	Page 1
1	STATE OF INDIANA
2	COUNTY OF MONROE
3	IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COURT
4	
5	COUNTY RESIDENTS AGAINST
6	ANNEXATION, INC., an Indiana
7	not for profit Corporation,
8	Representative of Those in the
9	Territories Sought to be
10	Annexed; DON CREEK, HARRY
11	FERRIS, WILLIAM MAN WARING,
12	DAN DOYLE, CATHERINE DENSFORD,
13	ETHEL ANN SATLER, MARILYN J.
14	DANIELSON, DEAN F. HOKE, BERT
15	F. PHILLIPS, SUNNY SLATER,
16	HOLLY HILL, DEBORAH REED for
17	REED QUARRIES, INC.,
18	THOMAS W. McGHIE, RICKY
19	FERGUSON, THOMAS E. OSBORN,
20	JIMMIE JOHNSON, RICHARD PEACH,
21	KAREN LAUCELLA, BARBARA
22	LEININGER, RHONDA GRAY,
23	ARLLYS PAPKE, JOANNA HAHN;
24	and OTHER TERRITORY 1A AND
25	I OWNERS OF LAND,

		·	
			Page 2
1	Peti	tioners,	
2	v.		Cause No.
3	THE COMMON COU	NCIL of the City	53C06-2203-PL-
4	of Bloomingtor	, Monroe County,	000509
5	Indiana, JOHN	HAMILTON in his	
6	Official Capac	eity as Mayor	
7	of Bloomingtor	, Monroe County,	
8	Indiana, and (ATHERINE SMITH in	
9	Her Official (apacity as	
10	Auditor of Mor	roe County,	
11	Indiana,		
12	Resp	ondents.	
13			
14		DEPOSITION OF	
15		JOHN BAETEN	
16	DATE:	Thursday, March 14,	2024
17	TIME:	8:59 a.m.	
18	LOCATION:	Bloomington City Hal	11
19		401 North Morton St	reet
20		Bloomington, IN 4740)4
21	REPORTED BY:	Andrew Pronschinske	
22	JOB NO.:	6485975	
23			
24			
25			

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)`
2	ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENTS CITY OF BLOOMINGTON:
3	ANDREW M. MCNEIL, ESQUIRE
4	Bose McKinney & Evans LLP
5	111 Monument Circle, Suite 2700
6	Indianapolis, IN 46204
7	amcneil@boselaw.com
8	(317) 684-5253
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	Margaret Clements, County Residents against
12	Annexation, Inc., Corporate representative
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2.5	

		•	
		Pa	age 5
1		INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION:		PAGE
3	By Mr. Ung	ger	8
4	By Mr. Hee	eb	74
5	By Mr. Un	ger	74
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	Exhibit 60	Annexation Areas Population	
10		Study	59
11	Exhibit 61	2023 Urbanization Study	69
12	Exhibit 28	Amended and Supplemented Answers	
13		to the City of Bloomington	
14		Interrogatories	70
15			
16	D O C	U M E N T S R E Q U E S T E D	
17	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
18	1	Email Correspondence	19
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

_		
_		

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

PROCEEDINGS

THE REPORTER: Good morning. My name is Andrew Pronschinske; I am the reporter assigned by Veritext to take the record of this proceeding. We are now on the record at 8:59 a.m.

This is the deposition of John Baeten taken in the matter of County Residents Against Annexation, Incorporated, et al. vs. The Common Council the City of Bloomington Monroe County, Indiana, et al. on March 14, 2024, at the Bloomington City Hall, 401 North Morton Street, Bloomington, Indiana, 47404.

I am a notary authorized to take acknowledgments and administer oaths in Indiana.

Additionally, absent an objection on the record before the witness is sworn, all parties and the witness understand and agree that any certified transcript produced from the recording of this proceeding:

- is intended for all uses permitted under applicable procedural and evidentiary rules and laws in the same manner as a deposition recorded by stenographic means; and
- shall constitute written stipulation

24

2.5

```
Page 7
                        of such.
1
 2.
                     At this time will everyone in
 3
     attendance please identify yourself for the record,
     beginning with the noticing attorney.
 4
 5
                     MR. UNGER: Yep. Stephen Unger, Bose
 6
     McKinney & Evans. Counsel for the City of
     Bloomington.
                    MR. MCNEIL: Andrew McNeil for counsel
8
9
     with Mr. Unger.
10
                    MS. CLEMENTS: Margaret Clements,
11
     County Residents Against Annexation.
12
                    MR. HEEB:
                                Ryan Heeb, Bunger &
13
     Robertson counsel for the petitioners.
14
                    MR. BAETEN: John Baeten. And am I
15
     here as myself or am I here as the County GIS
16
     coordinator?
17
                    MR. MCNEIL: That's what we'll be
18
     asking.
19
                    MR. BAETEN:
                                  Okay.
20
                     THE REPORTER: All right. Hearing no
21
     objection, I will now swear in the witness.
2.2
                     Please raise your right hand.
23
     //
24
     //
2.5
     //
```

	Page 8
1	WHEREUPON,
2	JOHN BAETEN,
3	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
4	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
5	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
6	THE REPORTER: Thank you. We may
7	proceed.
8	EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. UNGER
10	Q Could you just state your name for the
11	record?
12	A Sure, yeah. John Baeten.
13	Q Mr. Baeten, have you ever been deposed
14	before?
15	A I have not.
16	Q Okay. Well, let me just kind of talk you
17	through a couple of things
18	A Sure.
19	Q a couple of ground rules for it. Number
20	one, we have a court reporter, he's going to be
21	recording everything that you say, so it's number
22	one huh uh's, head shakes don't show up on the record.
23	A Okay.
24	Q So it's important when I ask you a "yes" or
25	"no,"

		Page 9
1	А	Sure.
2	Q	you answer "yes" or "no." You
3	understan	d?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Great. Second is it's also important that
6	we not	try not to talk all over each other
7	А	Sure.
8	Q	sometimes it's hard not to, but it's
9	easier fo	r him to track what we're saying
LO	А	Yes.
L1	Q	if we don't talk over each other.
L2	А	Which I just did, sorry.
L3	Q	So if you could let me finish the question.
L 4	And you u	nderstand that you're under oath?
L5	А	Correct.
L6	Q	Okay. And so do you understand your
L 7	responsib	vility? I'm going to be asking you questions
L8	and your	responsibility is to answer my questions as
L9	truthfull	y and honestly and as completely as you
20	can	
21	А	Correct.
22	Q	do you understand that? Okay. If
23	there's a	ny question that I ask you that you don't
24	understan	d or that you feel you can't answer
25	truthfull	y or completely will you let me know?

1 |

A Yes.

3 4

Q Okay. And if you need a break just let us know. The only that I'd ask is that you finish the question or finish the answer to the question I've asked.

5 6

A Sure.

7

Q Okay. What did you do to prepare for your deposition today?

9

10

11

12

13

8

A I've -- well, I've met with Ryan and
Margaret once, and I met with Ryan and Bill Beggs
yesterday as well. Beyond that, I kind of looked at
some data that I had pulled together for -- for the
County, and this would have been back in
January -- looking at essentially like census data and
then the annexation areas 1A and 1B.

14 15

16

17

Q Okay. The data that you looked at, where was -- where did you pull that from?

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

A Sure. So there's it's essentially geospatial data or GIS data. It can be called geodata. There are files available from the City of Bloomington that show the -- essentially the areas of annexation for areas 1A and 1B. And so that was from the City of Bloomington.

2324

25

And then the U.S. census produces data in every decade and that's released to the public and so

- we have data at a census tract as well as a census block. Tracts are larger. Blocks are more granular.

 And so what we have done in the City -- or Monroe County I should say is we've published the census data from Monroe County at the census block level.
 - And now what that includes is some demographic data of populations that census blocks.

 And so those were the two data sets I've looked at.
 - Q Okay. So the census data that you reviewed it's available on the County GIS?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay.

2.0

2.2

- A And it's also available through the U.S. census since it's a, you know, it's a national data set, so --
- Q But if I wanted to review the data that you reviewed, I would be able to find it all on the County GIS?
- A Correct. On our county GIS website and so that's -- if you go to Monroe County, they're main homepage, and you go to the GIS division, you'll find our homesite. We do have a site called Elevate, which is a different site that people refer to as Monroe County GIS, but that's just mainly parcel viewing, so --

1 Q Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A I've got a whole mass of really cool apps there, so census data is one of them.
- Q Okay. Okay. I just want to make sure I don't need to go look somewhere else --
 - A Nope. It's all there.
- Q -- to find the data. Good. Okay. Thank you. And so you met with Mr. Heeb and Mr. Beggs and Ms. Clement, what did you guys talk about?
- A The first time we were there when -- when Margaret and Ryan came to my office, we basically talked a little bit about what this process would be like. And then we also talked about some analysis that I've done looking at populations within -- or looking at analysis of populations within areas 1A and 1B.
 - Q Okay.
- A And then yesterday, it was kind of a repeat of that again, so --
 - Q When did you do your analysis?
 - A This would have been in -- I believe I started it like in December, and then I did it again in January just to kind of close Christmas break and stuff like that, so -- yeah, just kind of finished it up then.

1 Q Okay.

2.0

2.2

- A So it was a very simple analysis as well, but I'll -- I'm guessing there will be a question about that.
- Q Okay. Did your analysis change at all from December to now?
 - A From December -- no.
 - Q Okay.
- A Yeah. I mean basically, the process is you take data in GIS and then you are looking at -- I -- I looked at three different types of analysis. Is this an appropriate time -- should I continue elaborating or --
 - Q Yeah. Go ahead and finish your answer.
- A Okay. When you're looking at a comparison of two different data sets, and so I was looking at census blocks and annexation area. What I was trying to do was identify if there is a way we can figure out an exact population from the U.S. census data within these annexation areas.

The way that the annexation is drawn and the way that the census blocks are drawn is that they're not uniform. So these do not follow each other's boundaries. The annexation area splits some census blocks or some census blocks are split by the

annexation area. So a really easy analysis of this is not capable; right? You can't just say I want to find all the census blocks that are within annexations area 1A.

2.0

2.2

2.5

What you need to do is a number of different analyses. And what I did was one that was called census blocks that are located completely within these annexation areas. Census blocks whose center is located within. And what that means is that you have an area or a polygon, and you can do a -- it's -- it's called find like a centroid, so the center point of a polygon is within the annexation area.

And then the third analysis I did was census blocks that intersect with the annexation area. So that means that if the annexation area cuts through them, if they're located within it, they're going to be pulled within this -- this data analysis as well. So those are the three different types of analysis that I did.

And then the results were just -- yeah, census blocks located completely within the census blocks whose center is located within. And then census blocks whose -- are intersected by the annexation areas.

Q I want to jump back.

		Page 15
1	A	Sure.
2	Q	Anything else that you've reviewed in
3	preparati	on for your deposition?
4	A	For this?
5	Q	Yeah?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	I mean I I can say I've read like
9	newspaper	stuff about it about like annexation.
LO	Q	Okay.
L1	A	And so I'm a little bit familiar with what's
L2	going on,	but that's that's essentially it.
L3	Q	Okay. Do you live in any of the annexation
L 4	areas?	
L5	A	I do not. I live in Sherwood Oaks in
L6	southern	not southern, south side of Bloomington.
L 7	Q	Within the City boundaries?
L8	A	Correct.
L9	Q	Okay. Do you own any property in any of the
20	annexation	ns
21	A	I do not.
22	Q	Okay. Assuming did you sign a
23	remonstra	nce petition?
24	A	I did not.
25	Q	Any of your family own any property

1 |

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A No.
- Q = -in any of the annexation areas?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A I do not have a dog in the fight per se.
 - Q Okay. Are you personally opposed to the City's annexations?

A That's a nuanced question and a nuanced answer as myself as John Baeten, not GIS coordinator from Monroe County. I would not say I'm opposed to it. I definitely have some sympathy for individuals who are going to be economically affected by this process. So I do have that type of concern. I do know that there are some low-income areas that will be, you know, affected by it.

But I do think if people are receiving benefits from the City that there's a kind of a process that -- I don't know. You know, you got to pay for what you get kind of thing.

Q You kind of in your answer said personally and then as GIS coordinator, you understand the County is opposed to the City's annexation?

A Sure. I'm just saying as -- as myself as a GIS coordinator I have -- I'm kind of like impartial about everything and I'm still impartial with my work

	Page 17
1	that I do.
2	Q Okay.
3	A As as a personal note that was just my
4	personal thing as me who I am.
5	Q Who's your supervisor?
6	A I work for an elected official, Trohn
7	Enright-Randolph.
8	Q Is he opposed to the annexation?
9	A I'm not sure.
10	Q You guys have never talked about it?
11	A Not really.
12	Q Not really or no, I have not?
13	A I mean we've talked about it since the
14	subpoena, but it was more about what's going on. Like
15	what are the type of questions that are going to be
16	asked and stuff like that.
17	Q Okay.
18	A Trohn's very as as an elected
19	official he's he comes across everything very
20	impartial against everything or not against
21	everything across everything, which is important
22	because elected officials are different parties, and
23	in our roles, we're trying to serve everybody in
24	Monroe County, so
25	Q Have you participated in any events of

	<u> </u>
	Page 18
1	County Residents Against Annexation?
2	A I have not.
3	Q Okay. Donated to it?
4	A I have not.
5	Q Okay. Who first approached you about
6	testifying in this case?
7	A I don't know if I was I got a subpoena
8	that was delivered to our office and we actually
9	called the number on the subpoena and I believe that
10	was we talked to Andrew McNeil. And then it was a
11	number of weeks, and we talked to our legal counsel
12	about it, Jeff Cockerill from in our county legal.
13	And he was aware of
14	Q And you don't need to get into your
15	conversations with
16	A Okay. Sure.
17	Q Jeff Cockerill.
18	A Okay. And so that was basically it and then
19	I got a call from Margaret and this would have been
20	probably three weeks after we got the or two weeks
21	after we got the subpoenas in the mail, so
22	Q So at some point were you notified that you
23	were on a list to be a potential witness in this case?
24	A I mean when I got that that subpoena and

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

then we talked to Andrew that's when --

25

	Page 19
1	Q That's when you first knew?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay.
4	A Which would have been I think like a month
5	ago or something.
6	Q Have you communicated with Margaret Clement
7	[sic] or their counsel via email at all?
8	A Yes. Yeah.
9	Q When would have that been?
10	A I believe my first email with Margaret would
11	have been like a month maybe ago at max.
12	Q Okay.
13	A And probably there's like three or four
14	emails. There were some data requests and stuff like
15	that and that was essentially it.
16	Q Okay. Using your work email?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay.
19	MR. MCNEIL: Ryan, we ask that the
20	petitioner supplement the discovery responses with
21	these email communications. They're responsive to
22	our
23	MR. HEEB: Okay. We'll see. We'll
24	get.
25	MR. MCNEIL: Thank you.

BY MR. UNGER:

2.0

2.2

- Q You had also mentioned data requests. What were those data requests?
- A There was one data request. I believe it started -- it was just an email, and again, this is coming -- I'm drawing on my memory here. There -- I think the first email request was asking about basically populations within annexation areas 1A and 1B.

