		Page 1
	STATE OF INDIANA	
	COUNTY OF MONROE	
	IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COUL	RT
COUNTY RESIDEN	ITS AGAINST	
ANNEXATION, IN	IC., an Indiana	
not for profit	corporation,	
et al.,		
Remo	onstrators/	
Appe	ellants/	
Peti	tioners,	
v.		Cause No.
THE COMMON COL	UNCIL of the City	53C06-2203-PL-
of Bloomingtor	n, Monroe County,	000509
Indiana, et al	· ,	
Resp	ondents.	
	DEPOSITION OF JULIE THOMAS	S
DATE:	Wednesday, March 06, 202	4
TIME:	1:06 p.m.	
LOCATION:	Bunger & Robertson	
	211 South College Avenue	
	Bloomington, IN 47404	
REPORTED BY:	Andrew Pronschinske	
JOB NO.:	6485936	
	ANNEXATION, IN not for profit et al., Remo Appe Peti V. THE COMMON COU of Bloomingtor Indiana, et al Resp DATE: TIME: LOCATION: REPORTED BY:	COUNTY OF MONROE IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COUNTY COUNTY RESIDENTS AGAINST ANNEXATION, INC., an Indiana not for profit corporation, et al., Remonstrators/ Appellants/ Petitioners, V. THE COMMON COUNCIL of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, et al., Respondents. DEPOSITION OF JULIE THOMAS DATE: Wednesday, March 06, 202- TIME: 1:06 p.m. LOCATION: Bunger & Robertson 211 South College Avenue Bloomington, IN 47404 REPORTED BY: Andrew Pronschinske

888-391-3376

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY
3	OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA:
4	ANDREW M. MCNEIL, ESQUIRE
5	Bose McKinney & Evans LLP
6	111 Monument Circle
7	Indianapolis, IN 46204
8	amcneil@boselaw.com
9	(317) 684-5253
10	
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	Margaret Clements, Representative,
13	County Residents Against Annexation, Inc.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Pa	ge 4
1		I N D E X	
2	EXAMINATION:		PAGE
3	By Mr. Un	ger	7
4	By Mr. Be	ggs	104
5			
6		EXHIBITS	
7	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
8	Exhibit 52	Baker Tilly Report, 07/23/2021	62
9			
10	PREVIO	USLY MARKED EXHIB	ITS
11	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
12	Exhibit 28	Amended And Supplemented Answers	
13		of County Residents Against	
14		Annexation, Inc.	77
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

PROCEEDINGS

THE REPORTER: Good afternoon. My name is Andrew Pronschinske; I am the reporter assigned by Veritext to take the record of this proceeding. We are now on the record at 1:06 p.m.

This is the deposition of Julie Thomas taken in the matter of County Residents Against

Annexation, Incorporated, an Indiana not for profit corporation, et al. vs. The Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, et al. on

March 6, 2024, at the offices of Bunger & Robertson,

211 South College Avenue, Bloomington, Indiana 47404.

I am a notary authorized to take acknowledgments and administer oaths in Indiana.

Additionally, absent an objection on the record before the witness is sworn, all parties and the witness understand and agree that any certified transcript produced from the recording of this proceeding:

- is intended for all uses permitted under applicable procedural and evidentiary rules and laws in the same manner as a deposition recorded by stenographic means; and
- shall constitute written stipulation

24

2.5

	Page 6
1	of such.
2	At this time will everyone in
3	attendance please identify yourself for the record,
4	beginning from my left.
5	MR. UNGER: Stephen Unger, Bose
6	McKinney & Evans, counsel for the City of Bloomington.
7	MR. MCNEIL: Andrew McNeil, cocounsel
8	with Mr. Unger.
9	MR. BEGGS: Bill Beggs for the
10	petitioners.
11	MS. CLEMENTS: Margaret Clements,
12	County Residents Against Annexation Representative.
13	MR. COCKERILL: Jeff Cockerill with the
14	County attorneys' office.
15	MS. THOMAS: Julie Thomas, county
16	commissioner.
17	THE REPORTER: Thank you. Hearing no
18	objection, I will now swear in the witness.
19	Please raise your right hand.
20	WHEREUPON,
21	JULIE THOMAS,
22	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
23	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
25	THE REPORTER: Thank you

	Page 7
1	You may proceed.
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. UNGER:
4	Q Good afternoon, Ms. Thomas.
5	A Hello.
6	Q I'm going to be asking the questions.
7	A Yeah.
8	Q Have you ever been deposed before?
9	A Yes.
10	Q What was that context?
11	A I know there was I don't remember.
12	Honestly, I don't remember. It's there have been a
13	number of times.
14	Q It's been a while?
15	A It's been a while, but there have been a
16	number of situations both public and and private,
17	so.
18	Q Okay. Well, let me yeah, I will just
19	kind of maybe give you some ground rules and stuff.
20	A Sure.
21	Q So one thing is, as you know, he is
22	recording everything that we're saying. So it's
23	important that you let me finish my questions before
24	you answer, because he can't type both of our comments
25	at the same time Second is do you understand

1 that?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A Yes.
- Q Okay. Second is it's important that you answer yes and no and not shake your head or uh-huh so that he can type the answer, because he can't type a head shake. Do you understand that?
 - A Right, right, yes.
- Q Yes. Thank you. You understand you're under oath?
 - A Yes.
- Q Okay. And so you understand your responsibility today is answer my questions as truthfully and completely as you can. Do you understand that?
- A Yes.
 - Q Okay. If there is -- if I ask you any questions and you don't understand them, or you don't believe you can answer them truthfully or completely, will you let me know?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. And then, certainly, if you need a break, let us know, and if you need to use the restroom or anything, we can accommodate that. I just ask that you finish the question, your answer to the question that I have last asked. Is that okay?

	Page 9
1	A Sure, yes.
2	Q Okay. What did you do to prepare for your
3	deposition today?
4	A What did I do to prepare for it?
5	Q Yes.
6	A Had some conversations internally with
7	Mr. Cockerill about what to expect. I reread portions
8	of the Reedy report, version five, and the Baker Tilly
9	report that we had commissioned from the County, spoke
10	with Mr. Beggs briefly as well.
11	Q Anybody talk to you about the prior
12	depositions that have already occurred in this case?
13	A I know there have been some. And yeah,
14	that's so so there have been depositions.
15	That's what I know.
16	Q Okay. Has anybody talked to you about those
17	depositions or the questions that were asked in the
18	depositions?
19	A Not very generally.
20	Q And what were the questions you were advised
21	of?
22	A Just that there were discussions about the
23	impact of annexation on individuals.
24	Q Anything else?

Not that I recall.

25

Α

- Q Okay. Did you guys go over any talking points? I don't want to know about your discussions with Mr. Cockerill, but with Mr. Beggs or Ms. Clements, did you guys talk about talking points at all?
 - A No, not extensively.
- Q What points did you want to make sure you got across today?
- A I -- it wasn't about points to get across.

 It was -- my question was how much research do I need to do at this point, because I'm very limited on time this week. So it was more that kind of question.
- Q Okay. Anything else that you reviewed besides the Reedy report and the Baker Tilly report?
 - A No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.5

- Q Okay. Thank you. Do you live in any of the annexation areas?
 - A Well, that's an interesting question. No.
 - Q Why is it an interesting question?
- A I'm on the edge of one that was dropped, which is seven, which is the old annexation area seven.
- Q You actually live inside of what would have been the --
 - A No, across the street from it, yeah.

	Page 11
1	Q Okay. And you currently live in the city's
2	boundaries?
3	A No.
4	Q Okay. Where do you live; what's the
5	address?
6	A It's 3030 North Russell Road.
7	Q So you will have to forgive me. I'm trying
8	to recall. Is that on the east side?
9	A Yes, northeast.
10	Q Okay. Was your property ever in one of the
11	annexation areas ever in one of the proposed?
12	A No, that that I know of.
13	THE REPORTER: I just want to be clear
14	that, for the sake of transcript production, if we
15	could be sure to try and not talk over one another,
16	that would make the process easier. Thank you.
17	BY MR. UNGER:
18	Q Do you own property in any of the annexation
19	areas?
20	A No.
21	Q So I assume you did not sign a remonstrance
22	petition?
23	A That's correct. I did not sign a
24	remonstrance petition.
25	Q Do you any of your relatives own property or

		Page 12
1	live in a	ny of the annexation areas?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Who lives with you at your home?
4	A	My dog.
5	Q	No one else?
6	A	Not right now.
7	Q	Okay. Where is your office?
8	A	Kirkwood, the courthouse.
9	Q	Do you come to the office every day?
10	A	No.
11	Q	How often?
12	A	Anywhere from one to four times a week, on
13	average.	It depends.
14	Q	Do the county commissioners meet every week?
15	A	Yes, most weeks, yeah.
16	Q	Do you have any employment besides being a
17	county co	mmissioner?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	What is that?
20	A	I teach part-time at Franklin College at
21	in Frankl	in. That's two days a week right now, and of
22	course, i	t's only February to May. And I teach online
23	at Southe	rn New Hampshire University. I have other
24	part-time	teaching experiences in my past, but those
25	are the m	ost recent, most current.

1 Q What do you teach?

2.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

- A History, Russian, European. I have also taught in the political science department at IU Bloomington. That was last Spring.
- Q Have you had any other jobs in the Bloomington community?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - Q What are those?
 - A I had a business for a time downtown. I had a second business for a time where we sold goods at the farmers market. And IU was my main employment before I became an elected official.
 - Q How long did you teach at IU?
 - A I was -- well, I was a graduate student at IU starting in '93 and taught there part-time starting in '97, and then I left there in two thousand, I think, seven. 2007, I think. I was teaching full-time, part-time there.
- 19 Q How long have you been a county 20 commissioner?
 - A Since December 2012.
- Q Did you hold any elected offices before that?
- A Yes, county council. That term started in January 1, 2009.

- Q Anything before that?
- A No.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q Could you explain for the record what are the County requirements with respect to sewer and water service for new residential development or neighborhoods?

A I could speak generally about it, because -let me just speak generally about it, and that is
that, with a new development, when somebody proposes a
-- and I'm going to speak about subdivisions, because
that's the thing we see most often. Smaller building
projects, we don't necessarily see.

So a subdivision is proposed. A developer has to provide verification that they have access to all the utilities necessary, including water, sewer, or they have got a provision for sewer, and electricity, and natural gas, if relevant. So they show — they have connection letters that they include with their proposal.

- Q Is there a lot limit size if a property owner wants to have a septic system for a residential home?
- A There is a minimum lot size for septic based on state statute.
 - Q Do you know what that is?

- A I believe it's still an acre.
 - Q Does the County have any more restrictive requirements than the one acre for a homeowner septic system?
 - A We follow state statute.
 - Q Okay. I think I have heard before that there's a two and a half acre restriction. Are you familiar with that?
 - A I'm not familiar with that.
- 10 Q In Monroe County?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 11 A Not familiar with that.
- Q Okay. What about a commercial property; do you also?
 - A There is such a thing as commercial septic, but I don't know the details of that.
 - Q Is there a housing shortage in Monroe County?
 - A I couldn't answer that. I don't know. And what I do know is that we hear people say there's one, but if you look at real estate listings, there's a lot out there on the market.
 - I think there is -- I would say that, from my personal knowledge and experience, that there is a shortage of housing that is affordable for a two-income family with children.

And part of that is because we don't -- we are not allowed to set a living wage in our county that would allow people to purchase a home, because land is expensive, and then you add in the cost of building. So homes are what they cost. And can people afford them? Not always.

- Q You said you're not allowed to set a living wage. Is that because of state statute?
 - A Yes, that is a state statute.
- Q If the Monroe County commissioners were allowed to set a county-wide living wage, would you be supportive of that?
 - A Absolutely.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q So aside from affordable housing, you don't believe -- it's your opinion there's not a housing shortage in Monroe County?
 - A Correct.
- Q Does the County have a plan to address the affordable housing shortage?
- A Yes, and -- and that involves -- well, affordable is a -- is a very specific federal term. So maybe -- and I don't like the term workforce housing, because that implies that unless you're retired, everybody is the same, and they're not.

So I don't know what to call it, but housing

in the lower-cost bracket -- maybe I could use that term -- is -- it's something we are always thinking about. But again, the cost to purchase land automatically creates housing that is more expensive.

2.2

2.5

And our -- so what we do is we look at it as best we can development by development. We supported the Osage Habitat for Humanity project with American Rescue Plan Act dollars.

We are looking at doing the same at another location at South Walnut with American Rescue Plan Act dollars to fund some of the infrastructure that's necessary.

We are -- we had a discussion last night with the redevelopment commission for the county about looking into a -- the potential of establishing a residential TIF that would have to be -- they would have to meet some requirements for affordability in terms of their income.

So they would have to have a maximum income to purchase property there. So it's -- it's area by area, plan by plan.

Q You mentioned a cost bracket. What do you believe that cost bracket to be for the shortage, the area that has a shortage of housing?

A I would say that people are hard-pressed to

- find a livable home for under 200,000, and I just
 don't know if that's possible to build. So it would
 be great to be more creative, tiny homes, things like
 that.
 - Q You mentioned the Habitat for Humanity project. Where is that located?
 - A The Osage property is near the old RCA plants, so it's near RCA Park.
 - Q Forgive me. Is that in the city limits?
- 10 A Yes, it is in the city.
 - Q And the South Walnut project, that is not located in the city limits. Correct?
 - A Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

16

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Which annexation area is that in? Do you know?
 - A I don't. I don't know. I don't.
- 17 Q You -- sorry. You understand it's in one of the city annexation areas?
 - A I don't know that it is.
 - Q Okay. Does the South Walnut project, do you believe it will require municipal services?
 - A If we could get municipal services, that would be better than having to build a package plant, because that is our other option that we have utilized elsewhere. But my understanding from a conversation

today is that we have been told that there isn't the capacity for the city to add this onto their current wastewater system.

Q I want to quantify. We're talking about a shortage. How big of a shortage do you think there is? How many additional affordable housing options do you believe are needed?

A This is -- this is a very big question, and we are working toward getting a reliable answer that doesn't come from the realtors, that doesn't come from speculation or people's subjective experiences talking to folks in the community.

I don't know that number, and we were speaking last night at our plan commission about why we do need that number and how we're going to come up with that number.

Q The city hasn't done any -- or I'm sorry.

The County has not done any studies to determine what the shortage is?

A No.

2.2

2.5

Q Okay. Do you believe that the South Walnut project would need city police service, for example?

A If it's not in the city, it won't need city police service. It would have sheriff's deputies.

It's 70 units. It's not -- it's not a huge project.

Q Can you explain to me, on the South Walnut project, what the County's role has been in that project?

2.

2.2

A So far, not much. It hasn't come through planning yet. A PUD, planned unit development, ordinance has not been proposed yet.

We are at the stage where we have considered it as -- in my other role in county government, along with the council, to be worthy of consideration for American Rescue Plan Act funding, but that doesn't mean that it's been -- that's not -- that doesn't make it a planning petition that's been approved or not. So it's -- it's very early.

- Q So it's a private developer that would be doing that project?
- A It's a mix between Habitat for Humanity and a private developer as it's proposed right now. And again, that's -- we don't know.
- Q And are you familiar with the city's policy on not connecting new sewer outside of its boundaries currently?
- A Well, we have had a petition that came before us in Fieldstone, and the petitioners said they wanted to put in storage units, but the area was supposed to be housing.

And we asked why they weren't doing housing, because the PUD for that area said this is supposed to be high-density housing, and he said the city will not connect the sewer. So that stalled out that development in our eyes.

We voted it down as a storage facility, because if we need housing, we need housing. We don't need storage facilities. So but that was during the previous mayoral administration.

I don't have anything in writing or a direct -- any direct communication from anyone in the city to either myself or conveyed through planning staff to us that -- that there is going to be zero sewer hookup for areas outside the city. We can build package plants. That's -- developers can build package plants. It's -- it's a viable option.

- Q Has the County studied the potential for a package plant at the South Walnut property?
 - A It's too early to do so.
- Q Do you have any estimate on the cost of a package plant?

MR. BEGGS: Just objection to the form to the extent it doesn't define the details of what the package plant will serve.

25 / //

2.

2.2

	Page 22
1	BY MR. UNGER:
2	Q Any cost go ahead and answer my question.
3	A I I have no idea.
4	Q No idea what a package plant would cost?
5	A No, nothing nothing has been what I
6	when I talk about the South Walnut project, the only
7	thing that's been done is we have looked at it as a
8	potential for American Rescue Plan Act funding.
9	That's and it's about 70 units. That's all I can
10	tell you about it. It's so early. It's so early.
11	Q Do you know what package plants generally
12	cost?
13	A No.
14	MR. BEGGS: Object to form.
15	BY MR. UNGER:
16	Q Do you know what the environmental
17	requirements are for having a package plant?
18	MR. BEGGS: Object to form.
19	A No.
20	Q Has the County done any studies of the cost
21	to operate a package plant?
22	A No.
23	Q Do you have any familiarity with the
24	requirements to operate a package plant?
25	A No.

	Page 23
1	MR. BEGGS: Object to form.
2	Foundation.
3	BY MR. UNGER:
4	Q Have you discussed at all with the City the
5	potential for a package plant?
6	A No.
7	MR. BEGGS: You mean that South Walnut
8	location, Counsel, or do you mean anywhere?
9	MR. UNGER: Anywhere.
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	BY MR. UNGER:
12	Q I understand the County also has plans for a
13	proposed new jail?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What's the proposed locations that have been
16	identified for that project?
17	A Are you asking where we have looked in
18	totality or where we're looking now?
19	Q Where you're looking now.
20	A Our current focus is at North Park, which is
21	the northwest corner of I-69 and State Road 46.
22	Q Is that in the city?
23	A No.
24	Q Do you expect that a jail at that location
25	would require city sewer and water service?

