 people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>4 continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>5 Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>6 A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>7 withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>8 Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>9 withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>10 Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>11 A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>12 Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>13 contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>14 were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>15 in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>16 withdrew.</li> <li>17 When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> <li>18 immediately came to the fire district to see</li> <li>19 about joining, but they couldn't join because</li> <li>20 they were't contiguous at the time. They had</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township?</li> <li>19 A Same time. Yeah, Bloomington Township was at</li> <li>20 the same time. Kind of completely unrelated</li> <li>21 reasons. If you look up the Northern Monroe</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>2 the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>3 people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>4 continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>5 Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>6 A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>7 withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>8 Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>9 withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>10 Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>11 A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>12 Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>13 contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>14 were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>15 in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>16 withdrew.</li> <li>17 When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> <li>18 immediately came to the fire district to see</li> <li>19 about joining, but they couldn't join because</li> <li>20 they weren't contiguous at the time. They had</li> <li>21 to wait until Bloomington Township joined.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township?</li> <li>19 A Same time. Yeah, Bloomington Township was at</li> <li>20 the same time. Kind of completely unrelated</li> <li>21 reasons. If you look up the Northern Monroe</li> <li>22 Fire Territory, it was originally going to be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>2 the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>3 people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>4 continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>5 Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>6 A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>7 withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>8 Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>9 withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>10 Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>11 A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>12 Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>13 contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>14 were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>15 in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>16 withdrew.</li> <li>17 When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> <li>18 immediately came to the fire district to see</li> <li>19 about joining, but they couldn't join because</li> <li>20 they weren't contiguous at the time. They had</li> <li>21 to wait until Bloomington Township joined.</li> <li>22 Q When Bloomington and Van Buren Township, when</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township?</li> <li>19 A Same time. Yeah, Bloomington Township was at</li> <li>20 the same time. Kind of completely unrelated</li> <li>21 reasons. If you look up the Northern Monroe</li> <li>22 Fire Territory, it was originally going to be</li> <li>23 Benton, Washington, and Bloomington Townships.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>2 the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>3 people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>4 continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>5 Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>6 A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>7 withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>8 Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>9 withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>10 Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>11 A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>12 Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>13 contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>14 were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>15 in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>16 withdrew.</li> <li>17 When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> <li>18 immediately came to the fire district to see</li> <li>19 about joining, but they couldn't join because</li> <li>20 they weren't contiguous at the time. They had</li> <li>21 to wait until Bloomington Township joined.</li> <li>22 Q When Bloomington and Van Buren Township, when</li> <li>23 you were discussing them joining the district,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 Q So then before I interrupted you, you were in</li> <li>2 the process of Van Buren township</li> <li>3 A Van Buren is one of the townships and this</li> <li>4 predates me as fire chief but they are one of</li> <li>5 the townships that I would say around 2010,</li> <li>6 2012, had had conversations with some of the</li> <li>7 board members at the time about options.</li> <li>8 They ended up looking at potentially</li> <li>9 joining the district or joining the territory</li> <li>10 that was established in the north. But they</li> <li>11 ended up not participating in either at that</li> <li>12 time, and the other townships went ahead and</li> <li>13 created the northern Monroe fire territory in</li> <li>14 the northern portion of the county.</li> <li>15 Q So Van Buren Township joined as of?</li> <li>16 A They ended up joining, officially, was January</li> <li>17, 2021, but the process started '16, '17.</li> <li>18 Q What about Bloomington Township?</li> <li>19 A Same time. Yeah, Bloomington Township was at</li> <li>20 the same time. Kind of completely unrelated</li> <li>21 reasons. If you look up the Northern Monroe</li> <li>22 Fire Territory, it was originally going to be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 A They were the same prior to the territory. When</li> <li>2 the territory was created, they hired some</li> <li>3 people, they increased the staffing. They</li> <li>4 continued to be a combination department.</li> <li>5 Q When they withdrew from the territory</li> <li>6 A Well, they didn't withdraw. Washington Township</li> <li>7 withdrew and left Bloomington Township alone.</li> <li>8 Q Did they have after Washington Township</li> <li>9 withdrew and they were left alone, did</li> <li>10 Bloomington Township have a station?</li> <li>11 A Yeah. They still had a station. Bloomington</li> <li>12 Township had serviced Washington Township via</li> <li>13 contract for years. They joined together. They</li> <li>14 were in the process of building a fire station</li> <li>15 in Washington Township, and Washington Township</li> <li>16 withdrew.</li> <li>17 When they withdrew, Washington Township</li> <li>18 immediately came to the fire district to see</li> <li>19 about joining, but they couldn't join because</li> <li>20 they weren't contiguous at the time. They had</li> <li>21 to wait until Bloomington Township joined.</li> <li>22 Q When Bloomington and Van Buren Township, when</li> </ul>

Page 62 event the city annexed, they would lose the	Page 64 1 as I mentioned earlier eventually do that
territory?	2 when the roll out is complete.
A No. At that time it was under the assumption	3 Q When a merger occurs, that provides an
that the territory was going to be lost anyway.	4 opportunity for the district to reset its max
Van Buren, like I said, talked earlier you	<ul><li>5 levy; is that correct?</li></ul>
	6 A That's correct.
have to understand that the township fire	
departments were struggling because,	7 Q When the mergers occurred in 2021, did you
individually, it's difficult for them to stand	<ul><li>8 increase your max levy as a result?</li><li>9 A Yes.</li></ul>
alone. That's a statewide problem. The	
solution is territory or district.	10 Q That's a significant benefit actually to
So those conversations were happening well	11 merging, isn't it?
before the territory the district	12 A Yeah. That's been the difficult part. And
jurisdiction and stuff. They were joining prior	13 there are some more legislative tools out there
to the legislation in 2019, if that's what	14 now for fire districts and territories to
you're asking.	15 increase that maximum levy based on population
Q Well, what I'm asking is was annexation a part	16 growth, but for a township fire department,
of the discussion for one of the reasons they	17 their hands are tide.
wanted to join?	18 Q Because of the max levy?
A It became a part of it, yes.	19 A Correct.
Q Because they understood it	20 Q And therefore, as a result of that, are you able
A They were already hurting for money. The	21 to buy more equipment?
conversation was already happening, but then	22 A Hire more people. Yep.
when they looked at and I don't know what	23 Q Grow your department?
their number was, but I know Van Buren's was	24 A Yep.
higher than 44 percent; it only made it more	25 Q Do you recall what the total budget was for the
Page 63	
rational.	1 department before the 2021 merger?
Q To join the fire district?	2 A It was under 4 million. I don't know how much,
A Correct. I mean, the larger you are, the more	3 but it was 3-something.
you can absorb a hit, right.	4 Q 3.7. Does that sound about right if I looked it
Q After the law changed because the law changed	
prior to them becoming a part of the district?	6 A You got it.
A It did It took I don't know avaatly what	-
A It did. It took I don't know exactly what	7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you
date it took place, but the motion for them to	<ul><li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li><li>8 set your budget at?</li></ul>
date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.	<ul><li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li><li>8 set your budget at?</li><li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li></ul>
<ul><li>date it took place, but the motion for them to</li><li>join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li><li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li></ul>	<ul><li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li><li>8 set your budget at?</li></ul>
<ul><li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li><li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li><li>A I don't recall that.</li></ul>	<ul><li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li><li>8 set your budget at?</li><li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li></ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed as a result of the merger with Bloomington and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> <li>17 department?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed as a result of the merger with Bloomington and Van Buren?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> <li>17 department?</li> <li>18 A I believe with the cumulative fund, it's in the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed as a result of the merger with Bloomington and Van Buren?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> <li>17 department?</li> <li>18 A I believe with the cumulative fund, it's in the</li> <li>19 area of 16 million.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed as a result of the merger with Bloomington and Van Buren?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q How?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> <li>17 department?</li> <li>18 A I believe with the cumulative fund, it's in the</li> <li>19 area of 16 million.</li> <li>20 Q That's about what I I think that was the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed as a result of the merger with Bloomington and Van Buren?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q How?</li> <li>A Positively. Every time we've merged, we have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> <li>17 department?</li> <li>18 A I believe with the cumulative fund, it's in the</li> <li>19 area of 16 million.</li> <li>20 Q That's about what I I think that was the</li> <li>21 number I came up with is</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>date it took place, but the motion for them to join January 1 of 2021 was already in movement.</li> <li>Q When did the commissioners approve that?</li> <li>A I don't recall that.</li> <li>Q But it was recognized</li> <li>A It would have been in '19 probably. Typical the effective date is a year plus one day.</li> <li>Q Would you say that the level of service provided to Perry-Clear Creek, and Indian Creek changed as a result of the merger with Bloomington and Van Buren?</li> <li>A Absolutely.</li> <li>Q How?</li> <li>A Positively. Every time we've merged, we have been able to get a little bit closer to meeting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Do you recall, then, after the merger what you</li> <li>8 set your budget at?</li> <li>9 A The Indian Creek merger or the</li> <li>10 Van Buren/Bloomington Township? You're talking</li> <li>11 about the 2021 merger?</li> <li>12 Q Correct, yeah.</li> <li>13 A Probably between 10 and 12.</li> <li>14 Q About 11.9. Does that sound accurate?</li> <li>15 A That's higher than I thought it was but, yeah.</li> <li>16 Q What is the 2024 certified budget for the</li> <li>17 department?</li> <li>18 A I believe with the cumulative fund, it's in the</li> <li>19 area of 16 million.</li> <li>20 Q That's about what I I think that was the</li> <li>21 number I came up with is</li> <li>22 A Was the 11.9 with cumulative fund?</li> <li>23 Q I don't recall. I would have to go back and</li> </ul>

		Dage 66	Dage
1		Page 66 full-time firefighters as a result of the merger	Page 6 1 Q Do they contribute any equipment or personnel?
2		in 2021?	2 A Between the two, there's \$12,000 a year that
3		In 2021; correct, yes.	3 contribute for equipment. Usually that's stuff
4		How much, do you know?	4 that is specialized to those areas. Polk
	-	It depended. I think for Perry-Clear Creek, it	5 Township is primarily state or federal forest.
6		was around \$6,000. I don't remember what	6 Q Do you know, approximately, what, in 2023,
7		Van Buren firefighters were being paid, but it	<ul> <li>7 combined financially their contributions were to</li> </ul>
8		was quite a bit lower than Bloomington Township,	
9		and Perry. I think they were in the 40s. So	9 A I'd say in the area of 200 to 250,000.
10		they would have seen about a 10,000 plus	10 Q Polk total combined?
11		increase.	11 A Yeah.
		You were able to do that because you were able	12 Q Just to make sure I'm clear, they don't have any
12		reset your levy?	13 of their own volunteer
		Correct.	14 A No.
		You wouldn't have been able to do that, that big	15 Q or full-time
16		of an increase, without the merger and being	16 A They have nothing.
17		able to reset your levy?	17 Q personnel?
		Yes, absolutely.	18 A Yep.
		You understand there was a tax impact to	19 Q Do they own any apparatus?
$\frac{1}{20}$		property owners, then, as a result of the merger	20 A No.
20		and the resetting of the levy?	21 Q Do they own any fire stations?
		Oh, yeah.	22 A No.
23		Do you think that was an acceptable increase as	23 Q Do you just serve those areas out of the
24	-	a result of the services they were going to be	24 stations you have in other townships?
25		provided?	25 A Yes.
		Page 67	Page 6
1	А	Well, in all of the processes, there were over	1 Q Do you do extra staffing based off those
2		15 multis marking and Tabinta dama	
3		15 public meetings and, I think, three	2 service the need to provide service to those
A	1	remonstrations were submitted.	<ul><li>2 service the need to provide service to those</li><li>3 areas?</li></ul>
4			-
4 5	Q	remonstrations were submitted.	3 areas?
5	Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an	3 areas? 4 A No.
5 6	Q A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> </ul>
5 6 7	Q A Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes.	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services?	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8	Q A Q A Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes.	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those?	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q A Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district.	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts?	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q Q A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A A A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different types of responses they'll receive and what we	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A A A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> <li>19 A Somewhere around 2019. I can't remember if it</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q Q A A A Q Q Q	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different types of responses they'll receive and what we will send. It's an annual contract. Do they simply pay you every year?	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> <li>19 A Somewhere around 2019. I can't remember if it</li> <li>20 was right before the Indian Creek merger or if</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A A Q A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different types of responses they'll receive and what we will send. It's an annual contract. Do they simply pay you every year? Every year we adjust based on the maximum growth	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> <li>19 A Somewhere around 2019. I can't remember if it</li> <li>20 was right before the Indian Creek merger or if</li> <li>21 it was all at the same time, but it was around</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A A Q A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different types of responses they'll receive and what we will send. It's an annual contract. Do they simply pay you every year? Every year we adjust based on the maximum growth quote whatever Indiana calls is now but	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> <li>19 A Somewhere around 2019. I can't remember if it</li> <li>20 was right before the Indian Creek merger or if</li> <li>21 it was all at the same time, but it was around</li> <li>22 the time Indian Creek came in.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q Q A A A A A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different types of responses they'll receive and what we will send. It's an annual contract. Do they simply pay you every year? Every year we adjust based on the maximum growth quote whatever Indiana calls is now but State Board of Account, DLGF, essentially issue	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> <li>19 A Somewhere around 2019. I can't remember if it</li> <li>20 was right before the Indian Creek merger or if</li> <li>21 it was all at the same time, but it was around</li> <li>22 the time Indian Creek came in.</li> <li>23 Q Beanblossom Township, how is it currently</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q Q A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	remonstrations were submitted. And my question was do you think that was an acceptable increase as a Oh, yes. result of those services? Yes. I am in that area I do pay those taxes. So I want to Benton, Salt Creek, Polk, and Washington Townships, do you contract with all of those? Salt and Polk. Benton and Washington are in the fire district. Thank you. The Salt and Polk, Salt Creek and Polk, what's the terms of those what are the terms of those contracts? Oh, basically, it breaks down a few different types of responses they'll receive and what we will send. It's an annual contract. Do they simply pay you every year? Every year we adjust based on the maximum growth quote whatever Indiana calls is now but	<ul> <li>3 areas?</li> <li>4 A No.</li> <li>5 Q It's just something you absorb with what you do</li> <li>6 otherwise?</li> <li>7 A Correct.</li> <li>8 Q Does it place any other specific burdens on your</li> <li>9 guys's resources, as far as you're aware?</li> <li>10 A No, I think there's 20 calls a month to those</li> <li>11 areas, and we run 450. So a very small</li> <li>12 percentage. Prior to the fire district</li> <li>13 contract, the townships contracted with the City</li> <li>14 of Bloomington.</li> <li>15 Q Salt and Polk?</li> <li>16 A Salt and Polk, correct.</li> <li>17 Q When did the district start contracting with</li> <li>18 them?</li> <li>19 A Somewhere around 2019. I can't remember if it</li> <li>20 was right before the Indian Creek merger or if</li> <li>21 it was all at the same time, but it was around</li> <li>22 the time Indian Creek came in.</li> </ul>

18 (Pages 66 - 69)

Page 70	Page 72
1 with the Town of Stinesville.	1 side. I believe that actually was in Area 2.
2 Q Is it fully volunteer?	2 Yeah, would have been in Area 2. Yeah, 920 or
3 A Yes, I believe they are fully volunteer. They	3 910. Something like that.
4 may have a part-timer here or there, but I can	4 Q Is that a particular address?
5 tell you their annual budget is \$85,000. So I	5 A It's a retirement facility.
6 highly doubt it.	6 Q Those aren't fire runs? Those are more are
7 Q Did I hear you say there's talk of there's	7 those EMS
8 been some discussion of them joining the	8 A They're everything. Fire alarms, EMS calls.
9 A There has been. There's been unofficial	9 You name it, it's probably got something
10 conversations for the last year or two years.	10 attached to the address.
11 And I attended a township meeting either earlier	11 Q What about Areas 1A and 1B, would you say those
12 this year or late last year and was actually	12 are active areas for calls?
13 contacted last week to look at a date in April	13 A Medical calls, yes. Knock on wood, not a whole
14 to have a meeting. They are also going to have	14 lot of other stuff, other than car wrecks. You
15 Ellettsville Fire Department attend so they can	15 have the fire alarm here. The occasional fire,
16 look at all their options.	16 but those are fairly newer homes and less
17 Q Meaning they may contract with Ellettsville as	17 problematic.
<ul><li>18 an option?</li><li>19 A Correct.</li></ul>	<ul><li>18 Q Are those higher call areas than the more rural</li><li>areas in the district?</li></ul>
20 Q Kind of what they're thinking?	20 A I don't know. If you were to look at, like,
21 A Uh-huh.	21 percentage of the area that they make up,
22 Q Do you know what the impetus is for Beanblossom	<ul><li>21 percentage of the area that they make up,</li><li>22 perhaps. But I don't have that information.</li></ul>
23 looking at options?	23 More of our calls are outside of those areas
24 A Yeah. I think they have the same the	24 than in them, without a doubt.
25 conversations have been most relatable to the	25 Q When you say "more calls are" because there's
Page 71	Page 73
1 Indian Creek conversation. I think the trustee	1 a bigger area outside
2 wants to find a long-term solution. So I	2 A Yeah.
3 believe they're leaning towards fire protection	3 Q not a density-related. You're saying it's
4 district.	4 just more area
5 Q Has the impact of annexation been discussed at	5 A Correct. I mean, you could look at it from a
6 all with respect to Beanblossom? This	6 fire standpoint, you could look at it per
7 difference of, if a municipality annexes and	7 capita, you could look at it per square mile.
8 it's in the district, it doesn't change the	8 You know, I don't have that information
9 service provider?	9 breakdown, but if I look at those areas, I could
10 A There's they're pretty remote. There's a	10 tell you, easily, that 90 percent of our call
11 substantial amount of time before annexation	11 volume is outside of them.
12 would reach them.	12 Q Are there occasions where you have is
13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to	13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are
<ul><li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li><li>14 Richland Township?</li></ul>	<ul><li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li><li>14 familiar with?</li></ul>
<ul><li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li><li>14 Richland Township?</li><li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li></ul>	<ul><li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li><li>14 familiar with?</li><li>15 A No, not really.</li></ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> <li>19 Q Are there areas in the district that typically</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> <li>19 Q I want to make sure I'm you're familiar with</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> <li>19 Q Are there areas in the district that typically</li> <li>20 have higher call volumes?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> <li>19 Q I want to make sure I'm you're familiar with</li> <li>20 that phrase?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> <li>19 Q Are there areas in the district that typically</li> <li>20 have higher call volumes?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> <li>19 Q I want to make sure I'm you're familiar with</li> <li>20 that phrase?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> <li>19 Q Are there areas in the district that typically</li> <li>20 have higher call volumes?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> <li>22 Q Where would you say those are?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> <li>19 Q I want to make sure I'm you're familiar with</li> <li>20 that phrase?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> <li>22 Q What does it mean to you?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> <li>19 Q Are there areas in the district that typically</li> <li>20 have higher call volumes?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> <li>22 Q Where would you say those are?</li> <li>23 A Really, I would need to pull up a map and just</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> <li>19 Q I want to make sure I'm you're familiar with</li> <li>20 that phrase?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> <li>22 Q What does it mean to you?</li> <li>23 A We would call it, like, callback. So, like, the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>13 Q Richland Township, who provides service to</li> <li>14 Richland Township?</li> <li>15 A Ellettsville. They contract.</li> <li>16 Q Is Ellettsville a full-time, fully paid</li> <li>17 A They're a combination department. They have</li> <li>18 full-time staff, part-time, and volunteers.</li> <li>19 Q Are there areas in the district that typically</li> <li>20 have higher call volumes?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> <li>22 Q Where would you say those are?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>13 all-hands calls, is that a phrase that you are</li> <li>14 familiar with?</li> <li>15 A No, not really.</li> <li>16 Q Never heard that phrase?</li> <li>17 A We've had one of those in my time at the fire</li> <li>18 department.</li> <li>19 Q I want to make sure I'm you're familiar with</li> <li>20 that phrase?</li> <li>21 A Yeah.</li> <li>22 Q What does it mean to you?</li> </ul>

		Page 74			Page 76
1	Q	And does that happen very often?	1		same.
2	А	Like I said, one time that I can think of in my	2	Q	Let me ask this, what portion what LIT are
3		18 years.	3		you talking about when you received the
4	Q	When was that?	4		3 million?
5	A	In the area one of the areas you're talking	5	А	I'm talking about certified Local Income Tax.
6		about.	6	Q	Certified shares?
7	Q	It was in Area 1A or 1B?	7	А	Yes, correct.
8	A	It was in Area 1B. It was the Wickens Street	8	Q	You previously have received Public Safety LIT
9		fire, five homes at one time, and everyone was	9		though?
10		there.	10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	How much LIT does the district receive? Do you	11	Q	But have not since 2021?
12		know?	12	Α	I don't think so, no.
13	А	Around 3 million.	13	Q	Did you receive it in 2020, you think, and it
14	Q	Has that number grown since the merger the	14		was 2021 that you stopped receiving it? Or was
15		2021 merger?	15		it some time before that?
16	A	Yes and no. If you look at the Perry-Clear	16	А	I know we got it the first year and maybe the
17		Creek fire district, yes. If you look at all of	17		second year. I think
18		the fire departments combined, no.	18	Q	When you say "first year," and "second year,"
19		I mean, it grows every year for everyone	19		what
20		slightly. But if you look at what has merged	20	Α	I think it was all in the teens. I don't think
21		versus what was being collected, it's	21		we got anything in the '20s. I may be wrong.
22		proportionally the same.	22		2020, 2021, might have, but I don't think so.
23	Q	You automatically receive a portion of the	23	Q	Do you know what certified shares you were
24		Public Safety LIT; correct?	24		receiving? About what the amount of certified
25	A	No.	25		shares you were receiving prior to 2021 the
-					
		Page 75			Page 77
	~	You don't?	1		merger and part of the 2020 mergers?
2	A	You don't? No.	2	А	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time,
2 3	A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined?		A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to
2 3 4	A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local	2 3 4	A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look.
2 3 4 5	A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision.	2 3 4 5	A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren,
2 3 4 5 6	A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of	2 3 4 5 6	A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are allocated?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are allocated? I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime. So, I'm sorry, I thought I'd asked how much LIT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are allocated? I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure about the townships with regard to whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime. So, I'm sorry, I thought I'd asked how much LIT you receive currently, and you had said 3 I	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 $	A Q A Q A	<ul> <li>merger and part of the 2020 mergers?</li> <li>Not necessarily because at one point in time,</li> <li>I could have told you that. I would have to</li> <li>look.</li> <li>I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren,</li> <li>Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian</li> <li>Creek combined. It was proportionally the same.</li> <li>I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar</li> <li>amount because the slices of pie were different,</li> <li>if that makes sense.</li> <li>Is it your understanding that the townships did</li> <li>not receive don't receive certified shares if</li> <li>they're not a part of a fire protection</li> <li>district?</li> <li>I don't know that.</li> <li>So you don't know how certified shares are</li> <li>allocated?</li> <li>I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure</li> <li>about the townships with regard to whether</li> <li>that's with the when they have fire</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime. So, I'm sorry, I thought I'd asked how much LIT you receive currently, and you had said 3 I thought you had said \$3 million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are allocated? I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure about the townships with regard to whether that's with the when they have fire protection and when they don't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime. So, I'm sorry, I thought I'd asked how much LIT you receive currently, and you had said 3 I thought you had said \$3 million I thought you said Public Safety LIT. I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are allocated? I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure about the townships with regard to whether that's with the when they have fire protection and when they don't. I am familiar that they received LIT when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime. So, I'm sorry, I thought I'd asked how much LIT you receive currently, and you had said 3 I thought you had said \$3 million I thought you said Public Safety LIT. I think you got the two mixed up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A	merger and part of the 2020 mergers? Not necessarily because at one point in time, I could have told you that. I would have to look. I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren, Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian Creek combined. It was proportionally the same. I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar amount because the slices of pie were different, if that makes sense. Is it your understanding that the townships did not receive don't receive certified shares if they're not a part of a fire protection district? I don't know that. So you don't know how certified shares are allocated? I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure about the townships with regard to whether that's with the when they have fire protection and when they don't. I am familiar that they received LIT when they had fire protection. I don't know if they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	You don't? No. How's that determined? We have to apply for funds, and then the Local Income Tax council makes the final decision. The majority vote lies with the City of Bloomington. Is that a yearly decision that they make, how much LIT you get? Yes. Do you recall what how much LIT you received prior to the 2021 merger? I don't know that we received anything since 2021. I'm sorry? When the Public Safety LIT was created, we obtained some funds. But after the annexation situation, we haven't received a dime. So, I'm sorry, I thought I'd asked how much LIT you receive currently, and you had said 3 I thought you had said \$3 million I thought you said Public Safety LIT. I think	$2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 $	A Q A Q A	<ul> <li>merger and part of the 2020 mergers?</li> <li>Not necessarily because at one point in time,</li> <li>I could have told you that. I would have to</li> <li>look.</li> <li>I think I'm looking at it as Van Buren,</li> <li>Bloomington Township; Perry-Clear Creek, Indian</li> <li>Creek combined. It was proportionally the same.</li> <li>I know that. But I couldn't tell you the dollar</li> <li>amount because the slices of pie were different,</li> <li>if that makes sense.</li> <li>Is it your understanding that the townships did</li> <li>not receive don't receive certified shares if</li> <li>they're not a part of a fire protection</li> <li>district?</li> <li>I don't know that.</li> <li>So you don't know how certified shares are</li> <li>allocated?</li> <li>I do know how they're allocated. I'm not sure</li> <li>about the townships with regard to whether</li> <li>that's with the when they have fire</li> <li>protection and when they don't.</li> <li>I am familiar that they received LIT when</li> <li>they had fire protection. I don't know if they</li> </ul>