And then there was a second data request that I wasn't able to produce. That was looking at -- I'm not sure if you're familiar with the Social Vulnerability Index. It's a -- it's a basically a metric that's produced by the Centers for Disease Control.

This is another web application that I have on our GIS division website. But it was trying to identify essentially like -- those were -- at a census tract level, so it's a bigger kind of geography than a census block.

And I think the request was to try to identify whether or not -- if we could somehow understand what the Social Vulnerability Index would be for 1A and 1B. And I didn't have the time to do that, so --

	Page 21
1	Q Okay.
2	A I did send data back though from the
3	census it's from the census essentially. I think
4	it was an Excel table I sent, if I remember correctly,
5	so
6	Q Relating to that second request
7	A Yeah. This would be the the Social
8	Vulnerability Index.
9	Q When was that approximately?
10	A Two two weeks ago.
11	Q Okay.
12	A I'm assuming. Again, this is I've been
13	working on a lot of other big projects and so I'm
14	trying to like, you know, kind of not grasping for
15	straws, but I think probably around two weeks.
16	Q Understood. Understood.
17	A I can get you these dates, if you want.
18	Obviously, it's in my email.
19	Q And were just so I'm clear. Were those
20	with Mrs. Clement [sic] or were they with Mr. Heeb's
21	office?
22	A That was just with Margaret Clements.
23	Q Okay.
24	A I don't think I've ever I received a
25	meeting invite from Ryan yesterday, but I think that's

Q Okay.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2.5

- A There -- I -- I know I didn't send any spatial data because you need GIS software to run that. There might have -- I might have done like a screenshot of something, but I can't remember.

 Nothing of note that I can think of.
- Q Okay. Was any data provided to you by Mrs. Clements --
- 17 A No.
- 18 | Q -- or their office?
- 19 A No. Sorry, I jumped ahead of you.
- Q Understood, yeah. So you're the GIS coordinator currently?
- A = Mm hmm
- Q Have you always been the GIS coordinator?

 Did you have other roles with Monroe County?
 - A Sure. At Monroe County, I've been the GIS

coordinator since August and before that, I was the archaeologist for the Indiana Department of Transportation. Prior to that, I was a professor at Indiana University, and before that, I was an archaeologist with the Forest Service.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Q What's that? What do you as an -- I'm just interested as an archaeologist what does that entail?

A Archaeology? So you're looking for cultural-like remains essentially with the Forest Service it was just really interesting work -- yeah.

Q What's your responsibilities as the GIS coordinator?

A Sure. So I support primarily different departments across Monroe County. I meet with the planning department, highway department, stormwater, et cetera. But I also work directly with just constituents across Monroe County, and so I do a lot of work with the Monroe County Public Library right now trying to get them up to speed with GIS.

I do a lot of kind of data collection and -- and publication of data that I think would benefit the County as well, so lots of historical aerial imagery and stuff like that. But primarily what I'm doing is managing our GIS technology, making sure it functions and works, and then also assisting other departments

with kind of workflows and making sure that they have the means to accomplish what they want to do.

- Q Do you have a staff?
- A I do I've got one person who works for me.
- Q Okay.

2.0

2.2

- A She started in August, a GIS technician, and we have various interns that come in occasionally from -- from IU.
- Q Are you -- is your office like the gatekeeper for the GIS? I mean do you -- does all information that goes on the GIS have to come through you?
- A I don't know if I'd say gatekeeper.

 What -- what the GIS department does or the division does and it's -- it's kind of gone through multiple iterations. Every department has a license to -- it's called ArcGIS Pro essentially. And so they can manage their own data. They create data. They delete data all of that.

I manage the licensing and I also manage kind of who has access to different databases. But this is in kind of conjunction with our technology -- technical services department as well just making sure that, you know, we have firewalls set up so, you know, bad actors can't get into our data and things like

that.

2.0

2.2

But yeah, in terms of like the GIS, I'm the director of it or the division head, but if departments have folks who are savvy at GIS, I'm all about them managing their own work right, so yeah.

Q Okay. So other people have access -- other departments have access to add or remove information from the GIS?

A Somewhat. I mean if -- so the way it's setup -- I'm -- I'm going to talk about this in a folder structure. We have a main database and then we have a -- a folder structure that might be something like planning, okay. And there's going to be like five or six people within the planning department who have the ability to modify data within that planning folder.

Somebody from the health department can't modify data in the planning folder, if that makes sense. And so, they can -- depending on who they are, there's the ability for people to modify data within their own department.

Q And I'm generally I like to think familiar with good GIS and how it works because I rely on GIS to deliver the state. But just for the record, can you explain what like what kind of different

components are in GIS?

2.0

2.2

2.5

A Sure. By components are you talking about like software components?

Q Data. What kind of data components?

A Sure. So GIS essentially is mapping. It's mapping two types of data. One of them is going to be tabular data and that's called vector information, and the other type of data is raster and those are essentially images. Its data is made up of pixels. The majority of work that I do is vector data.

And that goes back to my time as a postdoc and my time as a grad student and that looking at historical information. What GIS does is it takes tabular data and assigns it some type of geospatial coordinate; right? So you can do this with any type of spatial -- or any type of data that has a location you can do this; right?

There's points lines and polygons. Points essentially are, you know, this place in space. A line can be something like a road and a polygon can be something like a census block or an annexation area; right So there's three different ways to kind of visualize spatial data.

There's also an online interface for this, but that's not the data. That's just a different way

to kind of visualize it and things like that.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.5

- Q So like the GIS is also a place where I can get information about property ownership?
- A Sure. We have -- we have two different interfaces for that. Property ownership at a parcel level, you can get from our website that's called Elevate and that's a proprietary website. This is confusing now. It's -- it's ran by Schneider Geospatial, but it hosts our spatial data -- our parcel data, which comes from the auditor's offices our parcel data.

And then some of the qualitative data in there is pulled from the assessor's office from our INcama database. We also have a parcel viewer on our internal GIS website. By internal, I mean it's one that we manage ourselves. You guys can access it. It's open to the public. It's just the same data, it's just I think it's better, but that's just me.

Q Do -- does the City and the County utilize the same GIS?

- A Like the same GIS provider?
- O The same database?
- 23 A No. No. We have two different databases.
- O Okay. You share information --
 - A Yeah. I -- I've got a very good

relationship with the City's GIS manager and also all of the technicians that work under Meghan, so yes.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Q So like do you guys go pull shape files and information from the City's GIS and add it to the County's GIS?

A Well, yeah -- we'll use some -- it's called a feature service and so it's not a shape file. It's essentially like a live feed. We do this with -- the City has -- the City in terms of GIS for Monroe County -- the City was kind of the first player in GIS and so the City has been managing some data for a very long time very effectively.

Things like the address points for the town of Ellettsville. Things like road center lines and stuff like that. And so, yeah, we definitely rely on the City and the City relies on us as well for -- for parcel information and other things. Yeah, we've got a good working relationship. And the City does have like an open -- basically an open data portal that is -- it's available through REST services, so it's available to the public.

Q So is there any kind of quality control in the County for ensuring that information entered by another department is accurate?

A It depends on -- that's a great question.

And it depends on the data. It depends on who's using it. If this is an individual, who's doing, you know, I've -- I've got colleagues in the health department that are just getting used to GIS and so I've kind of built out some applications for them.

2.0

2.2

They're doing a tick monitoring program right now. And so they're basically going out dragging -- dragging parks for ticks and they're getting, you know, tick counts and stuff like that. So once they're going through it, they'll email me and ask like, "Hey, does this look good" and things like that.

I'll check it out and see what it looks
like. Different departments have, you know, a
hierarchy of what's going on and -- and if you're, you
know, a newly hired person in the highway department,
you're not going to be, you know, assigned. You're -you're in charge of all of the highway's assets;
right? That's a training process.

So there's definitely a level of like quality assurance, especially when it comes to our -- it's kind of our core data sets is what I'd call it and that's parcels, address points, road center lines. It's part of the Indiana Data Harvest. And so we've been really working on trying to implement good kind

	Page 30
1	of data quality and and standards across Monroe
2	County.
3	Q Do you think the GIS database is reliable?
4	A It's a large database I'll say yes. I'll
5	say there's multiple. I'll say the database is
6	reliable. Not all data and by the database here
7	too, I'm talking about all geospatial data across
8	Monroe County. Is all of that up to date? No.
9	Are our core data sets up to date and
10	reliable? Yes. For the most part, I'd say everything
11	in the geo geospatial database is a reliable data
12	set.
13	Q There may be some inaccuracies you would
14	agree?
15	A It kind of depends where.
16	Q Somebody could insert the wrong information
17	or
18	A Sure, yeah. I mean there's human error
19	across the board yeah.
20	Q But it's something that the community relies
21	on?
22	A Yeah. Oh, most certainly. Parcel
23	information and there's there's issues with parcel
24	data all the time. Right. And these are data that

we've got 64,000 parcels across Monroe County that

25

were drawn in who knows when; right?

And so there's issues that arise and the great thing is is because we have this publicly available, we'll get comments from the public that, "Hey, you know, you have the wrong or there's something wrong with my parcel data." And we fix it, which is a cool thing. So there is some kind of cross-checking and the County -- different county departments rely on it --

- Q Correct.
- A -- in their operations.
- Q Sure. Okay. Yeah. Besides the City's annexations here, have you been involved in any other annexations?
 - A No.
 - Q Done analysis of any other annexations?
- 17 A No.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

- Q Studied any other annexations?
- A No. I'm trying to think of like a different type of annexation, but I'm thinking of just like the City, and no. I've -- my -- my background's like environmental history and things like that, so yeah -- with GIS.
 - Q Understood. And have you in your role here done any comparisons of Bloomington's annexations to

Page 32 1 any other annexations? I have not. 3 Okay. So you mentioned -- so let me ask 0 you, have you calculated the residential population of 4 5 area 1A and 1B? 6 Α No. 7 0 Okay. I -- I can elaborate on that as well. 8 Α Yeah. So you -- let me ask this --9 0 10 Α Sure. 11 Okay. Because you've talked a little bit. O 12 You did -- you have done some calculations with 13 respect to the residential population? 14 Α Correct. 15 0 And I understand your testimony was you 16 started that sometime probably in December. 17 Α Mm-hmm. 18 What prompted you to do that? 19 This could have been -- this might have been Α 2.0 like late November, but I think early late November, 21 December. I -- I received an email from -- from 2.2 county legal saying that there was a request to --23 MR. HEEBS: I know -- don't --24 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry. Okay. 2.5 MR. HEEBS: You don't need to

- testify -- and this is a little -- it's a little
 awkward, but you don't -- I think it's fair to say
 because you're a county employee you don't need to
 testify about things that county legal has told you or
 asked you to do because that's protected by attorneyclient privilege.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah.
 - BY MR. UNGER:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q I don't -- I do not want to know about your communications with Mr. Cockerill, unless somebody unaffiliated with the City was --
 - A Okay.
- Q -- included in those communications. So if Mr. Heeb for example was on the email, I would be able to ask you about it --
 - A Sure. That's clear and he was not.
- Q Understood. So leaving city legal out of it did anything else prompt you to look into the residential population --
 - A No.
- Q -- in areas 1A and 1B? Okay. So you did calculations in -- who all did you share those calculations with?
- 24 A I'm trying to think now. Can I -- can I
 25 respond back with that I -- that it went back to --

Q Let me ask this.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A I mean it's -- it's like -- it's like its data that's publicly available.
 - O Okay. Yeah.
- A It was -- I -- I know I shared it with Margaret and I shared it with Ryan. No county offices or departments. It would just be county legal that would have received it, so --
 - Q Okay.
- A Yeah. This was basically -- in terms of GIS analysis, this is like very much like beginner stage stuff and it was kind of like a -- I don't know nothing crazy to me. It wasn't anything I was like, "Woah. Check out this great, you know, amazing product that I made." It was just like this is spatial analysis of two different data sets, so yeah.
 - Q So tell me what did you calculate?
- A Sure. And it's -- visuals are always helpful here. But the annexation area, as you guys are very familiar with, does not align perfectly with census blocks. This is just kind of a way that two different data sets -- sometimes they mesh together really well and sometimes they don't mesh together really well.

So what I did was take 2020 census data

pulled from the U.S. census, and I will mention to you that, you know, census data is authoritative, but census data also has flaws; right? Especially the 2020 census this occurred during COVID.

2.0

2.2

2.5

This occurred with questions within the census that were tailored for people maybe not to answer and people to be afraid to answer questions like are you a citizen of the United States and it's also a voluntary survey. So you have to understand who's actually submitting these surveys; right? Is there a lot of people submitting these surveys or is it not that many?

This is just an issue with -- with census data; right? It's voluntary, happened during COVID, et cetera. The census blocks across Monroe County don't align perfectly with the annexation area. So to understand populations within an annexation area 1A and 1B to get an exact number, it was impossible off the bat from the data that I had.

What I did was try to come up with three different analyses that would give us an idea of populations within 1A and 1B. The first one was taking census blocks that were located completely within. And what I mean by that is, that those census blocks, the edges of them are not going outside of

1 annexation area 1A and 1B, et cetera.

So I did that from 1A and 1B. I'm not sure what the numbers were, but what you see is basically an annexation area that has, you know, a handful of pockets of census blocks and then big gaps with nothing in it. I did a second --

Q I'm sorry let me -- I'm going to interrupt you to explain that. You have -- everywhere in area 1A and 1B would be with -- would be located at least partially or wholly in a census block; right?

Every --

- A Correct.
- Q Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

- A Yeah. There are census blocks throughout the entirety of the United States.
- O Correct. Yeah.
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q So what do you mean by gaps?
- A So if you're trying to find census blocks
 that are located completely within 1A and 1B, there's
 gaps within 1A. I'll say where there aren't census
 blocks located completely within --
- 23 | Q There's an overlap between --
- 24 A Correct. Yep.
 - Q Understood. Okay. So and I know you've

talked -- because my notes were -- and correct me if
I'm wrong. You had the completely within census
blocks. You had the center point census blocks and
you had the intersect census blocks?

A Correct.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q So and I want to be clear, did you do a report on what the population was of this -- of the census blocks that were completely within?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And then did you do a report on the census blocks that had a center point?

A Correct. Correct.

Q And then you did a report on the census blocks that intersected?

A Correct.

O Okay.

A And within that report to it was acreage and I did it for 2020 and for 2010 census data.

Q Okay. So -- and you're talking about on number two and number three center point and intersect, those are the ones that you did on acreage or did you also do acreage on number one?

A So looked at the acreage of census blocks completely within for 2010, 2020. Population as well. So population for both, acreage for both for all three

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

of the analyses.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Okay. Okay.
- A And it's one of those things when you're doing mapping, you think that the problem can be easily answered; right? I want to know the population of this area and it's like, "Hey, we should be able to do that. We have authoritative data." And you can do that by census block; right?

Because those have a defined geography with population already assigned to them, but when we're talking about a separate data set it's difficult to do that.

- Q So center point, I want to understand that.
- A Mm-hmm.
- Q Is that where the very center of the census block is located within the annexation area?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay.
 - A And that center is -- is if there's an algorithm that goes on in -- in the background.

 That's basically saying here's a polygon or an area this is its complete center and so that's how that is done.
 - Q And so then in that report you calculated -- what were you showing? What were you calculating?