A It would not. There is a package plant that already exists on that property with sufficient capacity. It was built for what was supposed to be a hospital, so it is well-served.

- Q Do you know who operates that package plant currently?
 - A No.
 - Q Is it operated by a utility?
- A I don't know.
- 10 Q Is it in use currently?
- 11 A It exists.
- 12 Q Do you know how much capacity it has?
- 13 A No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q Has the County had discussions with the owner of the package plant about its ability to use it for the new jail?
 - A I'm not sure what to do with that question, because -- let me answer it this way. North Park is a planned unit development. That planned unit development has had some infrastructure upgrades, including roadway and a package plant. Those are -- that roadway, that package plant exists. There is a TIF. It is a TIF area.
- So I -- the assumption is that development on -- in North Park's PUD would utilize that package

- plant. So no, we have, that I know of, not had a -- I have not had a discussion with anyone about that, because that's the assumption.
 - Q You are personally opposed to the city's annexations. Is that correct?
 - A Can you clarify that question for me?
- Q Yes. Are you opposed to the city's annexations personally?
- 9 A I -- are you talking about their plans 1A, 10 1B, 1C, 2, 3, 4, 5 6?
- 11 Q Are you opposed to the city's annexation of 12 area 1A?
- 13 A Yes.

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 14 Q Are you opposed to the city's annexation of area 1B?
- 16 A Yes.
 - Q When do you think it is appropriate for Bloomington to annex?
 - A I look at city annexations like people think about businesses. Businesses sometimes grow and expand, and they do so to increase their revenue.

 That's exactly why cities do it.
 - There is a time and a place for annexation, and that is typically done by working with residents who live near the border, finding out what they need.

It's kind of old-fashioned, the way I think about it, but it's how it used to work.

2.2

Too much land too quickly is -- is expensive for current city residents, and it -- and it is difficult to ensure that people receive services that they need if it's done too fast, if the leap is too big. That's the concern, and I -- I'm trying to look out for every resident of the county, whether they live in the city or not.

And I heard complaints from people within the city concerned about the annexation plans and the cost to the community, especially because of the recent issues regarding a lack of public safety, too few people on the police force.

And city residents would say, and I was surprised, but city residents would say to me, "What's going to happen to me? Can you help fight this?"

Q So is it ever appropriate for Bloomington to involuntary annex property owners?

A I believe the way the state of Indiana manages annexation is wrong. It -- it creates an adversarial relationship when one does not need to exist. It would be preferable to have the City have a clear plan that is gradual, that encompasses the needs of the people who they are adding, but I think that

the city has some things to do right now in terms of improving its own internal infrastructure before it thinks about growing.

2.2

- Q So I'm sorry. My question was, should Bloomington ever be allowed to annex property owners involuntarily?
- A I don't think anyone should ever been able to involuntarily annex somebody. I can see that they have a desire to do it. That doesn't mean it's the right thing to do.
- Q Should Bloomington ever be allowed to annex urban areas outside their boundaries involuntarily?
- A Legally, they have that right under Indiana law, but I don't think it's an appropriate law.
- Q Should Bloomington be allowed to annex areas that agreed to be annexed in exchange for sewer service?
- A I do not believe that requiring people to be annexed if they want access to sewer service is fair.

 I do not believe that that is a way that people should be treated.

The individual has to pay for that hookup.

They have to pay. If it's a subdivision, the -- the developer is paid for the infrastructure and then passed it on to the homeowners, I would assume,

because businesspeople.

2.

2.2

And then to say, "And now, you are agreeing to be annexed," I don't -- it doesn't feel right to me. The people who are outside of the city limits already pay a premium for sewer service by the city as well.

Q Do you think the City should be allowed to refuse the extension of sewer utilities outside of its boundaries without being annexed first?

A The City may do that. They have that right, absolutely. I don't know if they really are out of capacity. I -- and if they are, then they should not be providing sewer service outside the city. I -- I agree.

Q If they have capacity?

A Then it's up to them, but it's a moneymaking venture, and I don't mean that in the sense of profit, but I mean they get sufficient revenue. They charge a rate based on what it costs them to process waste, and they charge people outside the city more. That's -- that's their prerogative.

Q Absent the consent of the property owners, should Bloomington ever be able to annex area 1A?

A I -- I think I need that reworded. Thank you.

So again, I understand you're opposed to involuntary annexation. I understand that. any scenario where Bloomington should be able to annex area 1A absent landowner consent?

It seems like you know that's my answer. You already know my answer. I feel like we have already done this.

- Let me rephrase it. 0
- Α Yeah, maybe that would help.
- Should area 1A always be unincorporated? O

Part of it may become incorporated and part Α of the city. Part of it may not. I don't know where that line is, but I certainly understand that there is a place and a time for annexation.

I certainly understand that we have a terrible law in Indiana, and if you have the law you have, it's what you work with. And so annexation does happen.

It should not happen with such a large space in such a compressed time, and it should happen a good -- for a good public servant, it should happen with the people wanting it or at least being okay with it, in other words, getting them on board first.

Well, what I'm trying to understand is, if 0 the property owners will not agree to be annexed, is

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

2.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23 2.4

25

there a scenario in which you think it would be appropriate for Bloomington to still move forward with annexing area 1A?

- A No.
- Q Okay. What about with respect to 1B?
- 6 A Same.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q Have there been other involuntary annexations in Monroe County that you did not oppose?
- A I was not part of county government, and you're talking about -- can you -- can you ask the question again?
- Q Have there been other involuntary annexations in Monroe County that you did not oppose?
- A I was not -- I don't believe I was part of county government the last time the City annexed. And the town of Ellettsville currently annexes gradually, and we have not become involved in any way in opposition to those annexations.
- Q Do you know if those were involuntary annexations?
- A I don't know. I -- I know -- no, I don't know. No, I don't know.
- Q What about Stinesville; have they annexed since you have been involved?
 - A No, not that I know of.

Q The Ellettsville annexations, was the impact on the landowners from those annexations acceptable to you?

MR. BEGGS: Objection to form and foundation.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- A Yeah, I don't know what you mean by impact.
- Q Was the financial impact to the landowners acceptable to you?

MR. BEGGS: Objection. Form.

A I did not -- the only thing I can tell you is that I have not heard complaints from residents about the potential of being annexed by the town of Ellettsville. Their tax structure is very different than the City of Bloomington's, however, and that may have something to do with it.

In addition, the annexations that I am most familiar with -- and again, I don't know about each and every one of them, because they are gradual and small and piecemeal in Ellettsville -- often occur before the property is developed. Not always, but often. And so a homeowner is buying a home in the town of Ellettsville.

- Q If Bloomington annexed area 1A in smaller chunks and piecemeal, would you be opposed to it?
 - A Not necessarily. It depends on a lot of

factors. There are a lot of factors.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Well, what are the factors?
- A The residents themselves who are targeted for annexation, if it makes sense geographically, if they work with the property owners to bring them on board.

It really is up to those property owners to tell me and guide me as to whether they want to object and if they need our help or if they have questions we can help them with.

Q You said geographically. What makes it more appropriate geographically for you?

A I think that relates more to roadways, thinking I-69, things like that. So in other words, I think about it like the highway department thinks about it a little bit, because there's road inventory, and it's either a county road or a city road or a state road, and that's important for each highway and public works department.

So I think that's what I'm thinking about it in terms of geography. You wouldn't want to have a -- you know, a piece of roadway run by the city in the middle of two county roads and, you -- you know, that kind of -- that kind of geographical logic.

Q The islands, areas 3, 4, and 5, are you

opposed to the City's annexation of those areas as well?

A Yes.

2.2

- Q Do you think it's still inappropriate for the City to annex those islands involuntarily?
 - A Yes, yes, I do.
 - Q Is that just because it's involuntary?
- A Because it is involuntary, because I'm very familiar with people who live in those islands who cannot afford the significant tax impact of annexation on them personally.
- The -- I can give you specific examples when I have gone out and talked to residents in those areas, but they are very much reflective of county living more than city living in terms of how people conduct their day-to-day lives. Especially, I think about annexation area 4 with that one.
- Q Are you opposed to the annexation of area 1A by the City if over 50 percent of the property owners agree to be annexed?
- A I think that's too much of a -- I don't know. I don't know.
- Q What I'm trying to get at is, do you believe it has to be 100 percent voluntary for the City to annex an area?

A I think that would be the ideal. It might not happen, but I think that might be the ideal. I would like our state law to be different.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q Would you be -- so there's a lesser number than 100 percent where you think it would be acceptable for the City to annex an area?
 - A I -- I don't know what that number is.
- Q Jumping back to the Ellettsville annexations, were the impact on county finances acceptable to the county from the Ellettsville annexations?
- A The -- I don't know what you mean by acceptable. It is what it is. It is -- yeah, I -- I don't know what to do with that word acceptable. Sorry.
 - Q Did you think it was inappropriate?
- A Again, I'm not sure what to do with that word inappropriate. It was not significant. Does that help?
- Q Do you know -- do you recall what the impact was?
- A No, because it is small, piecemeal, and often predevelopment.
 - Q Is Bloomington a good place to live?
- A I don't live in Bloomington. I used to, but

1 | I don't anymore.

4

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q When did you live in Bloomington?
- 3 A 1993 to around '96.
 - Q Was it a good place to live then?
- 5 A It was fine.
 - Q You think it's -- so you're a county commissioner in Monroe County. Correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q The largest community is the city of Bloomington. Correct?
- 11 A Right.
 - Q Do you believe it's a good place to live?
 - A I believe that some people like living in an urban area, a small -- it used to have a small-town feel. It doesn't really have that anymore, but people like living in a small city. Some people, like me, like being out in -- in the country a little bit, not -- and that I can be close to the city.
 - So I -- I think that there are, like every city and every town and every county in this country, there are problems, and there are great things about it. And the things I love about Bloomington may be different than the things someone else may love about Bloomington.
 - Q Do you think Bloomington is a good place to

start a business?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A It can be.
- Q Are there times when it's not?
- A It depends what kind of business, I would assume. And when you say Bloomington, I'm thinking city limits, just to clarify. So it can be, yes.
- Q What about the greater Bloomington community?
 - A Yes, sure.
 - Q It is a good place to start a business?
- 11 A Sure.
 - Q Do you believe Bloomington is a good place to raise a family?
 - A I have not raised a family, so I don't know, but I believe that we have good schools. I believe that we have a lot of things to offer. And -- and in this sense, I'm thinking about the county as a whole, but I think the county as a whole is a great place to raise a family.
 - Q So you're willing to say the county is a great place to raise a family, but you're not willing to say Bloomington is a great place to raise a family?
 - A No, Bloomington -- Bloomington is as well.

 I think there are -- again, like every city, town, and county, there are great things about it, and there are

things that need to be worked on.

2.2

- Q What are the things that need to be worked on?
- A I think currently -- within the city? Are you -- is that your question?
 - Q Both city and county.
- A I think with -- I think, within the city, the biggest thing right now has to do with balancing student population, student housing, with housing for people who have families or are starting families or are retiring. You know, where's that balance?
- I -- I hear that a lot, and I also know that there -- there is concern about public safety and having enough officers in -- on the roads. And that's -- that's just city again for that.
- We don't have those two issues in the county, but we do have concerns about protecting our environment, protecting our drinking water source for the whole area, for the region.

We have -- always have concerns about where development should go and where it shouldn't and where it should be denser and where it should be less dense, how to make better use of our airport for economic development. I can -- I can name so many things that occupy our minds and time as county commissioners.

- Q Does the Bloomington fire department provide quality fire protection service?
 - A I believe so.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q You understand they assist with Monroe

 County Fire Protection District from time to time as
 well?
- A What I do know is that they have not yet -as far as I know, I have not been informed that there
 is an active efficient, sufficient mutual aid
 agreement between the Monroe County Fire Protection
 District and the City of Bloomington's fire
 department. I -- that would be something that needs
 to be addressed.
- Q Are you familiar with circumstances where Bloomington Fire Department has responded to fires in the Monroe County Fire Protection District territory?
 - A Personally, no.
- Q Okay. Does the Bloomington Police Department provide quality police protection?
- A I believe that the officers who serve the Bloomington Police Department are good people on the whole who do a good job. They're just understaffed.
- Q So I'm going to ask my question again. I understand you believe they're understaffed. Even with what you perceive to be understaffing issues, do

you believe they do a good job of providing police protection service, the department as a whole?

- I don't feel like I'm in a position to judge Α that, honestly. I don't know.
- Do you know -- what do you base your belief that there is -- that they're understaffed on; what do you base that off of?
- Α I have attended and watched a number of city council meetings where this has been a topic of discussion for many years, especially since COVID. But if I remember correctly, I think it started before COVID. I might be wrong on that, but.
- 0 I mean, has the county commissioned any studies concerning police service?
- No, because we have a sheriff who was duly Α elected to serve our community with deputies, and the sheriff may or may not decide to do a study, but that is not up to the county commissioners to do.
- Do you have any evidence of crimes occurring 0 in Bloomington and not being responded to?
 - I would not have that information.
- So aside from what you have heard other 0 people say in said council meetings, do you have any evidence supporting your belief that there is a staffing shortage in the Bloomington Police

Veritext Legal Solutions www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Department?

2.2

A I base my understanding of the situation on the city council members discussing the issue about how to increase the number of officers and how to encourage them to stay on once they are hired.

Q Aside from that, any other evidence of policing not getting done that you're aware of?

A Officially, no. The public talking to me about it at various events, conversations, grocery store lines, you name it, I hear from people that they feel like the response time is slower.

They feel they are concerned about the number of officers who are available, and that would often lead them to say, "How can the City annex if we already don't have enough officers on hand?"

Q Do you know how many officers, at a given time, are patrolling within the city's boundaries, how many police officers?

A No, I do not.

Q Okay. Do you know how many sheriff's deputies are patrolling? And again, I'm talking about in the county.

A I do not. I know that number fluctuates depending on the day of the week and the time of day, but I do know that we are -- at last count, I think we

were -- we had three more openings in the sheriff's department, and they were -- they believed that they would be filled within a month or two.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q Do you believe the response times from the county sheriff's department are appropriate?
- A Yes, given the geography of the county, the amount of space to be covered in an emergency. And I do know that our response times have been fairly consistent over the last five years or so.
- Q Have you ever -- have you personally ever reviewed and studied the City's response times?
- A I have tried to get information on that, and it is very difficult to get that information. So I would say no.
 - Q Who did you try to get it from?
- A I have data that dispatch -- I believe it came from dispatch. The chief deputy, Phil Parker, gave me some data sheets, but the issue is how the numbers are calculated, what they include, and what they don't include.

And it's difficult to follow that data.

It's not something that's easily comprehensible; "It's two minutes longer, two minutes shorter." It's not.

It's not like that. It's a -- it's a complex dataset that I'm still trying to grapple with.

- Q You haven't had difficulty with the City giving you data, though?
 - A I got the data from Phil Parker. I didn't ask the City for the data. I haven't asked the City for that data.
 - Q Phil Parker, is he with the dispatch?
 - A I'm sorry. He is the chief deputy sheriff.
 - Q Okay. Understood.
- 9 A Yeah, so I didn't ask. I didn't ask the 10 City. I didn't ask.
 - Q Didn't ask the City?
- 12 A No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

11

- Q Okay. Thank you. Do you believe that the number of sheriff's deputies patrolling the county at a given time is an appropriate number for urban areas?
- MR. BEGGS: Objection to form. Did you say urban areas?
- za dizan areas.
- 18 MR. UNGER: Correct.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I -- I don't know what
- 20 you mean by that. I'm sorry. Yeah, could you restate
- 21 it, maybe?
- 22 BY MR. UNGER:
- Q Do you understand that area 1A and 1B are urban areas?
- A No, I do not understand that.

Q You don't think -- you don't believe they're urban?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A I think there are some parts of those areas

 -- let me -- let me get my map in my head visually. I

 believe there are parts of those areas that are more

 densely populated than others.
- Q What's your definition of urbanization, urban area?
- A It's -- it is about population density. I don't have a magical number in my head, but it is about population density, but there's also a quarry. That's not urban. So I -- you know, I -- I don't want to lump everything together and say 1A is urban. I don't think the whole of 1A is urban.
 - Q But you agree some portions of 1A are urban?
 - A Are more densely populated, yes.
- Q And do you believe the ratio of sheriff's deputies that are covering the county, including those areas, is sufficient?
- A That's a question for the sheriff and -- and the county council, which is the -- which is the fiscal body of the county which decides on the deputies we'll pay for. So not a question I have had to deal with since I left the county council.
 - Q Okay. So you don't have a position on it?

A I have not heard any complaints. I will tell you that. That's my personal experience.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q Does the Bloomington street department do a good of maintaining the streets within the city?
- A I believe so. I haven't studied it. I think the one complaint I have heard this year is about not picking up leaves and having a lot of flooding as a result.
- Q Do you think the county street department does a good job of maintaining the streets --
 - A They do a -- they do a great job.
 - Q Okay. What do you base that off of?
- A My personal knowledge and experience and the fact that -- that the public works department of the county reports to the county commissioners. So that's -- we're involved in that every day. We have paved more roads in 2023 than we ever have.
- Q Do you believe the city parks department does a good job?
 - A I believe so.
- Q Are there areas of interlocal cooperation between the City and the County?
 - A Absolutely.
- Q Could you maybe explain to me what those are?