Page 78	Page 80
1 does a good job of providing fire protection	1 necessary to assemble for a high-rise fire.
2 service inside of Bloomington?	2 I found this interesting because the fire
3 A Yes.	3 district does not necessarily respond to high
4 Q Do you have any criticisms of their department?	4 rises.
5 A No. Like I said earlier, I think from a	5 Q I'm sorry, the fire district doesn't respond
6 firefighting standpoint, I know they need	6 to
7 additional manpower. But as far as the	7 A Correct.
8 firefighters, their capabilities and their	8 Q Okay.
9 qualities, they's as good as anyone.	9 A Our highest structure is four stories.
10 Q What do you mean when you say "they need	10 Q I think you said it's been several years since
11 additional manpower"?	11 you looked at that?
12 A The population has grown tremendously since they	12 A Yeah. It was within the last three or four.
13 last added firefighting personnel. It's been 20	13 Q Have you looked at that recently? Do you know
14 plus years.	14 if that's still an issue?
15 Q Do you base that off anything else?	15 A Yes. I have not looked at it recently but there
16 A Just, I mean; one, it was identified in the	16 are there have been no additional
17 study I mentioned earlier about the	17 firefighters added; so it would not have
18 international. And; two, just look at	18 changed.
19 population trends and, really, on average,	19 Q What exactly is the short what is the
20 what's going on across the country fire	20 standard that you believe they don't meet?
21 protection-wise.	21 A I don't recall the exact number needed, but they
22 Q Do you have any reason to believe that the	22 were, I think, three or four firefighters short.
23 current level of staffing that Bloomington Fire	23 Q In what? Like, total firefighters short? I'm
24 Department has, they're not adequately providing	24 trying to understand what you're
25 fire protection service inside of their	25 A So going back to what I said earlier about the
Page 79	Page 81
1 boundaries?	1 assembly times and the NFPA standards, the
2 A I think that I could say that with regard to a	2 requirement for a high-rise fire is higher than
<ul><li>3 high-rise fire. I know the standards for that</li><li>4 are quite a bit, and their fire chief had</li></ul>	<ul><li>3 what the standard fire would be. I'm not saying</li><li>4 that this criticism is widespread. It's only</li></ul>
	4 that this criticism is widespread. It's only
	- · · ·
	5 with regard to high-rise fires.
6 that.	<ul><li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li><li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li></ul>
<ul><li>6 that.</li><li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li></ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> </ul>
<ul><li>6 that.</li><li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li><li>8 they not meet?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> <li>18 A My personal knowledge, yes, I could derive the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> <li>18 Q And that's a standard that only applies in the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> <li>18 A My personal knowledge, yes, I could derive the</li> <li>19 same thing if I were to look at deployment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> <li>18 Q And that's a standard that only applies in the</li> <li>19 urban city areas?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> <li>18 A My personal knowledge, yes, I could derive the</li> <li>19 same thing if I were to look at deployment</li> <li>20 analysis like I do for us.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> <li>18 Q And that's a standard that only applies in the</li> <li>19 urban city areas?</li> <li>20 A Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> <li>18 A My personal knowledge, yes, I could derive the</li> <li>19 same thing if I were to look at deployment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> <li>18 Q And that's a standard that only applies in the</li> <li>19 urban city areas?</li> <li>20 A Correct.</li> <li>21 Q But doesn't apply to your department?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> <li>18 A My personal knowledge, yes, I could derive the</li> <li>19 same thing if I were to look at deployment</li> <li>20 analysis like I do for us.</li> <li>21 Q I mean, did you look at the deployment analysis?</li> <li>22 A Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> <li>18 Q And that's a standard that only applies in the</li> <li>19 urban city areas?</li> <li>20 A Correct.</li> <li>21 Q But doesn't apply to your department?</li> <li>22 A It correct. It might be a standalone NFPA</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>6 that.</li> <li>7 Q Specifically, how did they not meet? What did</li> <li>8 they not meet?</li> <li>9 A They were short on firefighters.</li> <li>10 Q A few years ago meaning when?</li> <li>11 A I don't know the year, but it was in the public</li> <li>12 safety presentation that they give each year.</li> <li>13 The State of Public Safety, I think, is what the</li> <li>14 city refers to it as.</li> <li>15 Q Any personal knowledge you have that they were</li> <li>16 short? Or it's just based off of a presentation</li> <li>17 that somebody else gave?</li> <li>18 A My personal knowledge, yes, I could derive the</li> <li>19 same thing if I were to look at deployment</li> <li>20 analysis like I do for us.</li> <li>21 Q I mean, did you look at the deployment analysis?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>5 with regard to high-rise fires.</li> <li>6 But there's a standard that establishes a</li> <li>7 number of firefighters that should be present on</li> <li>8 the scene, and those currently could not be</li> <li>9 assembled.</li> <li>10 Q For a high-rise fire?</li> <li>11 A Correct. You asked for any criticisms. That's</li> <li>12 the only one that I have.</li> <li>13 Q So no other criticisms?</li> <li>14 A Correct, sir.</li> <li>15 Q Are you familiar of Bloomington being unable to</li> <li>16 address a high-rise fire at all?</li> <li>17 A Knock on wood, no.</li> <li>18 Q And that's a standard that only applies in the</li> <li>19 urban city areas?</li> <li>20 A Correct.</li> <li>21 Q But doesn't apply to your department?</li> <li>22 A It correct. It might be a standalone NFPA</li> </ul>

	Page 82	Page 84
1	from the general assembly stuff but	1 district?
2 0	Q Do you know, does the district meet that	2 A It is the same across the board.
3	standard?	3 Q So, like, if you're closer to a fire hydrant,
4	A No. Well, possibly. I have not looked at it	4 for example, you don't get a better rating?
5	with the additions of personnel. But, again, we	5 A Well, okay. Let me backtrack what I just said.
6	don't have a high-rise; so	6 There's two ways. The way the fire district has
7 (	Q What is your district's current ISO rating?	7 it, no.
8 4	A 4.	8 We have the alternative water supply
	Q When was the last time it was reviewed?	9 credit, which means we have provided proof that
10 4	A 2021.	10 we can supply adequate water with our engines
11 (		11 and tankers. If you're in fire district, you're
	A 4.	12 a 4 if you are within 5 miles of the fire
13 (	- •	13 station.
	A Now so to clarify on that, we got our review at	14 If you don't have the alternative water
15	a bad time because ISO looks at a years worth of	15 supply credit, you have to be within 1,000 feet
16	data. We had this review on April 21 of 2021.	16 of a hydrant and within 5 miles of a fire
17	I know the date because we had our last child	17 station.
18	the day before. I was not a poplar person for	18 So if you are with let's just say you
19	about a 24-hour window.	19 don't have the credit and you're 1,500 feet from
20	But the data a lot of the data we had to	20 a hydrant, you would be the 9 or 10 depending on
21	submit was based on the previous fire district.	21 the fire department's classification; but it
22	We weren't able to submit data for Van Buren or	22 would be what's considered a split class.
23	Bloomington Township. So training hours were	23 Q So you're not a split class?
24	low.	24 A We are not.
25	Yeah, there were some things that, next	25 Q It's 4 everywhere?
		- ·
1	Page 83	
1	time around, the averages will correct.	1 A Correct.
2 (	time around, the averages will correct. Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> </ol>
2 0 3	<ul><li>time around, the averages will correct.</li><li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li></ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 4	<ul><li>time around, the averages will correct.</li><li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li><li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every</li></ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 4 5	<ul><li>time around, the averages will correct.</li><li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li><li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li></ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 2 5 6 (	<ul><li>time around, the averages will correct.</li><li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li><li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li><li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior,</li></ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 1 5 6 ( 7	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 4 5 6 ( 7 8 4	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 1 5 6 ( 7 8 1 9	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 4 5 6 ( 7 8 4 9 10	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 2 5 6 ( 7 8 2 9 10 11	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 2 5 6 ( 7 8 2 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 2 5 6 ( 7 8 2 9 10 11	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> </ol>
2 ( 3 4 4 5 5 6 ( 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 2 5 6 0 7 8 2 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 4	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 4	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> <li>compares across the state?</li> <li>A Off the top of my head, I don't. It's till</li> <li>pretty up there.</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 4 19 0 20	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q but you just didn't weren't aware of that?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> <li>compares across the state?</li> <li>A Off the top of my head, I don't. It's till</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 4 19 0 20	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q but you just didn't weren't aware of that?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> <li>compares across the state?</li> <li>A Off the top of my head, I don't. It's till</li> <li>pretty up there.</li> <li>Q Does water supply or availability of water</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 4 19 0 20 21 4	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q but you just didn't weren't aware of that? Do you know was it was before? Was it a 7?</li> <li>A I assume it was a 7 and they never changed it.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> <li>compares across the state?</li> <li>A Off the top of my head, I don't. It's till</li> <li>pretty up there.</li> <li>Q Does water supply or availability of water</li> <li>supply impact your rating?</li> </ol>
2 0 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 4 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 4 19 0 20 21 4 22 23	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q but you just didn't weren't aware of that? Do you know was it was before? Was it a 7?</li> <li>A I assume it was a 7 and they never changed it. But it's been a four every time I've been</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A Correct.</li> <li>Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>or you get very limited.</li> <li>Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>station?</li> <li>A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>rating?</li> <li>A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>how that rates across the state? How that</li> <li>compares across the state?</li> <li>A Off the top of my head, I don't. It's till</li> <li>pretty up there.</li> <li>Q Does water supply or availability of water</li> <li>supply impact your rating?</li> <li>A No. Not now. In 2021, we obtained that water</li> </ol>
2 4 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 6 18 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>time around, the averages will correct.</li> <li>Q Do you know, prior to 2021, what was the last time your ISO rating was reviewed?</li> <li>A Probably four years before. It seems like every four years, they come.</li> <li>Q Do you know what it was? So if it was 4 prior, do you know what it was prior to that?</li> <li>A Yep. It was 4, and it was incorrectly printed in ISO as, I believe, a 7. And we were not aware of that until the city's annexation proposal in 2017 because they had us listed as a 7. I spoke with Mike Rouker. Is said, "This is incorrect." Mike sent me the report that the city had purchased. I got ahold of ISO. They said, "Whoops". And they corrected it in the next addition. So before.</li> <li>Q So they had listed it as a 7</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q but you just didn't weren't aware of that? Do you know was it was before? Was it a 7?</li> <li>A I assume it was a 7 and they never changed it. But it's been a four every time I've been involved.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 Q Even if you're more than 5 miles from a station?</li> <li>3 A No. If you're 5 miles beyond a station, it's a</li> <li>4 10. That's, like, the one staple with ISO</li> <li>5 that's consistent: 5 miles within a firehouse</li> <li>6 or you get very limited.</li> <li>7 Q Do you have areas that are beyond 5 miles from a</li> <li>8 station?</li> <li>9 A In the contracted areas, yeah.</li> <li>10 Q Do you know Bloomington Fire Department's ISO</li> <li>11 rating?</li> <li>12 A Yeah. They're a 1.</li> <li>13 Q My understanding is that's rare.</li> <li>14 A It is pretty rare.</li> <li>15 Q Do you know how your rating, your 4, do you know</li> <li>16 how that rates across the state? How that</li> <li>17 compares across the state?</li> <li>18 A Off the top of my head, I don't. It's till</li> <li>19 pretty up there.</li> <li>20 Q Does water supply or availability of water</li> <li>21 supply impact your rating?</li> <li>22 A No. Not now. In 2021, we obtained that water</li> <li>23 supply credit. So hydrants don't necessarily</li> </ul>

Dece 06	D 90
Page 86 1 water lines and water service availability?	Page 88 1 non-CBU I'm not sure in 1B. You would
2 A Yeah. That's a portion of the it's a portion	2 know that. I wouldn't know that.
3 of the points, if you will.	3 Q The Fire Protection District, for the record
4 Q Do you know what portion?	4 A We deal with a lot of water companies; so
5 A It's pretty substantial. Maybe 20 percent.	5 Q The Fire Protection District doesn't provide
6 Q So it's your understanding that the fact that	6 water service?
7 there is water supply in the urban areas assists	7 A No.
8 your rating assists in your rating?	8 Q The townships that you are members of your
9 A I don't know. The reason I say that is because	9 district, don't have their own water service?
10 when we obtained the water shuttle credit, we	10 A There's a water company in Van Buren. There's
11 had to look at mostly remote, rural areas and	11 several water companies in Perry. They're all
12 what access points they had for water.	12 private, small water companies.
13 For instance, we used a quarry as a water	13 Q Do you know where they get their water from?
14 location. We had to have a geologist come out	14 A CBU.
15 and 100-year flood proof this. Document it. So	15 Q And that's
16 I'm not sure how the urban hydrants you're	16 A The Lake Monroe station that the fire district
17 referring to necessarily apply.	17 protects.
18 Q Let me try to ask it differently.	18 Q That's the City of Bloomington Utilities, CBU?
19 A Okay.	19 A Correct.
20 Q Imagine, if you will, that there was no water	20 Q Is there an automatic aid agreement between the
21 service in Areas 1A and 1B.	21 Bloomington Fire Department and Monroe County
22 A Okay.	22 Fire Protection district?
23 Q No water lines no public water lines,	23 A Not currently. There was.
24 hydrants or water lines anywhere within your	24 Q When was there?
25 district, do you think that would impact your	25 A Prior to 2017 or 2018.
Page 87	Page 89
1 fire rating?	1 Q In the time that you've been the chief?
2 A Yes.	2 A Yes.
3 Q It would?	3 Q Do you know when the ISO did their rating in
4 A Yes.	4 2021, if they believed that there was currently
<ul><li>4 A Yes.</li><li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li></ul>	<ul><li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li><li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you didn't have access to public water supply in your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you didn't have access to public water supply in your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> <li>19 A The dispatching segment and yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> <li>19 agreement. John Hamilton and Jason Moore ended</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> <li>19 A The dispatching segment and yeah.</li> <li>20 Q But access to public water supply has a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> <li>19 agreement. John Hamilton and Jason Moore ender</li> <li>20 it, and they admittedly admitted it in the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> <li>19 A The dispatching segment and yeah.</li> <li>20 Q But access to public water supply has a</li> <li>21 significant impact on what your rating is.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> <li>19 agreement. John Hamilton and Jason Moore ended</li> <li>20 it, and they admittedly admitted it in the</li> <li>21 newspaper.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> <li>19 A The dispatching segment and yeah.</li> <li>20 Q But access to public water supply has a</li> <li>21 significant impact on what your rating is.</li> <li>22 A It does, yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> <li>19 agreement. John Hamilton and Jason Moore ended</li> <li>20 it, and they admittedly admitted it in the</li> <li>21 newspaper.</li> <li>22 Q Understood. I think my question is was that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> <li>19 A The dispatching segment and yeah.</li> <li>20 Q But access to public water supply has a</li> <li>21 significant impact on what your rating is.</li> <li>22 A It does, yes.</li> <li>23 Q I agree. And you understand that the city</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> <li>19 agreement. John Hamilton and Jason Moore ended</li> <li>20 it, and they admittedly admitted it in the</li> <li>21 newspaper.</li> <li>22 Q Understood. I think my question is was that</li> <li>23 did you supply information to ISO at the time of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4 A Yes.</li> <li>5 Q You would have a higher fire rating if you</li> <li>6 didn't have access to public water supply in</li> <li>7 your district?</li> <li>8 A Correct. So would the City of Bloomington.</li> <li>9 Q Agreed, agreed. Yeah. It actually has a</li> <li>10 significant impact</li> <li>11 A Yeah.</li> <li>12 Q on ISO rating; right?</li> <li>13 A Yeah.</li> <li>14 Q It's actually the biggest factor on the ISO</li> <li>15 rating?</li> <li>16 A I think the fire department itself is half, if</li> <li>17 not a little less than half, of the rating.</li> <li>18 Q Yeah. And then the</li> <li>19 A The dispatching segment and yeah.</li> <li>20 Q But access to public water supply has a</li> <li>21 significant impact on what your rating is.</li> <li>22 A It does, yes.</li> <li>23 Q I agree. And you understand that the city</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 2021, if they believed that there was currently</li> <li>5 an automatic aid agreement?</li> <li>6 A Oh, no. They were very clear</li> <li>7 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form. You're</li> <li>8 asking him if he knows what they believed?</li> <li>9 Q Yeah. Go ahead and answer.</li> <li>10 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>11 A I am very aware that they were they</li> <li>12 understood there was not, yeah.</li> <li>13 Q Do you know if they also understood there was</li> <li>14 not in the rating that was done in the four</li> <li>15 years prior to that?</li> <li>16 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form.</li> <li>17 Foundation.</li> <li>18 A I would disagree. There was an automatic aid</li> <li>19 agreement. John Hamilton and Jason Moore ender</li> <li>20 it, and they admittedly admitted it in the</li> <li>21 newspaper.</li> <li>22 Q Understood. I think my question is was that</li> <li>23 did you supply information to ISO at the time of</li> </ul>

23 (Pages 86 - 89)

Page 90	Page 92
1 A Yes.	1 Q Help was delayed because
2 Q that there was an automatic aid agreement?	2 A It wasn't automatically sent.
3 A Yes.	3 Q It wasn't automatic?
4 Q And then in 2021, they asked that same question	4 A Per the agreement, a firefighter is supposed to
5 A Correct.	5 get on scene, establish command, and then
6 Q And you told them at that time	6 determine if resources are needed.
7 A Yes.	7 So there was a period of time in which
8 Q that there was an automatic aid agreement?	8 Bloomington and district firefighters were
9 A Yes.	9 adhering that to the T. Now, if they feel like
10 Q Is there currently a mutual aid agreement?	10 they need resources, they're calling for help.
11 A There is a mutual aid agreement.	11 Q Before they even get on scene?
12 Q Can you briefly, for the record, explain the	12 A Correct.
13 differences between automatic aid and mutual	13 Q Was anybody ever denied? Did Bloomington Fire
14 aid?	14 Department ever refuse to show up when they were
15 A So mutual aid means that, if you need	15 called upon for assistance?
16 assistance, you can call for it. Automatic aid	16 A Not that I'm aware of.
17 means when a 911 caller calls for assistance,	17 Q Are there circumstance where the Fire Protection
18 the dispatching center will send the nearest	18 District calls on Bloomington Fire Department
19 automatic aid unit.	19 for assistance?
20 Q Do the two agencies work together?	20 A Yes.
21 A Yes.	21 Q What are those?
22 Q Have a good relationship right now?	22 A One of them would be that Wickens fire. I mean,
23 A Absolutely.	23 traditionally, we would call on a city fire
24 Q Would you say the relationship's improved since	24 station to aid when they're positioned to do so.
25 the change of administration?	25 If we're sending four stations on a structure
Page 91	Page 93
1 A About the stroke of midnight.	1 fire, if one of those stations could come from a
2 Q Explain. Can you explain that?	2 closer station, we would prefer the City of
3 A It went from two individuals who were absolutely	
4 resistant to have any form of relationship to	4 Q Would you prefer that there was an automatic aid
5 open lines of communication, as it should be.	5 agreement?
6 Q Did you still work together?	
	6 A Absolutely.
7 A Yeah.	7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are
8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you	<ul><li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li><li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li></ul>
<ul><li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li><li>9 worked with the departments?</li></ul>	<ul><li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li><li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li><li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li></ul>
<ul><li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li><li>9 worked with the departments?</li><li>10 A Absolutely.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> <li>19 it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> <li>19 would degree?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> <li>19 it</li> <li>20 A I don't know. I can tell you it's been more</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> <li>19 would degree?</li> <li>20 A Yes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> <li>19 it</li> <li>20 A I don't know. I can tell you it's been more</li> <li>21 frequent since January. Prior to it being shut</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> <li>19 would degree?</li> <li>20 A Yes.</li> <li>21 Q Is there equipment or apparatus that the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> <li>19 it</li> <li>20 A I don't know. I can tell you it's been more</li> <li>21 frequent since January. Prior to it being shut</li> <li>22 down, it was pretty common. I don't think a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> <li>19 would degree?</li> <li>20 A Yes.</li> <li>21 Q Is there equipment or apparatus that the</li> <li>22 Bloomington Fire Department has that the fire</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> <li>19 it</li> <li>20 A I don't know. I can tell you it's been more</li> <li>21 frequent since January. Prior to it being shut</li> <li>22 down, it was pretty common. I don't think a</li> <li>23 Bloomington firefighter or a district</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> <li>19 would degree?</li> <li>20 A Yes.</li> <li>21 Q Is there equipment or apparatus that the</li> <li>22 Bloomington Fire Department has that the fire</li> <li>23 district does not have?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 Q Prior to the change of administration, you</li> <li>9 worked with the departments?</li> <li>10 A Absolutely.</li> <li>11 Q Cooperated? Are there have there been</li> <li>12 circumstances where Bloomington's fire</li> <li>13 department calls on the district for mutual aid?</li> <li>14 A Yes.</li> <li>15 Q What are those?</li> <li>16 A There's been several fires. There's been</li> <li>17 several HAZMAT calls. Yeah.</li> <li>18 Q Like, is it frequently, would you say? Or is</li> <li>19 it</li> <li>20 A I don't know. I can tell you it's been more</li> <li>21 frequent since January. Prior to it being shut</li> <li>22 down, it was pretty common. I don't think a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 Q Because there's areas that you serve that are</li> <li>8 closer to Bloomington stations?</li> <li>9 A Yeah. Well, and it doesn't necessarily have to</li> <li>10 be first due. From a fire standpoint, you're</li> <li>11 likely sending four engines no matter what.</li> <li>12 The probability of a fire district engine</li> <li>13 or a city engine being closer than one of those</li> <li>14 four engines is very high.</li> <li>15 Q It would benefit</li> <li>16 A Everyone.</li> <li>17 Q Every yeah. It would benefit the annexation</li> <li>18 areas as well, if there was automatic aid, you</li> <li>19 would degree?</li> <li>20 A Yes.</li> <li>21 Q Is there equipment or apparatus that the</li> <li>22 Bloomington Fire Department has that the fire</li> </ul>