- A Population for -- for those three different types of analysis as well as the acreage of the census blocks for those three different types of analysis.
- Q So for example on area 1A for the center point analysis, do you do anything to determine what percentage or what amount of the population in that census block is in area 1A?
 - A Originally, I did not do any of that.
 - Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

- A But I did -- we did do some kind of like calculations when Margaret and Ryan came to my office and then yesterday as well just to get an idea of what's the -- I don't know if it would be called population density, but it would be more like what -- what how many people are per acre type of thing based on those different calculations.
 - Q And so what calculation did you do then?
 - A For that like determining --
- 19 Q For the center point -- I'm starting with 20 the -- I'm trying to break these down, so --
 - A Okay.
 - O I want to start --
 - A You want to do the center point first?
- Q Let's talk about center point --
- 25 A Sure.

- Q I get completely within -- completely within the entire block is within the annexation --
 - A Sure.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Did you do any calculations with respect to number one the completely within --
- A Yeah. We did for all of them, and so completely within you have -- again, it's as you do these analyses, you're going to have completely within the population is going to be small and it's going to be missing a lot of data. Center point within is going to be a larger data set, but you're going to have --
 - Q I want -- let's back up --
- 14 A Sorry. Sorry.
 - Q Because I'm trying to -- you completely within again that is the census block is completely within annexation area 1A, for example.
 - A Correct.
- 19 Q Missing data -- what data is going to be 20 missing?
 - A Any of those census blocks that are not completely within.
 - Q Okay. But I'm trying to categorize just with respect to those that are with -- completely within --

1 A S	ure
---------	-----

2.0

2.2

2.5

- Q Did you do any analysis to determine that some of the population in that census block was not in area 1A or 1B?
 - A I did not.
 - O Okay.
- A So let me clarify though because I want to make sure I'm not misstating things. With the census blocks that were located completely within, we did do basically a division; right? Population divided by acreage of the population of census blocks located completely within divided by the total acreage of annexation area 1A or 1B and then also by -- I did it by census block acreage.
- Q And so this calculation, again -- that calculation was done completed back in January probably?
- A No. I would have done that -- I -- I think because it was the first time that Margaret and Ryan came to the office. I might have done it like just on my own sometime as well, just to see, because there was a question brought up. And I think it was a question maybe that was in an email.

And so I did it and then I met with them.

And actually made sure that we were doing the right

type of analysis from those data. So I guess January, February. Again, this is -- it's -- it's in that time window.

Q Okay. Let me -- let's go back to area 2 then or category 2, the center point. So what calculation did you do then to break down what part of the center point blocks that aren't completely within were within --

A No calculation. These were three very coarse analyses for this and again it's because the census blocks don't align perfectly within the annexation area.

You could, you know, there -- there could be an argument made that if a census block has half of it within an annexation area take half of that population and put it into the annexation area. That doesn't make sense because populations aren't distributed evenly anywhere on the planet, with the exception of soybean fields and monoculture corn crop -- crops.

- Q I want to ask you, have you done that calculation at all if half is within --
 - A No.
 - 0 -- based off of the area?
- 24 A No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

2.5

O Never did that?

- 1 A That's not appropriate --
 - Q Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A -- like geospatial science.
- Q Is there like a handbook on geospatial science that says that's not appropriate?
 - A I'm not -- I -- I don't think so. I mean
 I'm sure there is somewhere. I don't know if there's
 a handbook on that.
 - Q I'm just -- why do you say it's not appropriate?
 - A Because I'm a -- a social scientist. I've peer-reviewed numerous data before and you can't take -- you can't take something -- especially when you're talking about population and human population -- that's -- that's implying something; right? And it's assuming something and you can't have assumptions when you're doing accurate analysis; right?
 - You need to be exact. And when you're talking about, you know, a census block whether it's 50 percent in the annexation area or 25 percent in the annexation area, you can't just throw a 25 percent -- 25 percent of the people into it because populations aren't distributed evenly or equally across areas.
 - Q Okay. So the center point analysis,

- 1 | again -- I'm sorry my microphone popped off.
- THE REPORTER: Let me get that for you.
- 3 | MR. UNGER: Fell out again. Sorry
- 4 about that.
- THE REPORTER: You'll have to hold it
- 6 | like a tiny microphone for the rest of the day.
- 7 MR. UNGER: Just like this. Sorry
- 8 about that.
- 9 BY MR. UNGER:
- 10 Q Okay. So the center point, again, I'm
- 11 | trying to understand without having received any of
- 12 | these materials what it is that you did exactly. So
- 13 | explain to me then -- so I understand how you
- 14 determined what was at a center point and then that
- center point would be -- if it was anywhere within the
- 16 annexation area you included that --
- 17 A Correct.
- 18 Q Okay. And then what was the analysis you
- 19 | did from that?
- 20 A Just a sum population based on census blocks
- 21 | for all -- all census blocks whose center point was
- 22 | located within annexation area 1 or 1A or 1B. There
- 23 was no goofing around with the numbers to try to, you
- 24 know, include more, or remove numbers from it. It was
- 25 just a sum population from all the census blocks.

- Q Okay. Okay. And then you came up with a sum population of all of the census blocks that had a center point in either of the annexation areas?
 - A Correct.
 - Q And then did you do an acreage analysis?
- A Mm-hmm.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- Q So you did like a population per acre --
- A Sure. And that was back when -- with -- with Margaret and Ryan as well.
- Q Okay.
- A And it was just basically, you know, this is population -- completely within population whose center is within and then population that intersects with annexation area. So we had three -- three numbers and they get -- I don't know if I say more coarse. They get larger -- the numbers as you kind of get more broad with your analysis.
 - Q Okay.
 - A -- including more things in the bucket.
- Q Okay. And then anything else you did. I want to make sure I exhaust the center point -- anything else you did with the center point calculations?
- 24 A Nope.
- 25 Q Okay.

A It's yeah. It's it's basically three
analyses in GIS and it's called select by location.
And so what you do is you have the annexation area and
you say I want to find all parcels that are located
within or completely within all parcels that or
not parcels sorry, census blocks. All census
blocks that are completely within. All census blocks
whose center is within and then all census blocks that
intersect.

So let's talk about the third one 0 Okay. Explain again for the record, and I think I'm following you now on what you did, but explain what you did on the intersect analysis?

Any census block that's with that -- that is Α touched or is within the annexation areas included, so the -- and then it's basically grabbing a lot more census blocks than either of the two prior analyses. And so, this could grab a census block that's, you know, 25 percent in.

It could grab a census block that's 1 percent in the annexation area. Again, these things are not drawn randomly, but they're -- they're two different data sets and so they don't align perfectly.

- Q Okay.
- And in terms of like the analysis, you

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

could, you know, do more fine-tooth stuff, but I think that for the point of what I was doing was just this is -- this is the data I'm not going to an analyze it further than that, so --

Q Okay. And so did you then calculate a population per acre of any census block that intersects with the annexation areas?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

A There -- there was a calculation done that was looking at that versus, you know, annexation area 1A and 1B. I think that was just kind of like on the back of a, you know, napkin or whatever the phrase is. And we did that for all three of those. All that is is taking population and dividing it by acreage; right?

Q Dividing by acreage of the census block or acreage of the annexation?

A I mean you could do it by both and I did it by both just to kind of get an idea of what's going on here. But again, and this is my -- my kind of argument with this is that since the two geographies don't overlay completely, having that exact number with the data I had I can't do that. You know, I can come up with -- with coarse estimates and that's what, you know, that's what three analyses produce.

O And I want it make sure that I'm clear too

on the intersect number three category. Did you do any kind of an analysis to determine that any portion of that population was not in the annexation area 1A or 1B?

2.0

2.2

A I mean, so there was a -- I -- I believe we looked at some like imagery at one time to kind of like zoom in on stuff. There are some like census blocks and you can see this on our census viewer too. There are census blocks that have a population of zero; right? And they're essentially like I'm not sure why they're drawn that way, but it might include like a -- a right of way or something like that.

So yeah, we zoomed in on some stuff. And one of the things with the annexation area, you can tell with some of it that it's -- they were trying to have it align with census blocks -- the annexation area, but what happened is when that annexation area was drawn into a GIS, it wasn't -- it's called snapped directly to the census block. It was drawn.

And so what you have is -- when you zoom in really really closely right -- GIS is math essentially. And when you zoom in really really closely to an annexation in a census block, there might be a census block that you can tell that the annexation probably didn't want to include, but it's

got just the smallest amount of overlap. And because of that, it's going to be captured; right?

2.0

2.2

Because GIS isn't going to say like we're going to, you know, not look at these things, especially with the analysis that I ran. So we could zoom in on stuff and say, "Well, yeah this one, you know, if you look at it -- it probably shouldn't be there." I didn't remove any of that data from any of the analyses I did. We just did kind of a cursory examination.

Q And when you say that would be -- that would only be captured in your third category of some overlap.

A Correct. It wouldn't be with the first one completely within those are obviously within. The center within, I don't think so. I think actually we might have seen one yesterday. I'm looking at you Ryan because -- but it -- it was one that was like a V-shaped parcel -- or polygon, sorry. I deal with a lot of spatial data -- census block.

That had like a population of 12 that was included with the center point. That looked like maybe it -- it was just like the center point happened to be right at the corner of the annexation area. I don't know if any of that made sense.

- Q Did you ever ask should I should I just go ahead and calculate the -- what the population is for each area?
 - A Like just out of the blue?
- Q Yeah. Let me -- let me rephrase that. Were you told not to try to calculate the residential population of each area?
 - A No.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Okay. If you were asked to calculate the residential population of each area -- you said, for example, you did not believe -- I understand your testimony -- you did not believe doing it by percentage of area is an accurate -- is the best way to do it. What would be the best way to do it using the census data?
 - A Using census data?
- 17 | O Yeah.
 - A I don't -- I don't think there is a best way to do it using census data.
 - O What do you --
 - A The reason for that is because the census blocks don't align perfectly with the annexation area. The -- the best way to do this, and this is, you know, an unfortunate reality of how the annexation area is drawn or how the census blocks are drawn, is that if

you want an accurate count of people within these -these different areas, it's like a door-to-door
survey; right?

2.0

2.2

2.5

Which is what the census block -- census tries to capture. But they don't try to capture it based on annexation area 1A and 1B. They try to do it based on their census blocks. I feel like in terms of the analysis that I did those were the three kinds of best types to capture the variance -- the various kinds of numbers you can get from populations.

But again, it's just -- its populations are random. You know, you -- like, and this is just like an example of my street. My house -- I've got four people in it. I live in a residential neighborhood in Bloomington. My neighbor to the north has one person and the neighbor across the street has I think like seven people. It's like a multi-generational household.

So assuming that we can have a metric that we devise that says, you know, every address point within a residential neighborhood is three people or four people. I -- I don't know if that's accurate or not. I mean to me it doesn't seem like it's accurate. It's an estimate.

Q Are you familiar -- how long have you lived

	Page 52					
1	in Bloomington?					
2	A 2018.					
3	Q Since 2018. Okay. So you're generally					
4	familiar with annexation areas 1A and 1B?					
5	A I mean I I know where they are. In terms					
6	of familiarity with them, I I found out yesterday					
7	that 1B goes through like Clear Creek, which I run					
8	that trail all the time. I don't I don't frequent					
9	that area really. I'm southeast side of town, so					
10	Q Like do you ever go to Walmart?					
11	A No.					
12	Q Okay. You understand Walmart's in one of					
13	the annexation areas? It's in area 1B?					
14	A Okay.					
15	Q You're not aware?					
16	A Okay. I I guess I wasn't. I don't					
17	I I know where Walmart is. I I think I've been					
18	there once since I've moved here.					
19	Q Okay.					
20	A Sorry. That's not really my scene.					
21	Q Understood. Do you you've reviewed them					
22	in maps?					
23	A They're kind of like yeah, bulk polygons,					
24	and by reviewing them, no. I mean from 1A and 1B I've					
25	done this analysis. That's the only type of data I've					

done with any annexation --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Have you looked at them to see the areas that are residential and areas that are commercial?
- A Not necessarily. I mean I've -- it's been a very like one-off project type of thing.
- Q Okay. So in your analysis at all, you didn't look at where the residential neighborhoods were or where the apartment complexes were?
- A There was I mean a little bit of like zooming in and zooming out of these census blocks. An unfortunate thing about some of the data I've looked at, it's like you can bring in aerial imagery, right and you can like zoom in on things. I've looked more at like the census block information than anything else and it's shockingly to me -- or not shockingly.

The interesting thing to me is when you find a census block that has a population of zero and I don't understand like why that is and so that was kind of the more curious thing that I was coming across.

- Q Are you familiar with the -- what I'll call the area intended for annexation, the old area intended for annexation?
 - A I'm not.
- Q Okay. Not aware of old county and city interlocal agreements relating to areas intended for

	Page 54
1	annexation?
2	A No, to the best of my knowledge.
3	Q The Census Bureau does a designates
4	urbanized areas, are you familiar with that?
5	A Is it the Census Bureau that does that?
6	Q I believe. Does nobody else are you aware
7	of another one?
8	A Well, there is a I'm part of the
9	Bloomington Metropolitan Planning Organization
10	Technical Advisory Committee.
11	Q Okay.
12	A And the federal highway does some stuff with
13	that.
14	Q Okay.
15	A That determines the urbanized areas and it's
16	again, kind of a bizarre process maybe not bizarre,
17	but I'm familiar with with a bit of it, yeah.
18	Q And do you understand areas 1A and 1B are
19	urbanized?
20	A I guess that wasn't part of my purview for
21	it. I was not I was not aware of that.
22	Q Okay. You remember the MPO though?
23	A Well, I'm part of the technical advisory
24	committee
25	Q Okay.

A And so what that means is that when there's funding that comes forth that has like a technical nature, we basically kind of vote on things. My role with that with urbanized areas was that there was a map from the federal highway that was looking at the Bloomington urban area expansion.

2.

2.2

And they wanted to include some parts of kind of the north part of Bloomington in it. And there was uncertainty of whether these were urban or not. And my role there was to kind of guide -- I shouldn't say guide.

I offered that we have census data for this that we can look at to see -- well, if -- if folks with the MPO think that this area is not, we -- that it's not urbanized, we should actually look at some authoritative data to make sure that that's accurate and so I just recommended people to look at some census data for it.

Q But you -- and with respect to areas 1A and 1B, were you aware that they were a part of the U.S. Department of Transportation's urbanized areas?

A I -- no, I guess. I -- I -- well, I mean like I never -- the annexation areas aren't on the urban map like -- sorry, on the urbanized area map that I looked at for the MPO.

Q Understood.

2.0

2.2

- A So yeah, I would say I did not -- if I was looking at that I wouldn't have been like, "Oh, 1A and 1B are here." I had no -- no -- yeah.
- Q You're not disputing maybe is the way to -I want to make sure I'm clear. You don't dispute that
 they're in the urbanized areas. You just don't know?
- A I mean if they're in the urbanized areas that's perfectly fine with me.
- Q Okay. Okay. Understood. Are you familiar with the character of areas 1A and 1B?
 - A Can you define character?
- Q Yeah, the in terms of urbanized or unurbanized?
- A From looking at the maps, I've seen that like one of them has like the Monroe County airport in it. I'm not sure if that's designated urban -- this is talking about like impermeable surfaces and stuff like that. I looked at some of the Social Vulnerability Index just kind of again at a very coarse scale.