- Sure. We have an interlocal agreement with the City regarding the animal shelter. We pay them based on the number of animals that are surrendered into the shelter that come from outside the city.
 - The City maintains the shelter?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.5

Α

- The City maintains the shelter. Ellettsville pays a little bit, and we pay the rest, and the City pays for the city. And I personally utilize that animal shelter to find great companions for my life, which is wonderful. We have the building department which the County operates, but the City utilizes. So --
 - The City can -- does --
- We have an agreement. We have an agreement with the City, and so building permits in the city go through the County's building department.
- Does the City compensate the County for 0 that?
- The -- there's a fee that's assessed for the Α permit, and that goes to the County.
- So the builders pay to operate the department?
- 2.3 Α Absolutely, but we -- as much as possible, that's what we want. 2.4
 - 0 Understood.

A I know that there -- and I cannot give you specifics, but I know that there are ongoing agreements between the city streets department or public works -- I'm never sure what to call it -- and our public works highway department.

They -- we have agreements with them all the time regarding things like snowplowing, so you're not -- you know, one is not picking up the plow, and then the other one has to come by and drop their plow.

They -- they work out the streets so that, you know, "Hey, you're over here. Finish this one for us, and we will do yours over here." So there are those kind of ongoing agreements that work out really well.

Q Dispatch?

2.2

- A Dispatch is another one, yeah.
- Q How is dispatch handled?
- A Gosh, you know, here's the thing. I have been in -- been at the County so long, you know, like three iterations of different ways dispatch has worked.

We have a ongoing agreement with the City, and I will put it this way. The City manages the personnel side, and we manage -- we pay for -- we pay for the -- the software side, I believe.

I might be wrong about that even to this day, but so that, it is a -- the PSAP LIT, the public safety answering point LIT. Local income tax is collected by the County, and the dispatch has -- center has a budget that they work off of for their personnel.

But there is a board, and the board is what

-- where the real cooperation, day-to-day work takes

place, especially in executive committee, which is

City and County together, fire protection district,

fire department for the city, et cetera. That's where

the real cooperation happens.

- Q The convention center, is there new interlocal there?
 - A That's another good example.
- O Is that more recent?

2.

2.2

- A Yes, it is more recent. It's -- although, it's been four years. Yes, it is more recent, and that is one where there's a food and beverage tax.

 There is a capital --
- Q Who's the -- I'm sorry. Whose food and beverage tax is it?
- A It is a food and beverage tax that every restaurant and bar collects within the county. The City's food and beverage tax revenue, which is the

vast majority, is within the city limits.

2.

2.2

2.5

Of course, the bars and restaurants' goes to the City's coffers, as it were. The County's go elsewhere. The County can use our food and beverage proceeds for economic development and tourist development. The City will use theirs for the convention center.

So it's our convention center. We're adding onto it. We're remodeling it with American Rescue Plan Act dollars as it stands now. The City is putting in that food and beverage money to expand it, a hotel, all of that.

So we have a capital improvement board which is half City, half County exactly, and then they have picked a seventh member. We just finished the memoranda of understanding to move forward with bonding opportunities for the City to bond off of their food and beverage dollars.

Q It's fairly more recent that the City agreed to contribute their food and beverage dollars. Is that correct?

A No, no, that's been understood all along.

The sticking point has typically been about how -- how it would be designed and who decides the design and the location of the buildings, because there's

property that the County owns, property that the City owns that's involved here.

- Q When did the city council approve using its food and beverage tax for the convention center?
- A I don't know what year that was. I don't remember what year that was.
 - O I mean, is that within the last two years?
- A No, it's been longer ago. Yeah, it's been a while.
 - O Who owns the convention center?
 - A Currently, the County.

- Q Okay. Does the City own the parking lot?
- A They own a piece of the parking lot south of the current convention center. The County also owns that big, empty lot across the street that you see out your window here at this office. And the City now owns another piece of property that's also outside this building that -- that they own, so.
- Q In your time since you have been a commissioner, county council, did the City also have an interlocal agreement with the County concerning planning?
- A The only thing I can say about that with any certainty -- so I have been on the planning commission since 2009, and what I can say with certainty is we

have -- we have, since I have been on the planning commission, have had to deal with city zoning in some parts of our community -- parts of the county outside the city because of the Areas Intended For Annexation agreement, but that agreement was ended years ago by the mayor, Kruzan.

So but we still have that lingering impact of having to know not just our county zones but also city zones whenever we deal with something with some of the properties that have come up that are closer to the city limits. So it's -- it's very -- if planning weren't complicated enough, you know, it -- it made it more complicated.

- Q It affects both of you; it affects both the City and the County, the planning?
 - A Sure, sure.
- Q You mentioned the AIFA, Areas Intended For Annexation?
- A Right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

25

- Q What's your understanding about that agreement?
 - A The -- the mayor, I believe it was Mayor Kruzan, we -- it didn't get renewed.
- Q Were you a county commissioner at that time?
 - A I don't think so. I may have. I don't

1 remember what year that was.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q Do you know what the intent was of the AIFA area?

A The AIFA area set aside areas in the county that the City said they intended to annex at some point in the future. The idea was to -- to make those -- that part of the county look more like the city as it developed so that when it was annexed -- for example, city streets, state -- City codes for city design requirements for streets were, especially at that time when there was AIFA, were very different than the County's.

The County's are now not so different from the City's, but -- but you know, road width, gutter, sewer, you know, that kind of thing --

- Q They were installed to city standards at that time?
- A Yeah, that's what they were trying to do.

 They were trying to make it look like the city before it was the city.
- Q How would you describe the relationship between the City and the commissioners under the Hamilton administration?
- A Poor.
- Q Why do you --

A I think there was a I think there were
problems in communication. I think there was a lot of
difficulties regarding communication. I think I
think that's the root of the problem, and the
inability to compromise, work together, a
my-way-or-the-highway kind of attitude.

Government takes a lot of collaboration, and it takes a lot of compromise. You never get what you want, ever, and that's -- and that's something that I learned pretty quickly as a commissioner, and I hope others learn it when they join local government.

- Q Aside from the annexation, are there other things in particular that you think were problematic for that relationship?
- A I -- it was difficult to work through the convention center issues. Certainly, that took way longer than it should have.
 - O What issues?

2.2

- A Who designs, who decides, who's going to manage, you know, who's going to manage the construction project, yeah.
- Q Anything else in particular besides convention center?
- A I'm sure I could think of something if I had a moment. Nothing really comes to mind right now.

Q How would you describe the relationship with the commissioners now and the City under the Thomson administration?

A I feel like we have turned a page. It -- it reminds me of -- it reminds me of more of the days when Mayor Kruzan was mayor. That was when I first started in county government.

And we don't always agree, but you figure out a way to make things happen. There is typically an agreement on a purpose and a goal, not necessarily on the details. Well, you work the details out. You give and take. You make it happen.

Better communications, more open communications, that's my sense of it three months in, not even three months in. But it's good. It's a good start. I'm happy. It's -- it's optimistic.

Q Do you want to take a break? You all right taking a break?

A Sure.

THE REPORTER: All right. Off the record at 2:14 p.m.

(Off the record.)

THE REPORTER: We're now back on the record at 2:22 p.m.

25 //

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

BY MR. UNGER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

- Q Besides the City's annexations -- I know we talked a little bit about Ellettsville -- have you been involved with any other annexations anywhere?
 - A No.
- Q Okay. Have you studied any other annexations?
- A I wouldn't say studied. I have looked at other state laws, how they operate, especially as Indiana legislators propose changes to our state laws. I have looked to see what other states did. So I wouldn't call that really studying, but I have -- I have read a little bit on it.
- Q Did you study any other Indiana annexations, any other municipality's annexations?
 - A No, no.
- Q Okay. Have you calculated the residential population of area 1A or 1B?
- 19 A No, that's probably in the report.
- Q What report?
 - A Baker Tilly, but I don't -- but we didn't -- I don't think they separated out separate areas, so that's why I'm saying no.
- Q Any other report besides the Baker Tilly report that you're aware of?

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

- A There's the Reedy report that the City commissioned, and there were multiple versions of it.
- Q And nothing else, though, with respect to population that you're aware of?
- A I -- we have census-tracked information, but that doesn't fit the same boundaries as annexation areas, so.
 - Q Have you calculated the --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A No, that's not my job, no.
- 10 Q Okay. Have you calculated what percentage 11 of area 1A or 1B is subdivided?
 - A Can you rephrase that question? Because unless you have got a piece of land that's 40 acres or larger, it's been subdivided at some point. So I don't really know what you mean by subdivided.
 - Q Have you done any calculation of subdivision or a percentage subdivided for each area depending on any definition of subdivision?
 - A I'm trying to understand. I'm not trying to be difficult. I'm trying to understand the question.

 I'm sorry.
 - Q Have you analyzed at all to what extent area 1A and 1B is subdivided?
- 24 A I have looked at the lot sizes, because 25 there are zoning proposals in our comprehensive

development ordinance that we're working on right now, and that includes consideration of the zoning, and the zoning for residential areas relates to minimum lot size. So in that extent, yes.

- Q I mean, with respect to the annexation, have you tried to calculate what percentage of these areas are --
 - A No.

2.2

- Q Okay. What do you believe to be the significant economic impacts of the annexation of area 1A and 1B?
- A There are multiple impacts. I don't know if you mean for individuals or if you mean for the County. I could address both.
 - Q Let's -- County first.
- A Okay. So the impact on the County is that we would lose revenue on the local income tax side on the property tax. We would also lose a stormwater fee, and we would lose motor vehicle highway allocations for any roads that become City inventory instead of County inventory.
- I know that, just looking at 1A and 1B, probably 800,000 to a million dollars in MVH is probably a good ballpark. Again, we commissioned the Baker Tilly study, but we did not separate out

different annexation areas.

2.

2.2

We asked them to look at all of them, because all of them are on the table at the time. So in hindsight, I wish I had asked for that separate calculation.

That's my -- you know, that's something I have learned, but -- but that local income tax is -- is going to impact. Now, in terms of property tax, the County still collects its property tax. The City takes additional tax on top of it.

Now, what happens is, especially when you have people who are fixed income, moderate income, low income, in owner-occupied housing, you are going to have more and more of the circuit breaker coming into play where they cannot spend more than 1 percent of their home value on taxes.

When that circuit breaker happens, because there has now been -- had a city tax added to their property tax bill, you impact the Township tax base; you impact the County's tax base. All of those things impact the operation of county government, township government.

Township government serves all residents of the township whether they live in the city or not.

The County serves all residents of the county whether

they live in the city or not.

2.

2.2

2.5

We have to provide an assessor, an auditor, a treasurer, a surveyor, commissioners council, so many of our functions, especially, and most importantly, on criminal justice side, for a jail, courts, prosecutor, public defender, et cetera, community corrections, probation.

That cost is high, and it's fixed. It's not -- in the sense that it doesn't matter how many people live in the city versus the county, it's the county as a whole. That's the service we would have to provide, and if we start hitting a lot of circuit breakers, that means other people's property taxes are going to go up.

But -- but most importantly, it means we're going to have to cut services from what people have come to expect from Monroe County, and that's a very hard pill for me to swallow as a public servant.

And I do know that my conversations with people in the areas intended for annexation, across all of them, a lot of conversations had to do with understanding what the tax impact would be on their personal property tax for their residence, and people — we had public listening sessions.

We had Baker Tilly explain their report to

the public, because we had a Reedy report, and Baker Tilly found different information and highlighted that in their report.

We had public listening sessions, and there's a -- there was a lot of fear and a lot of concerns that people were going to lose their homes, because they could not afford what the new taxes would be.

There's also a fiscal impact on things like the fire protection district and the school corporation and the library all because of the circuit breaker. So those are again services people expect to have that may now be compromised if there is a large-scale annexation, but the individual versus the County are two different things.

Q Is the County's current budget sufficient to fund county services currently?

A Yes.

2.2

Q The -- the financial impact to the County that you're mentioning, stormwater, MVH, LIT, circuit breaker, do those -- does your understanding of those come from anything other than the Baker Tilly report?

A It was the Baker Tilly report. And -- and when annexation was first proposed, we had another study done by Financial Services Group, FSG, and there

1 was some information missing.

So I was -- I was glad that we did the Baker Tilly as a second report, especially once we had the Reedy report. So Reedy, Baker Tilly, were really the key.

- Q Okay. The FSG report, had that ever been updated at all?
 - A No.
- Q Okay. You haven't had any discussions with the authors of that since?
- 11 A No.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Okay. So I just want to make sure. Besides the Reedy and the Baker Tilly report, is there anything else that you rely on for purposes of determining what the financial impact is to the county?
- A Well, I -- I have had conversations with various department heads, specifically, you know, to talk to Lisa Ridge and say, you know, "How much do you think we're going to lose here in terms of inventory," because she knows that better than I would.
 - Q Who is Lisa Ridge?
- A Lisa Ridge is our highway director. So you know, those -- those kinds of conversations. I think there would be a cut in the number of sheriff's

deputies, right, if there's fewer residents outside the city.

I don't know what that would be, and that would be up to the sheriff to calculate. So my focus has really been on the side of county government where the commissioners are responsible.

Q I mean, the employees you're talking about, they didn't do their own calculation of what the financial impact would be other than look at what the Baker Tilly report said and tried to account for that?

A Right. You know, they -- they can look at the number of properties and calculate stormwater, right, how much stormwater fee we lose, for example. So it's -- it's sort of the back-of-the-envelope kind of ballpark guessing.

Q Who at Baker Tilly prepared the report?

A I do not remember their names, but I know their names are on the report. I can picture them in my mind, but I do not remember their names.

- Q Did you do anything to help prepare the report?
 - A No, we -- we just gave them the parameters.
- Q I believe we're on Exhibit 52, is the next number that we're using.

25 //

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

	Page 62
1	(Exhibit 52 was marked for
2	identification.)
3	A I feel like I have missed so much. Lordy,
4	I'm going to have to get my glasses out. Do I need to
5	get my glasses out? I need to get my glasses.
6	Q Yeah, probably. We can go off the record
7	while you do that.
8	THE REPORTER: All right. Off the
9	record at 2:34 p.m.
10	(Off the record.)
11	THE REPORTER: We are now back on the
12	record at 2:34 p.m.
13	BY MR. UNGER:
14	Q So I have marked a document as Exhibit 52.
15	Do you understand this to be the Baker Tilly report
16	that we have been discussing?
17	A Yes, yes.
18	Q Has this report been updated at all?
19	A No.
20	Q Have you had any conversations with anyone
21	at Baker Tilly recently about the report?
22	A No.
23	Q So I'm clear, can you show me, in the Baker
24	Tilly report, what portions you rely on for your
25	understanding of the impact to the County? And maybe

if I help, direct you to page 8, you might look at that.

2.2

A Right. I -- while their focus has been and often was on the information on page 8, for residents, our focus was on what their tax bills would look like and how they would be different.

And we put that calculation that's on this paperwork into our website so people could actually enter their own current property tax, and they could get an estimate back of what their new property tax would be. I think that was the best service we offered to our residents, because Reedy didn't have that.

Yes, we do have information here about stormwater, TIF revenue, gasoline wheel tax, local tax, local income tax, the impact of circuit breakers, but we also have to consider the fact that even though we are not the government of Ellettsville and Stinesville, that they have a small impact.

But we have an impact on the schools, the school corporations, the library. The townships, each of the townships has -- has an impact, and in each case, somebody has to provide that service. And the solid waste district would have an impact. The fire protection district would have an impact. And this is

- 1 going to be an annual impact.
- 2 Q So I want to -- with respect to the County,
- 3 on page 8, it shows, if I'm reading the report
- 4 | correctly, there's a line that said net revenue impact
- 5 in year 1, you know, 1.33 million; in year 2, 2.737
- 6 | million. Do you see that?
 - A Mm-hmm.
- Q Is that what you based your estimated impact 9 to the County on?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. Is it your understanding that the 12 report projects that the County will have to cut their 13 budget by \$2.7 million in year 2?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Do you believe, as a result of annexation, 16 that the County will have to make cuts to services?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q There are some services that the County no
 19 longer has to provide. You understand that -- in the
 20 annexation area?
- 21 A Right, right.
- 22 Q Street and road maintenance, for example,
- 23 | and --
- 24 A Right. Stormwater.
- 25 Q In addition, above and beyond those services

that the City takes over, do you believe the County will have to make additional cuts?

A Yes, I believe the County will be in a position of having to make additional cuts, because I think that, you know, this is only a two-year map here.

You have to remember this is every year and that that circuit breaker, I think -- I think, is going to become an even bigger problem over time, not less of a problem over time.

And that is directly going to impact every single taxing unit, including the County, and it's not just you have fewer deputies; it's okay. But this is where, now, you have to figure out where you're making other cuts.

Q So it's your understanding, because of the circuit breaker growth, the City will lose more and more money every -- or I'm sorry -- the County will lose more and more money every year?

A Mm-hmm.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- O Was that --
- A Yes. Thank you.
- Q Thank you. Has the County done any studies on cuts that would need to be made?
 - A When annexation was first proposed, we had a

- number of meetings with our department heads. And this is before the Baker Tilly report, so this is at the very beginning. And we were talking through with the council, because the council makes that final decision about where those cuts would be.
- Q And so at that time, all the department heads believed they would need to make budget cuts as a result of the annexation?
- A I wouldn't say all the department heads. I mean, we do have a department of weights and measures that is one person. So yeah, no, we wouldn't be cutting that, and of course, they work through the whole county.
- So not every -- not every department, but certainly, that -- that could be -- impact a number of departments in -- in ways that they may not have anticipated.
 - Q But are there no reports or studies on --
 - A No, it was -- it was -- no.
- Q Okay. Thank you. Do you know what the County's current cash reserves are?
- A If I was on the council, I could tell you. I don't know right offhand.
 - Q Do you understand it to be over \$60 million?
- A I don't know.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q If it is over \$60 million, do you think the County would still need to make cuts as a result of the annexation?

2.