	Page 94		Page 90
1 applicat	ion. The bucket reaches higher. That's	1 4	A Correct.
2 really th	e only specialized equipment, I can	2 (	Q And I don't think the city has tankers; correct?
3 think of	, they have that we don't.	3 A	A The city does not. But traditionally, they
4 Q That's	an aerial? Is that what we're talking	4	just like I said, automatic aid-wise, the
5 about?		5	district always deployed those resources when
6 A Correc	t.	6	they were in the call card. We would do that
7 Q An aer	ial? They have a full aerial?	7	now.
8 A Yeah.	They have an aerial with a platform. So	8 (	Q And there's areas within the city limits that
9 people of	an stand up there in the platform two	9	the Monroe Fire Protection District has to drive
10 or three	people versus a ladder that is,	10	through to get to areas that you serve,
11 literally	, just a top of a ladder. So a	11	currently?
12 firefight	er can anchor in there, one, not	12 A	A That's correct.
13 multiple	. 1	13 (	Q And I think you called it the Wickens fire?
14 Q Is there	e a benefit to having that apparatus?	14 A	A Wickens.
15 A Yeah,	I mean, it would be for a high-rise. City	15 (	Q Wickens. Is that the same things as the
16 of Bloom	mington would want that for window	16	Highlands fire?
17 rescues,	stuff like that, at a higher level.	17 A	A Yeah, probably. The highland is the
18 Q Is their	equipment that the district has that	18	neighborhood. Wickens is the street.
19 the ar	d you've touched on this a little bit,	19 (	Q What was the role of the Bloomington Fire
20 but	2	20	Department in that incident?
21 A Yeah,	yeah. It would mostly be centered around	21 A	A They self-deployed on it, which was fantastic.
22 tech res	cue stuff. We have a lot more	22	They prophesied an engine and an aerial, that I
23 extricati	on capabilities. We have the ARFF	23	recall, and I believe they were on the south end
24 capabili	ties, which is the aircraft rescue	24	of the fire and helped suppress any further
25 firefight	ing. We have	25	growth to the south.
	Page 95		Page 9'
1 Q Sorry. I	Page 95 s that because of the airport?	1	Page 9' That fire started in a house. The houses
1 Q Sorry. 1 2 A Yes.		2	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you
2 A Yes.			That fire started in a house. The houses
2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. (	s that because of the airport?	2	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam
2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. 0 4 A And the 5 Q Does the	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. n hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT?	2 3	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the
2 A Yes. 3 Q Okay. 0 4 A And the 5 Q Does the	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. n hazardous materials.	2 3 4 5 6	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. 0</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. n hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? n't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not	2 3 4 5 6 7	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. In the city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have attrication equipment, which was not In the way. Prior to the last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. 0</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited e:</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administr</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? n't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted,
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. 0</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited ex</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administra</li> <li>10 were to g</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. It city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have attrication equipment, which was not is way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread.
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited e:</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would be</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. In the that the tha	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would the</li> <li>12 everything</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? n't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT.	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited ex</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have actrication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior take a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would the</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. In the ecity not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have actrication equipment, which was not is way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. Used to have eviously provided those services. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would the</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO erered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that.
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited ex</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would a</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have actrication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior take a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO rered. ing that equipment helps you lower your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 (	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would the</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have attrication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO ered. ing that equipment helps you lower your s?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end?
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would the</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> <li>19 A Well, the</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO rered. ing that equipment helps you lower your e? at type of equipment also requires HAZMAT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 8 19 <i>A</i>	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end? A That I recall. I know they had their stick up,
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always th</li> <li>9 administr</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> <li>19 A Well, th</li> <li>20 training,</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO ereed. ing that equipment helps you lower your s? at type of equipment also requires additional training hours. And it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 0 18 19 <i>A</i> 20	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end? A That I recall. I know they had their stick up, and they were hitting that south house with
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always th</li> <li>9 administr</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> <li>19 A Well, th</li> <li>20 training,</li> <li>21 very difference</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO ereed. ing that equipment helps you lower your e? at type of equipment also requires additional training hours. And it's cult to get maximum structural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 19 <i>A</i> 20 21	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end? A That I recall. I know they had their stick up, and they were hitting that south house with water. And I'm pretty sure they sent an engine
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always the</li> <li>9 administration</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would the</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> <li>19 A Well, the</li> <li>20 training,</li> <li>21 very diffi</li> <li>22 firefightic</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have attrication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO rered. ing that equipment helps you lower your e? at type of equipment also requires additional training hours. And it's cult to get maximum structural ng training along with all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 4 20 21 22	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end? A That I recall. I know they had their stick up, and they were hitting that south house with water. And I'm pretty sure they sent an engine company inside of it.
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always th</li> <li>9 administr</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> <li>19 A Well, th</li> <li>20 training,</li> <li>21 very diffi</li> <li>22 firefighti</li> <li>23 additional</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have attrication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO rered. ing that equipment helps you lower your e? at type of equipment also requires additional training hours. And it's cult to get maximum structural ing training along with all the l training, if that makes sense. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 ( 18 19 4 20 21 22 23 (	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. Q Is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end? A That I recall. I know they had their stick up, and they were hitting that south house with water. And I'm pretty sure they sent an engine company inside of it. Q What's a "stick up"?
<ul> <li>2 A Yes.</li> <li>3 Q Okay. Q</li> <li>4 A And the</li> <li>5 Q Does the</li> <li>6 A They do</li> <li>7 limited et</li> <li>8 always th</li> <li>9 administr</li> <li>10 were to g</li> <li>11 it would</li> <li>12 everythin</li> <li>13 Q So they</li> <li>14 A They pr</li> <li>15 cut back</li> <li>16 score low</li> <li>17 Q Not hav</li> <li>18 ISO score</li> <li>19 A Well, th</li> <li>20 training,</li> <li>21 very diffi</li> <li>22 firefighti</li> <li>23 additiona</li> <li>24 focus wa</li> </ul>	s that because of the airport? Go ahead. In hazardous materials. e city not have HAZMAT? In't have HAZMAT technicians. They have strication equipment, which was not e way. Prior to the last ation, they had the equipment. If they et back to the way they were prior ake a few years but they would have g but the airport and HAZMAT. used to have eviously provided those services. They on that to focus on getting the ISO rered. ing that equipment helps you lower your s? at type of equipment also requires additional training hours. And it's cult to get maximum structural ng training along with all the l training, if that makes sense. The s shifted to fire suppression. 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 18 19 4 20 21 22	That fire started in a house. The houses are 10 to 15 feet apart. I don't know if you guys are familiar with light-weight construction, but there was simple foam insulation board and vinyl siding on the structure. So there was no plywood. No decking. So when the first house became well involved in fire, all of that melted, which was 10 to 15 feet from the next house. That melted, it's in the house, and it spread. There were three houses involved when the initial dispatch came in. The entire county responded on that. I mean, it was five simultaneous house fires. And Bloomington was there. Like I said, they provided assistance on the south end of that. I is that all they did was provide assistance on the south end? A That I recall. I know they had their stick up, and they were hitting that south house with water. And I'm pretty sure they sent an engine company inside of it. What's a "stick up"? A Their ladder.

	Page 98	Page 100
1	A Don't think it was the bucket truck. It was	1 A That's correct.
2	their actual ladder. Truck 2 is what they call	2 Q What role did you play?
3	it.	3 A I mean, I testified. I spoke with legislators.
4	Q Was there recently a fire on South Quarry Lane?	4 I was present for a lot of the hearings, the
5	Does that ring a bell?	5 sessions. Beyond that, just a Joe Schmo.
6	A Yes.	6 Q Any other ways that you believe annexation will
7	Q What was Bloomington Fire Department's role in	7 impact the Fire Protection District than what we
8	that?	8 already talked about?
9	A I was not there on that fire. I believe they	9 A No. I mean, I think the LIT revenue is the only
10	were called mutual aid. I don't know what role	10 question really that hinges out there. I think
11	they played.	11 that our relationship with the Bloomington Fire
	Q Do you know why they were called in for mutual	12 Department is good. I mean, I think our crews
13	aid?	13 are going to be working together more frequently
	A Because of proximity.	14 in the near future anyway. So I don't think
	Q How does that work? Is it just you know they're	15 that would be a problem.
16	proximate, and so you're guys call for them?	16 Q And that benefits the people in your service
1	A Our crews, they all know where the fire stations	17 territory, you think?
18	are located. And when you're in route to a	18 A It benefits everyone.
19	fire, if it's a substantial fire, you typically	19 MR. UNGER: That's all the questions that I
20	know there's a smoke header. And I don't know	20 have. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION,
21 22	if they were call before, prior to arrival, or	
22	after arrival. But they were requested because,	<ul><li>22 QUESTIONS BY WILLIAM J. BEGGS:</li><li>23 Q Chief Dillard, just a few. I think you</li></ul>
23	I believe, that was just south of, like, where O'Reilly and stuff is there on West 3rd. And	<ul> <li>25 Q Chief Dinard, just a few. 1 think you</li> <li>24 testified earlier that the LIT portion of the</li> </ul>
24	they got a station over by McDonald's.	<ul><li>revenue to the fire district has been cut off</li></ul>
25		
1	Page 99 Q The West Alan Street fire, does that ring a	Page 101 1 since excuse me. Public Safety Local Income
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	bell?	2 Tax portion of the district's revenue has been
	A I mean, we've had fires in those areas. I don't	
4		3 cut off since annexation occurred.
		<ul><li>3 cut off since annexation occurred.</li><li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li></ul>
5	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the	4 Did I hear that correctly?
	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the	<ul><li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li><li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li></ul>
5	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> </ul>
5 6	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> </ul>
5 6 7	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county. City units beat the county units there. I	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county. City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county. City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered.	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county. City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered. MR. UNGER: Let's take a break.	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county. City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered. MR. UNGER: Let's take a break. (Off the record.)	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> <li>19 they established they were voting no, they had</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county. City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered. MR. UNGER: Let's take a break. (Off the record.) BY MR. UNGER	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> <li>19 they established they were voting no, they had</li> <li>20 the majority.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered.</li> <li>MR. UNGER: Let's take a break. (Off the record.)</li> <li>BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>Q Did you participate all in the legislative</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> <li>19 they established they were voting no, they had</li> <li>20 the majority.</li> <li>21 Q So City of Bloomington council controlled that,</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered.</li> <li>MR. UNGER: Let's take a break. (Off the record.)</li> <li>BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>Q Did you participate all in the legislative change to change the way annexation impacts fire</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> <li>19 they established they were voting no, they had</li> <li>20 the majority.</li> <li>21 Q So City of Bloomington council controlled that,</li> <li>22 from your perspective?</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered.</li> <li>MR. UNGER: Let's take a break. (Off the record.)</li> <li>BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>Q Did you participate all in the legislative change to change the way annexation impacts fire protection, your fire protection district?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> <li>19 they established they were voting no, they had</li> <li>20 the majority.</li> <li>21 Q So City of Bloomington council controlled that,</li> <li>22 from your perspective?</li> <li>23 A Correct.</li> </ul>
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>know. I was on Wickens. I was not on the well, actually, West Alan might have been the fire that occurred a month or two ago; and central dispatch dispatched the city and then realized it wasn't a city address and they dispatched to county.</li> <li>City units beat the county units there. I mean, I don't know how much of a time difference there was. I think it was a minute or two, and to my knowledge, only one or two city or county engines remained on scene. The city wrapped up the fire. And our job, basically, per the battalion, was make sure the city is covered.</li> <li>MR. UNGER: Let's take a break. (Off the record.)</li> <li>BY MR. UNGER</li> <li>Q Did you participate all in the legislative change to change the way annexation impacts fire protection, your fire protection district?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4 Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>5 A Yeah. I mean, in that specific instance, I</li> <li>6 can't say that we were specifically told because</li> <li>7 of it, we were no longer getting it. But there</li> <li>8 certainly was a change in the atmosphere and</li> <li>9 involvement. It really turned into more of a</li> <li>10 cookie-cutter process, if you will, in which we</li> <li>11 applied, we showed up to the meeting, we got</li> <li>12 told no that night. Versus in the past, where</li> <li>13 we applied, we showed up, we presented, we met</li> <li>14 again and had more conversations.</li> <li>15 Q And the district was told no by whom?</li> <li>16 A Technically, it would be the Monroe County Local</li> <li>17 Income Tax council. But, traditionally, it was</li> <li>18 the City of Bloomington council. Because once</li> <li>19 they established they were voting no, they had</li> <li>20 the majority.</li> <li>21 Q So City of Bloomington council controlled that,</li> <li>22 from your perspective?</li> </ul>

Page 102	Page 104
1 there's a change but there was a time in the	1 A Correct.
2 recent past when Bloomington Fire Department	2 Q Chief Dillard, are you aware of threats by
3 would delay responding to calls where they'd	3 representatives of the City of Bloomington
4 been asked to come assist or back up the	4 either explicit or implicit, depending on who
5 district. Did I hear that correctly?	5 you are to shut off water to Area 1A or 1B if
6 A Not intentionally. When automatic aid was	6 this involuntary annexation process does not go
7 ended, it caused a delay because they were no	7 through?
8 longer being dispatched.	8 A I have not heard that. I don't believe that
9 Up until that time, we would respond to	9 that is something they would be able to do. The
10 certain areas automatically for them; they would	10 fire hydrants that are in place are established
11 respond to areas automatically for us.	11 fire protective systems. They would have to
12 Q And automatic aid was ended at whose request or	12 maintain those per fire code.
13 whose decision?	13 (Deposition Exhibit 74 marked for
14 A City of Bloomington's.	14 identification.)
15 Q And that's that part about the ISO rating you	15 Q You were asked some questions earlier about the
16 were talking about with Mr. Unger?	16 Fire Protection District and it's boundaries.
17 A Yeah. So during that ISO review, we relayed the	17 The court reporter has handed you what's
18 information about what automatic aid existed.	18 previously been marked as Exhibit 74.
19 John Beard, the representative for ISO that does	19 Can you identify that document?
20 the reviews, he went to Monroe County Central	20 A Yes.
21 Dispatch, and at the same time was reviewing the	21 Q What is that?
22 City of Bloomington. Jason Moore told him that	22 A It's a map of Monroe County that shows the Fire
23 I was incorrectly citing an automatic aid	23 Protection District, the Ellettsville Fire
24 agreement that didn't exist, and they were going	24 Department, and their coverage of Richland
25 to correct that.	25 Township, Stinesville, Beanblossom Township, and
Page 103	Page 105
1 There was no written, formal automatic aid	1 the City of Bloomington.
2 agreement. But since I had been in the fire	2 Q So at the bottom, I see it says "Monroe County
3 service in 2006, it was well established and	3 Fire Districts 2022." Does that which,
4 programmed in the Monroe County Central	4 obviously, we're now in 2024.
5 Emergency Dispatch at the agreement of all fire	5 Does this map represent the accurate
6 departments in the areas for which automatic aid	6 locations of the district as we sit here today
7 took place. That was removed not shortly after.	7 in '24? Or has it changed since this map was
8 It existed at the time of the ISO review. By	8 created?
9 the end of it, the city had backed out. I mean,	9 A Appears to be correct with the exception of
10 there are newspaper articles discussing that.	10 Ellettsville Fire Station 81 is now partial
11 Jason had called me this is probably	11 Monroe Fire District station.
12 around the time that Senate Bill 603 was in	12 Q So I take it that's in Richland Township?
13 session. Jason had called me Jason Moore,	13 A Yeah. It would be the southeast station in
14 the City of Bloomington Fire Chief and told	14 Richland Township.
15 me if Senate Bill 603 did not die, automatic aid	15 Q That's now part of what please?
16 was ending. Again, all of this was in a	
17 relevant period of time.	16 A It's Ellettsville and Monroe Fire District.
-	17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier
18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?	<ul><li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li><li>18 that's joint?</li></ul>
<ul><li>18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?</li><li>19 A Correct.</li></ul>	<ul><li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li><li>18 that's joint?</li><li>19 A That's correct.</li></ul>
<ul><li>18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?</li><li>19 A Correct.</li><li>20 Q And that was a role in which he was calling you?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li> <li>18 that's joint?</li> <li>19 A That's correct.</li> <li>20 Q Is there any part of 1A or 1B which are not</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?</li> <li>19 A Correct.</li> <li>20 Q And that was a role in which he was calling you?</li> <li>21 A Correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li> <li>18 that's joint?</li> <li>19 A That's correct.</li> <li>20 Q Is there any part of 1A or 1B which are not</li> <li>21 on Exhibit 74, of course, that the lines for</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?</li> <li>19 A Correct.</li> <li>20 Q And that was a role in which he was calling you?</li> <li>21 A Correct.</li> <li>22 Q And then it didn't die; the legislation did not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li> <li>18 that's joint?</li> <li>19 A That's correct.</li> <li>20 Q Is there any part of 1A or 1B which are not</li> <li>21 on Exhibit 74, of course, that the lines for</li> <li>22 which are not. But is there any part of 1A or</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?</li> <li>19 A Correct.</li> <li>20 Q And that was a role in which he was calling you?</li> <li>21 A Correct.</li> <li>22 Q And then it didn't die; the legislation did not</li> <li>23 die. So, therefore, the aid did?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li> <li>18 that's joint?</li> <li>19 A That's correct.</li> <li>20 Q Is there any part of 1A or 1B which are not</li> <li>21 on Exhibit 74, of course, that the lines for</li> <li>22 which are not. But is there any part of 1A or</li> <li>23 1B that are not within 5 miles of a fire</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>18 Q He was the chief of Bloomington Fire Department?</li> <li>19 A Correct.</li> <li>20 Q And that was a role in which he was calling you?</li> <li>21 A Correct.</li> <li>22 Q And then it didn't die; the legislation did not</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>17 Q That's the one you were talking about earlier</li> <li>18 that's joint?</li> <li>19 A That's correct.</li> <li>20 Q Is there any part of 1A or 1B which are not</li> <li>21 on Exhibit 74, of course, that the lines for</li> <li>22 which are not. But is there any part of 1A or</li> </ul>

	Page 106	5 Page 108	
1	MR. BEGGS: No further questions, sir.	1 relative or attorney of any party, or otherwise	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	-	2 interested in the event of this action, and am not	
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Thank you very much.	3 in the employ of the attorneys for any party.	
	MR. UNGER: No questions.	4 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
4	(Time noted: 1:26 p.m.)	5 hand and affixed my notarial seal on this 3rd	
5	AND FURTHER THE DEPONENT SAITH NOT.	6 day of April 2024.	
6		7	
7		8 Colloon Brady	
8		8 Colleen Brady 9	
		Соцееп втацу	
9	DUSTIN DILLARD	10	
10			
11		12 Seal, Notary Public My Commission Expires:	
12		State of Indiana March 8, 2029	
13		13     Colleen Brady     County of Residence:	
14		14 Commission No. NP0732235 Monroe	
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
	Page 107	÷	
1	STATE OF INDIANA )	1 Veritext Legal Solutions 1100 Superior Ave	
	) SS:	2 Suite 1820	
2	COUNTY OF MONROE )	Cleveland, Ohio 44114 3 Phone: 216-523-1313	
3	I, Colleen Brady, a Notary Public in and for	4 April 2, 2024 5 To: Mr. Beggs	
4	the County of Monroe, State of Indiana at large, do	6 Case Name: County Residents Against Annexation Inc. Et Al. v. The	
5	hereby certify that DUSTIN DILLARD, the deponent	Common Council Of The City Of Bloomington Et Al.	
6	herein, was by me first duly sworn to tell the	Veritext Reference Number: 6507051	
7	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth	8 Witness: Dustin Dillard Deposition Date: 3/20/2024	
8	in the aforementioned matter;	9 Dear Sir:	
9	That the foregoing deposition was taken on	10	
10	behalf of the Respondents, at the offices of	The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced 11	
11	Bloomington City Hall, 401 North Morton Street,	matter, with the reading and signing having not been	
12	Room 225, Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, on	12 expressly waived, has been completed and is available	
13	the 20th day of March 2024, commencing at the hour	13 for review and signature. Please call our office to	
14	of 11:01 a.m., pursuant to the Indiana Rules of	14	
15		make arrangements for a convenient location to 15	
	Trial Procedure;	15	
16	That said deposition was taken down	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript	
17	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my		
17 18	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased. 17	
17 18 19	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased.	
17 18 19 20	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and thereafter presented to said deponent	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased. 17 17 18 18 receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be	
17 18 19 20 21	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and thereafter presented to said deponent for his signature;	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased. 17 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your 18 receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be 19 deemed waived.	
17 18 19 20 21 22	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and thereafter presented to said deponent for his signature; That the parties were represented by their	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased. 17 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your 18 receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be 19 deemed waived. 20	
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and thereafter presented to said deponent for his signature; That the parties were represented by their counsel as aforementioned.	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased. 17 17 17 17 18 18 19 deemed waived. 20 21 21 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21 22	That said deposition was taken down stenographically and transcribed under my direction, and that the typewritten transcript is a true record of the testimony given by the said deponent; and thereafter presented to said deponent for his signature; That the parties were represented by their	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript 16 can be purchased. 17 17 17 If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your 18 receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be 19 deemed waived. 20 21 Sincerely,	