And we saw that there were some, you know, areas I think in 1B that had a higher Social

Vulnerability Index rating than other places in the -in the County. But in terms of the character of the

1 two, I mean I drive down Tapp Road.

I run some of that area, so I'm familiar with like what I've seen driving. When I'm driving, I'm not ever considering like I wonder if I'm in the city limits or if I'm in annexation, you know, that that's just not coming across.

- O It's hard to tell sometimes; right?
- A Yeah.

2.0

- Q Like you don't know when you go in and out. It feels the same as the City.
- A I'm not going to -- I'm not going to opine on that. It depends on what part of the City.

 Bloomington has some interesting little corners in it, so --
- Q I appreciate that, yeah. Aside from the census data, the most recent being 2020, and I understand they update, you know, they do estimated updates essentially. Is there any other data that you think is more accurate that's publicly available regarding population?
 - A Data that's available to myself? No.
- 22 Q Okay.
 - A More accurate in a time span, if that's -if you're talking about like currently accurate?

 Currently accurate, I think the 2020 census data is

	Page 58
1	the authoritative data set.
2	Q Okay.
3	A If you're talking about historical data, you
4	can get into some like interesting stuff, you know,
5	but we're not going to that's my other that's my
6	other past time.
7	Q I'm going to give you a document here.
8	We're going to mark this
9	MR. UNGER: Does anybody remember where
L O	we left off? Do you happen to remember, Andrew, where
L1	we left off?
L2	THE REPORTER: Have you had any since
L3	the last one with me?
L4	MR. MCNEIL: Yeah.
L5	MR. UNGER: Yes, it would have been the
L6	last one with you.
L7	THE REPORTER: I suppose I believe
L8	we're on 57.
L9	MR. MCNEIL: Let's just say 60.
20	MR. UNGER: Use 60.
21	THE REPORTER: Okay.
22	MR. UNGER: Let's mark it as 60.
23	THE REPORTER: Sixty it is. All right.
24	BY MR. UNGER:
25	Q Okay. I've just handed you a document

	Page 59
1	marked as Exhibit 60.
2	(Exhibit 60 was marked for
3	identification.)
4	A Mm-hmm.
5	Q Have you ever seen this before?
6	A I haven't. I saw the date and I was like,
7	"Wait. Is that when I was here," but I started in
8	August. I have not seen this.
9	Q You had nothing to do with preparing this?
10	A I wasn't I wasn't I started at Monroe
11	County August 8 of 2022. I think is when my start
12	date was. I have to like jog my memory. I've got
13	two two young kids and like it's just it's
14	chaotic, but this is not something I made, no. And it
15	is not my name. That's that's my predecessor.
16	Q Can you if you by predecessor, you
17	mean Jared Eichmiller?
18	A Jared Eichmiller. Yeah, he was the GIS
19	coordinator prior to prior to my time at Monroe
20	County.
21	Q I'm actually I don't know what this is
22	intended to show, so if you could just read it. If
23	you could take a couple of minutes reading it.
24	A So yeah, what what they did here for what
25	I can see they took census blocks I'm

assuming -- oh, it says census blocks -- okay. They took census blocks. They did an intersect analysis and then they did what's called a -- it's a different way to visualize data. You can see in the legend, it says like the density.

2.0

2.2

I'm not sure what the density threshold is here. It doesn't really make sense to me. There's three -- two different scales going on. You can see they're doing both of them for a population per acre. What that's called is like you can have like a standard deviation.

You can have it's a class -- if you guys were seeing what I'm talking about in the legend. You have these four different numbers that are showing up there or four different things. Zero for census block intersecting 1A. Zero it looks like .1 to .77, .78 to 5.7, 5.8 to 24.

So they basically ran an intersect and then they said we want to symbolize this based on the persons per acre. I'm assuming that the persons per acre for this are -- actually I'm not going to assume. I'm not sure if it's acres for annexation area 1A or if it's acres for the census block.

The classification that they used with 0.01 to .77, .78 to 5.7, 5.8 to 24. There's a different

variety of ways you can designate data to appear. You can do it through what's called natural breaks and so I was looking for kind of the natural way that these data are packaged across -- across all the census blocks.

That's normally what I recommend. This -I'm not -- and -- and then you can do like a standard
deviation. I'm not sure what -- what they're really
showing here. The darker the color the higher the
density, but that density can be anywhere from 5.8 to
24 or 8.9 to 47.

So all I can see is that it's a map. That there was an analysis done for annexation areas 1A and 1B based apparently on 2020 census blocks.

- Q And you had not seen that before today?
- 16 A No.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.2

- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A To the best of my knowledge I haven't seen this before.
- Q Were you asked to do any kind of similar analysis?
 - A With population density?
- 24 A Type of thing? I mean it was just based on 25 like those -- like the, you know, the meetings in my

map, how would I get a copy of it?

25

I believe that's fine to share. It's -- I could send you a link to it. It's in our -- in our basically our GIS portal. And all it is -- is three different -- it's the three analyses that I'm talking about. I'd be more than happy to share it. I think county legal may MR. HEEB:

have some say in that, so -- but I don't --

MR. MCNEIL: Did county legal -- when you were working with John in viewing and receiving a copy of data that hasn't been produced in the case?

MR. HEEB: I don't think so, no.

THE WITNESS: And the one thing too though is -- I'm not sure if I should talk to you -this was -- because it was like a request the -- the original map.

MR. HEEB Oh, from -- you're saying the original map --

18 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

19 MR. HEEB -- was a request from county

legal? 20

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

21 THE WITNESS: Uh-uh. So that's

22 something as well, but --

23 BY MR. UNGER:

24 But you've provided them --0

Α Yeah.

		Page 64
1	Q	to Mr. Beggs and Mr. Heeb?
2	A	Well, provided I mean I've
3	Q	Shown it?
4	A	we've looked at it, yeah.
5	Q	You've looked at it and talked through it
6	A	Yeah. Correct.
7	Q	with them? Okay.
8	A	And this is publicly available data. This
9	is census	data and then the annexation blocks. That's
10	it.	
11	Q	I understand.
12	A	So there's nothing I don't want to say
13	it's not	sophisticated because that's why I get paid
14	the big b	ucks. But it's it's a very simple
15	analysis.	
16	Q	Have you done any calculations of the
17	percentage	e of which annexation areas 1A or 1B are
18	subdivide	d?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Okay.
21	A	I believe that might have been a request,
22	but I don	't have that I don't have the time to do
23	that nor	did I have resources that I could point to
24	that I was	s aware of.
25	Q	Okay. Are you aware of anybody else doing

an analysis on the subdivision?

A There's been -- I believe that the auditor's office had a request. I'm not sure exactly what type of analysis. Most of our parcel information, which includes subdividing big parcels into small ones, kind of takes place in the auditor's office, so they're the kind of gatekeepers to that type of data.

- Q Did you assist the auditor's office at all in doing any kind of subdivision analysis?
- A No.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

16

17

18

- 11 Q Okay. Did you do any kind of analysis of zoning for the annexation areas?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Okay. Any kind of analysis of property use?
- 15 A No.
 - Q Okay. You mentioned that you work with the City's GIS department and have a good relationship?
 - A Correct.
- Q Correct. Who in the City's GIS do you usually work with?
- 21 A Meghan Blair.
- Q Anybody else?
- A I mean I know Richard Creek and I also know

 Max -- I'm spacing on his last name now. I feel like

 a terrible person. I work with Max as well

- occasionally, but it's -- it's mainly Meghan. She's the GIS manager and so her and I are kind of colleagues across, you know, what we do. And then there's also -- there are some GIS that goes on with utilities, so I help out -- occasionally.
 - Q Do you think Meghan and Max are good at what they do?
 - A Oh yeah, for sure.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Any reason to question their work?
- A I've got -- I've got the utmost confidence in what Meghan does.
 - Q Okay. Have you reviewed any of Bloomington's annexation maps or data relating to areas 1A or 1B?
 - A I -- I have seen one bit of data that Ryan provided whenever this was two weeks ago or something like that. That had, I believe, it was population estimates for 1A and 1B. And then it also had what looked like a legend in the bottom left part of the -- of the map that I saw or the document that I saw that had what looked like assessors land use categories.
 - And those were -- that was the data that I saw. There was no -- there was no like -- like text in it that described what actually went on, so --
 - Q Was it just like a spreadsheet -- like a

summary spreadsheet?

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

A I believe so. I think it was like some tabular data, if I'm remembering correctly.

Q Was it like one page?

A No, I think there was like three pages on it.

Q Okay.

A I think it also depends like on the, you know, how you print it off.

Q Did you analyze that data at all or do any analysis with respect to it?

A I mean by analysis, I looked at it and I kind of like was interested in what data they were using to generate the numbers that they had. Just because my -- in -- in my opinion to get an exact count of people within these areas based on census blocks is impossible.

And that's why I was kind of like, "Wow. I wonder what they did." If there's, you know, some type of density analysis some type of tool or -- model that they're using that I wasn't aware of, and so, that was my kind of question with the analysis of it.

Q Other than asking those questions yourself you didn't do any kind of digging into it --

A No.

Q	 to	determine	if	it	was	accurate	or
inaccurate							

2.0

2.2

2.5

A I mean all I -- I looked at the numbers and that was it. Yeah, I don't have -- I don't have access to that data nor have I looked at -- at it beyond just kind of what I'm doing with this map right now?

Q Any reason to dispute the numbers?

A I wouldn't say there's a reason to dispute. I would say if I was given a document like that for -for review. I would want to know kind of how -- how
those numbers were generated; right? And since I
don't have an ability to understand how those numbers
were generated I don't -- I don't know like what the
process was that took place.

All I'm going off of this is something that like census blocks that I looked at and annexation areas and me trying to figure out a population for these areas 1A and 1B based on census blocks from my analysis and from my kind of understanding of how these things work.

To get an exact number, it's -- it's basically impossible because of the way that these census blocks align with the annexation areas.

Q I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 61.

	Page 69
1	(Exhibit 61 was marked for
2	identification.)
3	A Thank you.
4	Q I've handed you what I've marked as Exhibit
5	61. Was this the document that you reviewed with
6	Mr. Heeb?
7	A No. This is the first time I've seen this.
8	Q Okay. Do you know was it similar to this?
9	A No. I mean, I believe what what I saw
10	was population I don't remember it saying 2023
11	Urbanization Summary ever. Maybe that's maybe that
12	was an oversight of mine. What I saw was kind of
13	population numbers based on I think what they did
14	was they removed any type of again, it's it's
15	one of the I I it's one of those weird things
16	that like I kind of understand the thought process
17	behind what what I could see maybe happen.
18	But I think they removed anything that
19	wasn't considered to be like residential or something
20	and then made some type of a, you know, judgment from
21	there. Again, this is something I saw twice and it
22	was a document, so
23	Q Okay.
24	A This is one I haven't I haven't seen
25	before.

	Page 70
1	Q And it was something that Mr. Heeb had shown
2	you?
3	A Correct.
4	Q Did he did they ever email it to you or
5	just
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. Have you studied at all Bloomington's
8	fiscal plan annexation fiscal plan in this case?
9	A I have not.
10	Q Okay. Have you ever seen it or read it?
11	A No.
12	MR. UNGER: Okay. Exhibit 28. Do you
13	happen to have a copy, Andrew?
14	THE REPORTER: No. I wasn't asked to
15	bring one.
16	MR. UNGER: I've got a copy here for
17	you.
18	BY MR. UNGER:
19	Q Okay. Handing you what's been marked as
20	Exhibit 28.
21	(Exhibit 28 was marked for
22	identification.)
23	This is the amended and supplemented answers
24	of County Residents Against Annexation to the City of
25	Bloomington's interrogatories. I'm assuming you've

never seen this before, but I'm just asking you if you have ever seen this document before?

A I have not.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Okay. So these are written questions that Bloomington asked to the remonstrators and then they answer them kind of a part of the learning about the case process. If you go to page 4 --
 - A Okay.
- Q And actually I'll start on -- the question on page 2 for number 3 was identify each fact witness that you plan to call to testify in the lawsuit and provide a summary of their anticipated testimony. And if you turn to page 4, at the very top you see your name?
- A Okay. That's -- that's not my name, but -- it's -- it's spelled incorrectly.
- Q Correct. But otherwise John Baeten you understand that to be you at the very top?
 - A Sure. Yes.
- O Okay. With an O instead of an E?
- 21 A Yes.
 - Q If called Mr. Baeten would be asked to testify about population density in areas 1A and 1B, do you see that?
 - A I do see that.

Q Okay. We've talked a little bit here today
about population, aside from what we've talked about
today is there anything else that you can add in terms
of your understanding of the population density in
areas 1A and 1B?

A I mean my -- my like from the analysis that I've done of the population of 1A and 1B based on census blocks, is it that it's an imperfect -- it's an imperfect process because the census blocks don't align perfectly with the annexation area.

So you're not going to find an exact number of people within areas 1A and 1B unless if you do a door-to-door survey to actually get a number of who lives where. And again, this is because the census blocks don't align perfectly with areas 1A and 1B, but also because if we're using census data, which is authoritative, the 2020 census had major flaws.

One of them being COVID and the other one having some targeted questions and the third it being a voluntary process.

Q Anything else?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ HEEB I'm just going to object to the form.

MR. UNGER: Okay.

25 //

2.0

2.2

	Page 73
1	BY MR. UNGER:
2	Q You can still answer the question.
3	A No. Anything else?
4	Q Yes.
5	A No.
6	Q And I just want to just to make sure I'm
7	clear anything else or is there anything else
8	you've role you've played with the County or asked
9	to do relating to annexation areas 1A and 1B that we
LO	have not talked about?
L1	A I'm trying to think back nothing with
L2	population. I don't think I've done anything else
L3	that's related to annexation. I'm I'm going to say
L4	no.
L5	Q Okay.
L6	A I've done a lot of projects, but I'm going
L7	to say no to that one.
L8	MR. UNGER: Go off the record here for
L9	a minute.
20	THE REPORTER: Off the record at 10:13
21	a.m.
22	(Off the record.)
23	THE REPORTER: We're now back on the
24	record at 10:22 a.m.
25	MR. UNGER: I have no further

	Page 74
1	questions.
2	MR. HEEB: Just one or two, Mr. Baeten.
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. HEEB:
5	Q First, you've not seen or heard how the City
6	calculated the population for areas 1A or 1B; correct?
7	A That's correct.
8	Q And if you see that analysis could that
9	somehow impact your testimony at trial?
LO	A Yeah. I mean, yeah. Having the
L1	understanding of what went on for sure.
L2	Q Okay. And at trial, you're going to testify
L3	on your personal observations and experience in the
L4	work that you've performed; correct?
L5	A Correct.
L6	MR. HEEB: No other questions.
L7	EXAMINATION
L8	BY MR. UNGER:
L9	Q What personal what other personal
20	observations have you had besides what we've talked
21	about today?
22	MR. HEEB: Object to the form.
23	THE WITNESS: Object. Is that what I
24	say?
25	//

clear for the record. Mr. Heeb asked you if you were going to testify about your personal observations and

your answer was yes. So I want to know what do you

25 believe your personal observations to be that you're

1	going	to	testify	to?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.5

A My personal -- yeah, sure. My personal observations would be my analysis of population within areas 1A and 1B. Based on the 2020 U.S. Census and then I guess personal observations of -- of data analysis. That's my understanding.