2.2

A Yes, because I -- to say -- I think -- I think the issue is this. When you say reserves, I'm thinking about the rainy day fund. That's the only fund I think of as a savings account for the County.

Reserves are a lot of things. There is money sitting in reserves that's earmarked for other things and bonds money. So I'm just thinking in terms of the rainy day account, which is the County savings account. I know that we don't have that much in there, and I -- I know Ellettsville's, right now, is -- is under a million.

So I know that -- that we don't have that much in ours, but no matter what, we would, because you always want to have money in your rainy day account, just like you want to have in a savings account at home.

And the -- the -- again, the problem with -- the problem with this report is that it's for all the annexation areas. The problem with everything that we studied, it's for all the annexation areas.

I think we -- we don't have anything that delineates 1A and 1B, and I -- and I don't think that

-- I think I'm more worried about -- and maybe that's incorrect, but I, as a public servant, I'm more worried about the individual who's afraid of losing their home due to the inability to pay taxes than I am about county government having to shape, reshape, revise how we do business to accommodate.

Q Is it fair to say, annexation or no annexation, the County will continue providing services to county residents?

2.

2.2

2.5

A The County has to continue providing service to residents, and -- and obviously, the most expensive one of those is criminal justice. And we do provide that, because we have a unified court system for everyone.

Q Do you -- what do you believe to be an adequate cash reserve balance for the County?

A The County should have enough cash reserves so that if there is an emergency, so that if something happens, the world is burning, that we can continue to operate.

There is a range of -- of thought on whether that should be a 1-year amount of money or an 18-month amount of money to actually manage to fund County operations.

Q What's your thought?

A I would always rather have 18 months, but at the same time, if there's something out there that we can do for residents now to make their lives better, then sometimes it's okay to go down to 12 months, but I'm not the county council.

Q What evidence do you have aside from the Baker Tilly report and the calculations that are available there or the Reedy report? Do you have any evidence of the financial impact on landowners or residents in the annexation territories?

A And that's when you really get into personal knowledge and experience. It's, you know, knocking on people's doors and talking to them about it.

It's having the public meeting and having folks come in and ask questions of Baker Tilly, having Baker Tilly explain how to calculate your new tax rate based on the 2021 tax bill, and -- and hearing that fear in their voices, "I don't know how I'm going to be able to pay for this." And that's -- that's significant. It's -- it is very significant.

Q You understand annexation adds a layer of tax, municipal tax layer?

A Sure.

2.

2.2

2.4

2.5

Q So property taxes will go up. Is there a figure that you believe is an appropriate increase in

property taxes?

A I -- I wouldn't hazard to guess, because if

-- if you were in a county that was a -- a county

resident, and a city had a lower tax rate than another

city, then your taxes would be lower. I -- it's up to

the City to figure out what their taxes are going to

be and their budget is going to be.

Q Do you have any other data or evidence of financial impact to -- aside from what people have told you in meetings, any other data, specific reports you're aware of?

A No, I just would say that I know that in conversations with the fire protection district, some board members there, in conversations with township trustees especially, that there is a real concern about their ability to make ends meet, because they are -- they are the providers of poor relief in our county, and they're vital. So if they can't do it, somebody has to do it.

- Q Are you familiar with the City's tax rate?
- 21 A No, not -- no. Outside of the Reedy report, 22 no.
 - Q What do you believe the average impact to be on property owners as a result of the annexation?
 - A Well, that is in -- I believe that was in

the Baker Tilly report, if I'm not mistaken, or it may be that we ran some figures.

There's one in here that's already -- page2, there's your 49.75 percent increase, which may not seem like a lot to folks who are working and making money and earning money but is a lot to somebody on a fixed income. So that's -- that's an example right there.

Q So you don't -- you agree it's not always a significant financial impact?

A I think you would have to run commercial enterprises and residential enterprises. And you know, commercial enterprises tend to be better at absorbing those kinds of percentages, because they can raise prices and find ways to make money as business owners, but it's harder for somebody on a fixed income to do that.

Q Have you reviewed any personal financial information of any landowners, resident, or any business?

A No.

2.2

Q If the County netted 10 million more dollars a year in its budget, in its coffers, than was estimated for purpose of the Baker Tilly report, would that change your opinion with respect to the impact on

Page 72 1 the County? 2. MR. BEGGS: I'm going to object to the Object to the form. Did you say if the 3 foundation. county netted \$10 million in its coffers? 4 5 MR. UNGER: Additionally, yes. In addition to what? 6 MR. BEGGS: 7 In addition to the current MR. UNGER: funds that the County has. 8 9 MR. MCNEIL: Than were estimated in the 10 Baker Tilly report. 11 THE WITNESS: So -- okay. So you want 12 me to imagine a situation where, following annexation, 13 the County actually gets increased taxes into -- as a result of annexation? 14 15 BY MR. UNGER: 16 No, let me ask it again. 0 17 Yeah, I'm -- I'm struggling with it. Α 18 Your understanding, again, of the Baker 0 19 Tilly report is the County will lose roughly 20 \$2.7 million a year. Right? We have established 21 that. If instead of losing \$2.7 million a year, 2.2 23 the County netted an additional \$10 million more than 24 was estimated for purposes of the Baker Tilly report, 2.5 would that change your opinion?

A To me, that's a question about, you know,
"Hey, if you put a horn on a horse, is it a unicorn?"

I don't know. I -- I don't know how that could even happen.

2.

2.2

2.5

My -- my opinion of annexation is more focused on the impact of -- on residents who lose homes because they cannot afford the taxes, and that's been my bigger concern all along.

Yes, I have concerns about County departments, County financials, County budgets, you know, how we absorb a loss, how we manage that, you know, who loses their job, but my -- my bigger concern is the significant financial impact on the residents in the annexation areas, because their lives are going to change in a big way.

Q Do you think if the County netted \$8 million more a year in tax revenue than what was estimated at the time of the Baker Tilly report, the County would still need to make cuts?

MR. BEGGS: Object to form and foundation.

A I -- I can't answer that, because I don't know how that could happen. It's too -- too much fantasy for my -- for me to answer.

Q Did you oppose the adoption of an economic

development LIT in Monroe County?

2.2

2.5

A I oppose the way that it's done. And again, it followed state law, but we live in a -- in a situation where, because of something called the tax council, the City of Bloomington, without the voters of Monroe County who live outside the City of Bloomington, has been making decisions about tax that has impacted every county resident, and I find that abhorrent.

That is a -- that is a structural issue.

It's not -- I'm not mad at the city council. It's the state that allows that to happen. I have concerns about insuring that we do not overtax our county residents, no matter where they live, city or county.

And if we're building a jail, we are going to be looking at a local income tax to pay for a jail, and there is a point where we cannot collect anymore taxes from the people of Monroe County, and I fear that we are getting to that point.

Q Do you believe the economic development LIT was an overtax of county residents?

MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the question. Object to the foundation.

A I'm not sure how to answer that. I -- I -- I'm not sure what information I can give you that

2.5

Α

Yes.

	Page 76							
1	Q Okay. Since 2021?							
2	A Yes.							
3	Q Yeah. What other LITs, local income taxes,							
4	have been adopted for Monroe County?							
5	A There is a well, there's the PSAP, which							
6	we have already talked about, the public safety							
7	answering point dispatch. There is a juvenile LIT.							
8	There is a general LIT, I believe.							
9	Q What's the juvenile LIT?							
L O	A The juvenile LIT is for Juvenile Probation							
L1	Youth Service Bureau, so.							
L2	Q Any others?							
L 3	A Not that I can think of, but I'm sure there							
L 4	are.							
L5	Q Okay. Were you opposed to either of those							
L6	LITs when they were adopted?							
L 7	A No.							
L8	MR. UNGER: Exhibit 28, is that the							
L9	MR. MCNEIL: Interrogatories.							
20	MR. UNGER: Yeah. Do you need a copy,							
21	Bill, of the interrogatories?							
22	MR. BEGGS: You said 28. Right?							
23	MR. UNGER: Yeah.							
24	MR. BEGGS: Thank you.							
25	MR. COCKERILL: I will take one.							

MR. UNGER: You want a copy, Jeff?

2 MR. COCKERILL: Yes.

BY MR. UNGER:

2.2

Q I handed you what's previously been marked as Exhibit 28, which is the County Residents Against Annexation's supplemental answers to the City of Bloomington's interrogatories. Have you ever seen this before?

(Exhibit 28 was previously marked for identification.)

- A I may have. I may have.
- Q Recently?

A I don't know. There -- there are so many documents relating to this case. And you know, when I have looked at my case, I will see them sometimes, and I don't know one from another, necessarily, so I -- I won't be able to say.

Q I'm going to have you turn to page 5. And this is -- so you understand, the City asks written questions to County Residents Against Annexation, and then they respond to them in writing.

If you look down on -- if you actually turn to page 6, so this is a question related to equitable terms and conditions relating to the annexation. And if you turn to page 6 --

A I'm not sure what's meant by equitable terms and conditions, so I will leave that for that. Okay.

- Q About halfway down the page, do you see your name?
 - A Mm-hmm.

2.

2.2

2.5

- Q "Julie Thomas is anticipated to provide testimony regarding the lack of equitable provision of transit service and other infrastructure to areas 1A and 1B if annexation proceeds." What is the lack of equitable provision of transit service?
- A Well, this -- this relates to issues regarding -- there is Bloomington transit. There is rural transit. Rural transit serves multiple counties.

Bloomington transit is funded partially by federal dollars, partially by the economic development income tax. That was more capital purchasing but also by property tax owner -- property taxpayers in the city of Bloomington, property owners in the city of Bloomington.

So this is -- the current issue we're having relates to the fact that the federal government has now said that some parts of the county outside of the city are indeed densely populated.

And as a result, rural transit cannot serve

them, because rural transit is USDA funded and intended for rural residents. So the question is, how do you provide bus service to people in Ellettsville? How do you provide bus service to people who live in Unionville?

2.2

2.5

And what happens is the federal government provides funding to Bloomington transit to serve all of the densely populated areas in the county, whether they're in the city or not, and the City does not share those with rural transit.

So we have cobbled together service for Ellettsville and other more-densely populated parts of the county through the end of -- well, through March. We hope to cobble something together to the end of the year, and at some point, we're going to have to figure something out.

But the City is receiving money that it is -- for the services that is not being shared with us as we try to provide service.

Q So your concern is with respect to the way in which cities share funding currently to serve dense areas outside of the city limits?

A Right, with federal funds. Right. It's the federal funds. Right. So this is -- it's a current issue. So it is a current issue.

Q Okay. Is there anything else? I just want to make sure I understand completely what's meant by this provision. Is there anything else with respect to the equitable provision of transit service that you would be talking about here?

2.

2.2

A Regarding transit, can't think of anything right now, but I don't have notes with me either, so.

Q What about other infrastructure; what's that talking about, the lack of equitable provision of other infrastructure? That's the way I'm reading it, so I just want to understand what that means.

A You know, I think this is a lot. For me, this -- this relates mainly to the fact that we have the offer sometimes, and other times not, of sewer service.

But really, that's a benefit to the City sewer district, not, you know, that we have other ways of ensuring that we have sewer service on a piece of property that's being development. It is --

Q You're talking about sewer service outside of the City's boundaries when you're talking about that?

A Right, right. I mean, that's -- cities don't provide sewer service out of the goodness of their heart. They just don't. They do that because

it will help their line of revenue.

In other words, it will cost them less to provide more service than they're going to gain in fees and -- and taxes. I don't think -- I think that there are concerns for -- and I just want to make sure that I'm -- I'm taking a moment here.

I'm taking a beat here, because I want to make sure that I am focused on the correct aspect here of equitable terms and conditions. I want to make sure that I understand the range of this question.

Q Take your time. The question is on page 5 if you want to review it.

THE WITNESS: I think I would ask who at this table will provide a explanation of the failure to include equitable terms and conditions. Is there somebody at this table who will define it so that we're all on the same page?

BY MR. UNGER:

2.

2.2

Q No, I think you're the one that has to answer the questions and stuff, and I will try to help you. Let's try to help through it.

So it says that the annexation ordinances fail to include equitable terms and conditions as required by a statute, according to the question. Are you aware of any equitable terms and conditions that

the annexation ordinance fails to include that you believe they should include?

2.2

2.5

A Again, I -- I need some clarity about what that means in terms of equitable -- equitable terms. What does that mean? Does that mean between city and county residents?

Q It means -- it says here you're going to testify about equitable terms and conditions, the lack of equitable terms and conditions. What is that to you?

A To me -- to me, that is about the concerns I have regarding the City's ability to actually provide service to these areas, because there are so many areas, that it is a -- it is telling that the last annexation areas still do not have sufficient services based on what they were promised years ago.

And the concern is -- is that there are some very interesting aspects of annexing. For example, in 1A, you have people who are covered currently by the fire protection district who would remain protected by the fire protection district.

Yet on the western part of annexation area 1A, I believe, is Richland Township. They are not part of the Monroe County Fire Protection

District. So any Bloomington fire protection would

have to be extended to Richland Township residents after that fire truck has driven through another fire district territory.

In other words, it doesn't make logical sense. Those Richland Township residents currently are served by Ellettsville via a contract between the Richland Township Fire Department and the Ellettsville Fire Department.

So I think there are some -- some key issues here and some bigger issues here that -- that will absolutely need to be addressed and would need to be considered.

I don't -- I don't know how the City can provide sufficient police service if -- in an annexation area if they already struggle to do so in the city limits. Those are some examples. That's my reading of equitable.

Q Is there anything else? And I'm just trying to understand what you're going to testify about at trial and make sure I know, I have a fair opportunity to understand what you're going to testify about.

A Sure, sure.

2.2

2.5

Q So is there anything else with respect to this question that you might expect to testify about?

A I think one -- I think one of the other

concerns I have is with the fact that the -- the City had accused -- the city council members, specifically, had accused residents of the county of sort of, you know, being -- of being freeloaders.

2.

2.2

And that word was used in a city council meeting, which was really unfortunate, that, somehow, county residents who don't live in the city are living off the largesse of city residents who pay a higher tax or for the opportunity to live in the borders of the City of Bloomington.

And you know, I think that was -- that was an error in many ways. I don't think that there -- I don't think the City should assume that people want to live in it.

I think the City should -- a city, any city, should assume that if people want an arts program, and they want bus service of a particular type, that they would move into the city if they wanted those services.

People -- people, when they -- if they come from outside the county, when they move to Monroe County, they have a choice: "I'm going to live in Ellettsville," "I'm going to live near Ellettsville," "I want to live in Stinesville. It's a cute little place," "I want to live in the City of Bloomington,"

1 | "I do not want to live in the City of Bloomington."

People made those decisions. It could be based on the price of the home. It could be the neighborhood. It could be where their family lives. But there is -- there is nothing that makes one a freeloader if they don't live in a town or a city but maybe visit it or go to a restaurant or see their friends in it.

But so that -- so that's an issue. I just don't think there is a clamoring for the kinds of things in the City of Bloomington that the people of Monroe County were accused of freeloading for that would -- would bring that to the level of, yes, everybody in Monroe County wants to live in the City of Bloomington. They don't necessarily want that for their own reasons, so.

Q If the annexations of areas 1A occur and become effective involuntarily, are there other terms and conditions you believe should be in the annexation ordinances that aren't in there currently?

MR. BEGGS: Object to form.

Foundation.

2.

2.2

2.5

A Yeah, I -- that's -- that's going back to my magical unicorn. I -- the -- the ordinance exists.

It -- it would have been -- I think there had been

discussions since the time of the ordinance coming to fruition in the City.

2.2

I think that there have been some discussions that say, "Well, you know, maybe" -"maybe there's a way we can help. Maybe there's a way we could delay annexation for five years." Well, if you're on a fixed income, five years isn't going to make a huge difference in your ability to pay a higher tax bill.

So you know, those are very general conversations among residents and, you know, that kind of thing. So I -- I don't know how this could be made better because of the impact on individual homeowners.

Q You mentioned, earlier, other areas that the City has annexed where they're not providing services. What are you talking about?

A Right. I can think of a couple offhand. I do not remember the name of the neighborhood, but it is the one that is northeast of campus, Brownlee Street. They -- I believe they still are on septic.

There is the Broadview neighborhood. There are a number of locations within the city where they are clamoring for sidewalks still, whether they were recently annexed or not. So those are the kinds of things that I'm aware of.

- Q Like do you have any specific knowledge of why the City -- so let me back up. You said Brawlee Street?
 - A Brownlee.
- Q Brownlee. Sorry. My notes were bad. Brownlee Street.
 - A Brownlee, I believe, is the name of the street. So it's a -- it's a little alcove place that was annexed, where I believe they still have septic.
 - Q Do you have any information about why they are still on septic?
- 12 A No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Okay. And you mentioned Broadview --
- 14 A Neighborhood.
 - Q -- neighborhood not having sidewalks. Do you have any information on why they don't have sidewalks?
 - A No, that's -- that's the city council business
 - Q Okay. Number 11 on page 10, and referring back to Exhibit 28, the question was "Identify and list all facts and documents supporting your contention in the Petition that the territories sought to be annexed do not involve an economic development project and identify each witness you intend to call

-			_			
	าท	SUDDORF	\circ	VOUY	contention.	"
_			\sim \pm	<i>y</i> • • • •	COLLCCITC TOIL.	

2.2

And you will see there, at the bottom of page 10, in the supplemental answer, the second sentence, it says "Furthermore, if called to testify, Julie Thomas," along with others, will "be asked to testify about the absence or presence of economic development projects within Areas 1A and 1B." What does that mean?

A As -- as it notes, there are no projects that we're aware of that are City economic development projects that are outside the city in those areas 1A and 1B.