	Page 110					Page 112
1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS		1	ERR	ATA SHEET		
2			VERITEX	T LEGAL SOLU	TIONS MIDWEST	
ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6507051		2	ASSIG	NMENT NO: 3/20	)/2024	
3 CASE NAME: County Residents Against Annexation Inc. Et Al. v. The Common Council Of The City Of Bloomington Et Al.		3	PAGE/LINE(S)	/ CHANGE	/REASON	
DATE OF DEPOSITION: 3/20/2024		4				
4 WITNESS' NAME: Dustin Dillard		5				
5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of		6				
6 my testimony or it has been read to me.		7				
7 I have made no changes to the testimony as transcribed by the court reporter.		8				
8		9				
		10				
9 Date Dustin Dillard 10 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a						
Notary Public in and for the State and County,						
11 the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:						
12						
They have read the transcript;						
13 They signed the foregoing Sworn Statement: and						
14 Their execution of this Statement is of						
their free act and deed.						
15 I have affixed my name and official seal		19				
16		<sup>*</sup>				
this day of, 20		20	Date	Dustin Dillard		
					O BEFORE ME THIS	
18 Notary Public					, 20	
19 Commission Expiration Date		23	DAT 01		, 20	
20		25	Notary I	Public	·	
21 22		24	i votary i	uone		
23		24				
24		25	Commis	sion Expiration D	ata	
25		25	Commis		ate	
	Page 111					
1 DEPOSITION REVIEW CERTIFICATION OF WITNESS						
2						
ASSIGNMENT REFERENCE NO: 6507051 3 CASE NAME: County Residents Against Annexation Inc. Et Al. v.						
The Common Council Of The City Of Bloomington Et Al.						
DATE OF DEPOSITION: 3/20/2024						
4 WITNESS' NAME: Dustin Dillard 5 In accordance with the Rules of Civil						
Procedure, I have read the entire transcript of						
6 my testimony or it has been read to me. 7 I have listed my changes on the attached						
Errata Sheet, listing page and line numbers as						
<ul> <li>8 well as the reason(s) for the change(s).</li> <li>9 I request that these changes be entered</li> </ul>						
as part of the record of my testimony.						
10 I have executed the Errata Sheet, as well						
11 as this Certificate, and request and authorize						
that both be appended to the transcript of my						
12 testimony and be incorporated therein. 13						
Date Dustin Dillard						
14 Sworn to and subscribed before me, a						
15 Notary Public in and for the State and County,						
the referenced witness did personally appear and acknowledge that:						
17 They have read the transcript;						
They have listed all of their corrections						
18 in the appended Errata Sheet; They signed the foregoing Sworn						
19 Statement; and						
Their execution of this Statement is of 20 their free act and deed.						
21 I have affixed my name and official seal						
22 this day of, 20						
Notary Public						
24						
25 Commission Expiration Date						

[& - 3]

Page 1

&	49:17 50:19	55:7,8,19,22	59:17 63:9
& 2:3,8	67:2 97:2,9	56:5,17,19	64:7 65:1,11
-	<b>16</b> 59:17 65:19	58:15,15 69:10	66:2,3 74:15
0	<b>17</b> 59:17	78:13 86:5	75:12,14 76:11
<b>000509</b> 1:4	<b>18</b> 9:17 74:3	110:16 111:22	76:14,22,25
1	<b>1820</b> 109:2	112:22	82:10,11,16
1 58:6 59:17	<b>19</b> 63:13	<b>200</b> 68:9	83:2 85:22
63:9 85:12	<b>1970</b> 54:7	<b>2000</b> 57:10	89:4,25 90:4
<b>1,000</b> 84:15	<b>1:26</b> 106:4	<b>2006</b> 8:7 9:8	<b>2022</b> 4:5 105:3
<b>1,500</b> 84:19	<b>1a</b> 10:12,23,24	103:3	<b>2023</b> 68:6
<b>10</b> 7:19 56:23	10:25 20:3	2010 8:25,25	<b>2024</b> 1:20
56:24 65:13	21:8,14 22:4	10:19 59:5	65:16 105:4
84:20 85:4	26:7 33:18,23	<b>2012</b> 59:6	107:13 108:6
97:2,9	37:14 41:3	<b>2014</b> 7:19,21	109:4
<b>10,000</b> 66:10	47:2 72:11	9:4	<b>2029</b> 108:12
<b>100</b> 3:4 86:15	74:7 86:21	<b>2016</b> 54:1,8	<b>20s</b> 76:21
<b>100,000</b> 44:9	87:24 104:5	57:18	<b>20th</b> 1:20
<b>104</b> 4:5	105:20,22	<b>2017</b> 15:3,7,18	107:13
<b>11.9</b> 65:22	<b>1b</b> 10:13,25	16:11 17:3	<b>21</b> 82:16
<b>11.9.</b> 65:14	20:3 21:8,14	20:18 28:14	<b>211</b> 2:4
<b>1100</b> 109:1	22:4 26:7	31:15 33:12,13	216-523-1313
111 2:8	33:18 34:3,7	38:9,23 39:4	109:3
<b>11:01</b> 1:20 5:1	37:14 41:3	40:14 42:19,22	<b>22</b> 10:21
107:14	47:2 72:11	83:11 88:25	<b>225</b> 1:19
<b>12</b> 56:17 65:13	74:7,8 86:21	89:24	107:12
<b>12,000</b> 68:2	87:24 88:1	<b>2018</b> 42:22	<b>24</b> 82:19 105:7
<b>140</b> 49:15	104:5 105:20	88:25	<b>250,000</b> 44:9
52:19	105:23	<b>2019</b> 58:6	68:9
<b>1410</b> 50:25,25	<b>1st</b> 56:24	62:14 69:19	<b>25563</b> 108:9
51:21,23	2	<b>2020</b> 15:19	<b>2700</b> 2:9
<b>1420</b> 50:25	<b>2</b> 72:1,2 98:2	16:24 76:13,22	3
51:1,21 52:2	109:4	77:1	<b>3</b> 47:5 65:3
52:13	<b>20</b> 9:19 11:18	<b>2021</b> 15:19	74:13 75:20,21
<b>15</b> 9:14,16	19:4 49:17	28:17 32:20	76:4
11:18 19:4	53:5,6,10,16,18	33:14,15 45:17	,

# [3.7. - additions]

Page 2

<b>3.7.</b> 65:4	<b>53c06-2203</b> 1:4	<b>920</b> 72:2	accurate 26:16
3/20/2024	<b>54</b> 36:8	a	42:20 65:14
109:8 110:3	<b>56</b> 41:4		105:5
111:3 112:2	<b>586</b> 35:5	<b>a.m.</b> 1:20 5:1	acknowledge
<b>317</b> 47:20	6	107:14 able 6:10 20:2	110:11 111:16
317.684.5000	<b>6,000</b> 66:6	26:5 50:11	<b>act</b> 110:14
2:10	<b>603</b> 103:12,15	63:22,24 64:20	111:20
<b>3953</b> 10:21	<b>6507051</b> 109:7	66:12,12,15,17	action 107:25
<b>3rd</b> 98:24	110:2 111:2	82:22 104:9	108:2
108:5	7	<b>above</b> 109:10	<b>active</b> 9:20,20
4		absolutely 6:1	72:12
4 65:2 82:8,12	7 83:9,12,17,20	6:19,22 7:3	actual 98:2
83:6,8 84:12	83:21	20:6 34:4	actually 13:16
84:25 85:15	73 4:3 42:8,12	38:14 45:23	32:13 48:1
<b>401</b> 1:19	43:19	63:19 66:18	56:3,9 59:25
107:11	74 4:5 104:13	90:23 91:3,10	64:10 70:12
<b>40s</b> 66:9	104:18 105:21	93:6	72:1 87:9,14
<b>42</b> 4:3	77 47:20	absorb 63:4	99:5
<b>44</b> 14:25 39:16	8	69:5	adamant 44:5
39:17,22 40:11	<b>8</b> 108:12	acceptable	added 16:6
41:3,7,7 43:7	<b>80</b> 49:15 52:21	66:23 67:5	58:13 78:13
44:21,23,24	<b>80s</b> 30:6 57:4,6	access 86:12	80:17
45:6 53:21	<b>81</b> 105:10	87:6,20	adding 19:24
62:25	<b>811</b> 10:4	accidents 49:8	36:20 58:10
<b>44114</b> 109:2	812.332.9295	accomplish	addition 83:16
<b>450</b> 69:11	2:5	109:15	additional 22:9
<b>46204</b> 2:9	<b>85,000</b> 70:5	accordance	22:15 34:24
<b>47401</b> 10:5	86 57:7	110:5 111:5	36:4,10 49:7
<b>47404</b> 2:4	<b>87</b> 57:7	<b>account</b> 27:16	53:15 55:10,19 78:7,11 80:16
5	9	28:5 32:20	95:20,23
<b>5</b> 3:3 84:12,16	<b>9</b> 84:20	33:7 37:10	additionally
85:2,3,5,7	<b>90</b> 73:10	67:23	37:20
105:23	<b>910</b> 72:3	accounted 32:4	additions 82:5
	<b>911</b> 90:17	accounting	
		32:23	

## [address - answer]

address 10:3	13:21 79:5,10	allocated 77:17	34:7,12,15
71:24 72:4,10	99:6	77:18	35:11 36:25
81:16 99:8	<b>agree</b> 18:24	<b>allow</b> 36:16	37:11 38:12
addressed	87:23	alternative	40:6 41:11,19
33:22	<b>agreed</b> 87:9,9	84:8,14	41:23 42:1
adequate 84:10	agreement	ambulance	44:17 45:14,21
adequately	88:20 89:5,19	22:11,17 38:7	45:25 46:3,19
20:3 78:24	90:2,8,10,11	49:10	46:23 47:3,6
adhering 92:9	92:4 93:5	ambulances	47:11,14 62:16
<b>adjust</b> 67:21	102:24 103:2,5	22:12	71:5,11 75:17
administration	ahead 12:15	amount 19:2	83:10 93:17
90:25 91:8	14:10 47:1	24:12,23 33:4	99:22 100:6
95:9	59:12 89:9	51:18 52:7,12	101:3 104:6
admitted 89:20	95:3	71:11 76:24	109:6 110:3
admittedly	<b>ahold</b> 83:14	77:9	111:3
89:20	aid 88:20 89:5	analysis 17:3	annexations
<b>adopt</b> 23:4	89:18 90:2,8	19:8 21:3	11:6 17:9 20:5
54:14	90:10,11,13,14	23:20 24:19	20:15 22:4
<b>adopted</b> 10:10	90:15,16,19	79:20,21	29:6,10 31:7
28:17	91:13 92:24	<b>anchor</b> 94:12	32:10,17 33:17
advocated	93:4,18 96:4	annexation 1:6	37:14 38:9
99:25	98:10,13 102:6	5:17 7:12 10:6	annexed 15:13
<b>aerial</b> 93:24	102:12,18,23	10:9,15,16,22	16:13 26:5
94:4,7,7,8	103:1,6,15,23	12:19,22 13:20	30:2 31:17,20
96:22	aircraft 49:11	14:24 15:17,22	40:2,4,15,17
<b>affect</b> 24:12	94:24	16:4,9,11,24	44:22 62:1
85:24	<b>airport</b> 49:13	19:11 20:9	annexes 71:7
<b>affixed</b> 108:5	60:21 95:1,12	21:4,8 23:16	annual 22:8
110:15 111:21	<b>al</b> 1:7,12 109:6	23:22 25:2,11	67:19 70:5
aforemention	109:6 110:3,3	27:17 28:3,6	<b>answer</b> 6:3,15
107:8,23	111:3,3	28:10,19,25	7:1 9:19 17:12
agencies 32:25	<b>alan</b> 99:1,5	29:5,18,22,25	17:14 21:21
90:20	alarm 72:15	30:18,24 31:10	37:7 40:25
<b>ago</b> 11:14,16,21	alarms 72:8	31:13,15 32:4	89:9
12:4,25 13:10		32:16,21 33:23	

# [answering - attorneys]

answering	appreciate	69:11 71:19	assessed 15:1
25:20	25:20 27:13	72:11,12,18,19	15:12,14 39:15
anticipate	approached	72:23 73:9	39:17 41:7
20:14	57:15	74:5 81:19	44:23 45:7
anybody 11:10	<b>approve</b> 63:10	83:25 85:7,9	assigned 49:25
23:14 92:13	approved	86:7,11,21	assignment
anyway 62:4	22:21 23:11	87:24 93:7,18	110:2 111:2
100:14	approximately	96:8,10 99:3	112:2
<b>apart</b> 97:2	40:11 68:6	102:10,11	assist 33:23
apologize 30:15	<b>april</b> 56:24	103:6	102:4
apparatus	70:13 82:16	<b>arff</b> 49:11	assistance
34:25 48:17,20	108:6 109:4	94:23	90:16,17 92:15
50:13,13,22	<b>area</b> 10:6,12,25	arpa 22:11	92:19 97:15,17
51:6 68:19	15:1,12 18:2,5	arrangements	assistant 7:24
93:21 94:14	18:20,23 21:14	24:21 109:14	assisted 47:13
<b>appear</b> 110:11	22:4 26:6	<b>arrival</b> 98:21	assists 86:7,8
111:15	29:13 31:22	98:22	association
appearances	33:9,17 34:3,7	articles 7:8	35:4
2:1	36:25 39:13	103:10	assume 8:8
<b>appears</b> 105:9	40:4 47:3,18	<b>aside</b> 17:5,6	26:14 46:5
appellants 1:9	58:12 65:19	37:1 43:6	48:15 83:21
appended	67:8 68:9 72:1	asked 7:2 11:3	assumed 26:15
111:11,18	72:2,21 73:1,4	13:1 17:11	assumption
<b>apples</b> 18:12,12	74:5,7,8 104:5	75:19 81:11	16:11,14 62:3
applicable	areas 10:9,15	90:4 102:4	atmosphere
21:20	10:16,22 16:13	104:15	101:8
application	18:13 19:1	asking 21:21	attached 72:10
94:1	20:3 21:8 31:4	27:24 28:8,8	111:7
<b>applied</b> 101:11	31:19 33:23	32:25 62:15,16	attempt 31:15
101:13	36:16,18,21,23	89:8	attend 38:19,20
<b>applies</b> 81:18	37:14,17 40:1	assemble 52:6	70:15
<b>apply</b> 75:4	41:2,11 44:21	80:1	attended 70:11
81:21 86:17	45:25 46:3	assembled 81:9	attorney 108:1
applying 44:24	49:22,24 61:25	assembly 50:17	attorneys 5:16
	68:4,23 69:3	52:3 81:1 82:1	108:3

# [attrition - bloomington]

attrition 53:14	104:2	beanblossom	believed 89:4,8
auditorium	b	48:2 69:23	<b>bell</b> 98:5 99:2
44:2		70:22 71:6	<b>benefit</b> 64:10
augment 53:11	<b>b</b> 10:24	104:25	93:15,17 94:14
authorize	<b>b's</b> 33:23	<b>beard</b> 102:19	benefits 100:16
111:11	<b>back</b> 8:16 17:2	<b>beat</b> 99:10	100:18
automatic	19:5 20:18	becoming 63:6	<b>benton</b> 47:24
88:20 89:5,18	40:19 47:2	beggs 2:3 3:5	59:23 63:24
90:2,8,13,16,19	53:20 65:23	11:10,12,18,23	67:9,12
92:3 93:4,18	80:25 95:10,15	12:4,7,17 13:8	<b>best</b> 38:20
96:4 102:6,12	102:4 <b>backed</b> 103:9	20:11 29:1	better 84:4
102:18,23	backtrack 84:5	43:11,13 89:7	beyond 13:3
103:1,6,15	backtrack 84:5 bad 82:15	89:10,16	15:2 35:14
automatically	bank 51:25	100:22 106:1	57:21 85:3,7
74:23 92:2	base 78:15	109:5	100:5
102:10,11	<b>based</b> 18:2	beginning	<b>big</b> 56:19 66:15
automobile	24:17 26:15	20:19	bigger 73:1
49:8	33:13 37:17	<b>begins</b> 36:19	<b>biggest</b> 87:14
availability	40:4 42:25	<b>behalf</b> 1:18	<b>bill</b> 43:9 103:12
85:20 86:1	44:9 50:7	107:10	103:15
available 24:13	64:15 67:21,25	<b>believe</b> 11:1	binding 22:23
109:12	69:1 79:16	17:10 19:13,17	23:3
<b>ave</b> 109:1	82:21	20:12 31:10	<b>bit</b> 56:25 63:22
avenue 2:4	basically 13:23	33:15 34:5,9	66:8 79:4
average 25:14	22:5 51:12	35:5,6 37:3,9	94:19
78:19	55:15 58:12	41:21 42:25	bloomington
averages 83:1	67:17 99:15	44:10 47:9	1:11,19,20 2:4
<b>aware</b> 20:13,23	basis 22:8	51:23,25 57:6	10:5 11:5
20:25 21:12	batchelor	65:18 70:3	12:23 17:22
22:3 23:10	43:24	71:3 72:1	22:19 29:7
24:5,6,25 25:6	battalion 51:13	77:25 78:22	35:9 37:21
25:18,23 27:7	99:16	80:20 83:9	47:24 54:6
32:13 33:1	battalions	96:23 98:9,23	59:18,19,23
69:9 83:10,19	51:17	100:6 104:8	60:6,25 61:7
89:11 92:16			61:10,11,21,22
1	1	1	

# [bloomington - central]

63:17 65:10	<b>brady</b> 1:18	66:7 77:5	campaign
66:8 69:14	107:3 108:9,13	82:22 88:10	38:11
75:7 77:6,25	<b>break</b> 6:23	<b>buren's</b> 62:24	campaigned
78:2,23 81:15	21:24 42:6	business 26:10	38:8
82:23 85:10	43:14,18,19	<b>busy</b> 47:17	capabilities
87:8 88:18,21	54:21 99:18	56:20	78:8 94:23,24
91:23 92:8,13	breakdown	<b>buy</b> 64:21	capability 49:6
92:18 93:3,8	73:9	С	capacity 22:2
93:22 94:16	breaks 67:17	<b>c</b> 2:7 3:3 5:8	25:7
96:19 97:14	briefly 48:19	<b>ca</b> 109:25	capita 73:7
98:7 100:11	58:10 90:12	<b>calculate</b> 19:16	captain 7:24
101:18,21	bringing 52:10	<b>call</b> 5:11,12	9:7
102:2,22	<b>brush</b> 49:3	11:15 39:25	<b>car</b> 52:10 72:14
103:14,18	<b>bucket</b> 93:24	50:3 71:20	<b>card</b> 96:6
104:3 105:1	94:1 98:1	72:18 73:10,23	career 9:17
107:11,12	<b>budget</b> 22:7,14	73:24 90:16	49:16 52:24
109:6 110:3	22:21 23:4,6	92:23 96:6	53:1 54:22
111:3	54:25 55:1,3,4	98:2,16,21	<b>case</b> 11:3 20:17
bloomington's	55:5,6 64:25	109:13	41:16 109:6
35:4 91:12	65:8,16 70:5	callback 73:23	110:3 111:3
102:14	budgeted 33:5	called 11:3	<b>casual</b> 13:18
<b>board</b> 22:25	54:13,16,18	22:23 91:24	categories 23:2
57:14,19 59:7	55:18	92:15 96:13	54:23
60:3 67:23	budgets 24:11	98:10,12	category 27:22
84:2 97:5	building 36:5	103:11,13	<b>cause</b> 1:4 51:3
<b>body</b> 18:9	46:16 60:1	caller 90:17	56:19 107:25
<b>born</b> 57:8	61:14	calling 92:10	<b>caused</b> 102:7
<b>bose</b> 2:8	<b>built</b> 59:25	103:20	<b>cbu</b> 88:1,14,18
boselaw.com	bunger 2:3	calls 67:22	ceased 32:1
2:10	burdens 69:8	69:10 72:8,12	center 90:18
<b>bottom</b> 105:2	<b>buren</b> 47:23	72:13,23,25	centered 22:5
boundaries	58:7,8 59:2,3	73:13 90:17	49:12 94:21
35:10 79:1	59:15 60:5,15	91:13,17 92:18	central 99:7
104:16	61:22 62:5	102:3	102:20 103:4
	63:18 65:10		
	1	1	1

## [certain - commencing]

Page 7

certain 51:5	49:17 54:25	87:8,23 88:18	89:6
52:7,12 102:10	59:4 79:4 89:1	92:23 93:2,13	clearly 38:11
certainly 101:8	100:23 103:14	94:15 95:5	39:24
certainty 43:22	103:18 104:2	96:2,3,8 99:7,8	clements 2:13
certificate	<b>chiefs</b> 51:14	99:10,13,14,16	11:23,24,24,25
111:11	<b>child</b> 82:17	101:18,21	11:25 12:1,2
certification	<b>chosen</b> 40:19	102:14,22	cleveland 109:2
110:1 111:1	40:22	103:9,14 104:3	<b>close</b> 29:15
certified 65:16	circle 2:8	105:1 107:11	<b>closer</b> 63:22
76:5,6,23,24	circuit 1:3	109:6 110:3	84:3 93:2,8,13
77:12,16	circumstance	111:3	<b>code</b> 104:12
109:15	92:17	<b>city's</b> 17:9	cognizant
<b>certify</b> 107:5,24	circumstances	19:14 20:17	21:17
<b>cetera</b> 17:25	43:20 91:12	27:15,19 28:4	<b>collect</b> 46:8,12
change 15:22	<b>cities</b> 30:12	28:12 33:2	collected 74:21
16:1 25:19,24	<b>citing</b> 102:23	37:13 38:9	colleen 1:18
41:15 45:22	citizen 25:14	61:25 83:10	107:3 108:9,13
71:8 90:25	<b>city</b> 1:11,19	<b>civil</b> 110:5	college 2:4
91:8 99:22,22	5:17 10:10	111:5	combination
99:25 101:8	13:23 14:3,16	clarification	8:14 51:2
102:1 111:8	14:23 15:16	16:2 21:15	60:21 61:4
112:3	16:10,12,17,24	29:2 45:19	71:17
changed 16:5	17:15,22 18:21	clarify 27:2	combined 68:7
63:5,5,16	20:4,4 22:19	30:9 82:14	68:10 74:18
80:18 83:21	25:10 26:13	<b>class</b> 84:22,23	77:7
105:7	32:9,11,23	classification	<b>come</b> 29:12
changes 110:7	33:7,17,20	84:21	46:14 83:5
111:7,9	34:5,10,21	<b>clear</b> 8:3,5,11	86:14 93:1
changing 57:22	35:10,22 37:21	8:12,17 10:8	102:4
<b>charts</b> 42:25	38:5 39:5	13:6 17:5	<b>comes</b> 25:3
<b>chief</b> 5:11,11	40:15,16 41:16	26:17 30:21	coming 7:19
7:17,21,24 9:5	50:25 54:5	33:25 47:23	command 92:5
21:17,18 22:2	62:1 69:13	57:8 63:16	commencing
24:4,25 29:17	75:6 79:14	66:5 68:12	107:13
35:20 43:18	81:19 83:14	74:16 77:6	

### [commission - correct]

[			
commission	completely	contiguous	convert 8:20
108:12,14	6:17 45:15	61:20	<b>cookie</b> 101:10
110:19 111:25	50:2 52:5	continue 34:2	cooperated
112:25	59:20	40:14	91:11
commissioners	completes 20:4	continued 61:4	coordinated
58:22,24 63:10	20:5 33:17	contract 24:9	46:17
commitment	comprehensive	47:25 61:13	<b>copy</b> 43:10,11
58:18	36:15	67:10,19,25	43:12
<b>common</b> 1:11	concern 37:1	69:13 70:17	corporation
91:22 109:6	concerned 26:7	71:15	1:7
110:3 111:3	45:21	contracted	correct 7:22
communication	concerning	69:13 85:9	8:2 9:6,23 10:1
91:5	20:25 21:13	contracting	10:17 11:22
community	concerns 33:3	69:17	16:13,19,22
12:13	33:11,18 37:2	contracts 67:16	18:22 24:1,18
companies 88:4	<b>conduct</b> 25:17	contractual	26:25 28:20
88:11,12	conducted	24:13,21	34:11,13,19
company 26:3	35:12	contribute 68:1	39:18 41:14,18
26:3,19 51:12	conference	68:3	45:8 49:21
51:13 88:10	15:4	contributions	51:14 56:15
97:22	<b>confine</b> 17:24	68:7	58:25 60:11,14
company's	confused 32:22	controlled	63:3 64:5,6,19
51:9	consider 51:12	101:21	65:12 66:3,14
compare 18:11	85:25	convenient	69:7,16 70:19
compared	considered	109:14	73:5 74:24
17:11,13	84:22	conversation	76:7,10 80:7
compares	considering	11:12 12:24	81:11,14,20,22
85:17	32:9,12	13:11,18 62:22	83:1,18 85:1
compelling	consistent 85:5	71:1	87:8 88:19
26:9	construction	conversations	90:5 92:12
complete 64:2	97:4	17:10 38:25,25	94:6 96:1,2,12
completed 35:6	contact 52:22	47:16 57:11	100:1 101:23
36:6 48:7	contacted	59:6 62:11	102:25 103:19
56:23 109:12	70:13	70:10,25	103:21,24
		101:14	104:1 105:9,19