Q Which I think we've -- you've not calculated the population of areas 1A and 1B?

A I've -- I've calculated the populations of area 1A and 1B to the best of my ability with those three analyses.

Q Okay.

A Which is the census blocks located completely within census blocks whose center is within and census blocks that intersect finding that to come up with an exact number I was unable to do that.

Q Okay.

MR. UNGER: Nothing further.

MR. HEEB: Nothing further. Thank you.

THE REPORTER: All right.

Mr. Unger, are you ordering this

transcript?

MR. UNGER: Yes.

THE REPORTER: And Mr. Heeb, do you

need a copy of this transcript?

	Page 77
1	MR. HEEB: I don't think I do right
2	now. Thank you.
3	THE REPORTER: And a signature?
4	MR. UNGER: So what he's going to
5	print a copy of the he'll draft up your testimony.
6	The question is do you want to review have a chance to
7	review it and sign it and determine if there's
8	anything that needs to be changed. There will be like
9	an errata sheet at the end where you can say, "No, I
10	didn't say that, I said this" or
11	THE WITNESS: I'm I'm fine with just
12	the way it is. I don't think I'm I'm okay.
13	MR. UNGER: Okay.
14	THE REPORTER: Okay. Let me see if
15	there's anything else. Exhibits attached as usual and
16	all right. Off the record at 10:25 a.m.
17	(Signature waived.)
18	(Whereupon, at 10:25 a.m., the
19	proceeding was concluded.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE

Notary Public in and for the

State of Indiana

2.4

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, CAMILLE MONTGOMERY, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

amille Montgomery

CAMILLE MONTGOMERY

2.2

2.4

Veritext Legal Solutions

888-391-3376

	41 10 17 22	ABAB1 50.15	
&	1b 10:15,22	27071 78:16	7
& 3:13,21 4:4	12:16 20:9,24	28 5:12 70:12	70 5:14
7:6,12	32:5 33:21	70:20,21	74 5:4,5
0	35:18,22 36:1	296-5294 3:17	77 60:16,25
0.01 60:24	36:2,9,20 41:4	3	78 60:16,25
	41:13 44:22	3 71:10	8
000509 2:4	47:10 48:4	317 3:17,25 4:8	_
1	51:6 52:4,7,13	32486 79:14	8 5:3 59:11
1 5:18 44:22	52:24 54:18	4	8.9 61:11
46:20 60:16	55:20 56:4,11	_	8:59 2:17 6:5
10:22 73:24	56:23 61:14	4 71:7,13	a
10:25 77:16,18	64:17 66:14,18	401 2:19 6:11	a.m. 2:17 6:5
111 3:22 4:5	68:19 71:23	46204 3:23 4:6	73:21,24 77:16
12 49:21	72:5,7,12,15	47 61:11	77:18
14 2:16 6:10	73:9 74:6 75:9	47404 2:20	ability 25:15,20
19 5:18	76:4,8,10	3:15 6:12	68:13 76:10
1a 1:24 3:10	2	5	78:10 79:7
10:15,22 12:15	2 42:4,5 71:10	5.7 60:17,25	able 11:17
14:4 20:8,24	2010 37:18,24	5.8 60:17,25	20:11 33:14
32:5 33:21	2018 52:2,3	61:10	38:6
35:17,22 36:1	2020 34:25	50 43:21	absent 6:15
36:2,9,20,21	35:4 37:18,24	53c06-2203 2:3	access 24:21
39:4,7 40:17	57:16,25 61:14	57 58:18	25:6,7 27:16
41:4,13 44:22	72:17 76:4	59 5:10	68:5
47:10 48:3	2022 59:11	6	accomplish
51:6 52:4,24	2023 5:11	_	24:2
54:18 55:19	69:10	60 5:9 58:19,20	accurate 28:24
56:3,11 60:16	2024 2:16 6:10	58:22 59:1,2	43:17 50:13
60:22 61:13	211 3:14	61 5:11 68:25	51:1,22,23
64:17 66:14,18	24 60:17,25	69:1,5	55:16 57:19,23
68:19 71:23	61:11	64,000 30:25	57:24,25 68:1
72:5,7,12,15	25 43:21,22,23	6485975 2:22	78:9 79:5
73:9 74:6 75:9	46:19	684-5253 4:8	acknowledg
76:4,8,10	2700 3:22 4:5	684-5435 3:25	6:14
		69 5:11	
		rol Colutions	

acre 39:15 45:7	ago 19:5,11	39:2,3,5 41:2	46:3,15,21
47:6 60:9,20	21:10 66:16	42:1 43:17,25	47:7,9,16 48:3
60:21	agree 6:17	44:18 45:5,17	48:14,16,17,23
acreage 37:17	30:14	46:13,25 48:2	48:25 49:24
37:21,22,23,25	agreements	49:5 51:8	50:22,24 51:6
39:2 41:11,12	53:25	52:25 53:6	52:4,13 53:1
41:14 45:5	ahead 13:14	60:2 61:13,21	53:21,22 54:1
47:13,15,16	22:19 50:2	62:11 64:15	55:23 57:5
62:8	75:14	65:1,4,9,11,14	60:22 61:13
acres 60:22,23	airport 56:16	67:11,12,20,22	64:9,17 65:12
action 78:12,16	al 6:8,10	68:20 72:6	66:13 68:17,24
79:8,12	algorithm	74:8 76:3,6	70:8,24 72:10
actors 24:25	38:20	analyze 47:3	73:9,13
actually 18:8	align 34:20	67:10	annexations
35:10 41:25	35:16 42:11	andrew 2:21	14:3 15:20
49:16 55:15	46:23 48:16	4:3 6:3 7:8	16:7 31:13,14
59:21 60:21	50:22 68:24	18:10,25 58:10	31:16,18,25
66:24 71:9	72:10,15	70:13 78:2,17	32:1
72:13	amazing 34:14	ann 1:13 3:4	annexed 1:10
add 25:7 28:4	amcneil 4:7	annexation 1:6	answer 9:2,18
72:3	amended 5:12	3:3 4:12 5:9	9:24 10:4
additionally	70:23	6:8 7:11 10:15	13:14 16:9,20
6:15	amount 39:6	10:22 13:17,20	35:7,7 71:6
address 28:13	49:1	13:21,24 14:1	73:2 75:3,14
29:23 51:20	analyses 14:6	14:8,12,14,15	75:24
administer	35:21 38:1	14:24 15:9,13	answered 38:5
6:14	40:8 42:10	16:2,22 17:8	answers 5:12
advisory 54:10	46:2,17 47:24	18:1 20:8	70:23
54:23	49:9 63:4	26:21 31:20	anticipated
aerial 23:22	76:11	34:19 35:16,17	71:12
53:12	analysis 12:13	36:1,4 38:16	anybody 58:9
affected 16:12	12:15,20 13:2	40:2,17 41:13	64:25 65:22
16:15	13:5,11 14:1	42:12,15,16	apartment 53:8
	4 4 4 2 4 7 4 2	12.21 22 11.16	annamently:
afraid 35:7	14:13,17,18	43:21,22 44:16	apparently
afraid 35:7	14:13,17,18 31:16 34:11,16	43:21,22 44:16	61:14

appear 61:1	50:10,13,22,24	asking 7:18	55:16 58:1
applicable 6:21	51:6 52:9,13	9:17 20:7	72:17
application	53:21,21 55:6	67:23 71:1	authorized
20:16	55:14,24 57:2	assessor's	6:13
applications	60:22 72:10	27:13	available 10:20
29:5	76:10	assessors 66:21	11:10,13 28:20
appreciate	areas 5:9 10:15	assets 29:18	28:21 31:4
57:15	10:21,22 12:15	assigned 6:3	34:3 57:19,21
approached	13:20 14:8,24	29:17 38:10	62:19 64:8
18:5	15:14 16:2,14	assigns 26:14	avenue 3:14
appropriate	20:8 33:21	assist 65:8	aware 18:13
13:12 43:1,5	43:24 45:3	assisting 23:25	52:15 53:24
43:10	46:15 47:7	assume 60:21	54:6,21 55:20
approximately	51:2 52:4,13	75:8	64:24,25 67:21
21:9	53:2,3,25 54:4	assuming 15:22	awkward 33:2
apps 12:2	54:15,18 55:4	21:12 43:16	b
arcgis 24:17	55:19,21,23	51:19 60:1,20	b 5:7
archaeologist	56:7,8,11,23	70:25	back 10:13
23:2,5,7	61:13 64:17	assumptions	14:25 21:2
archaeology	65:12 66:14	43:17	26:11 33:25,25
23:8	67:16 68:18,19	assurance	40:13 41:16
area 13:17,24	68:24 71:23	29:21	42:4 45:8
14:1,3,10,12,14	72:5,12,15	attached 77:15	47:11 73:11,23
14:15 26:21	73:9 74:6 76:4	attendance 7:3	background
32:5 34:19	76:8	attorney 7:4	38:20
35:16,17 36:1	argument	33:5 78:14	background's
36:4,8 38:6,16	42:14 47:20	79:10	31:21
38:21 39:4,7	arllys 1:23 3:9	audio 78:8 79:4	bad 24:25
40:17 41:4,13	aside 57:15	auditor 2:10	baeten 2:15 6:6
42:4,12,15,16	72:2	auditor's 27:10	7:14,14,19 8:2
42:23 43:21,22	asked 10:5	65:2,6,8	8:12,13 16:9
44:16,22 45:14	17:16 33:5	august 23:1	71:17,22 74:2
46:3,21 47:9	50:9 61:20	24:6 59:8,11	barbara 1:21
48:3,14,17,17	70:14 71:5,22	authoritative	3:9
49:24 50:3,7	73:8 75:22	35:2 38:7	3.7

888-391-3376

			1
based 39:16	best 22:6 50:13	14:22,23 34:21	boselaw.com
42:23 44:20	50:14,18,23	35:15,23,25	3:24 4:7
51:6,7 60:19	51:9 54:2	36:5,14,19,22	bottom 66:19
61:14,24 67:16	61:18 62:14	37:3,3,4,8,11	boundaries
68:19 69:13	76:10 78:10	37:14,23 39:3	13:24 15:17
72:7 76:4	79:6	40:21 41:9,11	break 10:2
basically 12:11	better 27:18	42:7,11 44:20	12:23 39:20
13:9 18:18	beyond 10:11	44:21,25 45:2	42:6
20:8,13 22:7	68:6	46:6,7,7,8,17	breaks 61:2
28:19 29:7	big 21:13 36:5	48:8,9,16	bring 53:12
34:10 36:3	62:20 64:14	50:22,25 51:7	70:15
38:21 41:10	65:5	53:10 59:25	broad 45:17
45:11 46:1,16	bigger 20:19	60:1,2 61:5,14	brought 41:22
55:3 60:18	bill 10:10	64:9 67:17	bucket 45:19
63:3 68:23	bit 12:12 15:11	68:17,19,24	bucks 64:14
bat 35:19	32:11 53:9	72:8,9,15	built 29:5
beggs 10:10	54:17 66:15	75:10 76:13,14	bulk 52:23
12:8 62:17	72:1	76:15	bunger 3:13
64:1	bizarre 54:16	bloomington	7:12
beginner 34:11	54:16	2:4,7,18,20	bureau 54:3,5
beginning 7:4	blair 65:21	3:15,19 4:2	c
behalf 3:2,19	block 11:2,5	5:13 6:9,10,11	
4:2	20:20 26:21	7:7 10:21,23	c 3:1,20 4:1
believe 12:21	36:10 38:8,16	15:16 51:15	5:16 6:1
18:9 19:10	39:7 40:2,16	52:1 54:9 55:6	calculate 34:17
20:4 48:5	41:3,14 42:14	55:8 57:13	47:5 50:2,6,9
50:11,12 54:6	43:20 46:14,18	71:5	calculated 32:4
58:17 63:1	46:20 47:6,15	bloomington's	38:24 74:6
64:21 65:2	48:19,23,24	31:25 66:13	76:7,9
66:17 67:2	49:20 51:4	70:7,25	calculating
69:9 75:25	53:14,17 60:15	blue 50:4	38:25
benefit 23:21	60:23	board 30:19	calculation
benefits 16:17	blocks 11:2,7	bose 3:21 4:4	39:17 41:15,16
bert 1:14 3:5	13:17,22,25,25	7:5	42:6,9,21 47:8
	14:3,7,8,14,21		calculations
	, , , ,		32:12 33:22,23

Veritext Legal Solutions

39:11,16 40:4	13:25 14:3,7,8	center 14:8,11	circle 3:22 4:5
45:23 64:16	14:13,21,21,23	14:22 28:14	circuit 1:3
call 18:19	20:18,20 21:3	29:23 37:3,11	citizen 35:8
29:22 53:20	21:3 26:21	37:20 38:13,15	city 2:3,18 3:19
71:11	34:21,25 35:1	38:19,22 39:4	4:2 5:13 6:9,11
called 8:3 10:19	35:2,3,4,6,13	39:19,23,24	7:6 10:20,23
11:22 14:6,11	35:15,23,24	40:10 42:5,7	11:3 15:17
18:9 24:17	36:5,10,14,19	43:25 44:10,14	16:17 27:19
26:7 27:6 28:6	36:21 37:2,3,4	44:15,21 45:3	28:9,9,10,11,16
39:13 46:2	37:8,11,13,18	45:13,21,22	28:16,18 31:21
48:18 60:3,10	37:23 38:8,15	46:8 49:16,22	33:11,17 53:24
61:2 71:22	39:2,7 40:16	49:23 76:14	57:5,10,12
camille 79:2,15	40:21 41:3,8	centers 20:14	70:24 74:5
capable 14:2	41:11,14 42:11	centroid 14:11	city's 16:7,22
capacity 2:6,9	42:14 43:20	certainly 30:22	28:1,4 31:12
capture 51:5,5	44:20,21,25	certificate 78:1	65:17,19
51:9	45:2 46:6,6,7,8	79:1	clarify 41:7
captured 49:2	46:14,17,18,20	certified 6:18	class 60:12
49:12	47:6,15 48:7,8	certify 78:4	classification
case 18:6,23	48:9,16,19,23	79:2	60:24
63:10 70:8	48:24 49:20	cetera 23:16	clear 21:19
71:7	50:15,16,19,21	35:15 36:1	33:16 37:6
categories	50:25 51:4,4,7	chance 77:6	47:25 52:7
66:21	53:10,14,17	change 13:5	56:6 73:7
categorize	54:3,5 55:12	changed 77:8	75:22
40:23	55:18 57:16,25	chaotic 59:14	clement 12:9
category 42:5	59:25 60:1,2	character	19:6 21:20
48:1 49:12	60:15,23 61:4	56:11,12,25	clements 4:11
catherine 1:12	61:14 64:9	charge 29:18	7:10,10 21:22
2:8 3:4	67:16 68:17,19	check 29:13	22:16 62:17
cause 2:2	68:24 72:8,9	34:14	client 33:6
census 10:14,24	72:14,16,17	checking 31:8	close 12:23
11:1,1,4,5,7,9	75:10 76:4,13	christmas	closely 48:21
11:14 12:3	76:14,15	12:23	48:23
13:17,19,22,24			