- Q So you're just referencing that the City doesn't have any city projects in those areas?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. Are you saying that the County does not have economic development occurring in those areas?
- A We do have economic development occurring in those areas.
 - Q Okay. You're not opposed to economic development in areas 1A and 1B. Are you?
- 23 A No.
- MR. BEGGS: Sorry. I couldn't hear the question.

MR. UNGER: I asked if she was opposed to economic development in areas 1A and 1B.

BY MR. UNGER:

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Do you agree that sewer and water service is necessary for economic development projects in area 1A and 1B?
- A Not always. Water, yes, but we have water everywhere in the county through our water corporations. Sewer may or may not be necessary. It depends on what it is.
- Q Do you agree it's necessary for dense development?
- A Not necessarily sewer, but a package plant, it could be Richland's water, wastewater. It could be City of Bloomington's wastewater. There are a number of different ways, but yes, based on state law, if you are going to put more than one residence in an acre, you have to have a different form of wastewater disposal.
- Q I'm sorry. Did you mention in there -- did
 I hear you say Richland could serve?
 - A Yes.
- Q Have you done any studies on Richland's ability to serve areas 1A and 1B?
 - A That's -- no, I have not. They have just

expanded, though, so.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q Page 14 of the exhibit, if you don't mind, which is question 13.
 - A Ouestion 13?
 - Q I'm sorry.
 - A Ouestion 15?
- Q Yes, sorry. It asks to identify documents and facts supporting your contention that the Monroe County commissioners oppose the annexation ordinances because they will negatively impact landowners, identify the specific negative impacts, and identify positive impacts, and identify each witness you intend to call in support of your contention.

And then you will see, in the supplemental answer, your name. It is anticipated that Julie Thomas will testify in her capacity as Monroe County commissioner regarding their continuing opposition, the negative impacts of annexation on Monroe County and its citizens.

Is there -- I know we have talked about several things today. Is there anything else about negative impacts that you can -- that I can expect you to testify about that you haven't already talked about?

A I do not believe so.

Q I assume you have never prepared an annexation fiscal plan?

A No.

2.

2.2

2.5

Q Have you -- besides the Reedy fiscal plan and the Baker Tilly report, have you ever studied an annexation fiscal plan before?

A I have. It was from another state, another city. I don't -- it was somewhere in my homework when this first started years ago. I remember looking at some fiscal plans, because we were thinking about contracting with somebody to make one, and I didn't know what to expect. I couldn't give you particulars.

Q Did you do anything to compare the fiscal plans, the other ones you reviewed, to Bloomington's fiscal plans?

A No, no.

Q Okay. Do you know what the statutory requirements are for a fiscal plan?

A I -- I know some of it. I know some of it, enough to be dangerous. I know that that fiscal plan needs to be presented to the people who live in the areas that are targeted for annexation, and they are supposed to have public meetings where they can go and learn more, hosted by the City, to go and learn more about this financial information.

And what's concerning to me is that the -the Reedy report, when I looked it up, I found a
version five which I didn't even know existed, as much
as I have been doing this.

2.

2.2

So that was disconcerting, because that came years after a public meeting was held for residents who had been targeted for annexation. So I -- I feel -- I understand that it is difficult to put out a fiscal impact statement, because it is a moving target.

It's always a moving target. People are moving in and out of the community, and things change all the time. But at the same time, I think that highlights the danger of such a large annexation in one fell swoop.

That's why this is so difficult to do, is because this is too much, too quickly. I think it needs to be incremental, smaller, with the people who are impacted directly involved.

Q You said you didn't know that version five existed until recently. When did you learn it existed?

A Because I was -- I was looking at -- I was looking for something on the bonds, on the total bonding capacity, and I just did a search on the

1 | City's website.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q What were you looking at total bonding capacity for?
- A Because it was much higher than I remembered it being in the first Reedy report, because now they're up to 22 to 33 million, although that -- that is -- granted, that is for the entire area. So they haven't separated things out either. That's the other problem.
- Q Do you believe that the Reedy fiscal plan fails to comply with the Indiana code?
- A I believe it should have been available to residents and to the city council before they voted, not after.
- Q So it's your belief that it wasn't available before they voted on it?
- A They issued a new version. I don't know what other versions existed in between either, and I don't know where those are. That's a little concerning to me.
- Q Did you -- have you done anything to compare version five to the prior versions?
 - A No, no, I have not had time to do that.
- Q Okay. You don't know the differences?
 - A No, but I'm -- I'm going to look at it. I

1 plan to.

2.2

- Q Aside from your concerns with the timing, do you have any -- aware of any other reason it fails to comply with the statute?
- A I think if you compare -- and here's the issue again, because it's the old Reedy report that we originally had when Baker Tilly did their report in July of 2021 -- you can see that there are differences between Reedy's numbers and Baker Tilly's numbers in July of 2021. That is -- that is concerning to me.
- Q Any other things you're aware of that you believe fail to comply with the statute?
 - A Those are key. That's it.
- Q That's it. Okay. Thank you. Do you believe that the fiscal plan, the Reedy fiscal plan, fails to accurately assess the fiscal impact of the annexation?
 - A On? Can you reframe the question?
- Q On either the County or on city residents or on annexation territory residents?
- A I -- I think it fails to accurately reflect the impact on an individual homeowner, which is why we did our Baker Tilly report with the sample tax bills so that people could actually find out for themselves what -- what the difference would be for them.

And it may not be a lot, or it may be a lot of money. So I think there was a lack of information. I don't -- I wouldn't say inaccurate, but I would say there was a lack of information for individual residents to find out what the fiscal impact would be on their budget, on their home.

2.

2.2

And I think, in terms of not just the county but every single taxing unit, there was a lack of -- of clear and accurate information about the potential impact of annexation.

Q Do you know what the differences were in the Reedy report and the Baker Tilly report to account for those differences?

A I do know, but I have -- I cannot recall at this moment what it was, but I do remember that there was something very specific about how they calculated some of the taxes in the Reedy report was inaccurate, and Baker Tilly pointed it out in their letter.

So I will take a moment here and see if it's in this or if it's something they said in public at our meetings. Yes, they talk about, here, on page 13, in the notes section, yes, these are the differences, the different assumptions that were made about net assessed value and about levy and LIT. There were -- there were other taxing issues that are different. So

those, I think, would be the keys I would focus on there.

2.2

2.5

Q So let me ask this. Do you think the Baker Tilly report accurately accounts or determines the financial impact to individual landowners?

A I believe that Baker Tilly came up with a way for individuals to assess for themselves what the impact will be on their individual tax bill, something that Reedy never did, something that at least people have that chance.

Now, this all -- this all differs when somebody is a disabled veteran over 65. Right? And there's different tax rules, but at least there's something here where an individual can figure out what it's going to be, because otherwise, you may hear 50 percent increase, and that may or may not be accurate, but it's better to find out on your own property.

- Q It's a parcel-by-parcel analysis?
- A It is. It has to be. It has to be.
- Q Okay. Are there any other deficiencies in the fiscal plan, the Reedy's fiscal plan, anything else that you believe is a deficiency in the Reedy fiscal plan other than what we have talked about already?

A No, I think -- I think that there is -- anywhere on this Baker Tilly report, you will see -- let me thumb through here again to the right page. I used to know this like the back of my hand. I'm getting back to that.

You can see, in the Baker Tilly report, on pages 8, 9, 10, and 11, that you can see in -- in every instance where they are comparing their piece with what the Reedy group said in their report and saying, "Hey, this is off by this much or this much. It may be pro. It may be positive. It may be negative."

So all of this, I would take into account.

Again, this is all the annexation areas, not just 1A,

1B, so some of it may not be applicable.

- Q Anything other than the Baker Tilly report?
- A No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q Okay. Again, I just want to ensure that you don't get on the stand and say something different that I'm not aware of.
 - A No.
- Q Okay. Are you a part of County Residents Against Annexation?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 | Q Have you -- what's your role been with --

A I'm on the board of directors.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

Q Okay. What does that usually entail?

A We meet two -- sometimes once a month, sometimes once every two months. And our focus was, in the initial days, was on organizing residents so that they understood that there was a way they could look at their tax bill, there was a way they could be involved, to inform them of and educate them about the process, because talking about remonstrances and waivers is not something that, you know, most people get the first time.

And so really, our job was to educate and inform and to ensure that people had the information they needed to understand how it would impact not just the taxing units but themselves individually.

- Q And the purpose of County Residents Against Annexation is to oppose annexation by the City?
- A The purpose of County Residents Against

 Annexation is to ensure that people are educated and understand their rights. They may choose to be annexed. They may want to be annexed. They they wouldn't necessarily turn to CRAA at that point.
- Q Have you donated to County Residents Against Annexation?
 - A I have.

- Q Did you attend opposition meetings?
- 11 A I did.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q How often would those occur?
- A Well, you know, we had township trustees like Rita Barrow who held a meeting at the county fairgrounds. I was there for that one. I know there were a lot I did not attend, because I didn't know about them.

So some neighborhoods just kind of had their own meetings. People were -- you know, I was really there to help answer questions and to let them know what the County could or could not do to help them.

And I think some of those early questions really led us to focus on providing that Baker Tilly report to make sure that we weren't just saying, "We have got one financial report. This has got to be

100 percent accurate. We're going to buy it," but to actually have that -- another set of eyes looking at the same information and to provide, again, for residency ability to come out and ask Baker Tilly questions at public meetings.

I know we had some -- I know one of our meetings was at the fire station on Kennedy Drive, and we -- we invited city council members to that meeting. I know we had two there that I remember.

So the idea was just to provide -- to educate and provide information, and but -- but it really made us realize, you know, how much people didn't know and how much we didn't know when this all started.

- Q You're familiar with the 2017 statute, House Bill 1001, that invalidated, at that time, Bloomington's annexation?
 - A Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q What role did you play in getting that legislation adopted?
 - A Zero.
 - Q You didn't talk to any legislators about it?
- A No, no, I had had conversations with Jeff
 Ellington early on, because he was opposed to
 annexation, and I said, "Hey," you know, "whatever you

can do would be good." and I think that was the extent of it. I -- you know, there was nothing organized or formal about that. That was just a commissioner to a state rep.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q What about the -- you also are aware of the statutory change that invalidated all of the sewer waivers, the remonstrance waivers in exchange for sewer service?
- A Yes, after a certain -- after a certain number of years. Right?
 - Q Yes, that are over 15 years old.
- 12 A Okay. That's the -- I want to make sure 13 that's the one I'm looking at. Okay.
- Q Okay. Yes, I think that change occurred in 2019.
 - A Yes, yes, I am aware of that.
 - Q Were you involved at all in getting that legislation adopted?
 - A No, that was a surprise to me.
 - Q Okay. Have you been involved in any other efforts to change annexation laws in Indiana?
 - A I -- I asked our lobbyist for the County to kind of keep an eye on it, but we're well aware that there's really very little chance with the current makeup of the house speakership role in the state

- legislature that any bill to change annexation to voluntary is not going to happen for a while.
- So I have not been too invested in pursuing.

 I haven't testified. Although, I almost went this

 year to testify, but I didn't, because I realized that

 that would be a waste of time. So -- so yeah, not
 - Q So you're in favor of -- you would be in favor of a statutory change that eliminates involuntary annexation?
- 11 A Yes, I think it's fairer for people, yeah.

 12 MR. UNGER: Okay. We can go off the
- 13 record.

much.

1

2.

8

10

- 14 THE REPORTER: Off the record at
- 15 | 3:35 p.m.
- 16 (Off the record.)
- THE REPORTER: We're now back on the record at 3:43 p.m.
- 19 BY MR. UNGER:
- Q We have talked about annexation here for the last couple hours. Is there anything that we haven't talked about that you think you may testify about in the trial?
- MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the

25 question.

- A I -- to the best of my knowledge, no. I think that's all I can give you, because if I'm asked something, I'm going to answer it, and I don't know what I'm going to be asked.
- Q Is there anything else about your opposition to the annexation that we haven't talked about?
 - A In terms of reasons or --
 - O Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A Is that what you're asking me? I -- I think, for me, I think the most -- I think the most important thing to understand is I am approaching this as a county commissioner, not as a county council member, because I am not that.
- And my focus has been and remains on the impact of annexation for individual property holders in the county, whether it's a business or a residence, and I'm not sure that that's what we focused on here. We focused on a lot of data, which is important, but that's not -- that's not my strength. It's not my focus.
 - Q Any other reasons of opposition?
- 22 A No.
- MR. UNGER: Okay. I have no other questions.
- 25 //

2.2

2.4

2.5

EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BEGGS:

Q Commissioner Thomas, I have a few. You testified earlier, I think, that you spent 4 years as a county council member, and you just alluded to that, and you're, what, 12 years, almost, into being a county commissioner. Is that correct?

A Correct.

Q And as part of your jobs as both county councilor -- county council member and county commissioner, are you regularly required to analyze, assess, consider, evaluate the impact to the County of changes in revenue sources, whether it be LIT, MVH, any other sources of revenue to the County?

A Yes, but much less so as a commissioner than as a council member, although I take my understanding of the data and how to interpret the data from my experience on the council.

Q So your opinions here today, I take it, about the significant economic impact are based part on your experience over these, what, 16 or so years as a leader in county government, including county finance?

A Yes, absolutely, and -- and it's an aggregate.

Page 105 1 You were asked questions about County Residents Against Annexation. Is it your -- do you 2. know, Commissioner Thomas, are you aware of any 3 objections by residents of 1A or 1B to being 4 5 represented by County Residents Against Annexation, Incorporated? 6 Any objections? Α 8 0 Yes. 9 Α No. 10 MR. BEGGS: One moment, please. 11 Nothing further. Thank you very much. 12 MR. COCKERILL: I have no questions. 13 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 14 MR. UNGER: Okay. No further 15 questions. 16 THE REPORTER: All right. Mr. Unger, 17 are you ordering this transcript? 18 MR. UNGER: Yes. 19 THE REPORTER: All right. Mr. Beggs, 20 do you need a copy of this transcript? 21 MR. BEGGS: No, thank you. 2.2 THE REPORTER: Mr. Cockerill, did you

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

MR. COCKERILL: I don't think so.

Ι

need a copy of this transcript?

assume Julie will get a copy to review.