## [corrected - definition]

accurated 92.15	100.6 110.2 10	57.0 10 62.16	Jata 10.0 11.10
corrected 83:15	109:6 110:3,10	57:8,18 63:16	data 19:8 44:18
corrections	111:3,15	63:16 65:9	82:16,20,20,22
111:17	county's 23:21	66:5 67:9,14	date 8:22 16:4
correctly 101:4	44:4	69:20,22 71:1	30:6 57:5 63:8
102:5	couple 7:7	74:17 77:6,7	63:14 70:13
<b>cost</b> 40:21	11:21 12:4	<b>crews</b> 98:17	82:17 109:8
council 1:11	13:21 26:1	100:12	110:3,9,19
22:7,24 23:2,4	36:16 47:16	criticism 81:4	111:3,13,25
23:12 44:5	50:15 56:12	criticisms 78:4	112:20,25
75:5 101:17,18	81:24	81:11,13	dated 4:5 24:24
101:21 109:6	<b>course</b> 105:21	cross 3:4	day 1:20 11:19
110:3 111:3	<b>court</b> 1:3 5:22	100:21	63:14 82:18
counsel 7:9	12:16 42:11	cumulative	107:13 108:6
107:23	43:8 104:17	65:18,22	110:16 111:22
<b>country</b> 18:16	110:7	current 34:10	112:22
78:20	<b>cover</b> 18:3,8,20	54:10 55:5	days 109:17
<b>county</b> 1:2,3,6	coverage 47:18	78:23 82:7	<b>deal</b> 46:25 88:4
1:11,18,20	104:24	currently 18:20	<b>dear</b> 109:9
11:5 12:18,23	covered 99:17	34:10 35:9,14	<b>debt</b> 23:11
13:24 14:2	<b>covers</b> 18:21	36:4,9,21 37:2	december
22:6,7,13,17,22	<b>cr</b> 12:18	47:19 48:5,21	56:10
22:24 23:2,4	<b>craa</b> 12:13	49:14 54:23	<b>decide</b> 58:24
23:12,15 24:3	<b>create</b> 22:16	55:17 69:23	decision 58:22
25:11 28:3	created 35:3	75:20 81:8	75:5,8 102:13
36:15,17 44:3	42:18,19 57:2	88:23 89:4	decking 97:7
44:5 46:19,23	57:4 59:13	90:10 96:11	decrease 39:22
47:10,13,20	61:2 75:16	<b>curry</b> 48:9	<b>deed</b> 110:14
49:13,22 50:3	105:8	<b>cut</b> 39:19,21,23	111:20
58:24 59:14	creating 57:16	40:19 95:15	<b>deemed</b> 109:19
88:21 97:12	credit 84:9,15	100:25 101:3	deficiencies
99:9,10,14	84:19 85:23	<b>cutter</b> 101:10	33:2 37:3
101:16 102:20	86:10	d	definitely 20:1
103:4 104:22	<b>creek</b> 8:3,5,11		42:16
105:2 107:2,4	8:12,17 47:23	<b>dam</b> 10:4	definition
107:12 108:13	47:23,25 54:1	damaging 26:10	38:11

<b>degree</b> 93:19	depended 66:5	differences	discussion 12:6
delay 102:3,7	depending	90:13	12:8 62:17
<b>delayed</b> 91:25	84:20 104:4	<b>different</b> 17:16	70:8
92:1	depends 50:24	17:19 18:7	discussions
<b>denied</b> 92:13	deploy 51:14	44:8 49:22	12:17 17:7
density 73:3	deployed 96:5	50:15 54:22,24	20:8 23:14
department	96:21	67:17 77:9	29:17 57:17
8:12,13,14	deployment	83:24,24	disinterested
31:25 39:7	79:19,21	differently	107:24
41:6 48:9 51:1	deponent 106:5	49:23 86:18	dispatch 51:16
51:2,7 57:23	107:5,20,20	difficult 17:14	51:16 97:12
57:24 60:17,18	deposed 5:18	18:11 22:14	99:7 102:21
60:22 61:4	deposition 1:15	37:18 38:24	103:5
64:16,23 65:1	1:17 4:2 7:4	40:2 62:8	dispatched
65:17 69:25	12:10 42:8	64:12 95:21	99:7,9 102:8
70:15 71:17	104:13 107:9	<b>dillard</b> 1:15,17	dispatching
73:18 77:25	107:16 109:8	5:2,10 100:23	87:19 90:18
78:4,24 81:21	109:10 110:1,3	104:2 106:9	disrespect
87:16 88:21	111:1,3	107:5 109:8	37:20
91:13 92:14,18	<b>derive</b> 79:18	110:4,9 111:4	distribute 43:2
93:22 96:20	describe 16:15	111:13 112:20	distributed
100:12 102:2	desperate 26:4	<b>dime</b> 75:18	14:14
103:18 104:24	determine 92:6	<b>direct</b> 3:3 5:7	distributing
109:23	determined	direction	44:12
department's	75:3	107:18	district 4:3
35:9 84:21	determines	disagree 89:18	7:15 8:1,3,4,6
85:10 98:7	23:1	<b>discuss</b> 13:3,7	8:13 10:20
departments	development	discussed 11:9	15:14 16:7,21
8:18 18:11	35:24	13:12,21 25:16	17:16,20,25
29:11 30:13	developments	61:24 71:5	18:6 19:23
50:22 52:4	36:14	discusses 34:22	21:16 22:10,15
53:23 56:13	<b>die</b> 103:15,22	34:24	22:18,25 24:8
62:7 74:18	103:23	discussing 39:1	24:9,10 29:15
91:9 103:6	difference 71:7	61:23 103:10	29:18 31:11,16
	99:11		31:16 33:8

[			11
34:1 36:3,11	<b>dlgf</b> 67:23	e	emergency
36:18 39:20	document 4:4	earlier 29:23	17:18,21 38:6
40:18 41:2,5,5	30:17 39:24	49:9 62:5 64:1	103:5
41:13 44:7	44:24 53:21	70:11 78:5,17	<b>employ</b> 108:3
45:1,2,9,20	86:15 104:19	80:25 97:25	employed 7:14
47:17,19 48:13	documents 7:7	100:24 101:24	employees
48:20 53:4,22	7:11	104:15 105:17	55:14
57:1,4,14,16	<b>doing</b> 32:3,6	easily 73:10	<b>ems</b> 72:7,8
58:4,11 59:9	33:24 44:14	east 10:4 71:25	<b>ended</b> 59:8,11
60:13,22 61:18	45:13 53:4	<b>economic</b> 20:8	59:16 89:19
61:23 62:10,12	55:11 56:5	20:13,25 21:4	102:7,12
63:2,6 64:4	<b>dollar</b> 19:25,25	21:7,13 22:3	enforcement
65:25 67:13	24:23 77:8	23:18 24:4	38:4
68:8 69:12,17	<b>donated</b> 47:10	25:1,7 27:7,16	engaged 15:8
71:4,8,19	double 33:8	28:5,7,10	<b>engine</b> 48:18,22
72:19 74:11,17	<b>doubt</b> 70:6	32:21 37:10	51:9,21,23
77:14 80:3,5	72:24	<b>effective</b> 63:14	52:13 93:12,13
82:2,21 84:1,6	draft 41:22,25	<b>effort</b> 16:25	96:22 97:21
84:11 86:25	drastically	efforts 5:17	<b>engines</b> 84:10
87:7 88:3,5,9	19:23	eight 56:1,3	93:11,14 99:14
88:16,22 91:13	<b>drive</b> 10:21	<b>eighth</b> 48:7	ensure 33:7
91:23 92:8,18	71:25 96:9	either 40:19	51:14
93:12,23,25	driving 50:2	59:11 70:11	entail 12:8
94:18 96:5,9	<b>dual</b> 48:8,10,25	104:4	entered 111:9
99:23 100:7,25	<b>due</b> 44:17	election 60:2	<b>entire</b> 10:18
101:15 102:5	93:10	ellettsville 48:9	48:21 57:13
104:16,23	<b>duly</b> 5:3 107:6	48:11,15 70:15	83:25 97:12
105:6,11,16	<b>dustin</b> 1:15,17	70:17 71:15,16	110:5 111:5
district's 44:23	5:2,10 106:9	104:23 105:10	entirety 34:3
82:7 101:2	107:5 109:8	105:16	equipment
districts 16:4	110:4,9 111:4	email 7:12	49:9 51:19
29:24 64:14	111:13 112:20	emails 7:6,10	64:21 68:1,3
105:3	<b>duty</b> 73:24,25	emergencies	93:21 94:2,18
<b>divided</b> 15:13	dynamics	18:4	95:7,9,17,19
	38:21		

# [equivalence - fire]

equivalence	examination	expiration	<b>far</b> 19:5 69:9
53:8	1:17 3:1,3,4	110:19 111:25	78:7
equivalent 55:2	5:7 100:21	112:25	<b>farms</b> 19:1
equivalents	examined 5:5	<b>expires</b> 108:12	farther 36:24
55:12,14	example 54:15	explain 21:2	federal 68:5
<b>errata</b> 109:17	84:4	27:20 35:18	<b>feel</b> 92:9
111:7,10,18	exceeded 73:24	48:10 90:12	<b>feet</b> 84:15,19
112:1	exception	91:2,2	97:2,9
essentially 16:7	105:9	explicit 104:4	<b>fight</b> 49:1
23:1 29:19,20	<b>excuse</b> 101:1	expressly	fighters 56:1
32:7 67:23	executed	109:12	fights 49:3
establish 92:5	111:10	<b>extend</b> 38:18	<b>figured</b> 58:2,5
established	execution	<b>extent</b> 19:21	<b>fill</b> 42:3 53:3
36:16 54:7	110:14 111:19	<b>extra</b> 69:1	56:14
59:10 101:19	exhausted 24:2	extrication	<b>filled</b> 53:8 56:9
103:3 104:10	56:8	17:25 49:7	filling 55:17
establishes	exhausting	94:23 95:7	final 75:5
81:6	11:20	f	finances 23:21
establishment	<b>exhibit</b> 4:2,3,5	facility 72:5	26:18
30:6	42:8,12 43:19	<b>fact</b> 21:17 86:6	financial 13:23
estimated 45:5	104:13,18	<b>factor</b> 87:14	13:24 14:7,21
<b>et</b> 1:7,12 17:25	105:21	failed 32:20	17:6 23:15
109:6,6 110:3	exhibits 4:1	fails 27:15 28:5	24:24 25:17
110:3 111:3,3	<b>exist</b> 53:7	37:10	41:25 58:17
evans 2:8	102:24	<b>fair</b> 16:14 38:8	financially 68:7
<b>event</b> 62:1	<b>existed</b> 102:18	<b>fairly</b> 72:16	<b>find</b> 71:2
79:23 108:2	103:8	<b>familiar</b> 14:2,4	<b>finish</b> 7:1 30:21
eventually 64:1	existing 34:20	14:11 22:6	<b>fire</b> 4:3 5:21
evolution 57:1	40:5 41:5	37:17 42:14	7:15,17 8:1,3,5
exact 14:18	expect 20:2	73:14,19 77:22	8:12 10:20
80:21	expected 18:2	81:15 97:3	15:14,23 16:4
exactly 38:10	27:23	family 46:2	16:7,7,12,17,20
63:7 80:19	expecting	47:3	16:21 17:16,17
103:25	43:10	fantastic 96:21	17:20,25 18:11
			18:15,15 19:23

20:2 21:16,16	71:3 72:6,8,15	48:11,11,12,13	<b>fit</b> 51:3
21:18 22:2,10	72:15 73:6,17	49:14,16 50:13	<b>five</b> 55:24 74:9
22:15,18,25	74:9,17,18	52:6,20,25	97:13
24:4,7,9,9,25	77:13,20,23,25	53:2,15 54:22	<b>flood</b> 86:15
28:19 29:11,15	78:1,20,23,25	55:20,25 56:4	<b>foam</b> 97:4
29:18,24 30:3	79:3,4,24,25	56:7,13 66:1,7	<b>focus</b> 37:12
30:13 31:11,16	80:1,2,5 81:2,3	78:8 79:9	50:16 52:3
31:16,24 33:4	81:10,16 82:21	80:17,22,23	95:15,24
33:8,11,19,20	84:3,6,11,12,16	81:7 92:8	folder 7:7
34:1,2,5,6,8,9	84:21 85:10	firefighting	folks 45:1 50:5
34:14,21,23	87:1,5,16 88:3	11:4 12:22	51:17
35:9,14,20,22	88:5,16,21,22	17:8 49:6,12	<b>followed</b> 20:17
36:3,10,18	91:12 92:13,17	78:6,13 94:25	following 40:24
37:1,12 38:3	92:18,22,23	95:22	follows 5:5
39:6,20,25	93:1,10,12,22	firehouse 35:25	<b>font</b> 42:25
40:15,17,18,19	93:22 95:24	85:5	<b>force</b> 40:4
40:23,24 41:2	96:9,13,16,19	firehouses	forefront 54:2
41:4,5,6,13	96:24 97:1,8	36:20 48:4	foregoing
44:6,22 45:20	98:4,7,9,17,19	<b>fires</b> 18:18 49:4	107:9 110:13
46:11 47:17,19	98:19 99:1,6	81:5 91:16	111:18
48:4,6,8,9,12	99:15,22,23	97:14 99:3	<b>forest</b> 68:5
48:22 49:2	100:7,11,25	<b>firm</b> 11:10	<b>form</b> 29:1 53:7
50:8,16,18	102:2 103:2,5	<b>first</b> 8:23 14:23	89:7,10,16
51:1,11 52:10	103:14,18	44:16 76:16,18	91:4
53:4,22,23	104:10,11,12	93:10 97:7	<b>formal</b> 103:1
54:4 57:1,4,13	104:16,22,23	107:6	formally 48:24
57:14,15,16,23	105:3,10,11,16	<b>fiscal</b> 27:15,19	<b>format</b> 42:17
57:24 59:4,13	105:23	28:4,12,13,16	fort 29:13
59:22,24,25	firefighter 7:25	28:25 29:5	31:10 32:3
60:10,12,12,15	8:9,10,21 9:2	30:7,8,10,16,24	<b>found</b> 80:2
60:22 61:14,18	35:20 91:23,24	32:16,20,23	foundation
62:6 63:2	92:4 94:12	33:2,13,14	89:17
64:14,16 65:25	firefighters 9:9	34:18 37:3,10	<b>four</b> 51:23
67:13 68:21	9:12 35:4	44:4 58:19	53:13 57:20,22
69:12,25 70:15	39:19,21,23		80:9,12,22

## [four - heard]

83:4,5,22	g	<b>good</b> 21:24	handed 42:11
89:14,25 92:25	general 82:1	28:15 37:21,24	104:17
93:11,14	generally 18:23	38:1,1,3,4 78:1	handful 81:23
<b>free</b> 110:14	geographic	78:9 90:22	<b>hands</b> 64:17
111:20	18:2,13,20	100:12	73:13
frequent 71:25	geographically	greenwood	<b>happen</b> 36:14
91:21	47:21	29:22 30:3	74:1 103:25
frequently	geologist 86:14	<b>group</b> 12:10,12	happened 60:5
29:10 91:18	getting 49:9	26:23	happening
100:13	52:16 95:15	groups 38:15	37:22,24 62:11
<b>friday</b> 58:1	101:7	38:16,17	62:22
<b>full</b> 8:24 9:1,6	give 79:12	<b>grow</b> 64:23	happy 37:23
9:10,11 44:2	<b>given</b> 107:19	67:24	hard 9:19 39:2
49:16,24 50:5	<b>go</b> 12:15 14:10	growing 19:23	harrisburg
52:24 53:5,7,9	17:2 23:2	grown 74:14	36:24
53:15 54:18	34:15 42:5	78:12	hastings 71:25
55:1,12,14,14	47:1 53:20	grows 74:19	hauling 49:2
55:15 63:25	58:8 65:23	<b>growth</b> 35:24	hauls 48:24
66:1 68:15	89:9 95:3	36:13,17,19	hazardous
71:16,18 94:7	104:6	53:16,21 64:16	17:24 95:4
<b>fully</b> 9:12 55:4	<b>going</b> 6:14 13:1	67:21 96:25	<b>hazmat</b> 91:17
55:6 70:2,3	15:1 19:20	guess 14:11	95:5,6,12
71:16	21:11 22:11	20:10 21:15	<b>head</b> 6:4,6
functions 49:7		32:8,25 53:20	54:20 57:6
<b>fund</b> 41:6	25:21 30:21	guys 49:19	85:18
65:18,22	32:14 34:14	54:16 95:25	header 98:20
funding 22:9	39:5,6,12,12,15	97:3 98:16	headquarter
<b>funds</b> 22:11,15	39:19,21 40:14 43:8 46:13	<b>guys's</b> 69:9	36:7
75:4,17	51:11 54:12	h	headquarters
<b>further</b> 21:15	56:14,21 59:22	half 87:16,17	10:20
96:24 106:1,5	62:4 66:24	hall 1:19	hear 27:8 50:21
107:24	70:14 78:20	107:11	70:7 101:4
<b>future</b> 35:25	80:25 100:13	hamilton 89:19	102:5
36:1,2,16	102:24	hand 108:5	heard 25:12
100:14			26:24 27:3

			]
35:15 73:16	<b>hit</b> 15:5 63:4	illogical 40:3	implement 55:7
104:8	<b>hitting</b> 97:20	imagine 86:20	implicit 104:4
hearings 58:20	home 10:3 50:1	immediately	important 5:22
100:4	50:1	61:18	6:2,9 18:14
<b>held</b> 43:24	homes 52:9	<b>impact</b> 13:2,20	impossible
<b>help</b> 46:8,10,11	72:16 74:9	13:20 14:1,6,7	19:15
91:25,25 92:1	honestly 6:17	14:19,22 17:6	improved
92:10 93:3	<b>hopeful</b> 101:25	19:6,9,13,17,20	90:24
<b>helped</b> 96:24	<b>hosted</b> 43:25	19:22 20:1	incident 96:20
helps 95:17	hour 82:19	23:15,21 24:3	<b>include</b> 9:15,17
hereunto 108:4	107:13	24:7,21,22	10:16 28:19
<b>hey</b> 43:7 54:3	hours 82:23	27:7 28:6,7,10	47:22
high 79:3,23	95:20	29:17 31:22	included 31:3
80:1,3 81:2,5	house 44:9 97:1	32:21,24 37:11	includes 52:24
81:10,16,25	97:7,9,10,14,20	39:7,9,12,13	<b>income</b> 13:14
82:6 93:14	household 44:8	42:1 44:7	13:15 14:14
94:15	houses 97:1,11	66:19 71:5	19:6,8,19,19
<b>higher</b> 62:25	how's 75:3	85:21 86:25	75:5 76:5
65:15 71:20	<b>huh</b> 53:17	87:10,21 100:7	101:1,17
72:18 81:2	70:21 79:22	impacted 14:16	incorporated
87:5 94:1,17	hundred 81:24	15:2,15 22:13	111:12
highest 80:9	hurting 62:21	22:20 24:12	incorrect 83:13
highland 96:17	hydrant 84:3	29:21 41:12	incorrectly
highlands	84:16,20	impacts 11:4	83:8 102:23
96:16	hydrants 85:23	12:21 13:3,7	increase 14:17
<b>highly</b> 70:6	86:16,24	13:11 14:7	40:23 44:16,25
hinder 20:1	104:10	15:22 17:7	45:5 55:18,23
hinges 100:10	i	20:8,13 21:1,4	64:8,15 65:25
hire 53:14 55:8	identification	21:7,14 22:3	66:11,16,23
55:19 64:22	42:9 104:14	23:18 24:4,6	67:5,25
<b>hired</b> 53:10	<b>identified</b> 11:2	25:1,8 27:16	increased
55:7 61:2	21:6 27:14,21	99:22	40:21 61:3
<b>hiring</b> 19:23	27:25 78:16	impetus 53:18	increasing
53:5,16,18	<b>identify</b> 104:19	70:22	36:20
55:15 56:8,10	<b>IUCIIIII</b> 104.19		