[coarse - couple] Page 6

anaman 42.10	aammanigang	cont'd 4:1	aanmaatly 21.4
coarse 42:10	comparisons 31:25		correctly 21:4
45:16 47:23		continue 13:12	67:3
56:21	complete 38:22	control 20:15	corresponden
cockerill 18:12	completed	28:22	5:18 22:1
18:17 33:10	41:16	conversations	council 2:3 6:9
colleagues 29:3	completely	18:15	counsel 7:6,8
66:3	9:19,25 14:7	cool 12:2 31:7	7:13 18:11
collection	14:21 35:23	coordinate	19:7 22:3
23:20	36:20,22 37:2	26:15	78:11,14 79:7
college 3:14	37:8,24 40:1,1	coordinator	79:10
color 61:9	40:5,7,8,15,16	7:16 16:9,21	count 51:1
come 24:7,11	40:22,24 41:9	16:24 22:21,23	67:16
35:20 47:23	41:12 42:7	23:1,12 59:19	counts 29:9
76:15	45:12 46:5,7	copy 62:24,25	county 1:2,5
comes 17:19	47:21 49:15	63:10 70:13,16	2:4,7,10 3:2
27:10 29:21	76:14	76:25 77:5	4:11 6:7,9 7:11
55:2	complexes 53:8	core 29:22 30:9	7:15 10:13
coming 20:6	components	corn 42:19	11:4,5,10,17,19
53:19 57:6	26:1,2,3,4	corner 49:24	11:20,24 16:10
comments 31:4	concern 16:13	corners 57:13	16:21 17:24
commercial	concluded	corporate 4:12	18:1,12 22:24
53:3	77:19	corporation	22:25 23:14,17
committee	confidence	1:7	23:18,22 27:19
54:10,24	66:10	correct 9:15,21	28:10,23 30:2
common 2:3	confusing 27:8	11:11,19 15:18	30:8,25 31:8,8
6:8	conjunction	31:10 32:14	32:22 33:3,4
communicated	24:22	36:12,16,24	34:6,7 35:15
19:6	considered	37:1,5,9,12,12	53:24 56:16,25
communicati	69:19	37:15 38:17	59:11,20 63:6
19:21 33:10,13	considering	40:18 44:17	63:8,19 70:24
community	57:4	45:4 49:14	73:8
30:20	constituents	62:23 64:6	county's 28:5
comparison	23:17	65:18,19 70:3	couple 8:17,19
13:15	constitute 6:25	71:17 74:6,7	59:23
		74:14,15	

court 1:3 8:20	25:15,18,20	dates 21:17	31:9 34:7
covid 35:4,14	26:4,4,6,7,8,9	day 44:6	depending
72:18	26:10,14,16,23	deal 49:19	25:19
	, , ,	dean 1:14 3:5	
•	26:25 27:9,10		depends 28:25
create 24:18	27:11,12,17	deborah 1:16	29:1,1 30:15 57:12 67:8
62:5	28:11,19 29:1	3:6	
created 62:3	29:22,24 30:1	decade 10:25	deposed 8:13
creek 1:10 3:3	30:6,7,9,11,24	december	deposition 2:14
52:7 65:23	30:24 31:6	12:22 13:6,7	6:6,23 10:8
crop 42:19	34:3,16,22,25	32:16,21	15:3 78:1
crops 42:19	35:2,3,14,19	define 56:12	described
cross 31:8	37:18 38:7,11	defined 38:9	66:24
csv 22:6	40:10,11,19,19	definitely 16:11	description 5:8
cultural 23:9	42:1 43:12	28:15 29:20	5:17
curious 53:19	46:23 47:3,22	delete 24:18	designate 61:1
currently 22:21	49:8,20 50:15	deliver 25:24	designated
57:24,25	50:16,19 52:25	delivered 18:8	56:17
cursory 49:9	53:11 55:12,16	demographic	designates 54:3
cuts 14:15	55:18 57:16,18	11:7	determine 39:5
d	57:21,25 58:1	densford 1:12	41:2 48:2 68:1
d 5:1,16,16 6:1	58:3 60:4 61:1	3:4	77:7
dan 1:12 3:4	61:4 63:10	density 39:14	determined
danielson 1:14	64:8,9 65:7	60:5,6 61:10	44:14
3:5	66:13,15,22	61:10,22 62:4	determines
darker 61:9	67:3,10,13	67:20 71:23	54:15
data 10:12,14	68:5 72:16	72:4	determining
10:16,19,19,24	75:19 76:5	department	39:18
11:1,4,7,8,9,14	database 25:11	23:2,15,15	deviation 60:11
11:16 12:3,7	27:14,22 30:3	24:14,16,23	61:8
13:10,16,19	30:4,5,6,11	25:14,17,21	devise 51:20
14:17 19:14	databases	28:24 29:3,16	different 11:23
20:2,3,4,10	24:21 27:23	55:21 65:17	13:11,16 14:5
21:2 22:4,8,11	date 2:16 30:8	departments	14:18 17:22
22:15 23:20,21	30:9 59:6,12	23:14,25 25:4	23:13 24:21
24:18,18,18,25		25:7 29:14	25:25 26:22,25

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

	I	T	
27:4,23 29:14	dog 16:5 75:17	edges 35:25	environmental
31:8,19 34:16	doing 23:23	effectively	31:22
34:22 35:21	29:2,6 38:4	28:12	equally 43:24
39:1,3,16	41:25 43:17	eichmiller	errata 77:9
46:23 51:2	47:2 50:12	59:17,18	error 30:18
60:3,8,14,15,25	60:9 64:25	either 45:3	es 78:4
63:4	65:9 68:6	46:17	especially
difficult 38:11	don 1:10 3:3	elaborate 32:8	29:21 35:3
digging 67:24	donated 18:3	elaborating	43:13 49:5
digital 62:19	door 51:2,2	13:12	esquire 3:12,20
78:8 79:3	72:13,13	elected 17:6,18	4:3
directly 23:16	doyle 1:12 3:4	17:22	essentially
48:19	draft 77:5	elevate 11:22	10:14,18,21
director 25:3	dragging 29:8	27:7	15:12 19:15
discovery	29:8	ellettsville	20:18 21:3
19:20	drawing 20:6	28:14	23:9 24:17
disease 20:14	drawn 13:21	email 5:18 19:7	26:5,9,19 28:8
dispute 56:6	13:22 31:1	19:10,16,21	48:10,22 57:18
68:8,9	46:22 48:11,18	20:5,7 21:18	estimate 51:24
disputing 56:5	48:19 50:25,25	22:1 29:10	estimated
distributed	drive 57:1	32:21 33:14	57:17
42:17 43:24	driving 57:3,3	41:23 70:4	estimates 47:23
divided 41:10	duly 8:3 78:5	emails 19:14	66:18
41:12	e	employed	et 6:8,10 23:16
dividing 47:13	e 1:19 3:1,1,8	78:11,14 79:8	35:15 36:1
47:15	4:1,1 5:1,7,16	79:11	ethel 1:13 3:4
division 11:21	5:16,16,16 6:1	employee 33:3	evans 3:21 4:4
20:17 24:14	6:1 71:20	78:13 79:10	7:6
25:3 41:10	early 32:20	enright 17:7	evenly 42:18
document 58:7	early 32.20 easier 9:9	ensuring 28:23	43:24
58:25 66:20	easily 38:5	entail 23:7	events 17:25
68:10 69:5,22	easily 38.3	entered 28:23	everybody
71:2	economically	entire 40:2	17:23
documents	16:12	entirety 36:15	evidentiary
22:5	10.12		6:22

Veritext Legal Solutions

[exact - gis] Page 9

exact 13:19	34:20 51:25	finish 9:13 10:3	functions 23:24
35:18 43:19	52:4 53:20	10:4 13:14	funding 55:2
47:21 67:15	54:4,17 56:10	finished 12:24	further 47:4
68:22 72:11	57:2	firewalls 24:24	73:25 76:18,19
76:16	familiarity	first 8:3 12:10	78:13 79:9
exactly 44:12	52:6	18:5 19:1,10	g
65:3	family 15:25	20:7 22:1	g 6:1
examination	feature 28:7	28:10 35:22	gaps 36:5,18,21
5:2 8:8 49:10	february 42:2	39:23 41:19	gatekeeper
74:3,17	federal 54:12	49:14 69:7	24:10,13
examined 8:5	55:5	74:5	,
example 33:14	feed 28:8	fiscal 70:8,8	gatekeepers 65:7
39:4 40:17	feel 9:24 51:7	five 25:14	
50:11 51:13	65:24	fix 31:6	generally 25:22 52:3
excel 21:4	feels 57:10	flaws 35:3	
exception	fell 44:3	72:17	generate 67:14
42:18	ferguson 1:19	folder 25:11,12	generated
exhaust 45:21	3:7	25:16,18	68:12,14
exhibit 5:9,11	ferris 1:11 3:3	folks 25:4	generational 51:17
5:12 59:1,2	fields 42:19	55:13	
68:25 69:1,4	fight 16:5	follow 13:23	geo 30:11
70:12,20,21	75:18	following 46:12	geodata 10:20
exhibits 77:15	figure 13:18	follows 8:5	geographies 47:20
expansion 55:6	68:18	foregoing 78:3	
experience	file 28:7	78:4 79:4	geography 20:19 38:9
74:13	files 10:20 28:3	forest 23:5,9	
explain 25:25	financially	form 72:23	geospatial 10:19 26:14
36:8 44:13	78:15 79:11	74:22 75:13	27:9 30:7,11
46:11,12	find 11:17,21	forth 55:2	43:3,4
f	12:7 14:2,11	found 52:6	getting 29:4,9
f 1:14,15 3:5,5	36:19 46:4	four 19:13	gis 7:15 10:19
fact 71:10	53:16 72:11	51:13,22 60:14	11:10,18,19,21
fair 33:2	finding 76:15	60:15	11:24 13:10
familiar 15:11	fine 47:1 56:9	frequent 52:8	16:9,21,24
20:12 25:22	63:1 77:11		20:17 22:11,20
			20.17.22.11,20

[gis - household] Page 10

22:23,25 23:11	68:25 72:11,22	handbook 43:4	help 66:5
23:19,24 24:6	73:13,16 74:12	43:8	helpful 34:19
24:10,11,14	75:6,23 76:1	handed 58:25	hereto 78:15
25:2,4,8,23,23	77:4	69:4	79:11
26:1,5,13 27:2	good 6:2 12:7	handful 36:4	hey 29:11 31:5
27:15,20,21	25:23 27:25	handing 70:19	38:6
28:1,4,5,9,10	28:18 29:11,25	happen 58:10	hierarchy
29:4 30:3	65:17 66:6	69:17 70:13	29:15
31:23 34:10	goofing 44:23	happened	higher 56:23
46:2 48:18,21	grab 46:18,20	35:14 48:17	61:9
49:3 59:18	grabbing 46:16	49:23	highway 23:15
63:3 65:17,19	grad 26:12	happy 63:5	29:16 54:12
66:2,4	granular 11:2	hard 9:8 57:7	55:5
give 35:21 58:7	grasping 21:14	harry 1:10 3:3	highway's
given 68:10	gray 1:22 3:9	harvest 29:24	29:18
go 11:20,21	great 9:5 28:25	he'll 77:5	hill 1:16 3:6
12:5 13:14	31:3 34:14	head 8:22 25:3	hired 29:16
28:3 42:4 50:1	ground 8:19	health 25:17	historical 23:22
52:10 57:9	guess 42:1	29:3	26:13 58:3
71:7 73:18	52:16 54:20	heard 74:5	history 31:22
75:14	55:22 76:5	hearing 7:20	hmm 22:22
goes 24:11	guessing 13:3	heeb 3:12 5:4	32:17 38:14
26:11 38:20	guide 55:10,11	7:12,12 12:8	45:6 59:4
52:7 66:4	guys 12:9 17:10	19:23 33:14	hoke 1:14 3:5
going 8:20 9:17	27:16 28:3	62:16 63:6,11	hold 44:5
14:16 15:12	34:19 60:12	63:16,19 64:1	holly 1:16 3:6
16:12 17:14,15	h	69:6 70:1	homepage
25:10,13 26:6	h 5:7	72:22 74:2,4	11:21
29:7,10,15,17	hahn 1:23 3:10	74:16,22 75:13	homesite 11:22
35:25 36:7	half 42:14,15	75:22 76:19,24	honestly 9:19
40:8,9,9,11,11	42:21	77:1	hosts 27:9
40:19 47:3,18	hall 2:18 6:11	heeb's 21:20	house 51:13
49:2,3,4 57:11	hamilton 2:5	75:6	household
57:11 58:5,7,8	hand 7:22	heebs 32:23,25	51:18
60:8,21 68:16	11a114 1.22		

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

[huh - kind] Page 11

huh 8:22	include 44:24	interested 23:7	j
human 30:18	48:11,25 55:7	67:13 78:15	j 1:13 3:5
43:14	included 33:13	79:12	january 10:14
i	44:16 46:15	interesting	12:23 41:16
idea 35:21	49:22	23:10 53:16	42:1
39:12 47:18	includes 11:6	57:13 58:4	jared 59:17,18
identification	65:5	interface 26:24	jeff 18:12,17
59:3 69:2	including 45:19	interfaces 27:5	jimmie 1:20 3:8
70:22	income 16:14	interlocal	joanna 1:23
identify 7:3	incorporated	53:25	3:10
13:18 20:18,22	6:8	internal 27:15	job 2:22
71:10	incorrectly	27:15	jog 59:12
imagery 23:22	71:16	interns 24:7	john 2:5,15 6:6
48:6 53:12	index 20:13,23	interrogatories	7:14 8:2,12
images 26:9	21:8 22:7	5:14 70:25	16:9 63:9
impact 74:9	56:20,24	interrupt 36:7	71:17
_	indiana 1:1,6	intersect 14:14	johnson 1:20
impartial 16:24 16:25 17:20	2:5,8,11 6:10	37:4,21 46:9	3:8
	6:12,14 23:2,4	46:13 48:1	judgment
imperfect 72:8 72:9	29:24 78:19	60:2,18 76:15	69:20
	indianapolis	intersected	jump 14:25
impermeable 56:18	3:23 4:6	14:23 37:14	
	individual 29:2	intersecting	jumped 22:19
implement	individuals	60:16	k
29:25	16:11	intersects	karen 1:21 3:8
implying 43:15	information	45:13 47:7	kids 59:13
important 8:24	24:11 25:7	invite 21:25	kind 8:16 10:11
9:5 17:21	26:7,13 27:3	involved 31:13	12:18,23,24
impossible	27:24 28:4,17	issue 35:13	16:17,19,20,24
35:18 67:17	28:23 30:16,23	issues 30:23	20:19 21:14
68:23	53:14 65:4	31:2	23:20 24:1,15
inaccuracies	insert 30:16	iterations	24:21,22 25:25
30:13	intended 6:20	24:16	26:4,22 27:1
inaccurate 68:2	53:21,22,25	iu 24:8	28:10,22 29:4
incama 27:14	59:22		29:22,25 30:15
			31:7 34:12,21