23

2.4

2.5

```
Page 106
 1
                     THE REPORTER: She will take read and
 2
     sign. Is that what you're saying?
 3
                     MR. BEGGS: We request signature.
                     THE REPORTER: Okay. And whose office
 4
 5
     should I send that through?
                    MR. COCKERILL: You can send it through
 6
 7
     mine.
 8
                     THE REPORTER: Okay. In that case,
 9
     we're now off the record 3:48 p.m.
10
                     (Signature reserved.)
11
                     (Whereupon, at 3:48 p.m., the
12
                    proceeding was concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		CERTIFICATE	OF	DEPOSITION	OFFICER
---	--	-------------	----	------------	---------

I, ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE

Notary Public in and for the

State of Indiana

[X] Review of the transcript was requested.

Outen Bondale

Veritext Legal Solutions
888-391-3376

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, SARAH JOYNER, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

Darak Joynu

SARAH JOYNER

	Page 109
1	Veritext Legal Solutions
	1100 Superior Ave
2	Suite 1820
	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
3	Phone: 216-523-1313
4	March 20th, 2024
5	To: E. JEFF COCKERILL, ESQUIRE
6	Case Name: County Residents Against Annexation, Inc., Et Al. v. City
	Of Bloomington, Indiana, Et Al.
7	
•	Veritext Reference Number: 6485936
8	10110110 11010101100 114111101
J	Witness: Julie Thomas Deposition Date: 3/6/2024
9	70F021011 2400 0, 0, 2011
	Dear Sir/Madam:
10	
	The deposition transcript taken in the above-referenced
11	
	matter, with the reading and signing having not been
12	
	expressly waived, has been completed and is available
13	
	for review and signature. Please call our office to
14	
	make arrangements for a convenient location to
15	
	accomplish this or if you prefer a certified transcript
16	
	can be purchased.
17	
	If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your
18	
	receipt of this letter, the reading and signing will be
19	
	deemed waived.
20	
21	Sincerely,
22	
23	Production Department
24	
25	NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA

[& - 800,000] Page 1

	12.12 14 15	304 100.4	46 22.21
&	43:13,14,15	20th 109:4	46 23:21
& 1:21 2:12,21	54:18 55:11,23	211 1:22 2:13	46204 2:22 3:7
3:5 5:11 6:6	56:11,22 67:25	5:12	47404 1:23 2:7
0	78:8 82:19,23	216-523-1313	2:14 5:12
	85:17 88:7,11	109:3	49.75 71:4
000509 1:14	88:22 89:2,5,24	22 93:6	5
06 1:19	97:14 105:4	27000 108:14	5 25:10 32:25
07/23/2021 4:8	1b 25:10,15	27071 107:22	77:18 81:11
1	30:5 42:23	28 4:12 76:18	50 33:19 96:16
1 13:25 57:15	54:18 55:11,23	76:22 77:5,9	
64:5 68:22	56:11,22 67:25	87:21	52 4:8 61:23
1.33 64:5	78:9 88:7,12,22	2:14 53:21	62:1,14
10 71:22 72:4	89:2,6,24 97:15	2:22 53:24	53c06-2203
72:23 87:20	105:4	2:34 62:9,12	1:13
88:3 97:7	1c 25:10	3	6
100 33:24 34:5	2		6 5:11 25:10
100 33.24 34.3		3 25:10 32:25	77:23,25
	2 25:10 64:5,13	3,000 99:2	60 66:24 67:1
1001 100:16	2,000 99:2	3/6/2024 109:8	62 4:8
104 4:4	2.7 64:13 72:20	110:3 111:3	6485936 1:25
11 87:20 97:7	72:22	112:2	109:7 110:2
1100 109:1	2.737 64:5	3030 11:6	111:2
111 2:22 3:6	20 110:16	304 2:6	65 96:12
12 69:4 104:6	111:22 112:22	317 2:24 3:9	684-5253 3:9
13 90:3,4 95:21	200,000 18:1	33 93:6	684-5465 2:24
14 90:2	2007 13:17	332-9295 2:16	69 23:21 32:14
15 90:6 101:11	2009 13:25	349-2590 2:9	
16 104:21	49:25	3:35 102:15	7
18 68:22 69:1	2012 13:21	3:43 102:18	7 4:3
1820 109:2	2017 100:15	3:48 106:9,11	70 19:25 22:9
1993 35:3	2019 101:15	4	77 4:14
1:06 1:20 5:5	2021 69:17 76:1		8
1a 25:9,12	94:8,10	4 25:10 32:25	
28:23 29:4,10	2023 44:17	33:17 104:4	8 63:1,4 64:3
30:3 31:23	2024 1:19 5:11	40 55:13	73:16 97:7
33:18 42:23	109:4	44114 109:2	800,000 56:23
	107.1		

www.veritext.com

812 2:9,16	accomplish	add 16:4 19:2	affordable
9	109:15	added 57:18	15:24 16:14,19
	accordance	adding 26:25	16:21 19:6
9 97:7	110:5 111:5	48:8	afraid 68:3
93 13:15	account 61:10	addition 31:16	afternoon 5:2
96 35:3	67:7,11,12,18	64:25 72:6,7	7:4
97 13:16	67:19 95:12	additional 19:6	aggregate
a	97:13	57:10 65:2,4	104:25
abhorrent 74:9	accounts 96:4	72:23 75:6	ago 49:8 50:5
ability 24:15	accurate 95:9	additionally	82:16 91:9
70:16 82:12	96:17 100:1	5:15 72:5	agree 5:17
86:8 89:24	107:9 108:5	address 11:5	28:14 29:25
100:4 107:10	accurately	16:18 56:14	33:20 43:15
108:7	94:16,21 96:4	addressed	53:8 71:9 89:4
able 27:7 28:23	accused 84:2,3	38:13 83:11	89:11
29:3 69:19	85:12	adds 69:21	agreed 27:16
77:17	acknowledge	adequate 68:16	48:19
above 64:25	110:11 111:16	administer	agreeing 28:2
109:10	acknowledg	5:14	agreement
absence 88:6	5:14	administration	38:10 45:1,14
absent 5:15	acre 15:1,3,7	21:9 51:23 53:3	45:14 46:22
28:22 29:4	89:17	adopted 75:22	49:21 50:5,5,21
absolutely	acres 55:13	76:4,16 100:20	53:10
16:13 28:11	act 17:8,10	101:18	agreements
44:23 45:23	20:10 22:8	adoption 73:25	46:3,6,13
83:11 104:24	48:10 110:14	adversarial	ahead 22:2
absorb 73:11	111:20	26:22	aid 38:9
absorbing	action 107:12	advised 9:20	aifa 50:17 51:2
71:14	107:16 108:8	affects 50:14,14	51:4,11
acceptable 31:2	108:12	affixed 110:15	airport 37:23
31:8 34:6,10,13	active 38:9	111:21	al 1:8,15 5:9,10
34:14	actually 10:23	afford 16:6	109:6,6 110:3,3
access 14:14	63:8 68:23	33:10 59:7 73:7	111:3,3
27:19	72:13 77:22	affordability	alcove 87:8
accommodate	82:12 94:24	17:17	allocations
8:23 68:6	100:2		56:20

[allow - areas] Page 3

allow 16:3	50:4,18 52:12	51:8 86:15,24	annranriata
allowed 16:2,7	55:6 56:5,10	87:9,24 98:21	appropriate 25:17 26:18
16:11 27:5,11	57:1 58:20	98:21	27:14 30:2
27:15 28:7	59:14,24 64:15	annexes 30:16	32:12 41:5
allows 74:12	64:20 65:25		42:15 69:25
		annexing 30:3	
alluded 104:5	66:8 67:3,22,23	82:18	approve 49:3
amcneil 3:8	68:7,8 69:10,21	annual 64:1	approved 20:12
amended 4:12	70:24 72:12,14	answer 7:24	area 10:21
american 17:7	73:5,14 77:20	8:4,5,12,18,24	17:20,21,24
17:10 20:10	77:24 78:9	15:18 19:9 22:2	18:14 20:24
22:8 48:9	81:22 82:1,15	24:18 29:5,6	21:2 24:23
amount 41:7	82:22 83:15	73:22,24 74:24	25:12,15 28:23
68:22,23	85:19 86:6 90:9	81:20 88:3	29:4,10 30:3
analysis 96:19	90:18 91:2,6,22	90:15 99:20	31:23 33:17,18
analyze 104:11	92:7,14 94:17	103:3	33:25 34:6
analyzed 55:22	94:20 95:10	answering 47:3	35:14 37:19
andrew 1:24	97:14,23 98:17	76:7	42:23 43:8 51:3
3:4 5:3 6:7	98:17,19,24	answers 4:12	51:4 54:18
107:2,17	100:17,25	77:6	55:11,17,23
animal 45:2,9	101:21 102:1	anticipated	56:11 64:20
animals 45:3	102:10,20	66:17 78:6	82:23 83:15
annex 25:18	103:6,15 105:2	90:15	89:5 93:7
26:19 27:5,8,11	105:5 109:6	anybody 9:11	areas 10:17
27:15 28:23	110:3 111:3	9:16	11:11,19 12:1
29:4 33:5,25	annexation's	anymore 35:1	18:18 21:14
34:6 40:14 51:5	77:6	35:15 74:17	27:12,15 32:25
annexation 1:6	annexations	appear 110:11	33:1,14 42:15
2:3 3:13 4:14	25:5,8,19 30:8	111:15	42:17,24 43:3,5
5:8 6:12 9:23	30:13,18,20	appellants 1:10	43:19 44:21
10:17,21 11:11	31:1,2,16 34:9	2:2	50:4,17 51:4
11:18 12:1	34:11 54:2,4,7	appended	54:22 55:7 56:3
18:14,18 25:11	54:14,15 85:17	111:11,18	56:6 57:1 58:20
25:14,23 26:11	annexed 27:16	applicable 5:21	67:22,23 73:14
26:21 29:2,14	27:19 28:3,9	97:15	78:8 79:8,22
29:17 32:4 33:1	29:25 30:15,23	approaching	82:13,14,15
33:10,17,18	31:12,23 33:20	103:11	85:17 86:14

888-391-3376

[areas - believe] Page 4

88:7,11,14,18	assumption	105:3	based 14:23
88:20,22 89:2	24:24 25:3	b	28:19 45:3 64:8
89:24 91:22	assumptions	b 4:6,10	69:17 82:16
97:14	95:23	back 34:8 53:23	85:3 89:16
arrangements	attached 111:7	61:14 62:11	104:20
109:14	attend 99:10,16	63:10 85:23	beat 81:7
arts 84:16	attendance 6:3	87:2,21 97:4,5	beggs 2:11 4:4
aside 16:14	attended 39:8	102:17	6:9,9 9:10 10:3
39:22 40:6 51:4	attitude 52:6	bad 87:5	21:22 22:14,18
52:12 69:6 70:9	attorney	baker 4:8 9:8	23:1,7 31:4,9
94:2	107:14 108:10	10:14 54:21,24	42:16 72:2,6
asked 8:25 9:17	attorneys 6:14	56:25 58:25	73:20 74:22
21:1 42:4 57:2	audio 107:8	59:1,22,23 60:2	76:22,24 85:21
57:4 88:5 89:1	108:3	60:4,13 61:10	88:24 102:24
101:22 103:2,4	auditor 58:2	61:16 62:15,21	104:2 105:10
105:1	authorize	62:23 66:2 69:7	105:19,21
asking 7:6	111:11	69:15,16 71:1	106:3
23:17 75:16	authorized	71:24 72:10,18	beginning 6:4
103:9	5:13	72:24 73:18	66:3
asks 77:19 90:7	authors 60:10	91:5 94:7,9,23	behalf 2:2,18
aspect 81:8	automatically	95:12,18 96:3,6	3:2
aspects 82:18	17:4	97:2,6,16 99:23	belief 39:5,24
assess 94:16	available 40:13	100:4	93:15
96:7 104:12	69:8 93:12,15	balance 37:11	believe 8:18
assessed 45:19	109:12	68:16	15:1 16:15
95:24	ave 109:1	balancing 37:8	17:23 18:21
assessor 58:2	avenue 1:22	ballpark 56:24	19:7,21 26:20
assigned 5:3	2:13 5:12	61:15	27:18,20 30:14
assignment	average 12:13	bar 47:24	33:23 35:12,13
110:2 111:2	70:23	barrow 99:14	36:12,15,15
112:2	aware 40:7	bars 48:2	38:3,20,24 39:1
assist 38:4 99:5	54:25 55:4	base 39:5,7	41:4,16 42:13
assume 11:21	70:11 81:25	40:2 44:12	43:1,5,17 44:5
27:25 36:5	86:25 88:10	57:19,20	44:18,20 46:25
84:13,16 91:1	94:3,11 97:20	57.17,20	50:22 56:9
105:25	101:5,16,23		61:23 64:15

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

11 • 4	1 22125	1 111 14 11
		building 14:11
		16:5 45:10,15
		45:16 49:18
· ·		74:15
		buildings 48:25
, ,	boundaries	built 24:3
28:23 29:3 30:2	11:2 20:20	bunger 1:21
31:23 34:24,25	27:12 28:9	2:12 5:11
35:2,10,22,24	40:17 55:6	bureau 76:11
35:25 36:5,7,12	80:21	burning 68:19
36:22,23,23	bracket 17:1,22	bus 79:3,4
38:1,15,18,21	17:23	84:17
39:20,25 44:3	brawlee 87:2	business 13:9
74:5,7 78:12,15	break 8:22	13:10 36:1,4,10
78:19,20 79:7	53:17,18	68:6 71:15,20
82:25 84:10,25	breaker 57:14	87:19 103:16
85:1,11,15	57:17 59:12,21	businesses
109:6 110:3	65:8,17	25:20,20
111:3	breakers 58:12	businesspeople
bloomington's	63:16	28:1
31:14 38:11	briefly 9:10	buy 100:1
77:7 89:15	bring 32:5	buying 31:21
91:14 100:17	85:13	С
board 29:23	broadview	c 2:1,20 3:1 5:1
32:6 47:7,7	86:21 87:13	ca 109:25
48:13 70:14	brownlee 86:19	calculate 56:6
98:1	87:4,5,6,7	
body 43:22	budget 47:5	61:4,12 69:16 calculated
bond 48:17	59:16 64:13	
bonding 48:17	66:7 70:7 71:23	41:19 54:17
92:25 93:2	95:6	55:8,10 95:16
bonds 67:10	budgets 73:10	calculation
92:24	build 18:2,23	55:16 57:5 61:8
border 25:25	21:14,15	63:7
borders 84:9	builders 45:21	calculations
		69:7
	35:2,10,22,24 35:25 36:5,7,12 36:22,23,23 38:1,15,18,21 39:20,25 44:3 74:5,7 78:12,15 78:19,20 79:7 82:25 84:10,25 85:1,11,15 109:6 110:3 111:3 bloomington's 31:14 38:11 77:7 89:15 91:14 100:17 board 29:23 32:6 47:7,7 48:13 70:14 98:1 body 43:22 bond 48:17 bonding 48:17 92:25 93:2 bonds 67:10 92:24 border 25:25	1:14,23 2:7,14 6:5 2:19 3:3 5:10 boselaw.com 5:12 6:6 13:4,6 2:23 3:8 25:18 26:18 bottom 88:2 27:5,11,15 boundaries 28:23 29:3 30:2 11:2 20:20 31:23 34:24,25 27:12 28:9 35:2,10,22,24 40:17 55:6 35:25 36:5,7,12 80:21 36:22,23,23 bracket 17:1,22 38:1,15,18,21 17:23 39:20,25 44:3 brawlee 87:2 74:5,7 78:12,15 break 8:22 78:19,20 79:7 53:17,18 82:25 84:10,25 breaker 57:14 57:17 59:12,21 65:8,17 breakers 58:12 63:16 bring 32:5 85:13 broadview 86:21 87:13 broadview 86:21 87:13 brownlee 86:19 87:4,5,6,7 budget 47:5 59:16 64:13 66:7 70:7 71:23 95:6 budgets 73:10 build 18:2,23 21:14,15

[call - cobble] Page 6

			I
call 16:25 46:4	certify 107:4	19:23 21:3,11	84:18,25 85:1,6
54:12 87:25	108:2	21:14 23:4,22	85:11,14 86:2
90:13 109:13	cetera 47:11	23:25 25:19	86:15,22 87:2
called 6:22 74:4	58:6	26:4,9,11,15,16	87:18 88:10,11
88:4	chance 96:10	26:23 27:1 28:4	88:13,14 89:15
campus 86:19	101:24	28:5,7,10,13,20	91:8,24 93:13
capacity 19:2	change 71:25	29:12 30:15	94:19 98:17
24:3,12 28:12	72:25 73:15	31:14 32:17,22	100:8 109:6
28:15 90:16	92:12 101:6,14	33:5,15,19,24	110:3 111:3
92:25 93:3	101:21 102:1,9	34:6 35:9,16,18	city's 11:1
capital 47:20	111:8 112:3	35:20 36:6,24	20:19 25:4,7,11
48:13 78:17	changes 54:10	37:4,6,7,15	25:14 33:1
case 9:12 63:23	104:13 110:7	38:11 39:8 40:3	40:17 41:11
77:14,15 106:8	111:7,9	40:14 42:1,4,4	47:25 48:3
109:6 110:3	charge 28:18	42:10,11 44:4	51:14 54:2
111:3	28:20	44:18,22 45:2,4	70:20 80:21
cash 66:21	chief 41:17	45:5,6,8,8,11	82:12 93:1
68:16,17	42:7	45:13,15,15,17	civil 110:5
cause 1:12	children 15:25	46:3,22,23	111:5
census 55:5	choice 84:22	47:10,11 48:1,6	clamoring
center 47:5,13	choose 98:20	48:10,14,17,19	85:10 86:23
48:7,8 49:4,10	chunks 31:24	49:1,3,12,16,20	clarify 25:6
49:14 52:16,23	circle 2:22 3:6	50:2,4,9,11,15	36:6
certain 101:9,9	circuit 1:3	51:5,7,9,9,9,16	clarity 82:3
certainly 8:21	57:14,17 58:12	51:19,20,22	clear 11:13
29:13,15 52:16	59:11,20 63:16	53:2 55:1 56:20	26:24 62:23
66:15	65:8,17	57:9,18,24 58:1	95:9