## [incredible - know]

$\begin{array}{l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $	incredible 19:3	<b>inside</b> 78:2,25	irrespective	61:19,23 62:13
24.15instance22:10islands47:4joins58:23index $3:1 4:1$ $26:1 86:13$ iso $82:7,15 83:3$ joint $105:18$ indian $47:23$ $101:5$ $83:9,14,24$ july $56:24$ $54:1 57:18$ insulation $97:5$ $85:4,10,25$ jump $19:5$ $69:20,22 71:1$ $52:23$ $89:23 95:15,18$ jurisdiction $77:6$ intended $45:5$ $102:15,17,19$ $62:13$ indiana $1:1,6$ intent $18:17$ $103:8$ k $107:12,14$ interestedissue $23:11$ $67:23 80:14$ $107:12,14$ interestedissue $11:9$ item $55:5$ indianapolis $31:21 48:3$ interesting $j$ $2:3 3:5 100:22$ $2:9$ $80:2$ interresting $j$ $2:3 3:5 100:22$ $60:5,6 70:20$ $2:9$ $80:2$ interregatory $58:6 59:16$ $63:9 91:21$ $knock 72:13$ individuallyinterrogatory $50:5,6 70:20$ $know 5:22 6:21$ $62:12 1:14$ $20:20 26:4$ $5:21$ job $39:9 78:11$ $14:15,18,24$ $99:15$ interrupted $99:15$ $15:20 18:15,17$ industry $18:8$ $59:1$ $99:15$ $15:20 18:15,17$ $20:20 26:4$ $5:21$ $jon$ $89:19$ $23:1,13 27:2,4$ $21:9 44:3,20$ invitation $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $20:218$ $104:6$ $involved$ $38:23$ $57:11,15 58:4$ $31:14$ $104:6$ $involved$ <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th> <th></th>			-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	instance 22:10		joins 58:23
indian $47:23$ $101:5$ $83:9,14,24$ july $56:24$ $54:157:18$ insulation $97:5$ $85:4,10,25$ jump $19:5$ $69:20,2271:1$ $52:23$ $89:2395:15,18$ jurisdiction $77:6$ intended $45:5$ $102:15,17,19$ $62:13$ indiana $1:1,6$ intent $18:17$ $103:8$ k $1:11,18,20,21$ intentionallyissue $23:11$ $62:13$ $67:22$ $107:12,14$ interestedissue $11:9$ $108:12$ $31:21$ $48:3$ issues $11:9$ $108:12$ $31:21$ $48:3$ $55:5$ indianapolisinterfere $j$ $2:3$ $57:17$ $2:9$ $80:2$ $j$ $2:3$ $3:5$ $100:22$ individuallyinterfere $j$ $j$ $2:3$ $3:5$ $100:22$ $2:9$ $80:2$ interrogatory $j$ $2:3$ $59:16$ $63:9$ $91:21$ individuals $35:3,6$ $78:18$ $j$ $99:15$ $15:20$ $8nock$ $72:13$ industry $18:8$ $59:1$ $92:15$ $19:14,22$ $20:16$ $27:25$ $28:21$ $20:20$ $62:18$ $63:2,9$ $30:4,9$ $33:3,5$ $30:4,9$ $33:2,5$ $30:4,9$ $33:2,5$ $30:4,9$ $33:2,5$ $102:19$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $30:4,9$ $33:2,5$ $30:4,9$ $33:2,2$ $44:2,9$ <td< th=""><th><b>index</b> 3:1 4:1</th><th></th><th><b>iso</b> 82:7,15 83:3</th><th>•</th></td<>	<b>index</b> 3:1 4:1		<b>iso</b> 82:7,15 83:3	•
54:157:18insulation $97:5$ $85:4,10,25$ $jump$ $19:5$ $63:16$ $65:9$ insurance $87:12,14$ $89:3$ $56:19$ $69:20,22$ $71:1$ $52:23$ $89:23$ $95:15,18$ $jurisdiction$ $77:6$ intended $45:5$ $102:15,17,19$ $62:13$ indiana $1:1,6$ intent $18:17$ $103:8$ k $107:12,14$ interestedissue $23:11$ $67:23$ $80:14$ $107:12,14$ interestedissues $11:9$ item $55:5$ indianays $18:8$ $58:14$ $108:2$ j $2:3$ $57:17$ $108:12$ $31:21$ $48:3$ $58:14$ $108:2$ j $57:17$ $108:12$ $31:21$ $48:3$ $57:17$ $59:20$ $60:5,6$ $70:20$ $100:12$ $80:2$ interresting $35:3,6$ $78:18$ $39:19$ $89:19$ $81:17$ $26:2$ $58:15$ $28:1$ $103:13,13$ $6:24$ $12:14$ $60:19$ $91:3$ interrogatory $102:22$ $103:13,13$ $6:24$ $12:14$ $9:15$ $15:20$ $8:15,17$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $73:8$ $89:23$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $83:23$ $97:8,11$ $9:15$ $51:13,221$ $36:12,33,55$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $83:23$ <th>indian 47:23</th> <th>101:5</th> <th>,</th> <th>U</th>	indian 47:23	101:5	,	U
$69:20,22\ 71:1$ $52:23$ $89:23\ 95:15,18$ <b>jurisdiction</b> $77:6$ intended 45:5 $102:15,17,19$ $62:13$ indiana 1:1,6intent 18:17 $103:8$ k $1:11,18,20,21$ intentionally $102:6$ $67:23\ 80:14$ issue 23:11 $107:12,14$ interestedissues 11:9 $43:7,9\ 45:2$ $108:12$ $31:21\ 48:3$ item 55:5keep 6:10\ 40:9 $108:12$ $31:21\ 48:3$ $j$ $57:17\ 59:20$ indianapolisinteresting $j$ $2:3\ 3:5\ 100:22$ $60:5,6\ 70:20$ $2:9$ $80:2$ $j$ $2:3\ 3:5\ 100:22$ $60:5,6\ 70:20$ individuallyinterfere 19:18 $59:1$ $58:6\ 59:16$ $63:9\ 91:21$ $26:2\ 53:6$ interrogatory $58:6\ 59:16$ $63:9\ 91:9$ $81:17$ $55:15\ 58:15$ $28:1$ $103:13,13$ $6:24\ 12:14$ $100:19\ 91:3$ interrupted $job\ 39:9\ 78:1$ $99:15$ $100:20\ 26:4$ $5:21$ $job\ 39:9\ 78:1$ $99:15$ $32:19\ 44:3,20$ invitation $59:16\ 61:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $73:8\ 89:23$ involuntary $102:19\ 27:5,10,11,24$ $29:15\ 61:13,211\ 35:12\ 33:25\ 45:19$ $15:3\ 25:9$ $83:23\ 97:8,11\ 57:11,15\ 58:4\ 59:19\ 99:9$ $33:23\ 24:8$ $57:11,15\ 58:4\ 58:16\ 59:9$ $57:11,15\ 58:4\ 54:14\ 55:4\ 58:16\ 59:9$	54:1 57:18	insulation 97:5	85:4,10,25	jump 19:5
77:6intended45:5 $102:15,17,19$ $62:13$ indiana1:1,6intent $18:17$ $103:8$ kintianaintentionallyissue $23:11$ $67:22$ $80:14$ $107:12,14$ interestedissue $11:9$ item $57:5$ indiana's $18:8$ $58:14$ $108:2$ $67:23$ $80:14$ indianapolis $31:21$ $48:3$ $58:14$ $108:2$ $interesting$ $57:17$ $59:20$ individuallyinterfere $19:18$ $58:16$ $59:16$ $57:17$ $59:20$ individuals $35:3,6$ $35:3,6$ $78:18$ $jason$ $89:19$ $81:17$ $26:2$ $53:6$ interrogatory $102:22$ $103:13,13$ $6:24$ $12:14$ $26:2$ $53:15$ $28:1$ $103:13,13$ $6:24$ $12:14$ $100:19$ $91:3$ interrupted $joh$ $89:19$ $81:17$ $101:19$ $9:15$ $15:20$ $18:15,17$ $102:22$ $102:21$ $103:13,13$ $6:24$ $12:14$ $102:19$ $9:15$ $15:20$ $18:15,17$ $102:19$ $23:1,13$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $73:8$ $89:23$ $104:6$ $58:7$ $35:22$ $36:48:32$ $101:14$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $15:3$ $25:9$ $83:23$ $97:8,11$ $9:15$ $61:13,21$ $15:3$ $25:9$ $83:23$ $97:8,11$ <	63:16 65:9	insurance	87:12,14 89:3	56:19
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	69:20,22 71:1	52:23	89:23 95:15,18	jurisdiction
1:11,18,20,21 $67:22 107:1,4$ $107:12,14$ $107:12,14$ $108:12$ intentionally $108:12$ issue $23:11$ $67:23 80:14$ $issues 11:9$ item $55:5$ keep $6:10 40:9$ $43:7,9 45:2$ kennedy $10:21$ kind $51:3,3$ $57:17 59:20$ indianapolis $2:9$ interesting $80:2$ $80:2$ $80:2$ issues $11:9$ item $55:5$ keep $6:10 40:9$ $43:7,9 45:2$ kennedy $10:21$ kind $51:3,3$ $57:17 59:20$ individually $23:23 62:8$ individuals $26:2 53:6$ interrogatory $25:15 58:15$ $28:1$ j $28:1$ $102:22 103:11$ $102:22 103:11$ $103:13,13$ $job 39:9 78:1$ $102:22 103:11$ $102:19$ $102:19$ $102:19$ $102:19$ $102:19$ $102:19$ $23:1,13 27:2,4$ $102:19$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25 28:22$ $30:4,9 33:3,5$ $31:22 34:8$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $59:16 61:13,21$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $59:16 61:13,21$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $59:16 61:13,21$ $59:16 61:13,21$ $59:16 61:13,21$ $59:16 51:14 55:4$ $54:14 55:4$ $54:14 55:4$ $54:14 55:4$	77:6	intended 45:5	102:15,17,19	62:13
$67:22\ 107:1,4\ 102:6\ interested\ 31:21\ 48:3\ indiana s\ 18:8\ interesting\ 2:9\ 80:2\ 80:2\ 80:2\ interesting\ 35:3,6\ 78:18\ international\ 35:3,6\ 78:18\ interrogatory\ 55:15\ 58:15\ 28:1\ interrogatory\ 55:15\ 58:15\ 28:1\ interrogatory\ 55:15\ 58:15\ 28:1\ interrupted\ 59:1\ 32:29\ 102:22\ 103:11\ 103:13,13\ 6:24\ 12:14\ 103:13,13\ 6:24\ 12:14\ 103:13,13\ 6:24\ 12:14\ 103:13,13\ 6:24\ 12:14\ 103:13,13\ 102:22\ 103:11\ 103:13,13\ 102:14\ 12:1$	indiana 1:1,6	<b>intent</b> 18:17	103:8	k
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1:11,18,20,21	intentionally	<b>issue</b> 23:11	keen 6.10.40.9
107:12,14 $108:12$ interestedissues $11:9$ itemkennedy $10:21$ kind $108:12$ $31:21$ 48:3 $58:14$ 108:2 $j$ $inter of secondaryjinter of secondary2:980:2individuallyinterfere19:18internationalj2:3 3:5 100:22january56:958:6 59:1660:5,6 70:20knew2:980:2internationalj2:3 3:5 100:22january60:5,6 70:20knew14:12:980:2internationalj2:3 3:5 100:22secondary60:5,6 70:20knewknock2:253:2362:8interrogatoryj3:3:5 100:22secondaryknock72:13secondary26:253:651:1528:1interruptedj99:121102:22knock72:13secondary102:1921:2114:15,18,2499:1599:1515:2018:15,1719:14,22214:15,18,2499:1520:206:45:21102:1952:2372:2238:19102:18100:519:14,22219:14,22220:16102:19102:18104:6100:519:14,22220:1627:2528:22102:19102:1927:55,10,11,2427:25,28:22102:1915:325:9102:1838:2545:1959:1561:13,2115:325:983:2338:2545:1944:2,952:1015:325:958:1658:1659:9.954:14<$	67:22 107:1,4	102:6	67:23 80:14	-
$108:12$ $31:21 \ 48:3$ item 55:5kind 51:3,3indianayolis $58:14 \ 108:2$ $j$ $57:17 \ 59:20$ individuallyinteresting $j$ $2:3 \ 3:5 \ 100:22$ kind 51:3,3 $2:9$ $80:2$ $j$ $2:3 \ 3:5 \ 100:22$ $knew \ 14:1$ $23:23 \ 62:8$ international $35:3,6 \ 78:18$ $j$ $88:6 \ 59:16$ $25:20$ individuals $35:3,6 \ 78:18$ $35:3,6 \ 78:18$ $interrogatory$ $81:17$ $26:2 \ 53:6$ interrogatory $28:1$ $102:22 \ 103:11$ $know \ 5:22 \ 6:21$ $60:19 \ 91:3$ interrupted $59:1$ $job \ 39:9 \ 78:1$ $14:15,18,24$ $99:15$ $15:20 \ 18:15,17$ $15:20 \ 18:15,17$ informationinvestigation $52:23 \ 72:22$ $38:19$ $102:19$ $23:1,13 \ 27:2,4$ $73:8 \ 89:23$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:25 \ 28:22$ initial 97:12 $104:6$ $58:7 \ 59:15 \ 61:13,21$ $35:1,2 \ 38:10$ $15:3 \ 25:9$ $83:23 \ 97:8,11$ $57:11,15 \ 58:4$ $54:14 \ 55:4$ $51:16 \ 53:25$ $83:23 \ 97:8,11$ $57:11,15 \ 58:4$ $54:14 \ 55:4$	107:12,14	interested	issues 11:9	,
indiana's $18:8$ $58:14\ 108:2$ j $57:17\ 59:20$ indianapolis 2:9interesting 80:2 $j$ $2:3\ 3:5\ 100:22$ $60:5,6\ 70:20$ individually 23:23\ 62:8interfere $19:18$ $58:6\ 59:16$ $25:20$ individuals 26:2\ 53:6 $35:3,6\ 78:18$ $58:16\ 59:16$ $25:20$ individuals 26:2\ 53:6 $35:3,6\ 78:18$ $102:22\ 103:11$ $81:17$ industry $18:8$ $59:1$ $102:22\ 103:11$ $6:24\ 12:14$ $99:15$ $15:20\ 18:15,17$ $19:14,22\ 20:16$ $32:19\ 44:3,20$ $100:5$ $19:14,22\ 20:16$ $5:21$ $100:5$ $19:14,22\ 20:16$ $102:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $73:8\ 89:23$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $101:14\ 97:12$ $104:6$ $38:23\ 97:8,11$ $59:15\ 61:13,21$ $35:1,2\ 38:10$ $15:3\ 25:9$ $83:23\ 97:8,11$ $57:11,15\ 58:4$ $54:14\ 55:4$ $58:16\ 59:9.9$ $62:23.24\ 63:7$	108:12	31:21 48:3	<b>item</b> 55:5	•
indianapolis 2:9interesting 80:2j2:3 3:5 100:2260:5,6 70:20individualy 23:23 62:8interfere 19:18 international 35:3,6 78:18j2:3 3:5 100:2260:5,6 70:20individuals 26:2 53:6internogatory 28:158:6 59:16 63:9 91:2125:2055:15 58:15 60:19 91:328:1 interruptedjason 89:19 102:22 103:1181:17industry 18:8 100:19 91:3interrupted investigation 52:23 72:2259:1 102:19job 39:9 78:1 19:14,22 20:164:15,18,24 99:15join 58:6 61:19 102:195:2019:14,22 20:16 23:1,13 27:2,4join 58:6 61:19 102:18involuntary 102:1838:23 104:6 involuntary 102:1838:23 45:19 83:23 97:8,11 involvement38:23 97:8,11 58:16 59:9.930:4,9 33:3,5 33:22 34:8	indiana's 18:8		j	,
2:9 $80:2$ interfere $80:2$ interfere $91:18$ $58:6$ $59:16$ $58:6$ $25:20$ $59:16$ individuals $35:3,6$ $35:3,6$ $78:18$ interrogatory $58:6$ $59:16$ $63:9$ $25:20$ $81:17$ $26:2$ $53:6$ interrogatory $25:15$ $28:1$ interrupted $102:22$ $103:13,13$ $102:22$ $81:17$ $80:2$ $60:19$ $91:3$ interruptedinterrupted $59:1$ $20:20$ $26:4$ $5:21$ $59:1$ $99:15$ $6:24$ $12:14$ $102:22$ $20:20$ $26:4$ $5:21$ $59:1$ investigation $52:23$ $72:22$ $38:19$ $14:15,18,24$ $99:15$ $15:20$ $18:15,17$ $19:14,22$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $73:8$ $89:23$ involuntary $102:18$ involuntary $102:18$ $104:6$ involved $38:23$ $38:25$ $45:26$ $51:13,21$ $59:15$ $35:1,2$ $38:10$ $35:1,2$ $35:1,2$ $38:10$ $35:1,2$ $35:1,2$ $38:10$ $35:1,2$ $35:1,2$ $35:1,2$ $35:1,2$ $15:3$ $25:9$ $15:3$ $83:23$ $37:8,11$ $51:16$ $53:25$ $83:23$ $37:8,11$ $57:11,15$ $58:4$ $58:16$ $54:14$ $55:4$ $58:16$ $59:9.9$ $62:23.24$ $63:7$	-	-	<b>i</b> 2:3 3:5 100:22	
individuallyinterfere $19:18$ $58:659:16$ $25:20$ $23:23 62:8$ international $35:3,678:18$ $63:991:21$ knock $72:13$ individuals $35:3,678:18$ $102:22 103:11$ know $5:22 6:21$ $26:2 53:6$ interrogatory $102:22 103:11$ know $5:22 6:21$ $55:15 58:15$ $28:1$ $103:13,13$ $6:24 12:14$ $60:19 91:3$ interrupted $job 39:978:1$ $14:15,18,24$ industry $18:8$ $59:1$ $99:15$ $15:20 18:15,17$ informationinvestigation $5:21$ $99:15$ $15:20 18:15,17$ $20:20 26:4$ $5:21$ $joh 89:19$ $23:1,13 27:2,4$ $32:19 44:3,20$ invitation $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $52:23 72:22$ $38:19$ $102:19$ $27:25 28:22$ $73:8 89:23$ involuntary $62:18 63:2,9$ $30:4,9 33:3,5$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $35:1,2 38:10$ $15:3 25:9$ $83:23 97:8,11$ $57:11,15 58:4$ $54:14 55:4$ $51:16 53:25$ involvement $58:16 59:9.9$ $62:23.24 63:7$			U	,
23:23 62:8international $35:3,6 78:18$ $63:9 91:21$ knock 72:13individuals $35:3,6 78:18$ jason 89:19 $81:17$ $26:2 53:6$ interrogatory $102:22 103:11$ $81:17$ $55:15 58:15$ $28:1$ $103:13,13$ $6:24 12:14$ $60:19 91:3$ interrupted $job 39:9 78:1$ $6:24 12:14$ industry 18:8 $59:1$ $job 39:9 78:1$ $14:15,18,24$ $20:20 26:4$ $5:21$ $joh 89:19$ $15:20 18:15,17$ $32:19 44:3,20$ invitation $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $52:23 72:22$ $38:19$ $102:19$ $27:25 28:22$ $73:8 89:23$ involuntary $102:19$ $27:25 28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $35:1,2 38:10$ initial 97:12involved $38:23$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $35:1,2 38:10$ $15:3 25:9$ $83:23 97:8,11$ $57:11,15 58:4$ $54:14 55:4$ $51:16 53:25$ involvement $58:16 59:9.9$ $62:23.24 63:7$	•		•	
26:2 53:6 $55:15 58:15$ $60:19 91:3$ interrogatory $28:1$ interrupted $59:1$ investigation $20:20 26:4$ $32:19 44:3,20$ $52:23 72:22$ $73:8 89:23$ $102:18$ interrupted $59:1$ invitation $102:19$ $102:19$ $81:17$ know $5:22 6:21$ $6:24 12:14$ $99:15$ $15:20 18:15,17$ $19:14,22 20:16$ $19:14,22 20:16$ $19:14,22 20:16$ $19:14,22 20:16$ $19:14,22 20:16$ $19:14,22 20:16$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $102:19$ $27:25 28:22$ $30:4,9 33:3,5$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $15:3 25:9$ $15:3 25:9$ $15:16 53:25$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $104:6$ $105:10 83:23$ $111,15 58:4$ $111,15 58:4$ <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>knock 72:13</td></br<>				knock 72:13
$26:2\ 53:6$ interrogatory $102:22\ 103:11$ know $5:22\ 6:21$ $55:15\ 58:15$ $28:1$ $102:22\ 103:11$ $6:24\ 12:14$ $60:19\ 91:3$ interruptedindustry $18:8$ $59:1$ $99:15$ $14:15,18,24$ informationinvestigation $20:20\ 26:4$ $5:21$ $99:15$ $15:20\ 18:15,17$ $32:19\ 44:3,20$ invitation $99:15$ $19:14,22\ 20:16$ $32:23\ 72:22$ $38:19$ $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $73:8\ 89:23$ involuntary $102:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $73:8\ 89:23$ $104:6$ $102:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $38:23$ $36:25\ 45:19$ $15:3\ 25:9$ $83:23\ 97:8,11$ $59:15\ 61:13,21$ $35:1,2\ 38:10$ $15:3\ 25:9$ $83:23\ 97:8,11$ $58:16\ 59:9.9$ $52:23,24\ 63:7$			jason 89:19	81:17
$60:19\ 91:3$ interrupted $103:13,13$ $6:24\ 12:14$ industry $18:8$ $59:1$ $job\ 39:9\ 78:1$ $14:15,18,24$ informationinvestigation $5:21$ $99:15$ $15:20\ 18:15,17$ $20:20\ 26:4$ $5:21$ $joh\ 89:19$ $23:1,13\ 27:2,4$ $32:19\ 44:3,20$ invitation $102:19$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $52:23\ 72:22$ $38:19$ $102:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $73:8\ 89:23$ involuntary $104:6$ $58:6\ 61:19$ $27:25\ 28:22$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $59:15\ 61:13,21$ $35:1,2\ 38:10$ initial $97:12$ $83:23\ 97:8,11$ $59:15\ 61:13,21$ $35:1,2\ 38:10$ $15:3\ 25:9$ $83:23\ 97:8,11$ $57:11,15\ 58:4$ $54:14\ 55:4$ $58:16\ 59:9.9$ $62:23.24\ 63:7$			0	<b>know</b> 5:22 6:21
industry $18:8$ $59:1$ $job$ $39:9 78:1$ $14:15,18,24$ informationinvestigation $59:1$ $99:15$ $15:20$ $18:15,17$ $20:20$ $26:4$ $5:21$ $joe$ $100:5$ $19:14,22$ $20:16$ $32:19$ $44:3,20$ invitation $join$ $89:19$ $23:1,13$ $27:2,4$ $52:23$ $72:22$ $38:19$ $join$ $58:6$ $61:19$ $27:25$ $28:22$ $73:8$ $89:23$ $involuntary$ $62:18$ $63:2,9$ $30:4,9$ $33:3,5$ $102:18$ $104:6$ $involved$ $38:23$ $59:15$ $61:13,21$ $35:1,2$ $38:10$ $intially$ $14:23$ $38:25$ $45:19$ $59:15$ $61:13,21$ $35:1,2$ $38:10$ $15:3$ $25:9$ $83:23$ $97:8,11$ $57:11,15$ $58:4$ $54:14$ $55:4$ $58:16$ $59:9,9$ $62:23,24$ $63:7$			103:13,13	6:24 12:14
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	<b>job</b> 39:9 78:1	14:15,18,24
20:20 26:4 32:19 44:3,20 52:23 72:225:21 invitation 38:19 involuntary 102:18invitation 38:19 involuntary 104:6 initial 97:125:21 involuntary 104:6 involved 38:23 38:25 45:19 83:23 97:8,11 51:16 53:25john 89:19 102:19 join 58:6 61:19 62:18 63:2,9 59:15 61:13,21 59:15 61:13,21 59:15 58:4 57:11,15 58:4 58:16 59:9.919.14,22 20.16 23:1,13 27:2,4 23:1,13 27:2,4 27:5,10,11,24 27:25 28:22 30:4,9 33:3,5 33:22 34:8 35:1,2 38:10 44:2,9 52:10	-		99:15	15:20 18:15,17
32:19 44:3,20 $52:23 72:22$ invitation $38:19$ involuntary $102:18$ invitation $38:19$ involuntary $104:6$ initial 97:12join 58:6 61:19 $62:18 63:2,9$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $23:1,13 27:2,4$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25 28:22$ $30:4,9 33:3,5$ $33:22 34:8$ initial 97:12 initially 14:23 $15:3 25:9$ $51:16 53:25$ involved $38:23$ $83:23 97:8,11$ involvementjoin $69:19$ $102:19$ $59:15 61:13,21$ $57:11,15 58:4$ $58:16 59:9.9$ $23:1,13 27:2,4$ $27:5,10,11,24$ $27:25 28:22$ $30:4,9 33:3,5$ $33:22 34:8$ $44:2,9 52:10$ $54:14 55:4$ $62:23,24 63:7$		-	<b>joe</b> 100:5	19:14,22 20:16
52:23 72:22 73:8 89:23 102:1838:19 involuntary 104:6 initial 97:12 15:3 25:9 51:16 53:2538:19 involuntary 104:6 involved 38:23 38:25 45:19 83:23 97:8,11 involvement102:19 join 58:6 61:19 62:18 63:2,9 joined 58:7 59:15 61:13,21 59:15 61:13,21 joining 48:3 57:11,15 58:4 58:16 59:9,927:5,10,11,24 27:25 28:22 30:4,9 33:3,5 33:22 34:8 35:1,2 38:10 44:2,9 52:10			<b>john</b> 89:19	23:1,13 27:2,4
73:8 89:23 102:18involuntary 104:6Join 58:6 61:1927:25 28:22initial 97:12 initially 14:23 15:3 25:9104:6 involved 38:2330:4,9 33:3,515:3 25:9 51:16 53:2538:25 45:19 83:23 97:8,1138:25 45:19 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	,		102:19	27:5,10,11,24
102:18104:662:18 63:2,930:4,9 33:3,5initial 97:12104:6joined 58:733:22 34:8initially 14:2338:25 45:1959:15 61:13,2135:1,2 38:1015:3 25:983:23 97:8,1157:11,15 58:454:14 55:451:16 53:25involvement58:16 59:9,962:23,24 63:7			<b>join</b> 58:6 61:19	27:25 28:22
initial 97:12involved 38:23joined 58:733:22 34:8initially 14:2338:25 45:1959:15 61:13,2135:1,2 38:1015:3 25:983:23 97:8,11joining 48:344:2,9 52:1051:16 53:25involvement58:16 59:9,962:23,24 63:7		•	62:18 63:2,9	30:4,9 33:3,5
initially14:2338:25 45:1959:15 61:13,2135:1,2 38:1015:3 25:983:23 97:8,11joining 48:344:2,9 52:1051:16 53:25involvement57:11,15 58:454:14 55:462:23,24 63:7			joined 58:7	33:22 34:8
15:3 25:983:23 97:8,11Joining 48:344:2,9 52:1051:16 53:25involvement57:11,15 58:454:14 55:462:23.24 63:7			59:15 61:13,21	35:1,2 38:10
51:16 53:25 <b>involvement</b> 57:11,15 58:4 54:14 55:4 62:23.24 63:7			joining 48:3	,
38:10,39:9.9 02:23,24 03:7		,	57:11,15 58:4	54:14 55:4
	51.10 55:25		58:16 59:9,9	62:23,24 63:7
101:9     59:16 60:6     65:2 66:4 68:6		101.9	59:16 60:6	65:2 66:4 68:6