39:10 45:16	65:23,23 66:3	library 23:18	48:6 49:22
47:10,18,19	67:9,19 68:11	license 24:16	53:2,11,13
48:2,6 49:9	68:14 69:8,20	licensing 24:20	55:25 56:19
52:23 53:18	75:24	limits 57:5	64:4,5 66:19
54:16 55:3,8	knowledge	line 26:20	66:21 67:12
55:10 56:20	22:6 54:2	lines 26:18	68:3,5,17 75:9
61:3,20 65:5,7	61:18 62:14	28:14 29:23	looking 10:14
65:9,11,14	78:10 79:6	link 63:2	12:14,15 13:10
66:2 67:13,18	knows 31:1	list 18:23	13:15,16 20:11
67:22,24 68:6	l	little 12:12	23:8 26:12
68:11,20 69:12	land 1:25 3:11	15:11 32:11	47:9 49:17
69:16 71:6	66:21	33:1,1 53:9	55:5 56:3,15
kinds 51:8,10	large 30:4	57:13 72:1	61:3 62:2
knew 19:1	larger 11:2	live 15:13,15	75:18
know 9:25 10:3	40:11 45:16	28:8 51:14	looks 29:13
11:14 16:14,15	late 32:20,20	lived 51:25	60:16
16:18,18 18:7	laucella 1:21	lives 72:14	lot 21:13 23:17
21:14 22:10	3:9	llp 3:21 4:4	23:20 35:11
24:13,24,24	lawbr.com 3:16	located 14:7,9	40:10 46:16
26:19 29:2,9	laws 6:22	14:16,21,22	49:20 73:16
29:14,16,17	laws 0.22	35:23 36:9,20	lots 23:22
31:5 32:23	learning 71:6	36:22 38:16	low 16:14
33:9 34:5,12	leaving 33:17	41:9,11 44:22	m
34:14 35:2	left 58:10,11	46:4 76:13	m 4:3 5:16
36:4,25 38:5	66:19	location 2:18	made 26:9
39:13 42:13	legal 18:11,12	26:16 46:2	34:15 41:25
43:7,20 44:24	22:3 32:22	long 28:12	42:14 49:25
45:11,15 46:19	33:4,17 34:7	51:25	59:14 62:1,10
47:1,9,11,22,24	63:6,8,20	look 12:5 29:11	69:20
49:4,7,25	legend 60:4,13	33:18 49:4,7	mail 18:21
50:23 51:12,20	66:19	53:7 55:13,15	main 11:20
51:22 52:5,17	leininger 1:22	55:17	25:11
56:7,22 57:5,9	3:9	looked 10:11	major 72:17
57:17 58:4	level 11:5 20:19	10:16 11:8	majority 26:10
59:21 61:25	27:6 29:20	13:11 37:23	

[make - name] Page 13

make 12:4 41:8	marilyn 1:13	68:3 69:9 72:6	model 67:20
42:17 45:21	3:5	74:10 75:4	modify 25:15
47:25 55:16	mark 58:8,22	means 6:24	25:18,20
56:6 60:7 73:6	68:25	14:9,15 24:2	monitoring
makes 25:18	marked 59:1,2	55:1	29:6
making 23:24	69:1,4 70:19	meant 75:21	monoculture
24:1,23	70:21	meet 23:14	42:19
man 1:11 3:3	mass 12:2	meeting 21:25	monroe 1:2,3
manage 24:17	materials 44:12	meetings 61:25	2:4,7,10 6:9
24:20,20 27:16	math 48:21	meghan 28:2	11:3,5,20,23
manager 28:1	matter 6:7	65:21 66:1,6	16:10 17:24
66:2	max 19:11	66:11	22:24,25 23:14
managing	65:24,25 66:6	memory 20:6	23:17,18 28:9
23:24 25:5	mayor 2:6	59:12	30:1,8,25
28:11	mcghie 1:18	mention 35:1	35:15 56:16
manner 6:23	3:7	mentioned 20:2	59:10,19
map 55:5,24,24	mckinney 3:21	32:3 65:16	montgomery
61:12 62:1,3,5	4:4 7:6	mesh 34:22,23	79:2,15
62:12,12,15,16	mcneil 4:3 7:8	met 10:9,10	month 19:4,11
62:18,19,20,25	7:8,17 18:10	12:8 41:24	monument
63:15,17 66:20	19:19,25 58:14	metric 20:14	3:22 4:5
68:6	58:19 63:8	51:19	morning 6:2
mapping 26:5,6	mean 13:9 15:8	metropolitan	morton 2:19
38:4	17:13 18:24	54:9	6:11
maps 52:22	24:10 25:9	microphone	moved 52:18
56:15 62:10,20	27:15 30:18	44:1,6	mpo 54:22
62:22 66:13	34:2 35:24	mine 69:12	55:14,25
march 2:16	36:18 43:6	minute 73:19	multi 51:17
6:10	47:17 48:5	minutes 59:23	multiple 24:15
margaret 4:11	51:23 52:5,24	missing 40:10	30:5
7:10 10:10	53:4,9 55:22	40:19,20	n
12:11 18:19	56:8 57:1	misstating 41:8	
19:6,10 21:22	59:17 61:24	mm 22:22	n 3:1 4:1 5:1,16 6:1
34:6 39:11	62:6 64:2	32:17 38:14	
41:19 45:9	65:23 67:12	45:6 59:4	name 6:2 8:10 59:15 65:24

[name - okay] Page 14

71.14.15	note 17:3 22:14	observation	16.4 6 17.2 17
71:14,15 napkin 47:11	notes 37:1	75:7	16:4,6 17:2,17 18:3,5,16,18
national 11:14	noticing 7:4	observations	19:3,12,16,18
	notified 18:22	74:13,20 75:9	19:23 21:1,11
,	november	, and the second	· ·
		75:11,23,25	21:23 22:2,9
necessarily	32:20,20	76:3,5	22:15 24:5
53:4	nuanced 16:8,8	obviously	25:6,13 27:24
need 10:2 12:5	number 8:19	21:18 49:15	31:12 32:3,7
14:5 18:14	8:21 14:5 18:9	occasionally	32:11,24,24
22:11 32:25	18:11 35:18	24:7 66:1,5	33:7,12,21
33:3 43:19	37:20,20,22	occurred 35:4	34:4,9 36:13
76:25	40:5 47:21	35:5	36:25 37:10,16
needs 77:8	48:1 68:22	offered 55:12	37:19 38:2,2
neighbor 51:15	71:10 72:11,13	office 12:11	38:18 39:9,21
51:16	76:16	18:8 21:21	40:23 41:6
neighborhood	numbers 36:3	22:18 24:9	42:4 43:2,25
51:14,21	44:23,24 45:15	27:13 39:11	44:10,18 45:1
neighborhoods	45:16 51:10	41:20 62:1	45:1,10,18,20
53:7	60:14 67:14	65:3,6,8	45:25 46:10,24
neither 78:11	68:3,8,12,13	officer 78:1,2	47:5 50:9 52:3
79:7	69:13	offices 27:10	52:12,14,16,19
never 17:10	numerous	34:6	53:6,24 54:11
42:25 55:23	43:12	official 2:6,9	54:14,22,25
62:1,3 71:1	0	17:6,19	56:10,10 57:22
newly 29:16	o 5:16 6:1	officials 17:22	58:2,21,25
newspaper	71:20	oh 30:22 56:3	60:1 61:17
15:9	oaks 15:15	60:1 63:16	62:13,24 64:7
nope 12:6	oath 9:14	66:8 75:4	64:20,25 65:11
45:24	oaths 6:14	okay 7:19 8:16	65:14,16 66:12
normally 61:6	object 72:22	8:23 9:16,22	67:7 69:8,23
north 2:19 6:11	74:22,23 75:13	10:2,7,16 11:9	70:7,10,12,19
51:15 55:8	objected 75:2	11:12 12:1,4,4	71:4,8,15,20
notary 6:13	objection 6:15	12:7,17 13:1,5	72:1,24 73:15
78:18	7:21	13:8,15 15:7	74:12 75:15
	7.21	15:10,13,19,22	76:12,17 77:12

Veritext Legal Solutions

[okay - point] Page 15

77:13,14	p	peach 1:20 3:8	petition 15:23
old 53:21,24	p 3:1,1 4:1,1	peer 43:12	petitioner
once 10:10	6:1	people 11:23	19:20
29:10 52:18	packaged 61:4	16:16 25:6,14	petitioners 2:1
ones 37:21 65:5	page 5:2,8,17	25:20 35:6,7	3:2 7:13
online 26:24	67:4 71:7,10	35:11 39:15	phillips 1:15
open 27:17	71:13	43:23 51:1,14	3:6
28:19,19	pages 67:5	51:17,21,22	phrase 47:11
operations	paid 64:13	55:17 67:16	pixels 26:9
31:11	papke 1:23	72:12	pl 2:3
opine 57:11	3:10	percent 43:21	place 26:19
opinion 67:15	parcel 11:24	43:21,22,23	27:2 65:6
opposed 16:6	27:5,10,11,14	46:19,21	68:15
16:10,22 17:8	28:17 30:22,23	percentage	places 56:24
ordering 76:21	31:6 49:19	39:6 50:13	plan 70:8,8
organization	65:4	64:17	71:11
54:9	parcels 29:23	perfectly 34:20	planet 42:18
original 63:15	30:25 46:4,5,6	35:16 42:11	planning 23:15
63:17	65:5	46:23 50:22	25:13,14,15,18
originally 39:8	parks 29:8	56:9 72:10,15	54:9
osborn 1:19 3:8	part 29:24	performed	played 73:8
outcome 78:16	30:10 42:6	74:14	player 28:10
79:12	54:8,20,23	permitted 6:20	please 7:3,22
outside 35:25	55:8,20 57:12	person 24:4	pockets 36:5
overlap 36:23	66:19 71:6	29:16 51:15	point 14:11
49:1,13	partially 36:10	65:25	18:22 37:3,11
overlay 47:21	participated	personal 17:3,4	37:20 38:13
oversight 69:12	17:25	74:13,19,19	39:5,19,23,24
own 15:19,25	parties 6:16	75:5,7,8,11,17	40:10 42:5,7
24:18 25:5,21	17:22 78:12,14	75:23,25 76:2	43:25 44:10,14
41:21	79:8,11	76:2,5	44:15,21 45:3
owners 1:25	parts 55:7	personally 16:6	45:21,22 47:2
3:11	parts 53.7 past 58:6	16:20	49:22,23 51:20
ownership 27:3	past 36.0 pay 16:19	persons 60:20	64:23
27:5	pay 10.17	60:20	

[points - questions]

•		4-4-	• • • • • • •
points 26:18,18	postdoc 26:11	process 12:12	provider 27:21
28:13 29:23	potential 18:23	13:9 16:13,18	public 10:25
polygon 14:10	predecessor	29:19 54:16	23:18 27:17
14:12 26:20	59:15,16	68:15 69:16	28:21 31:4
38:21 49:19	preparation	71:7 72:9,20	78:18
polygons 26:18	15:3	75:16	publication
52:23	prepare 10:7	produce 20:11	23:21
popped 44:1	prepared 79:3	47:24	publicly 31:3
population 5:9	preparing 59:9	produced 6:18	34:3 57:19
13:19 32:4,13	present 4:10	20:14 63:10	64:8
33:19 37:7,24	primarily	produces 10:24	published 11:4
37:25 38:5,10	23:13,23	product 34:15	pull 10:17 28:3
39:1,6,14 40:9	print 62:12,14	professor 23:3	pulled 10:12
41:3,10,11	67:9 77:5	profit 1:7	14:17 27:13
42:15 43:14,15	printed 62:20	program 29:6	35:1
44:20,25 45:2	printer 62:20	project 53:5	purview 54:20
45:7,12,12,13	prior 23:3	projects 21:13	put 42:16
47:6,13 48:3,9	46:17 59:19,19	73:16	q
49:21 50:2,7	78:5	prompt 33:18	qualified 78:7
50:10 53:17	privilege 33:6	prompted	qualitative
57:20 60:9	pro 24:17	32:18	27:12
61:22 62:4	probably 18:20	pronschinske	quality 28:22
66:17 68:18	19:13 21:15	2:21 6:3 78:2	29:21 30:1
69:10,13 71:23	32:16 41:17	78:17	quarries 1:17
72:2,4,7 73:12	48:25 49:7	property 15:19	3:7
74:6 76:3,8	problem 38:4	15:25 27:3,5	question 9:13
populations	procedural	65:14	9:23 10:4,4
11:7 12:14,15	6:21	proprietary	13:3 16:8
20:8 35:17,22	proceed 8:7	27:7	28:25 41:22,23
42:17 43:23	proceeding 6:4	protected 33:5	66:9 67:22
51:10,11 76:9	6:19 77:19	provide 71:12	71:9 73:2 75:3
portal 28:19	79:4	provided 22:5	75:5 77:6
63:3	proceedings	22:15 63:24	questions 9:17
portion 48:2	78:3,5,6,9 79:6	64:2 66:16	9:18 17:15
			35:5,7 67:23
			33.3,101.43

[questions - reviewing]

71.4.70.10		22.0	
71:4 72:19	recommended	remains 23:9	residential 32:4
74:1,16	55:17	remember 21:4	32:13 33:19
r	record 6:4,5,16	22:13 54:22	50:6,10 51:14
r 3:1 4:1 5:16	7:3 8:11,22	58:9,10 69:10	51:21 53:3,7
6:1	25:24 46:11	remembering	69:19
raise 7:22	73:18,20,22,24	67:3	residents 1:5
ran 27:8 49:5	75:22 77:16	remonstrance	3:2 4:11 6:7
60:18	78:9 79:5	15:23	7:11 18:1
randolph 17:7	recorded 6:23	remonstrators	70:24
random 51:12	78:6	71:5	resources
randomly	recording 6:18	remove 25:7	64:23
46:22	8:21 78:8 79:4	44:24 49:8	respect 32:13
raster 26:8	reduced 78:7	removed 69:14	40:4,24 55:19
rating 56:24	reed 1:16,17	69:18	67:11
read 15:8 59:22	3:6,7	repeat 12:18	respond 33:25
70:10	refer 11:23	rephrase 50:5	respondents
reading 59:23	regarding	report 37:7,10	2:12 3:19 4:2
reality 50:24	57:20	37:13,17 38:24	responses
really 12:2 14:1	related 73:13	reported 2:21	19:20
17:11,12 23:10	78:11 79:7	reporter 6:2,3	responsibilities
29:25 34:23,24	relating 21:6	7:20 8:6,20	23:11
48:21,21,22,22	53:25 66:13	44:2,5 58:12	responsibility
52:9,20 60:7	73:9	58:17,21,23	9:17,18
61:8	relationship	70:14 73:20,23	responsive
reason 50:21	28:1,18 65:17	76:20,24 77:3	19:21
66:9 68:8,9	relative 78:13	77:14	rest 28:20 44:6
received 21:24	79:10	representative	results 14:20
	released 10:25	1:8 4:12	review 11:16
32:21 34:8 44:11	reliable 30:3,6	request 20:4,7	68:11 77:6,7
	30:10,11	20:10,21 21:6	reviewed 11:9
receiving 16:16	relies 28:16	32:22 63:14,19	11:17 15:2
63:9	30:20	64:21 65:3	43:12 52:21
recent 57:16	rely 25:23	requests 19:14	66:12 69:5
recommend	28:15 31:9	20:2,3	reviewing
61:6			52:24