certainty 49:24	circumstances	58:10 61:2 65:1	clements 3:12
49:25	38:14	65:17 70:4,5,6	6:11,11 10:4
certificate	cities 25:22	74:5,6,11,14	cleveland 109:2
107:1 108:1	79:21 80:23	77:6,19 78:19	close 35:18
111:11	citizens 90:19	78:19,24 79:9,9	closer 50:10
certification	city 1:13 2:18	79:17,22 80:16	co.monroe.in
110:1 111:1	3:2 5:9 6:6 18:9	82:5 83:13,16	2:8
certified 5:18	18:10,12,18	84:1,2,5,7,8,10	cobble 79:14
109:15	19:2,17,22,23	84:13,15,15,15	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

ashblad 70.11	110.10 111.25		04.10
cobbled 79:11	110:19 111:25	comparing	94:10
cockerill 2:4	112:25	97:8	concerns 37:17
6:13,13 9:7	commissioned	compensate	37:20 59:6 73:9
10:3 76:25 77:2	9:9 39:13 55:2	45:17	74:12 81:5
105:12,22,24	56:24	complaint 44:6	82:11 84:1 94:2
106:6 109:5	commissioner	complaints	concluded
cocounsel 6:7	6:16 12:17	26:10 31:11	106:12
code 93:11	13:20 35:7	44:1	conditions
codes 51:9	49:20 50:24	completed	77:24 78:2 81:9
coffers 48:3	52:10 90:17	109:12	81:15,23,25
71:23 72:4	101:3 103:12	completely	82:8,9 85:19
collaboration	104:3,7,11,15	8:13,18 80:2	conduct 33:16
52:7	105:3	complex 41:24	connect 21:4
collect 74:17	commissioners	complicated	connecting
99:8	12:14 16:10	50:12,13	20:20
collected 47:4	37:25 39:18	comply 93:11	connection
collects 47:24	44:15 51:22	94:4,12	14:18
57:9	53:2 58:3 61:6	comprehensi	consent 28:22
college 1:22	90:9	41:22	29:4
2:13 5:12 12:20	committee 47:9	comprehensive	consider 63:17
come 12:9	common 1:13	55:25	104:12
19:10,10,15	2:18 3:2 5:9	compressed	consideration
20:4 45:4 46:9	communication	29:20	20:9 56:2
50:10 58:17	21:11 52:2,3	compromise	considered
59:22 69:15	communicati	52:5,8	20:7 83:12
84:20 100:4	53:13,14	compromised	consistent 41:9
comes 52:25	community	59:13	constitute 5:25
coming 57:14	13:6 19:12	concern 26:7	construction
86:1	26:12 35:9 36:8	37:13 70:15	52:21
comments 7:24	39:16 50:3 58:7	73:8,12 79:20	cont'd 3:1
commercial	92:12	82:17	contention
15:12,14 71:11	companions	concerned	87:23 88:1 90:8
71:13	45:9	26:11 40:12	90:13
commission	compare 91:13	concerning	context 7:10
17:14 19:14	93:21 94:5	39:14 49:21	continue 68:8
49:24 50:2		92:1 93:20	68:10,19

continuing	corrections	13:24 14:4 15:2	70:3,3,18 71:22
90:17	58:7 111:17	15:10,17 16:2	72:1,4,8,13,19
contract 83:6	correctly 39:11	16:10,11,16,18	72:23 73:9,10
contracting	64:4	17:14 19:18	73:10,16,18
91:11	cost 16:4,5 17:1	20:8 21:17	74:1,6,8,13,14
contribute	17:3,22,23	22:20 23:12	74:18,21 75:7
48:20	21:20 22:2,4,12	24:14 26:8 30:8	75:10 76:4 77:5
convenient	22:20 26:12	30:9,13,15	77:20 78:23
109:14	58:8 81:2	32:17,23 33:14	79:8,13 82:6,24
convention	costs 28:19	34:9,10 35:6,7	84:3,7,21,22
47:13 48:7,8	council 1:13	35:20 36:17,18	85:12,14 88:16
49:4,10,14	2:18 3:2 5:9	36:20,25 37:6	89:8 90:9,16,18
52:16,23	13:24 20:9 39:9	37:17,25 38:5	94:19 95:7
conversation	39:23 40:3	38:10,16 39:13	97:22 98:16,18
18:25	43:21,24 49:3	39:18 40:22	98:23 99:14,21
conversations	49:20 58:3 66:4	41:5,6 42:14	101:22 103:12
9:6 40:9 58:19	66:4,22 69:5	43:18,21,22,24	103:12,16
58:21 60:17,24	74:5,11 75:20	44:9,15,15,22	104:5,7,9,10,10
62:20 70:13,14	75:21 84:2,5	45:11,17,20	104:12,14,22
86:11 100:23	87:18 93:13	46:19 47:4,10	104:22 105:1,5
conveyed 21:12	100:8 103:12	47:24 48:4,14	109:6 110:3,10
cooperation	104:5,10,16,18	49:1,11,14,20	111:3,15
44:21 47:8,12	councilor	49:21 50:3,8,15	county's 20:2
copy 76:20 77:1	104:10	50:24 51:4,7	45:16 48:3
105:20,23,25	counsel 6:6	53:7 56:14,15	51:12,13 57:20
corner 23:21	23:8 107:11,14	56:16,21 57:9	59:16 66:21
corporation 1:7	108:7,10	57:21,25,25	couple 75:24
5:9 59:11	count 40:25	58:10,10,17	86:17 102:21
corporations	counties 78:14	59:15,17,19	course 12:22
63:21 89:9	country 35:17	60:16 61:5	48:2 66:12
correct 11:23	35:20	62:25 64:2,9,12	court 1:3 68:13
16:17 18:12,13	county 1:2,5,14	64:16,18 65:1,3	110:7
25:5 35:7,10	2:3,5,19 3:3,13	65:12,18,23	courthouse
42:18 48:21	4:13 5:7,10	66:13 67:2,7,11	12:8
81:8 88:15	6:12,14,15 9:9	68:5,8,9,10,16	courts 58:6
104:7,8	12:14,17 13:19	68:17,23 69:5	

	I	I	I
covered 41:7	data 41:16,18	deficiency	107:1 109:8,10
82:19	41:21 42:2,3,4	96:23	110:1,3 111:1,3
covering 43:18	42:5 70:8,10	define 21:23	depositions
covid 39:10,12	103:18 104:17	81:16	9:12,14,17,18
craa 98:22	104:17	definition 43:7	deputies 19:24
creates 17:4	dataset 41:24	55:18	39:16 40:21
26:21	date 1:19 109:8	delay 86:6	42:14 43:18,23
creative 18:3	110:3,9,19	delineates	61:1 65:13
crimes 39:19	111:3,13,25	67:25	deputy 41:17
criminal 58:5	112:20,25	dense 37:22	42:7
68:12	day 12:9 33:16	79:21 89:11	describe 51:21
current 12:25	33:16 40:24,24	densely 43:6,16	53:1
19:2 23:20 26:4	44:16 47:2,8,8	78:24 79:8,12	description 4:7
49:14 59:16	67:6,11,17	denser 37:22	4:11
63:9 66:21 72:7	110:16 111:22	density 21:3	design 48:24
78:21 79:24,25	112:22	43:9,11	51:10
101:24	days 12:21 53:5	department	designed 48:24
currently 11:1	98:5 109:17	13:3 32:15,19	designs 52:19
20:21 24:6,10	deal 43:24 50:2	38:1,12,15,19	desire 27:9
30:16 37:4	50:9	38:21 39:2 40:1	details 15:15
49:11 59:17	dear 109:9	41:2,5 44:3,9	21:23 53:11,11
79:21 82:19	december	44:14,18 45:11	determine
83:5 85:20	13:21	45:16,22 46:3,5	19:18
cut 58:16 60:25	decide 39:17	47:11 60:18	determines
64:12	decides 43:22	66:1,6,9,10,14	96:4
cute 84:24	48:24 52:19	83:7,8 109:23	determining
cuts 64:16 65:2	decision 66:5	departments	60:15
65:4,15,24 66:5	decisions 74:7	66:16 73:10	developed
66:7 67:2 73:19	85:2	depending	31:20 51:8
cutting 66:12	deed 110:14	40:24 55:17	developer
d	111:20	depends 12:13	14:13 20:14,17
d 4:1,10 5:1	deemed 109:19	31:25 36:4	27:24
danger 92:14	defender 2:5	89:10	developers
	58:6	deposed 7:8	21:15
dangerous 91:20	deficiencies	deposition 1:18	development
71.20	96:21	5:6,23 9:3	14:5,9 17:6,6
	1	1	

		T	ı
20:5 21:5 24:19	disconcerting	doors 69:13	educated 98:19
24:20,24 37:21	92:5	downtown 13:9	effective 85:18
37:24 48:5,6	discussed 23:4	drinking 37:18	efficient 38:9
56:1 74:1,20	discussing 40:3	drive 100:7	effort 99:6
75:7 78:16	62:16	driven 83:2	efforts 101:21
80:19 87:24	discussion	drop 46:9	either 21:12
88:7,10,17,19	17:13 25:2	dropped 10:20	32:17 76:15
88:22 89:2,5,12	39:10	due 68:4	80:7 93:8,18
difference 86:8	discussions	duly 6:22 39:15	94:19
94:25	9:22 10:2 24:14	107:5	elected 13:12
differences	60:9 86:1,4	e	13:22 39:16
93:24 94:8	dispatch 41:16	e 2:1,1,4 3:1,1	electricity
95:11,13,22	41:17 42:6	4:1,6,10,10,10	14:17
different 31:13	46:15,16,17,20	5:1,1 109:5	eliminates
34:3 35:23	47:4 76:7	earlier 86:14	102:9
46:20 51:11,13	disposal 89:19	104:4	ellettsville
57:1 59:2,15	district 38:5,11	early 20:13	30:16 31:1,13
63:6 89:16,18	38:16 47:10	21:19 22:10,10	31:19,22 34:8
95:23,25 96:13	59:10 63:24,25	99:22 100:24	34:10 45:7 54:3
97:19	70:13 80:17	earmarked	63:18 79:3,12
differs 96:11	82:20,21,25	67:9	83:6,7 84:23,23
difficult 26:5	83:3	earning 71:6	ellettsville's
41:13,21 52:15	document	easier 11:16	67:13
55:20 92:8,16	62:14	easily 41:22	ellington
difficulties 52:3	documents	east 11:8	100:24
difficulty 42:1	77:14 87:22	economic 37:23	emergency
digital 107:8	90:7	48:5 56:10	41:7 68:18
108:3	dog 12:4	73:25 74:20	employed
direct 21:10,11	doing 17:9	75:7 78:16	107:11,14
63:1	20:15 21:1 92:4	87:24 88:6,10	108:8,11
directly 65:11	dollars 17:8,11	88:17,19,21	employee
92:19	48:10,18,20	89:2,5 104:20	107:13 108:10
director 60:23	56:23 71:22	edge 10:20	employees 61:7
directors 98:1	78:16	educate 98:8,12	employment
disabled 96:12	donated 98:23	100:11	12:16 13:11
		100.11	

[empty - fairer] Page 11

empty 49:15	70:15	61:13 64:22	expiration
encompasses	esquire 2:4,11	71:7 82:18	110:19 111:25
26:24	2:20 3:4 109:5	examples 33:12	112:25
encourage 40:5	established	83:16	explain 14:3
ended 50:5	72:20	exchange 27:16	20:1 44:24
ends 70:16	establishing	101:7	58:25 69:16
ensure 26:5	17:15	executed	explanation
97:18 98:13,19	estate 15:20	111:10	81:14
ensuring 80:18	estimate 21:20	execution	expressly
entail 98:2	63:10	110:14 111:19	109:12
enter 63:9	estimated 64:8	executive 47:9	extended 83:1
entered 111:9	71:24 72:9,24	exhibit 4:8,12	extension 28:8
enterprises	73:17	61:23 62:1,14	extensively
71:12,12,13	et 1:8,15 5:9,10	76:18 77:5,9	10:6
entire 93:7	47:11 58:6	87:21 90:2	extent 21:23
110:5 111:5	109:6,6 110:3,3	exist 26:23	55:22 56:4
envelope 61:14	111:3,3	existed 92:3,21	101:1
environment	european 13:2	92:22 93:18	eye 101:23
37:18	evaluate 104:12	exists 24:2,11	eyes 21:5 100:2
environmental	evans 2:21 3:5	24:22 85:24	f
22:16	6:6	expand 25:21	facilities 21:8
equitable 77:23	events 40:9	48:11	facility 21:6
78:1,7,10 80:4	everybody	expanded 90:1	fact 44:14
80:9 81:9,15,23	16:24 85:14	expect 9:7	63:17 78:22
81:25 82:4,4,8	evidence 39:19	23:24 58:17	80:13 84:1
82:9 83:17	39:24 40:6 69:6	59:12 83:24	factors 32:1,1,2
errata 109:17	69:9 70:8	90:22 91:12	facts 87:22 90:8
111:7,10,18	evidentiary	expensive 16:4	fail 81:23 94:12
112:1	5:22	17:4 26:3 68:11	fails 82:1 93:11
error 84:12	exactly 25:22	experience	94:3,16,21
es 107:4	48:14	15:23 44:2,13	failure 81:15
especially	examination	69:12 104:18	fair 27:19 68:7
26:12 33:16	4:2 7:2 104:1	104:21	83:20
			00.20
39:10 47:9	examined 6:24	experiences	fairer 102:11
39:10 47:9 51:10 54:9	examined 6:24 example 19:22	experiences 12:24 19:11	fairer 102:11

fairgrounds	fell 92:15	47:10,11 59:10	follow 15:5
99:15	fewer 61:1	63:24 70:13	41:21
fairly 41:8	65:13	82:20,21,24,25	followed 74:3
48:19	fieldstone	83:2,2,7,8	following 72:12
familiar 15:8,9	20:23	100:7	follows 6:24
15:11 20:19	fight 26:17	fires 38:15	food 47:19,21
31:17 33:9	figure 53:8	first 6:22 28:9	47:23,25 48:4
38:14 70:20	65:14 69:25	29:23 53:6	48:11,18,20
100:15	70:6 79:15	56:15 59:24	49:4
familiarity	96:14	65:25 91:9 93:5	force 26:14
22:23	figures 71:2	98:11	foregoing 107:3
families 37:10	filled 41:3	fiscal 43:22	107:4 108:4
37:10	final 66:4	59:9 91:2,4,6	110:13 111:18
family 15:25	finance 104:23	91:10,13,15,18	forgive 11:7
36:13,14,19,21	finances 34:9	91:20 92:9	18:9
36:22 85:4	financial 31:7	93:10 94:15,15	form 21:22
fantasy 73:24	59:19,25 60:15	94:16 95:5	22:14,18 23:1
far 20:4 38:8	61:9 69:9 70:9	96:22,22,24	31:4,9 42:16
farmers 13:11	71:10,18 73:13	fit 55:6	72:3 73:20
fashioned 26:1	91:25 96:5	five 9:8 41:9	74:22 85:21
fast 26:6	99:25	86:6,7 92:3,20	89:18 102:24
favor 102:8,9	financially	93:22	formal 101:3
fear 59:5 69:18	107:15 108:11	fixed 57:12	forward 30:2
74:18	financials	58:8 71:7,16	48:16
february 12:22	73:10	86:7	found 59:2 92:2
federal 16:21	find 18:1 45:9	flooding 44:8	foundation
78:16,22 79:6	71:15 74:8	fluctuates	23:2 31:5 72:3
79:23,24	94:24 95:5	40:23	73:21 74:23
fee 45:19 56:19	96:17	focus 23:20	85:22
61:13	finding 25:25	61:4 63:3,5	four 12:12
feel 28:3 29:6	fine 35:5	96:1 98:4 99:23	47:18
35:15 39:3	finish 7:23 8:24	103:14,20	franklin 12:20
40:11,12 53:4	46:11	focused 73:6	12:21
62:3 92:7	finished 48:15	81:8 103:17,18	free 110:14
fees 81:4	fire 38:1,2,5,10	folks 19:12	111:20
	38:11,15,16	69:15 71:5	

freeloader 85:6	generates 75:7	72:2 73:14	36:22,25 44:11
freeloaders	geographical	74:15 77:18	45:9
84:4	32:24	79:15 81:3 82:7	greater 36:7
freeloading	geographically	83:19,21 84:22	grocery 40:9
85:12	32:4,11,12	84:23 85:23	ground 7:19
friends 85:8	geography	86:7 89:17	group 59:25
fruition 86:2	32:21 41:6	93:25 96:15	97:9
fsg 59:25 60:6	getting 19:9	100:1 102:2	grow 25:20
full 13:18	29:23 40:7	103:3,4	growing 27:3
functions 58:4	74:19 97:5	good 5:2 7:4	growth 65:17
fund 17:11	100:19 101:17	29:20,21 34:24	guess 70:2
59:17 67:6,7	give 7:19 33:12	35:4,12,25	guessing 61:15
68:23	46:1 53:12	36:10,12,15	guide 32:8
funded 78:15	74:25 91:12	38:21,22 39:1	gutter 51:14
79:1	103:2	44:4,10,19	guys 10:1,4
funding 20:10	given 40:16	47:15 53:15,15	h
22:8 79:7,21	41:6 42:15	56:24 101:1	h 4:6,10
funds 72:8	giving 42:2	goodness 80:24	habitat 17:7
79:23,24	glad 60:2	goods 13:10	18:5 20:16
further 105:11	glasses 62:4,5,5	gosh 46:18	half 15:7 48:14
105:14 107:13	go 10:1 22:2	government	48:14
108:9	37:21 45:15	20:8 30:9,15	
furthermore	48:3 58:14 62:6	52:7,11 53:7	halfway 78:3 hamilton 51:23
88:4	69:4,24 85:7	57:21,22,23	
future 51:6	91:23,24	61:5 63:18 68:5	hampshire 12:23
g	102:12	78:22 79:6	hand 6:19
	goal 53:10	104:22	40:15 97:4
g 5:1	goes 45:20 48:2	gradual 26:24	handed 77:4
gain 81:3	going 7:6 14:10	31:18	
gas 14:17 gasoline 63:15	19:15 21:13	gradually	handled 46:17
	26:17 38:23	30:16	happen 26:17
general 76:8 86:10	52:19,20 57:8	graduate 13:14	29:18,19,20,21
	57:13 58:13,16	granted 93:7	34:2 53:9,12
generally 9:19	59:6 60:20 62:4	grapple 41:25	73:4,23 74:12
14:7,8 22:11	64:1 65:9,11	great 18:3	102:2
	69:18 70:6,7	35:21 36:18,21	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

happens 47:12	highlighted	horse 73:2	61:9 62:25