Γ	1		1
70:22 72:20	<b>large</b> 30:2	67:24	<b>location</b> 10:19
73:8 74:12	31:22 107:4	<b>lies</b> 75:6	86:14 109:14
75:13 76:16,23	larger 18:20	<b>light</b> 97:3	locations 105:6
77:8,15,16,18	63:3	<b>likely</b> 42:21	long 7:18 71:2
77:23 78:6	largest 18:9	93:11	longer 26:5
79:3,11 80:13	late 57:4,6	limited 85:6	36:1 41:16
82:2,17 83:2,6	70:12	95:7	101:7 102:8
83:7,20 85:10	<b>law</b> 38:4 41:15	limits 96:8	look 17:18,22
85:15,15 86:4	45:20 63:5,5	<b>line</b> 21:19	18:15 26:21
86:9 88:2,2,13	lawbr.com 2:5	54:25 55:1,3	33:16 54:4
89:3,13 91:20	lays 58:14	111:7 112:3	59:21 65:24
97:2,19 98:10	leaning 71:3	<b>lines</b> 23:1 86:1	70:13,16 71:24
98:12,15,17,20	<b>left</b> 40:5 45:9	86:23,23,24	72:20 73:5,6,7
98:20 99:4,11	47:4 61:7,9	91:5 105:21	73:9 74:16,17
knowing 14:18	<b>legal</b> 109:1	list 9:22 56:8	74:20 77:4
19:14,20	112:1	listed 12:10	78:18 79:19,21
knowledge	legislation 16:3	83:11,17 111:7	86:11
14:6 21:13	16:16 29:23	111:17	<b>looked</b> 7:6,6,10
35:13 79:15,18	62:14 103:22	listing 111:7	7:13 13:25
99:13	legislative	<b>lit</b> 74:11,24	15:12 26:11
<b>known</b> 12:9	64:13 99:21,25	75:9,11,16,19	29:10,14 54:6
48:24	legislators	75:22,25 76:2	62:23 65:4
<b>knows</b> 89:8	100:3	76:8 77:22	80:11,13,15
kroger 10:23	legislature	100:9,24	82:4
l	15:17	literally 94:11	looking 7:11
lack 38:5	letter 12:10,14	<b>little</b> 56:25	17:17 19:7,8
lacks 38:6	109:18	63:22 87:17	31:24 34:13
ladder 51:24	letters 41:22,25	94:19	36:19 42:4
94:10,11 97:24	<b>level</b> 9:15 63:15	<b>lived</b> 10:18	43:19 59:8
98:2	78:23 94:17	llp 2:8	70:23 77:5
<b>ladders</b> 93:25	levels 44:8	<b>local</b> 14:13	looks 35:21
lake 18:8 88:16	<b>levy</b> 14:17,25	35:4,5 75:4	82:15
lane 98:4	19:15 22:25	76:5 101:1,16	lose 19:19 26:9
	64:5,8,15,18	located 35:23	30:3 39:15
language 16:6	66:13,17,21	98:18	62:1

[lost - million]

<b>lost</b> 62:4	34:16 37:6	95:21	58:2 67:2
lot 7:9 13:17	41:3 43:12	mcdonald's	melted 97:8,9
14:12 17:20	45:4 50:10	98:25	members 57:3
18:3 19:1	51:18 58:22	mckinney 2:8	59:7 60:3 88:8
25:10,11,12,18	68:12 72:21	mean 15:3 20:6	mentioned 19:6
25:23 29:14	73:19 75:8	20:16 25:9,15	29:23 64:1
37:21 39:15,25	99:16 109:14	25:18 26:7	78:17
45:18 47:15	makes 45:24	27:21 29:2	merge 53:5,25
52:4 54:1	52:19 75:5	31:24 37:24	54:3
72:14 82:20	77:10 95:23	40:13,22 45:18	<b>merged</b> 63:21
88:4 94:22	making 54:9	51:10 63:3	74:20
100:4	man 51:9	73:5,22 74:19	<b>merger</b> 63:17
lots 25:15	manpower	78:10,16 79:21	63:23 64:3
low 82:24	78:7,11	92:22 94:15	65:1,7,9,11
lower 23:9 66:8	<b>map</b> 4:5 35:21	97:13 99:3,11	66:1,16,20
95:17	71:23 104:22	100:3,9,12	69:20 74:14,15
lowered 95:16	105:5,7	101:5 103:9	75:12 77:1
m	<b>march</b> 1:20	meaning 9:21	mergers 8:4
	8:25 107:13	70:17 79:10	44:6 64:7 77:1
<b>made</b> 31:20	108:12	means 49:1	merging 54:8
41:4 62:25	margaret 2:13	84:9 90:15,17	64:11
110:7	11:12	<b>meant</b> 40:10	<b>met</b> 5:13 38:15
<b>mailers</b> 41:19 44:14	marked 42:8	medical 17:19	38:16,17 54:9
<b>mailing</b> 43:4	42:12 104:13	17:21 38:6	101:13
0	104:18	72:13	<b>middle</b> 43:24
<b>maintain</b> 40:23 41:8 52:22	material 17:24	<b>meet</b> 50:7,10	midnight 91:1
104:12	materials 95:4	53:23 79:5,7,8	midwest 112:1
maintained	<b>math</b> 15:10,11	80:20 82:2	<b>mike</b> 83:12,13
40:20	44:20	meeting 26:2	<b>mile</b> 73:7
<b>majority</b> 75:6	matter 5:5	38:19 43:23,25	<b>miles</b> 47:20
101:20	93:11 107:8	44:1 57:19	84:12,16 85:2
make 11:20	109:11	63:22 70:11,14	85:3,5,7
15:6 17:5 21:9	<b>max</b> 64:4,8,18	101:11	105:23
24:2 26:17	maximum	meetings 25:10	<b>million</b> 65:2,19
33:10,16,25	64:15 67:21,24	25:11 40:7	74:13 75:21

### [million - numbers]

	1	1	
76:4	<b>month</b> 11:19	necessarily	<b>nfpa</b> 81:1,22
<b>mind</b> 25:3	12:5,6,7 13:8	15:9,20 21:20	<b>night</b> 54:11
29:13 43:13	48:1 69:10	39:20 55:11	101:12
52:1	99:6	77:2 80:3	<b>nod</b> 6:6
minimum 50:7	months 12:4	85:23 86:17	nodding 6:4
50:12 51:8	monument 2:8	93:9	<b>non</b> 88:1
58:20	<b>moore</b> 89:19	necessary 80:1	<b>north</b> 1:19
minimums	102:22 103:13	<b>need</b> 6:23 18:10	59:10 107:11
50:10 54:9	<b>morton</b> 1:19	21:15 34:8,9	northern 59:13
<b>minute</b> 99:12	107:11	34:24 35:13,25	59:14,21 60:9
<b>minutes</b> 11:18	<b>motion</b> 63:8	36:1,3,10 37:1	60:10
50:18	<b>moved</b> 10:19	39:25 40:5	notarial 108:5
misstate 11:17	56:13	42:16 50:23	notary 1:18
<b>mixed</b> 75:23	movement 63:9	52:11 55:6,8	107:3 108:12
monday 58:1	multiple 55:16	69:2 71:23	109:25 110:10
<b>money</b> 24:12	94:13	78:6,10 90:15	110:18 111:15
62:21	municipalities	92:10	111:23 112:23
<b>monitor</b> 51:17	54:14	<b>needed</b> 80:21	<b>noted</b> 5:1 106:4
monitoring	municipality	91:24 92:6	<b>notes</b> 52:21
36:13	30:18 71:7	needing 33:20	<b>notice</b> 1:21
<b>monroe</b> 1:2,3	<b>mutual</b> 90:10	<b>needs</b> 34:5,21	np0732235
1:11,18,20 4:3	90:11,13,15	35:9 36:9	108:14
7:15 8:1 10:4	91:13 98:10,12	negatively	<b>number</b> 26:8
11:5 12:23	n	22:13	47:5 50:19,21
18:8 36:15,17	name 5:9 8:2	neighborhood	51:5,22 54:13
47:20 48:12	35:1,2 42:24	96:18	54:16,18,22
49:13 50:3	72:9 109:6	neighbors 19:2	55:18 58:14
59:13,21 60:10	110:3,4,15	<b>never</b> 5:13 50:9	62:24 65:21
88:16,21 96:9	111:3,4,21	73:16 83:21	74:14 80:21
101:16 102:20	<b>national</b> 53:23	<b>new</b> 22:9,16	81:7 109:7
103:4 104:22	<b>nature</b> 35:19	34:6 35:14	<b>numbers</b> 14:13
105:2,11,16	near 50:1	56:10,18	14:18 16:23
107:2,4,12	100:14	<b>newer</b> 72:16	17:2 19:7
108:14	<b>nearest</b> 90:18	newspaper 7:8	52:16 54:21
1			
		89:21 103:10	111:7

0	<b>official</b> 110:15	<b>opted</b> 40:9	71:16
	111:21	<b>option</b> 70:18	<b>paint</b> 41:1
<b>o'reilly</b> 98:24 <b>oath</b> 5:23	officially 59:16	options 59:7	paper 15:5
	<b>oh</b> 10:17 66:22	70:16,23	parking 13:17
<b>object</b> 29:1	67:6,17 89:6	oral 1:17	part 8:23 18:14
89:7,10,16 observant 33:6	ohio 109:2	ordinance	30:18 32:10
	okay 5:20 6:5,8	54:15	49:18 50:6
<b>obtained</b> 75:17	6:25 8:11,16	originally 57:2	52:24,25 53:2
85:22 86:10	12:1,6 22:1	59:22	53:9,11 55:2
<b>obtaining</b> 44:21	26:24 28:2	outlining 41:25	57:25 62:16,19
	30:21 34:9	outside 14:7	63:6 64:12
<b>obviously</b> 24:11 25:9	45:12 52:8,17	40:5 41:10	70:4 71:18
26:8 36:12	52:17 54:3	47:2 54:5	77:1,13 102:15
42:24 50:2	56:16,19 75:24	72:23 73:1,11	105:15,20,22
42.24 50.2 51:10 52:22	80:8 84:5	own 14:21	111:9
105:4	86:19,22 95:3	22:24,25 25:7	partial 49:19
occasion 22:8	<b>old</b> 36:8	45:24 46:2	49:20 105:10
occasional	<b>once</b> 26:4 41:4	48:18,18 68:13	participate
72:15	45:21 56:5	68:19,21 69:25	99:21
occasions 11:15	101:18	88:9	participating
73:12	ones 29:9,12	<b>owned</b> 26:2	59:11
occur 11:6	<b>online</b> 30:11	<b>owners</b> 40:22	particular
36:19	<b>open</b> 91:5	66:20	16:21 72:4
occurred 45:22	operations 11:5	owns 48:14,17	<b>parties</b> 107:22
64:7 99:6	12:22 17:8	48:20	partner 29:16
101:3	19:18	р	party 108:1,3
occurring 40:1	opinions 21:19	<b>p.m.</b> 106:4	<b>past</b> 14:5
occurs 64:3	opportunity	<b>packets</b> 30:9	101:12 102:2
<b>office</b> 109:13	64:4	page 3:2 4:2	<b>pay</b> 41:7 45:2
officers 49:17	opposed 37:13	44:16 111:7	65:25 67:8,20
54:19 55:1,19	38:12,15,18	112:3	<b>paying</b> 40:11
55:20	41:19 47:6	paging 73:25	<b>pell</b> 29:17
offices 1:19	opposition	paid 8:15,20	pensions 54:24
107:10	41:22 45:14	9:12 50:5	<b>people</b> 9:20,21
		57:25 66:7	12:16 18:18

	1	1	
19:24 24:20	personal 21:13	<b>place</b> 1:21	polk 47:25 67:9
25:13 26:24	21:19,22 25:7	29:24 63:8	67:12,14,15
27:2,3 31:23	35:13 79:15,18	69:8 103:7	68:4,10 69:15
32:1 37:22	personally	104:10	69:16
40:8 41:4,10	20:22,25 21:12	placement 33:3	poplar 82:18
43:2 46:13,14	24:5 25:4,6,16	33:11,19 34:23	population
46:15 50:19,23	27:5,6 35:12	places 25:12	36:13 64:15
52:15,25 53:6	37:13 47:10	29:14	78:12,19
54:2 55:16	110:11 111:15	plan 27:15,19	portion 59:14
61:3 64:22	personnel	28:5,12,13,13	74:23 76:2
94:9,10 100:16	34:25 51:18	28:16,18 32:20	86:2,2,4
percent 14:25	68:1,17 73:25	32:23 33:2,13	100:24 101:2
39:16,17,22	78:13 82:5	33:14 34:18	portions 31:3
40:12 41:3,4,7	perspective	36:15 37:4,10	positioned
41:7 43:7	101:22	55:7,24	92:24
44:21,23,25	<b>petition</b> 46:6,8	plans 28:25	positions 56:18
45:6 47:20	petitioned	29:6 30:7,8,10	63:25
53:21 58:15,15	58:12	30:16,24 32:16	positively
62:25 73:10	petitioners 1:9	58:19	63:21
86:5	2:2	platform 94:8,9	possible 18:18
percentage 9:9	petitions 47:8	<b>play</b> 100:2	45:16
15:15 69:12	phasing 54:11	<b>played</b> 98:11	possibly 82:4
72:21	<b>phone</b> 11:12	<b>please</b> 43:11	potential 24:3
perform 49:7	13:17 109:3	105:15 109:13	24:7
<b>period</b> 15:23	<b>phrase</b> 30:16	<b>plus</b> 36:8 50:20	potentially
58:21 92:7	73:13,16,20	63:14 66:10	20:16 59:8
103:17	<b>pick</b> 52:25	78:14	predates 59:4
<b>perry</b> 8:3,5,11	<b>pickup</b> 26:14	plywood 97:6	predominantly
8:12,17 47:23	picture 41:1	<b>point</b> 6:23	87:25
57:8 63:16	<b>pie</b> 77:9	11:11 18:25	<b>prefer</b> 93:2,4
66:5,9 74:16	piggybacked	24:24 26:9	109:15
77:6 88:11	60:7	58:17 77:2	prepare 7:4
<b>person</b> 21:18	<b>pike</b> 48:9	<b>points</b> 86:3,12	42:23
52:14 82:18	<b>pl</b> 1:4	policymaking	prepared 18:3
107:25		9:15	18:10 20:24

## [prepared - providing]

27:9 30:7,17	private 26:3	professional	38:3 39:6
31:5 43:21,23	88:12	22:2	40:15,17,20,23
44:11	<b>prn</b> 49:18	profit 1:6	40:24 48:12
present 2:12	probability	programmed	57:1 60:16
25:12 81:7	93:12	103:4	71:3 77:13,21
100:4	probably 9:14	progress 20:1	77:23 78:1,21
presentation	39:10,11,12	projects 22:10	78:25 88:3,5
79:12,16	40:9 42:16	<b>proof</b> 84:9	88:22 92:17
presented	44:4,10 49:17	86:15	96:9 99:23,23
28:13 58:20	50:24 63:13	properly 27:16	100:7 104:16
101:13 107:20	65:13 72:9	28:5 32:20	104:23
presenting 48:1	83:4 96:17	property 14:25	protective
<b>press</b> 15:4	103:11	18:19 40:22	104:11
<b>pretty</b> 13:17	problem 6:8	44:25 45:24	protects 88:17
19:3 26:4,6,9	62:9 100:15	46:2 47:3	<b>provide</b> 17:16
71:10 85:14,19	problematic	66:20	20:2 22:16
86:5 91:22	72:17	prophesied	25:13 26:5
97:21	procedure 1:21	96:22	31:19 34:2
<b>previous</b> 7:6,10	107:15 110:5	proportionally	40:14 60:15
23:9 82:21	111:5	74:22 75:25	69:2 88:5
previously 76:8	proceeding	77:7	97:17
81:23 95:14	15:17	proposal 83:11	provided 16:8
104:18	process 30:18	proposed 14:24	63:15 66:25
primarily 68:5	56:10,23 58:3	39:4	84:9 95:14
printed 83:8	58:3,5,10 59:2	protect 22:18	97:15
<b>prior</b> 7:20 8:17	59:17 60:7	22:19 61:25	provider 38:24
31:14 61:1	61:14 101:10	protected	71:9
62:13 63:6	104:6	29:24 45:20	provides 16:17
69:12 75:12	processes 67:1	protection 4:3	17:20 18:1
76:25 82:11	<b>produce</b> 35:21	7:15 8:1,3,6	64:3 71:13
83:2,6,7 88:25	produced 1:17	16:8,12,18	87:24
89:15,25 91:8	production	17:17 20:3	providing
91:21 95:8,10	109:23	22:16 28:19	31:25 32:5,24
98:21	profession	30:5 32:1,5	33:9 78:1,24
	21:20 37:18	34:1,2 37:12	

## [proximate - refuse]

proximate	90:4 100:10	reading 109:11	received 12:11
98:16	questions 3:3,5	109:18	21:16 37:19
proximity	5:8 6:15,16	<b>ready</b> 49:10	75:11,13,18
98:14	100:19,22	realize 32:7	76:3,8 77:22
<b>public</b> 1:18	104:15 106:1,3	realized 99:8	77:24
13:15 38:24	quickly 18:17	<b>really</b> 7:8 10:12	receiving 40:10
46:15 58:20	58:8	27:4 33:6 36:1	40:13 76:14,24
67:2 74:24	quite 16:3 66:8	39:2 44:13	76:25
75:16,22 76:8	79:4	71:23 73:15	<b>recent</b> 102:2
79:11,13 86:23	<b>quote</b> 67:22	78:19 94:2	recently 23:15
87:6,20 101:1	r	100:10 101:9	28:15 80:13,15
107:3 108:12	<b>raise</b> 23:6	<b>reask</b> 29:3,4	98:4
110:10,18	ran 60:23	reason 6:20	recognize
111:15,23	rare 85:13,14	17:15 19:17	42:14
112:23	rate 44:25	22:6 39:2 56:5	recognized
<b>pull</b> 44:17	rated 48:25	78:22 86:9	63:12
71:23	rates 85:16	111:8 112:3	<b>record</b> 5:9 42:5
<b>pumper</b> 49:1	<b>rather</b> 47:17	reasons 52:23	42:7 43:16
purchase 22:12	rating 82:7	59:21 61:24	47:18 88:3
purchased	83:3,24,25	62:17	90:12 99:19
83:14 109:16	84:4 85:11,15	<b>recall</b> 5:13,15	107:19 111:9
pursuant 1:20	85:21,25 86:8	8:24 11:19	redoing 57:23
107:14	86:8 87:1,5,12	13:5,13 26:1	<b>reduce</b> 23:8,9
<b>put</b> 14:12 18:18	87:15,17,21	31:9 33:15,22	40:4
q	89:3,14,24	41:24 42:21	reference 109:7
qualities 78:9	102:15	43:3,4 44:12	110:2 111:2
quarries 18:7	<b>rational</b> 63:1	44:14 45:12,15	referenced 31:4
quarry 86:13	reach 71:12	46:20 63:11	109:10 110:11
98:4	reaches 94:1	64:25 65:7,23	111:15
question 6:3	read 14:4 26:13	75:11 80:21	referring 28:14
7:2 12:20,25	30:10 32:3	96:23 97:19	50:24 86:17
21:25 25:21	35:15 42:16	<b>receipt</b> 109:18	<b>refers</b> 79:14
28:15 29:3,4	110:5,6,12	<b>receive</b> 67:18	<b>refresh</b> 20:20
30:22 32:22	111:5,6,17	74:11,23 75:20	<b>refuse</b> 92:14
67:4 89:22	111.3,0,17	76:13 77:12,12	

<b>regard</b> 20:10	66:6 69:19	representative	96:5
20:11 77:19	reminded	102:19	<b>respect</b> 16:20
79:2 81:5	12:24 13:22	representatives	31:12 71:6
regular 13:15	remonstrance	104:3	respond 50:3
25:14	46:5,8	represented	50:11 58:16
reinitiated	remonstrances	107:22	80:3,5 102:9
15:18 16:24	47:8	represents 5:17	102:11
relatable 70:25	remonstration	<b>request</b> 102:12	responded
related 5:21	58:21	111:9,11	97:13
12:18 29:13	remonstrations	requested	respondents
30:4 31:8 73:3	67:3	98:22	1:13,19 2:6
relating 5:4	remonstrators	required 51:6	107:10
20:15 29:6,7	1:9	109:25	responding
relation 29:11	<b>remote</b> 71:10	requirement	51:19 52:9
relationship	86:11	81:2	102:3
31:14 90:22	removed 44:22	requires 95:19	responses 28:1
91:4 100:11	103:7	<b>rescue</b> 17:23,24	67:18
relationship's	renamed 8:4	49:7,11 94:22	responsibility
90:24	renovating	94:24	6:15 20:6
<b>relative</b> 108:1	36:6	<b>rescues</b> 49:5,5	responsible
<b>relayed</b> 102:17	<b>replace</b> 55:13	94:17	18:13
<b>relevant</b> 103:17	replaced 60:3	<b>reset</b> 64:4	<b>rest</b> 52:11,16
relocating 36:7	<b>report</b> 20:19	66:13,17	result 17:8
<b>rely</b> 52:4	26:13 27:8	resetting 66:21	19:11 20:9
relying 23:24	34:13,17,24	residence	21:4 22:4
remainder 45:6	35:1,2 44:4	108:13	23:22 25:1
49:18	73:25 83:13	residents 1:6	34:6 63:17
remained 40:18	reported 79:5	12:18 22:17	64:8,20 66:1
99:14	reporter 5:23	26:8 28:3	66:20,24 67:7
remaining 45:2	42:11 43:8	34:10 46:19,23	retirees 56:12
remember 8:22	104:17 110:7	47:11,14 109:6	retirement 72:5
10:24 13:14	reports 24:24	110:3 111:3	returned
24:22 31:5,7	34:20 45:13	resistant 91:4	109:17
42:2 43:20,25	represent	resources 69:9	<b>revenue</b> 13:2,4
46:18 55:22	21:23 105:5	73:24 92:6,10	13:7,12 100:9