[rheeb - small] Page 18

	I	I	
rheeb 3:16	34:6 39:11	71:25 74:8	shaped 49:19
rhonda 1:22	41:19 45:9	77:14	share 27:24
3:9	49:18 66:15	seeing 60:13	33:22 62:16
richard 1:20	S	seem 51:23	63:1,5
3:8 65:23	s 3:1 4:1 5:7,16	seen 49:17	shared 34:5,6
ricky 1:18 3:7	5:16 6:1	56:15 57:3	62:22
right 7:20,22	satler 1:13 3:5	59:5,8 61:15	sheet 77:9
14:2 23:18	savvy 25:4	61:18 66:15	sherwood
25:5 26:15,17	saw 56:22 59:6	69:7,24 70:10	15:15
26:22 29:7,19	66:20,20,23	71:1,2 74:5	shockingly
30:24 31:1	69:9,12,21	select 46:2	53:15,15
35:3,10,14	saying 9:9	send 21:2 22:10	show 8:22
36:10 38:5,8	16:23 32:22	63:2	10:21 59:22
41:10,25 43:16	38:21 63:16	sense 25:19	showing 38:25
43:18 47:14	69:10	42:17 49:25	60:14 61:9
48:10,12,21	says 43:5 51:20	60:7	shown 64:3
49:2,24 51:3	60:1,5	sent 21:4 22:6	70:1
53:12 57:7	scale 56:21	separate 38:11	sic 19:7 21:20
58:23 68:6,12	scales 60:8	serve 17:23	side 15:16 52:9
76:20 77:1,16	scene 52:20	service 23:5,10	sign 15:22 77:7
road 26:20	schneider 27:8	28:7	signature 77:3
28:14 29:23	science 43:3,5	services 24:23	77:17 78:16
57:1	scientist 43:11	28:20	79:14
robertson 3:13	screenshot	set 11:15 24:24	similar 61:20
7:13	22:13	30:12 38:11	69:8
role 31:24 55:3	se 16:5	40:11 58:1	simple 13:2
55:10 73:8	second 9:5	sets 11:8 13:16	64:14
roles 17:23	20:10 21:6	29:22 30:9	site 11:22,23
22:24	36:6	34:16,22 46:23	six 25:14
rules 6:22 8:19	see 19:23 29:13	75:18	sixty 58:23
run 22:11 52:7	36:3 41:21	setup 25:10	skills 78:10
57:2	48:8 53:2	seven 51:17	79:6
ryan 3:12 7:12	55:13 59:25	shakes 8:22	slater 1:15 3:6
10:9,10 12:11	60:4,8 61:12	shape 28:3,7	small 40:9 65:5
19:19 21:25	69:17 71:13,24		
	07.17 /1.13,24		

smallest 49:1	speed 23:19	student 26:12	supplemented
smith 2:8	spelled 71:16	studied 31:18	5:12 70:23
snapped 48:18	split 13:25	70:7	support 23:13
social 20:12,23	splits 13:24	study 5:10,11	suppose 58:17
21:7 22:7	spreadsheet	stuff 12:24 15:9	sure 8:12,18
43:11 56:19,23	66:25 67:1	17:16 19:14	9:1,7 10:6,18
software 22:11	staff 24:3	23:23 28:15	12:4 15:1
26:3	stage 34:11	29:9 34:12	16:23 17:9
somebody	standard 60:11	47:1 48:7,13	18:16 20:12
25:17 30:16	61:7	49:6 54:12	22:25 23:13,24
33:10	standards 30:1	56:18 58:4	24:1,23 26:2,5
somewhat 25:9	start 39:22	62:2,8	27:4 30:18
sophisticated	59:11 71:9	subdivided	31:12 32:10
64:13	started 12:22	64:18	33:16 34:18
sorry 9:12	20:5 24:6	subdividing	36:2 39:25
22:19 32:24	32:16 59:7,10	65:5	40:3 41:1,8,25
36:7 40:14,14	starting 39:19	subdivision	43:7 45:8,21
44:1,3,7 46:6	state 1:1 8:10	65:1,9	47:25 48:11
49:19 52:20	25:24 78:19	submitting	55:16 56:6,17
55:24 75:2	states 35:8	35:10,11	60:6,22 61:8
sought 1:9	36:15	subpoena	63:13 65:3
south 3:14	stenographic	17:14 18:7,9	66:8 71:19
15:16	6:24	18:24	73:6 74:11
southeast 52:9	stephen 3:20	subpoenas	76:2
southern 15:16	7:5	18:21	surfaces 56:18
15:16	stipulation	suite 3:22 4:5	survey 35:9
soybean 42:19	6:25	sum 44:20,25	51:3 72:13
space 26:19	stormwater	45:2	surveys 35:10
spacing 65:24	23:15	summary 67:1	35:11
span 57:23	straws 21:15	69:11 71:12	swear 7:21
spatial 22:11	street 2:19 6:11	sunger 3:24	sworn 6:16 8:3
26:16,23 27:9	51:13,16	sunny 1:15 3:6	78:5
34:16 49:20	structure 25:11	supervisor 17:5	symbolize
62:11	25:12	supplement	60:19
		19:20	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

sympathy	technical 24:23	63:12	thomas 1:18,19
16:11	54:10,23 55:2	things 8:17	3:7,8
t	technician 24:6	24:25 27:1	thought 69:16
4 5.7 16 16	technicians	28:13,14,17	three 13:11
t 5:7,16,16 table 21:4	28:2	29:11 31:22	14:18 18:20
table 21.4 tabular 22:8	technology	33:4 38:3 41:8	19:13 26:22
26:7,14 67:3	23:24 24:22	45:19 46:21	35:20 37:20,25
tailored 35:6	tell 8:4 34:17	48:14 49:4	39:1,3 42:9
take 6:4,13	48:15,24 57:7	53:13 55:3	45:14,14 46:1
13:10 34:25	terms 25:2 28:9	60:15 68:21	47:12,24 48:1
42:15 43:13,13	34:10 46:25	69:15	51:8,21 60:8
59:23	51:7 52:5	think 16:16	63:3,4 67:5
taken 6:7 78:3	56:13,25 72:3	19:4 20:7,21	76:11
78:12 79:9	terrible 65:25	21:3,15,24,25	threshold 60:6
takes 26:13	territories 1:9	22:14 23:21	throw 43:22
65:6	territory 1:24	25:22 27:18	thursday 2:16
talk 8:16 9:6,11	3:10	30:3 31:19	tick 29:6,9
12:9 25:10	testified 8:5	32:20 33:2,24	ticks 29:8
39:24 46:10	testify 33:1,4	38:4 41:18,22	time 2:17 7:2
63:13	71:11,23 74:12	43:6 46:11	12:10 13:12
talked 12:12,13	75:6,23 76:1	47:1,10 49:16	20:24 26:11,12
17:10,13 18:10	testifying 18:6	49:16 50:18	28:12 30:24
18:11,25 32:11	78:5	51:16 52:17	41:19 42:2
37:1 64:5 72:1	testimony	55:14 56:23	48:6 52:8
72:2 73:10	32:15 50:12	57:19,25 59:11	57:23 58:6
74:20 75:12	71:12 74:9	62:19 63:6,11	59:19 64:22
talking 26:2	77:5	66:6 67:2,5,8	69:7
30:7 37:19	text 66:23	69:13,18 73:11	tiny 44:6
38:11 43:14,20	thank 8:6 12:7	73:12 75:16	today 10:8
56:18 57:24	19:25 69:3	76:7 77:1,12	61:15 72:1,3
58:3 60:13	76:19 77:2	thinking 31:20	74:21 75:12
63:4	thing 16:19	third 14:13	together 10:12
tapp 57:1	17:4 31:3,7	46:10 49:12	34:22,23
targeted 72:19	39:16 53:5,11	72:19	told 33:4 50:6
12.17	53:16,19 61:24		

[took - using] Page 21

	1		
took 59:25 60:2	try 9:6 20:21	u	unger 3:20 5:3
68:15	35:20 44:23	u 5:16,16	5:5 7:5,5,9 8:9
tool 67:20	50:6 51:5,6	u.s. 10:24 11:13	20:1 33:8 44:3
tooth 47:1	trying 13:17	13:19 35:1	44:7,9 58:9,15
top 71:13,18	17:23 20:17	55:20 76:4	58:20,22,24
total 41:12	21:14 23:19	uh 63:21,21	63:23 70:12,16
touched 46:15	29:25 31:19	uh's 8:22	70:18 72:24
town 28:13	33:24 36:19	unable 76:16	73:1,18,25
52:9	39:20 40:15,23	unaffiliated	74:18 75:1,20
track 9:9	44:11 48:15	33:11	76:18,21,23
tract 11:1	68:18 73:11	uncertainty	77:4,13
20:19	turn 71:13	55:9	uniform 13:23
tracts 11:2	twice 69:21	under 6:21	united 35:8
trail 52:8	two 11:8 13:16	9:14 28:2	36:15
training 29:19	18:20 21:10,10	understand	university 23:4
transcriber	21:15 26:6	6:17 9:3,14,16	unurbanized
79:1	27:4,23 34:16	9:22,24 16:21	56:14
transcript 6:18	34:21 37:20	20:23 32:15	update 57:17
76:22,25 79:3	46:17,22 47:20	35:9,17 38:13	updates 57:18
79:5	57:1 59:13,13	44:11,13 50:11	urban 55:6,9
transcriptionist	60:8 66:16	52:12 53:18	55:24 56:17
78:8	74:2 75:18	54:18 57:17	urbanization
transportation	type 16:13	64:11 68:13	5:11 69:11
23:3	17:15 26:8,14	69:16 71:18	urbanized 54:4
transportation's	26:15,16 31:20	understanding	54:15,19 55:4
55:21	39:15 42:1	68:20 72:4	55:15,21,24
trial 74:9,12	52:25 53:5	74:11 76:6	56:7,8,13
tries 51:5	61:24 65:3,7	understood	use 28:6 58:20
trohn 17:6	67:20,20 69:14	21:16,16 22:20	65:14 66:21
trohn's 17:18	69:20	31:24 33:17	75:15
true 78:9 79:5	types 13:11	36:25 52:21	used 29:4 60:24
truth 8:4,4,5	14:18 26:6	56:1,10	uses 6:20
truthfully 9:19	39:2,3 51:9	unfortunate	using 19:16
9:25	typewriting	50:24 53:11	29:1 50:14,16
	78:7	JU.24 JJ.11	50:19 67:14,21
			,

888-391-3376

72:16	walmart 52:10	web 20:16	works 23:25
usual 77:15	52:17	website 11:19	24:4 25:23
usually 65:20	walmart's	20:17 27:6,7	wow 67:18
utilities 66:5	52:12	27:15	written 6:25
utilize 27:19	want 12:4 14:2	weeks 18:11,20	71:4
utmost 66:10	14:25 21:17	18:20 21:10,15	wrong 30:16
v	24:2 33:9 37:6	66:16	31:5,6 37:2
v 2:2 49:19	38:5,13 39:22	weird 69:15	X
variance 51:9	39:23 40:13	went 33:25	x 5:1,7
variety 61:1	41:7 42:20	66:24 74:11	·
various 24:7	45:21 46:4	wholly 36:10	y
51:9	47:25 48:25	william 1:11	yeah 8:12
vector 26:7,10	51:1 56:6	3:3	12:24 13:9,14
veritext 6:4	60:19 64:12	window 42:3	14:20 15:5
versus 47:9	68:11 73:6	witness 6:16,17	19:8 21:7
viewer 27:14	75:21,24 77:6	7:21 8:3 18:23	22:20 23:10
48:8	wanted 11:16	32:24 33:7	25:2,5 27:25
viewing 11:24	55:7 62:24	63:12,18,21	28:6,15,17
63:9	waring 1:11	71:10 74:23	30:18,19,22
visualize 26:23	3:4	75:15 77:11	31:12,23 32:9
27:1 60:4	way 13:18,21	78:4	33:7 34:4,10
visuals 34:18	13:22 25:9	woah 34:14	34:16 36:14,16
voluntary 35:9	26:25 34:21	wonder 57:4	40:6 46:1
35:14 72:20	48:11,12 50:13	67:19	48:13 49:6
vote 55:3	50:14,18,23	work 16:25	50:5,17 52:23
vs 6:8	56:5 60:4 61:3	17:6 19:16	54:17 56:2,4
vulnerability	68:23 77:12	23:10,16,18	56:13 57:8,15
20:13,23 21:8	ways 26:22	25:5 26:10	58:14 59:18,24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	61:1	28:2 65:16,20	61:23 62:10,18
22:7 56:20,24	we've 11:4	65:25 66:9	63:18,25 64:4
W	17:13 28:17	68:21 74:14	64:6 66:8 68:4
w 1:18 3:7	29:24 30:25	workflows 24:1	74:10,10 76:2
wait 59:7	64:4 72:1,2	working 21:13	yep 7:5 36:24
waived 77:17	74:20 75:12	28:18 29:25	yesterday
	76:7	63:9	10:11 12:18
		L	1

Veritext Legal Solutions

21:25 39:12 49:17 52:6 **young** 59:13

 \mathbf{Z}

zero 48:10 53:17 60:15,16 zoning 65:12 zoom 48:7,20 48:22 49:6 53:13 zoomed 48:13 zooming 53:10 53:10

Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure Depositions Upon Oral Examination Rule 30

- (e) Submission to witness--Changes--Signing.
- (1) When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for reading and signing and shall be read to or by him, unless such reading and signing have been waived by the witness and by each party. "Submitted to the witness" as used in this subsection shall mean (a) mailing of written notification by registered or certified mail to the witness and each attorney attending the deposition that the deposition can be read and examined in the office of the officer before whom the deposition was taken, or (b), mailing the original deposition, by registered or certified mail, to the witness at an address designated by the witness or his attorney, if requested to do so by the witness, his attorney, or the party taking the deposition.
- (2) If the witness desires to change any answer in the deposition submitted to him, each change, with a statement of the reason therefor, shall be made

by the witness on a separate form provided by the officer, shall be signed by the witness and affixed to the original deposition by the officer. A copy of such changes shall be furnished by the officer to each party.

- (3) If the reading and signing have not been waived by the witness and by each party the deposition shall be signed by the witness and returned by him to the officer within thirty (30) days after it is submitted to the witness. If the deposition has been returned to the officer and has not been signed by the witness, the officer shall execute a certificate of that fact, attach it to the original deposition and deliver it to the party taking it. In such event, the deposition may be used by any party with the same force and effect as though it had been signed by the witness.
- (4) In the event the deposition is not returned to the officer within thirty (30) days after it has been submitted to the witness, the reporter shall execute a certificate of that fact and cause the certificate to be delivered to the party taking it. In such event, any party may use a copy of the

deposition with the same force and effect as though the original had been signed by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.