100:25 101:2	31:17	69:15,16	93:3
<b>review</b> 22:23	<b>road</b> 10:4	sanders 36:24	sending 92:25
23:3 32:11	robertson 2:3	<b>save</b> 18:18	93:11
48:19 82:14,16	<b>role</b> 7:16,18	<b>saying</b> 40:16	<b>sends</b> 51:16
102:17 103:8	96:19 98:7,10	73:3 81:3	<b>sense</b> 45:24
109:13 110:1	100:2 103:20	says 12:13 47:5	52:19 77:10
111:1	<b>roll</b> 50:14,23	52:1 105:2	95:23
reviewed 31:2	51:6 64:2	<b>scene</b> 50:16	<b>sent</b> 41:19
82:9 83:3	<b>room</b> 1:19	52:6 81:8 92:5	83:13 92:2
reviewing	107:12	92:11 99:14	97:21
102:21	<b>rope</b> 17:24	<b>schmo</b> 100:5	separated
reviews 22:7	<b>roster</b> 49:15	<b>school</b> 43:24	81:25
102:20	52:20,22,24	score 95:16,18	september 7:19
<b>rewind</b> 20:18	<b>rouker</b> 83:12	<b>seal</b> 108:5,12	7:21 9:4
richland 48:16	<b>route</b> 98:18	110:15 111:21	<b>serve</b> 36:21
71:13,14	<b>rules</b> 1:21	searched 30:11	68:23 93:7
104:24 105:12	107:14 110:5	<b>second</b> 43:15	96:10
105:14	111:5	76:17,18	<b>served</b> 69:24
<b>right</b> 5:11,14	<b>run</b> 16:23	<b>secure</b> 63:25	<b>service</b> 15:23
10:1 18:19	52:13 69:11	security 57:21	16:18 20:3
20:7 27:10	<b>runs</b> 72:6	<b>see</b> 15:14 33:20	22:11,17 34:2
36:12 41:17,20	<b>rural</b> 18:5,23	34:13 37:21	36:21 39:6,13
51:18 55:12	19:1 36:17	42:12,24 58:13	40:15 49:10
56:21 57:7	51:1 72:18	61:18 105:2	54:4 57:13
63:4 65:4	86:11	<b>seem</b> 37:22	63:15 69:2,2
69:20 87:12	S	seemed 26:6	71:9,13 78:2
90:22	<b>s</b> 111:8,8 112:3	56:19	78:25 86:1,21
<b>ring</b> 98:5 99:1	safety 13:15	seems 83:4	87:24 88:6,9
<b>rise</b> 79:3,23	38:24 74:24	<b>seen</b> 66:10	100:16 103:3
80:1 81:2,5,10	75:16,22 76:8	segment 87:19	serviced 61:12
81:16,25 82:6	79:12,13 101:1	<b>self</b> 96:21	services 17:11
94:15	saith 106:5	<b>senate</b> 103:12	17:12,16,19,21
<b>rises</b> 80:4	salary 54:14	103:15	17:23 18:1
<b>river</b> 29:15,21	salt 47:25 67:9	<b>send</b> 51:8,10	19:24 22:16
29:25 30:5	67:12,14,14	67:19 90:18	24:13 25:13

	1		1
26:6,14 31:19	<b>showing</b> 44:16	sit 19:21 105:6	south 2:4 10:17
32:24 33:9	<b>shows</b> 104:22	situation 75:18	10:21,24 36:25
37:19 38:2,6	<b>shut</b> 91:21	<b>six</b> 55:10,24	48:8 96:23,25
39:25 40:9,11	104:5	56:4,7,11,11	97:16,18,20
40:13,20 41:8	<b>shuttle</b> 86:10	<b>size</b> 16:6 30:4	98:4,23
45:3 66:24	<b>side</b> 33:21	sizeable 26:7	southeast 33:21
67:7 95:14	34:21 72:1	slices 77:9	34:21 105:13
<b>session</b> 103:13	sidewalks 38:2	slightly 36:7	southern 60:20
sessions 100:5	siding 97:5	74:20	southwest
<b>set</b> 43:6 65:8	<b>sign</b> 46:5,14	<b>small</b> 24:22	31:11,16,18
108:4	47:8	69:11 88:12	32:5,6
<b>seven</b> 10:9 48:6	signature	<b>smaller</b> 53:11	<b>space</b> 17:24
several 8:4	107:21 108:9	<b>smoke</b> 98:20	specialized
12:25 80:10	109:13	<b>sold</b> 37:19	49:8 68:4 94:2
88:11 91:16,17	<b>signed</b> 110:13	<b>solely</b> 17:17	<b>specific</b> 30:17
shared 44:3	111:18	<b>solution</b> 62:10	31:7 69:8
<b>shares</b> 76:6,23	significant 39:7	71:2	81:24 101:5
76:25 77:12,16	39:9 64:10	solutions 109:1	specifically
<b>sheet</b> 111:7,10	87:10,21	112:1	13:14 21:6
111:18 112:1	significantly	somebody 15:8	29:12 30:25
<b>shift</b> 53:3,13	33:20 39:13	20:23,24 23:24	31:9 33:6 35:8
shifted 95:24	<b>signing</b> 109:11	27:8,9 28:4	35:20 38:17
shifting 45:6	109:18	35:16 46:25	79:7 101:6
shifts 53:1	similar 17:18	79:17	<b>split</b> 25:4 84:22
<b>short</b> 42:6	45:13 60:22	somethings	84:23
43:18 79:9,16	simple 97:4	30:12	<b>spoke</b> 11:18
80:19,22,23	simply 45:5	soonest 58:6	38:13 83:12
shortly 9:6	67:20	<b>sorry</b> 7:8 12:12	100:3
103:7	simultaneous	15:6 23:19	<b>spot</b> 55:16
<b>show</b> 6:6 9:24	97:14	29:3 30:21	<b>spread</b> 97:10
35:22,23,24	sincerely	47:1 55:20	<b>squad</b> 48:18
44:7 92:14	109:21	75:15,19 80:5	<b>square</b> 47:20
<b>showed</b> 57:19	<b>single</b> 55:16	95:1	73:7
101:11,13	<b>sir</b> 10:14 81:14	<b>sound</b> 42:19	<b>ss</b> 1:1 107:1
	106:1 109:9	65:4,14	

staff 8:15 48:8	59:17 97:1	statute 58:14	15:9 16:23
49:22 50:4	state 1:1,18 5:9	<b>stayed</b> 82:13	23:20 26:18
71:18	29:6 67:23	stenographic	28:25 35:7
staffed 50:5	68:5 79:13	107:17	78:17
52:5 55:4,6	85:16,17 107:1	<b>stephen</b> 2:7 3:3	studying 31:12
60:19,20	107:4 108:12	5:8	<b>stuff</b> 5:21 52:3
staffing 36:20	110:10 111:15	<b>steve</b> 5:16	62:13 68:3
48:10 61:3	statement	stick 97:19,23	72:14 82:1
69:1 78:23	35:14 110:13	stinesville 70:1	94:17,22 98:24
staffing's 50:7	110:14 111:19	104:25	subdivisions
<b>stand</b> 62:8 94:9	111:19	<b>stopped</b> 15:16	19:2
standalone	statewide 62:9	76:14	<b>submit</b> 82:21
48:6 81:22	station 10:21	stories 80:9	82:22
standard 54:6	33:21 34:5,6,8	<b>street</b> 1:19 74:8	submitted 67:3
55:9,11 63:23	34:9,14,21,23	96:18 99:1	subpoena
80:20 81:3,6	36:4,7,10 37:2	107:11	21:16
81:18,23,25	46:11 48:8,14	streetlights	subscribed
82:3	48:17,22 49:3	38:3	110:10 111:14
standards 50:8	50:1 51:10	stroke 91:1	112:21
50:15,16 53:23	53:12 59:24,25	structural	substantial
54:10 79:3	60:20,21 61:10	95:21	71:11 86:5
81:1	61:11,14 84:13	structure 50:8	98:19
standpoint	84:17 85:2,3,8	50:18 80:9	suburban
17:21 21:22	88:16 92:24	92:25 97:6	18:25
31:25 73:6	93:2 98:25	struggling	suggest 32:19
78:6 93:10	105:10,11,13	53:22 62:7	suite 2:9 109:2
staple 85:4	105:24	<b>studied</b> 27:18	sunger 2:10
<b>start</b> 8:5 22:11	stations 33:4	28:11,16,23	<b>superior</b> 109:1
49:10 53:11	33:12,19 35:22	29:5 30:7,19	<b>supply</b> 51:13
56:23,24 69:17	48:4,6,23 49:5	30:24 32:17	84:8,10,15
<b>started</b> 8:2,8,23	50:4,4 51:15	<b>studies</b> 14:8,12	85:20,21,23
9:8 16:10	53:12 60:19	24:14,15,19	86:7 87:6,20
25:10 32:9,11	68:21,24 92:25	25:17 35:8,12	89:23
53:25 54:8,8	93:1,8 98:17	<b>study</b> 13:24,24	support 22:9
56:10 58:3		14:2,3,21 15:7	39:3 58:16

## [supposed - think]

supposed 32:6	109:10	<b>teens</b> 76:20	thank 12:3
32:7 92:4	takeover 39:5	<b>tell</b> 5:3 11:13	23:20 41:9
suppress 96:24	talk 6:9 12:21	13:19 14:19	45:12 46:4
suppression	34:16 70:7	19:21 26:23	67:14 106:2
95:24	<b>talked</b> 24:16	27:13 29:11	<b>theory</b> 56:17
supression	62:5 97:25	46:13 54:20	thereof 1:21
18:16	100:8	57:5 70:5	<b>they's</b> 78:9
<b>sure</b> 11:20 15:6	talking 13:14	73:10 77:8	thing 38:5
17:5 21:9 24:2	30:13,16 34:17	91:20 107:6	54:16 79:19
26:17 31:21	41:10 46:22	telling 26:24	things 17:23
33:10,16,25	60:9 65:10	<b>tender</b> 48:23	18:9 22:9,20
34:16,22 37:6	74:5 76:3,5	term 25:1 71:2	25:16,18,23,25
38:22 40:24	94:4 102:16	terms 25:7	30:10 32:3,14
45:4 50:10	105:17	67:15,16	37:22,24 39:1
51:18 54:9	tanker 48:24	territories	82:25 96:15
68:12 73:19	51:12	64:14	think 10:23,25
77:18 86:16	tankers 48:25	territory 30:3	13:15 16:14
88:1 97:21	49:1 84:11	47:19 48:21	17:14 18:1
99:16	95:25 96:2	57:16 59:9,13	19:19 24:23
<b>sworn</b> 1:17 5:3	target 50:19	59:22 60:4,10	26:8 27:11
107:6 110:10	tax 13:2,3,7,15	60:12 61:1,2,5	36:10 39:20,24
110:13 111:14	13:16,20 14:14	62:2,4,10,12	40:6 43:23
111:18 112:21	14:25 19:6,8	100:17	44:3 45:18
systems 104:11	22:24 44:25	testified 5:5	47:4,5,12,15
t	66:19 75:5	100:3,24	49:13 50:24
t 12:2 92:9	76:5 101:2,17	101:24	56:3 57:25
table 44:16	taxation 33:8	<b>testify</b> 11:3,4	65:20 66:5,9
take 16:12,17	<b>taxes</b> 67:8	21:7 27:14,23	66:23 67:2,4
42:6 43:14	tech 94:22	28:4,9	69:10 70:24
47:2 51:11,25	technical 17:23	testifying 20:14	71:1 74:2
55:16 95:11	technically	testimony	75:22 76:12,13
99:18 105:12	53:7 101:16	21:11 27:22	76:17,20,20,22
taken 1:18 33:7	technicians	107:19 110:6,7	77:5 78:5 79:2
40:17 43:18,19	95:6	111:6,9,12	79:13 80:10,22
107:9,16			86:25 87:16

89:22 91:22	52:25 53:3,5,7	101:6,12,15	105:12,14
94:3 96:2,13	53:14,15,22	102:22 103:14	township's
98:1 99:12	54:18 55:1,12	took 9:7 63:7,8	57:18
100:9,10,12,14	55:13,14,14,15	103:7	townships 24:8
100:17,23	57:7,13,24	<b>tools</b> 64:13	47:22 57:3,9
101:24	58:4,7,17 59:7	top 12:14 47:4	57:11,15 59:3
thinking 70:20	59:12,19,20	51:25 54:20	59:5,12,23
<b>thirty</b> 109:17	61:20 62:3	57:5 85:18	67:10 68:24
thought 39:23	63:21,25 66:1	94:11	69:13 77:11,19
65:15 75:19,21	68:15 69:21,22	<b>total</b> 10:9 15:13	88:8
75:22	71:11,16,18,18	44:23 64:25	<b>track</b> 6:11
threats 104:2	73:17 74:2,9	68:10 80:23	traditionally
<b>three</b> 11:14,16	76:15 77:2	totally 27:12	49:25 92:23
13:10 36:18	82:9,15 83:1,3	touched 94:19	96:3 101:17
50:12,12,23	83:22 89:1,23	towards 71:3	training 7:25
51:8,20,24	90:6 92:7	<b>town</b> 13:16	9:7 82:23
52:15 53:13	99:11 101:25	48:15 70:1	95:20,20,22,23
58:20 60:20	102:1,9,21	towns 30:12	transcribed
67:2 80:12,22	103:8,12,17	township 25:13	107:17 110:7
94:10 97:11	106:4	29:15,21,25	transcript 6:7
<b>tide</b> 64:17	timer 57:25	31:17 36:5	107:18 109:10
<b>tighter</b> 22:14	70:4	47:25 48:2,16	109:15 110:5
<b>till</b> 85:18	<b>timers</b> 53:9,9	57:21 58:11,23	110:12 111:5
time 1:21 5:1	53:11 55:2	59:2,15,18,19	111:11,17
8:11,23,24 9:1	<b>times</b> 47:16	60:1,2,4,6,15	transitions
9:6,10,11,13,16	81:1	60:18,23,25	53:10
9:18 10:18	<b>timing</b> 32:14	61:6,7,8,10,12	transport
11:11 13:1	today 5:13 7:5	61:12,15,15,17	49:10
16:16 19:1	39:1 105:6	61:21,22 62:6	trash 25:13
32:15 33:5	together 57:12	63:24 64:16	26:2,3,14,18
37:18 38:22	61:13 90:20	65:10 66:8	38:1
39:5 45:10	91:6 100:13	68:5 69:23	tremendously
46:11 49:16,18	told 20:23	70:11 71:13,14	78:12
49:24 50:6,6	26:12,22,23	77:6 82:23	trends 78:19
52:7,12,24,24	77:3 90:6	104:25,25	

<b>trial</b> 1:21 20:14	type 93:24,25	understanding	utilities 88:18
107:15	95:19	31:18 41:9	V
<b>tried</b> 51:3	typed 7:12	45:4 77:11	<b>v</b> 109:6 110:3
<b>truck</b> 49:3,11	types 18:4,7	85:13 86:6	111:3
51:11 52:11	22:20 67:18	understood	value 15:1,12
93:25 98:1,2	typewritten	6:13 10:3,11	15:14 39:15,17
<b>true</b> 107:19	107:18	30:23 62:20	41:7 44:23
truly 54:4	<b>typical</b> 63:13	89:12,13,22	41.7 44.23
trustee 57:18	typically 71:19	underway 60:8	<b>van</b> 47:23 58:7
57:20,22 71:1	98:19	<b>unger</b> 2:7 3:3	58:8 59:2,3,15
trustees 25:14	typing 5:25	5:8,16 11:24	60:5,15 61:22
truth 5:3,4,4	u	12:1,3 42:5,10	62:5,24 63:18
107:7,7,7	<b>uh</b> 53:17 70:21	43:8,12,14,17	65:10 66:7
truthfully 6:16	79:22	99:18,20	77:5 82:22
try 6:9 19:24	ultimately	100:19 102:16	88:10
86:18	10:10	106:3	<b>variable</b> 14:15
trying 21:9	unable 81:15	<b>unit</b> 90:19	variety 30:14
25:22 28:8	under 5:23	<b>units</b> 99:10,10	varying 44:8
41:1 51:20	62:3 65:2	unofficial 70:9	<b>varying</b> 44.8 <b>veritext</b> 109:1,7
55:13 80:24	107:17	unrelated	112:1
<b>turned</b> 101:9	understand	59:20	<b>versa</b> 93:3
<b>two</b> 9:16 10:12	5:23 6:12,14	update 17:2	version 28:22
11:14,16,16	6:18,21 10:8	updated 28:18	versus 9:10
13:10 33:16	10:13 11:7	<b>upper</b> 9:15	74:21 94:10
36:17 48:2,11	14:13,14,16	uproar 59:24	101:12
48:12 51:9	15:7,11,16,19	<b>urban</b> 50:25	vice 93:3
52:15 54:22,23	15:24 16:10	81:19 86:7,16	vinyl 97:5
55:25 60:2,18	21:9,10,22	<b>use</b> 19:24 30:15	<b>volume</b> 40:1
60:19,20 68:2	22:21 28:9	30:15 51:20	73:11
70:10 75:23	34:1 38:21	<b>used</b> 22:12	<b>volumes</b> 71:20
78:18 84:6	45:12 46:22	46:12 86:13	volunteer 8:10
90:20 91:3	52:8 62:6	95:13	8:12,13,15,20
94:9 99:6,12	66:19 80:24	<b>using</b> 46:15	9:9,10,21
99:13	87:23 101:25	usually 68:3	49:19 51:2
			52:5 57:24

	18:9 38:1 west	98:24 99:1	word 50:17
69:25 70:2,3 42:3 4	8:24 99:5		worded 37:7
volunteers 9:18 49:2 5		ot 26:15	work 21:19
49:18,23,25 84:8,1			53:2 54:24
	,	of 108:4	90:20 91:6
	,	29:15,21	98:15
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5 30:4	worked 58:5
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	87:6,20 31:1	7	91:9
	88:4,6,9 whoop	<b>s</b> 83:15	working 8:23
<b>w</b> 88:10,	,11,12,13 wicker	ns 74:8	100:13
wait 61:21 97:21	104:5 92:22	2 96:13,14	worth 82:15
waived 109:12 way 9:	11 10:17 96:1	5,18 99:4	wrapped 99:15
109:19 16:14	20:18,22 wides	oread	wrecks 72:14
want 5:12 29:20	37:6 81:4		written 1:21
11:16,20 13:6 54:25	84:6 wildla	nd 49:3	27:25 103:1
15:6,18 17:5 95:8,1	0 99:22 williar	n 2:3 3:5	wrong 76:21
19:5 22:21 wayne	29:13 100:	22	у
24:2 26:17 31:10	32:4 windo	w 15:21	yeah 5:10 9:25
27:1,1,2,4,18 ways 2	27:15 82:1	9 94:16	10:2 12:17,20
33:10,25 34:16 37:9 4	7:13 <b>windy</b>	37:8	15:4,21 16:15
37:6 43:12 84:6 1	00:6 <b>wise</b>	34:14 38:7	17:10 19:19
50.2 9 52.5 we've		78:21	22:5,23 24:22
54:3 56:25 24:15	43:18 96:4		25:9,15,17
67:9 73:19 51:2 5	•	19:25	37:9 39:14,16
89:24 94:16 63:21		<b>aw</b> 61:6	42:5,16 43:22
wanted 62:18 99:3	withd		51:8 52:18
wanting 58:13 websites		,7,9,16,17	53:20 54:20
wants 71:2 week		s 1:17	55:24 59:19
washington weight		108:4	60:24 61:11
36:5 47:24 97:3		8 110:1,4	64:12 65:12,15
59:23 60:1.3 went 1		11 111:1,4	66:22 68:11
61:6.8.12.15.15	25:10,11 111:		70:24 71:21
61:17 63:24 40:7 4	0.0	gs 2:5	72:2,2 73:2,21
67:10,12 59:12		72:13	80:12 82:25
102:20	0 81:1	/	85:9,12 86:2

87:9,11,13,18
87:19 89:9,12
91:7,17 93:9
93:17 94:8,15
94:21,21 96:17
101:5 102:17
105:13
year 8:23 23:10
48:7 53:6
55:10,24,25
56:2,2,7,11,12
56:17 63:14
67:20,21 68:2
70:10,12,12
74:19 76:16,17
76:18,18 79:11
79:12 86:15
yearly 75:8
yearry 75.0
years 7:19
• •
years 7:19
years 7:19 11:14,16,21
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10 74:3 78:14
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10 74:3 78:14 79:5,10 80:10
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10 74:3 78:14 79:5,10 80:10 82:15 83:4,5
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10 74:3 78:14 79:5,10 80:10 82:15 83:4,5 89:15,25 95:11
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10 74:3 78:14 79:5,10 80:10 82:15 83:4,5 89:15,25 95:11 yep 64:22,24
years 7:19 11:14,16,21 12:25 13:10,21 19:4 29:16 36:8 55:25 57:17,20,22 61:13 70:10 74:3 78:14 79:5,10 80:10 82:15 83:4,5 89:15,25 95:11 yep 64:22,24

Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure Depositions Upon Oral Examination Rule 30

(e) Submission to witness--Changes--Signing. (1) When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for reading and signing and shall be read to or by him, unless such reading and signing have been waived by the witness and by each party. "Submitted to the witness" as used in this subsection shall mean (a) mailing of written notification by registered or certified mail to the witness and each attorney attending the deposition that the deposition can be read and examined in the office of the officer before whom the deposition was taken, or (b), mailing the original deposition, by registered or certified mail, to the witness at an address designated by the witness or his attorney, if requested to do so by the witness, his attorney, or the party taking the deposition.

(2) If the witness desires to change any answer in the deposition submitted to him, each change, with a statement of the reason therefor, shall be made by the witness on a separate form provided by the officer, shall be signed by the witness and affixed to the original deposition by the officer. A copy of such changes shall be furnished by the officer to each party.

(3) If the reading and signing have not been waived by the witness and by each party the deposition shall be signed by the witness and returned by him to the officer within thirty (30) days after it is submitted to the witness. If the deposition has been returned to the officer and has not been signed by the witness, the officer shall execute a certificate of that fact, attach it to the original deposition and deliver it to the party taking it. In such event, the deposition may be used by any party with the same force and effect as though it had been signed by the witness.

(4) In the event the deposition is not returned to the officer within thirty (30) days after it has been submitted to the witness, the reporter shall execute a certificate of that fact and cause the certificate to be delivered to the party taking it. In such event, any party may use a copy of the deposition with the same force and effect as though the original had been signed by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

#### VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

COMPANY CERTIFICATE AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.