57:11,17 68:19	59:2	hospital 24:4	63:16,19,20,22
79:6	highlights	hosted 91:24	63:24,25 64:1,4
happy 53:16	92:14	hotel 48:12	64:8 65:11
hard 17:25	highway 32:15	hours 102:21	66:15 69:9 70:9
58:18	32:18 46:5 52:6	house 100:15	70:23 71:10,25
harder 71:16	56:19 60:23	101:25	73:6,13 86:13
hazard 70:2	hindsight 57:4	housing 15:16	90:10 92:9
head 8:4,6 43:4	hired 40:5	15:24 16:14,15	94:16,22 95:5
43:10	history 13:2	16:19,23,25	95:10 96:5,8
heads 60:18	hitting 58:12	17:4,24 19:6	98:14 103:15
66:1,7,9	hmm 64:7	20:25 21:1,3,7	104:12,20
hear 15:19	65:20 78:5	21:7 37:9,9	impacted 74:8
37:12 40:10	hold 13:22	57:13	92:19
88:24 89:21	holders 103:15	huge 19:25 86:8	impacts 56:10
96:15	home 12:3	huh 8:4	56:12 90:11,12
heard 15:6	14:22 16:3 18:1	humanity 17:7	90:18,22
26:10 31:11	31:21 57:16	18:5 20:16	implies 16:23
39:22 44:1,6	67:19 68:4 85:3	i	important 7:23
hearing 6:17	95:6	idea 22:3,4 51:6	8:3 32:18
69:17	homeowner	100:10	103:11,18
heart 80:25	15:3 31:21	ideal 34:1,2	importantly
held 92:6 99:14	94:22	identification	58:5,15
hello 7:5	homeowners	62:2 77:10	improvement
help 26:17 29:9	27:25 86:13	identified 23:16	48:13
32:9,10 34:19	homes 16:5	identify 6:3	improving 27:2
61:20 63:1 81:1	18:3 59:6 73:7	87:21,25 90:7	inability 52:5
81:20,21 86:5	homework 91:8	90:11,11,12	68:4
99:20,21	honestly 7:12	imagine 72:12	inaccurate 95:3
hereto 107:15	39:4	impact 9:23	95:17
108:11	hookup 21:13	31:1,6,7 33:10	inappropriate
hey 46:11 73:2	27:22	34:9,20 50:7	33:4 34:16,18
97:10 100:25	hope 52:10	56:16 57:8,19	include 14:18
high 21:3 58:8	79:14	57:20,21 58:22	41:19,20 81:15
higher 84:8	horn 73:2	59:9,19 60:15	81:23 82:1,2
86:8 93:4			

	I	I	I
includes 56:2	individually	internal 27:2	83:9,10 95:25
including 14:15	98:15	internally 9:6	iterations 46:20
24:21 43:18	individuals	interpret	iu 13:3,11,13,15
65:12 104:22	9:23 56:13 96:7	104:17	j
income 15:25	inform 98:8,13	interrogatories	j 2:11
17:18,19 47:3	information	76:19,21 77:7	jail 23:13,24
56:17 57:7,12	39:21 41:12,13	invalidated	24:16 58:5
57:12,13 63:16	55:5 59:2 60:1	100:16 101:6	74:15,16
71:7,16 74:16	63:4,14 71:19	inventory	january 13:25
75:3 76:3 78:17	74:25 87:10,16	32:16 56:20,21	jcockerill 2:8
86:7	91:25 95:2,4,9	60:20	jeff 2:4 6:13
incorporated	98:13 100:3,11	invested 102:3	77:1 100:23
5:8 29:11 105:6	informed 38:8	invited 100:8	109:5
111:12	infrastructure	involuntarily	job 1:25 38:22
incorrect 68:2	17:11 24:20	27:6,8,12 33:5	39:1 44:10,11
increase 25:21	27:2,24 78:8	85:18	44:19 55:9
40:4 69:25 71:4	80:8,10	involuntary	73:12 98:12
96:16	initial 98:5	26:19 29:2 30:7	jobs 13:5 104:9
increased 72:13	inside 10:23	30:12,19 33:7,8	join 52:11
incremental	installed 51:16	102:10	joyner 108:2,15
92:18	instance 97:8	involve 87:24	judge 39:3
indiana 1:1,6	insuring 74:13	involved 30:17	julie 1:18 5:6
1:15 2:19 3:3	intend 75:10	30:24 44:16	6:15,21 78:6
5:8,10,12,14	87:25 90:12	49:2 54:4 92:19	88:5 90:15
26:20 27:13	intended 5:20	98:8 101:17,20	105:25 109:8
29:16 54:10,14	50:4,17 51:5	involves 16:20	110:4,9 111:4
93:11 101:21	58:20 79:2	islands 32:25	111:13 112:20
107:19 109:6	intent 51:2	33:5,9	july 94:8,10
110:3 111:3	intention 75:13	issue 40:3 41:18	jumping 34:8
indianapolis	interested	67:5 74:10	justice 58:5
2:22 3:7	107:15 108:12	78:21 79:25,25	68:12
individual	interesting	85:9 94:6	juvenile 76:7,9
27:22 59:14	10:18,19 82:18	issued 93:17	76:10,10
68:3 86:13	interlocal 44:21	issues 26:13	/0.10,10
94:22 95:4 96:5	45:1 47:14	37:16 38:25	
96:8,14 103:15	49:21	52:16,18 78:11	

[k - lisa] Page 16

_	40.16.20.22.25	100.6	1 70.0
k	40:16,20,23,25	108:6	leave 78:2
k 4:10	41:8 42:19	knows 60:21	leaves 44:7
keep 101:23	43:12 46:1,2,8	kruzan 50:6,23	led 99:23
kennedy 100:7	46:11,18,19	53:6	left 6:4 13:16
key 60:5 83:9	49:5 50:8,12	l	43:24
94:13	51:2,14,15	l 4:10	legal 109:1
keys 96:1	52:20 54:2	lack 26:13 78:7	112:1
kind 7:19 10:12	55:15 56:12,22	78:9 80:9 82:8	legally 27:13
26:1 32:24,24	57:6 58:19	95:2,4,8	legislation
36:4 46:13	60:18,19,24	land 16:4 17:3	100:20 101:18
51:15 52:6	61:3,11,17 64:5	26:3 55:13	legislators
61:14 86:11	65:5 66:20,23	landowner 29:4	54:10 100:22
99:18 101:23	66:25 67:12,13		legislature
kinds 60:24	67:15 69:12,18	landowners	102:1
	70:12 71:13	31:2,7 69:9	lesser 34:4
71:14 85:10	73:1,3,3,11,12	71:19 90:10	letter 95:18
86:24	73:23 75:6,17	96:5	109:18
kirkwood 12:8	77:13,14,16	large 29:19	letters 14:18
knocking 69:12	80:12,17 83:13	59:14 92:14	level 85:13
know 7:11,21	83:20 84:4,11	larger 55:14	levy 95:24
8:19,22 9:13,15	86:4,10,11,12	largesse 84:8	library 59:11
10:2 11:12	90:20 91:12,17	largest 35:9	63:21
14:25 15:15,18	91:19,19,20	law 27:14,14	life 45:10
15:19 16:25	92:3,20 93:17	29:16,16 34:3	limit 14:20
18:2,15,16,19	93:19,24 95:11	74:3 89:16	limited 10:11
19:13 20:18	95:14 97:4	lawbr.com 2:15	limits 18:9,12
22:11,16 24:5,9	98:10 99:2,13	laws 5:22 54:9	28:4 36:6 48:1
24:12 25:1	99:15,16,19,20	54:10 101:21	50:11 79:22
28:11 29:5,6,12	, , ,	layer 69:21,22	
30:19,21,21,22	100:6,6,9,12,13	lead 40:14	83:16
30:22,25 31:6	100:13,25	leader 104:22	line 29:13 64:4
31:17 32:22,23	101:2 103:3	leap 26:6	81:1 111:7
33:22,22 34:7	105:3	learn 52:11	112:3
34:12,14,20	knowledge	91:24,24 92:21	lines 40:10
36:14 37:11,12	15:23 44:13	learned 52:10	lingering 50:7
38:7,8 39:4,5	69:12 87:1	57:7	lisa 60:19,22,23
,	103:1 107:10		

[list - market] Page 17

	ı		
list 87:22	63:15,16 74:16	loses 73:12	maintenance
listed 111:7,17	76:3	losing 68:3	64:22
listening 58:24	located 18:6,12	72:22	majority 48:1
59:4	location 1:21	loss 73:11	make 10:7
listing 111:7	17:10 23:8,24	lot 14:20,23	11:16 20:11
listings 15:20	48:25 109:14	15:20 31:25	37:23 51:6,19
lit 47:2,3 59:20	locations 23:15	32:1 36:16	53:9,12 60:12
74:1,20 75:7	86:22	37:12 44:7	64:16 65:2,4
76:7,8,9,10	logic 32:24	49:12,13,15	66:7 67:2 69:3
95:24 104:13	logical 83:4	52:2,7,8 55:24	70:16 71:15
lits 76:3,16	long 13:13,19	56:3 58:12,21	73:19 80:2 81:5
little 32:16	46:19	59:5,5 67:8	81:8,9 83:4,20
35:17 45:7 54:3	longer 41:23	71:5,6 80:12	86:8 91:11
54:13 84:24	49:8 52:17	95:1,1 99:16	99:24 101:12
87:8 93:19	64:19	103:18	109:14
101:24	look 15:20 17:5	love 35:22,23	makes 32:4,11
livable 18:1	25:19 26:7 51:7	low 57:12	66:4 85:5
live 10:16,23	51:19 57:2 61:9	lower 17:1 70:4	makeup 101:25
11:1,4 12:1	61:11 63:1,5	70:5	making 65:14
25:25 26:9 33:9	77:22 93:25	lump 43:13	71:5 74:7
34:24,25 35:2,4	98:7	m	manage 46:24
35:12 57:24	looked 22:7	m 3:4 4:10	52:20,20 68:23
58:1,10 74:3,6	23:17 54:8,11	mad 74:11	73:11
74:14 79:4 84:7	55:24 77:15	madam 109:9	manages 26:21
84:9,14,22,23	92:2	made 50:12	46:23
84:24,25 85:1,6	looking 17:9,15	65:24 85:2	manner 5:23
85:14 91:21	23:18,19 56:22	86:12 95:23	map 43:4 65:5
lives 12:3 33:16	74:16 75:1 91:9	100:12 110:7	march 1:19
69:3 73:14 85:4	92:23,24 93:2	magical 43:10	5:11 79:13
living 16:2,7,11	100:2 101:13	85:24	109:4
33:15,15 35:13	lordy 62:3	main 13:11	margaret 3:12
35:16 84:7	lose 56:17,18	maintaining	6:11
llp 2:21 3:5	56:19 59:6	44:4,10	marked 62:1,14
lobbyist 101:22	60:20 61:13	maintains 45:5	77:4,9
local 47:3 52:11	65:17,19 72:19	45:6	market 13:11
56:17 57:7	73:6	TJ.U	15:21

[matter - need] Page 18

	ı	ı	
matter 5:7 58:9	95:21 99:10,19	mix 20:16	moving 92:9,11
67:16 74:14	100:5,7	mm 64:7 65:20	92:12
109:11	member 48:15	78:5	multiple 55:2
maximum	103:13 104:5	moderate 57:12	56:12 78:13
17:19	104:10,16	moment 52:25	municipal
mayor 50:6,22	members 40:3	81:6 95:15,19	18:21,22 69:22
50:22 53:6,6	70:14 84:2	105:10	municipality's
mayoral 21:9	100:8	money 48:11	54:15
mckinney 2:21	memoranda	65:18,19 67:9	mutual 38:9
3:5 6:6	48:16	67:10,17 68:22	mvh 56:23
mcneil 3:4 6:7	mention 89:20	68:23 71:6,6,15	59:20 104:13
6:7 72:9 76:19	mentioned	75:4,10 79:17	n
mean 20:11	17:22 18:5	95:2	n 2:1 3:1 4:1
23:7,8 27:9	50:17 86:14	moneymaking	5:1
28:17,18 31:6	87:13	28:16	name 5:2 37:24
34:12 39:13	mentioning	monroe 1:2,3	40:10 78:4
42:20 49:7	59:20	1:14 2:5,19 3:3	86:18 87:7
55:15 56:5,13	middle 32:23	5:10 15:10,16	90:15 109:6
56:13 61:7	midwest 112:1	16:10,16 30:8	110:3,4,15
66:10 80:23	million 56:23	30:13 35:7 38:4	110.3,4,13
82:5,5 88:8	64:5,6,13 66:24	38:10,16 58:17	names 61:17,18
means 5:24	67:1,14 71:22	74:1,6,18 75:7	61:19
58:13,15 80:11	72:4,20,22,23	76:4 82:24	natural 14:17
82:4,7	73:16 93:6	84:21 85:12,14	near 18:7,8
meant 78:1	mind 52:25	90:8,16,18	25:25 84:23
80:2	61:19 90:2	month 41:3	necessarily
measures 66:10	minds 37:25	68:22 98:3	14:12 31:25
meet 12:14	mine 106:7	months 53:14	53:10 77:16
17:17 70:16	minimum	53:15 69:1,4	
98:3	14:23 56:3	98:4	85:15 89:13 98:22
meeting 69:14	minutes 41:23	monument	
84:6 92:6 99:14	41:23	2:22 3:6	necessary 14:15 17:12
100:8	missed 62:3	morton 2:6	
meetings 39:9	missing 60:1	motor 56:19	89:5,9,11 need 8:21,22
39:23 66:1	mistaken 71:1	move 30:2	10:10 19:15,22
70:10 91:23		48:16 84:18,21	10.10 17.13,22

[need - operate] Page 19

19:23 21:7,7,8	night 17:13	74:22,23 85:21	9:2,16 10:1,13
25:25 26:6,22	19:14	102:24	10:16 11:1,4,10
28:24 32:9 37:1	north 2:6 11:6	objection 5:15	12:7 15:6,12
37:2 62:4,5	23:20 24:18,25	6:18 21:22 31:4	18:20 19:21
65:24 66:7 67:2	northeast 11:9	31:9 42:16	29:22 30:5
73:19 76:20	86:19	objections	38:18 40:20
82:3 83:11,11	northwest	105:4,7	42:8,13 43:25
105:20,23	23:21	obviously 68:11	44:12 49:12
needed 19:7	notary 5:13	occupied 57:13	54:6,17 55:10
98:14	107:18 109:25	occupy 37:25	56:9,16 60:6,9
needs 26:24	110:10,18	occur 31:19	60:12 64:11
38:12 91:21	111:15,23	85:17 99:12	65:13 66:20
92:18	112:23	occurred 9:12	69:4 72:11 76:1
negative 90:11	notes 80:7 87:5	101:14	76:15 78:2 80:1
90:18,22 97:12	88:9 95:22	occurring	87:13,20 88:16
negatively	number 7:13	39:19 88:17,19	88:21 91:17
90:10	7:16 19:13,15	offer 36:16	93:24 94:14
neighborhood	19:16 34:4,7	80:14	96:21 97:18,22
85:4 86:18,21	39:8 40:4,13,23	offered 63:12	98:2 99:5
87:14,15	42:14,15 43:10	offhand 66:23	101:12,13,14
neighborhoods	45:3 60:25	86:17	101:20 102:12
14:6 99:18	61:12,24 66:1	office 6:14 12:7	103:23 105:14
neither 107:11	66:15 75:9	12:9 49:16	106:4,8
108:7	86:22 87:20	106:4 109:13	old 10:21 18:7
net 64:4 95:23	89:15 101:10	officer 107:1,2	26:1 94:6
netted 71:22	109:7	officers 37:14	101:11
72:4,23 73:16	numbers 41:19	38:20 40:4,13	once 40:5 60:3
never 46:4 52:8	94:9,9 111:7	40:15,16,18	98:3,4
91:1 96:9	0	offices 5:11	ones 91:14
new 12:23 14:5	o 4:10 5:1	13:22	ongoing 46:2
14:9 20:20	oath 8:9	official 13:12	46:13,22
23:13 24:16	oaths 5:14	110:15 111:21	online 12:22
47:13 59:7	object 22:14,18	officially 40:8	open 53:13
63:10 69:16	23:1 32:8 72:2	ohio 109:2	openings 41:1
93:17	72:3 73:20	okay 7:18 8:3	operate 22:21
	12.3 13.20	8:11,16,21,25	22:24 45:21

[operate - pay] Page 20

54:9 68:20	86:1	owns 49:1,2,10	park's 24:25
operated 24:8	ordinances	49:14,17	parker 41:17
operates 24:5	81:22 85:20	р	42:3,6
45:11	90:9	p 2:1,1 3:1,1	parking 49:12
operation	organized	4:10 5:1	49:13
57:21	101:2	p.m. 1:20 5:5	parks 44:18
operations	organizing 98:5	53:21,24 62:9	part 12:20,24
68:24	99:6	62:12 102:15	13:15,18 16:1
opinion 16:15	originally 94:7	102:18 106:9	29:11,11,12
71:25 72:25	osage 17:7 18:7	106:11	30:9,14 51:7
73:5	outcome	package 18:23	82:22,24 97:22
opinions 104:19	107:16 108:12	21:14,15,18,21	104:9,20 111:9
opportunities	outside 20:20	21:24 22:4,11	partially 78:15
48:17	21:14 27:12	22:17,21,24	78:16
opportunity	28:4,8,13,20	23:5 24:1,5,15	particular
83:20 84:9	45:4 49:17 50:3	24:21,22,25	52:13,22 84:17
oppose 30:8,13	61:1 70:21 74:6	89:13	particulars
73:25 74:2 90:9	78:23 79:22	page 4:2,7,11	91:12
98:17	80:20 84:21	53:4 63:1,4	parties 5:16
opposed 25:4,7	88:11	64:3 77:18,23	107:12,14
25:11,14 29:1	overtax 74:13	77:25 78:3	108:8,11
31:24 33:1,18	74:21	81:11,17 87:20	parts 43:3,5
76:15 88:21	own 11:18,25	88:3 90:2 95:21	50:3,3 78:23
89:1 100:24	27:2 49:12,13	97:3 111:7	79:12
opposition	49:18 61:8 63:9	112:3	passed 27:25
30:18 90:17	85:16 96:17	page2 71:3	past 12:24
99:10 103:5,21	99:19	pages 97:7	patrolling
optimistic	owner 14:21	paid 27:24	40:17,21 42:14
53:16	24:15 57:13	paperwork	paved 44:16
option 18:24	78:18	63:8	pay 27:22,23
21:16	owners 26:19	parameters	28:5 43:23 45:2
options 19:6	27:5 28:22	61:22	45:7,21 46:24
ordering	29:25 32:5,7	parcel 96:19,19	46:24 68:4
105:17	33:19 70:24	park 18:8 23:20	69:19 74:16
ordinance 20:6	71:16 78:19	24:18	84:8 86:8
56:1 82:1 85:24			

pays 45:7,8	person 66:11	plan 16:18 17:8	pointed 95:18
people 15:19	personal 15:23	17:10,21,21	points 10:2,4,7
16:3,6 17:25	44:2,13 58:23	19:14 20:10	10:9
25:19 26:5,10	69:11 71:18	22:8 26:24	police 19:22,24
26:14,25 27:18	personally 25:4	48:10 91:2,4,6	26:14 38:18,19
27:20 28:4,20	25:8 33:11	91:18,20 93:10	38:21 39:1,14
29:22 33:9,15	38:17 41:10	94:1,15,15	39:25 40:18
35:13,15,16	45:8 110:11	96:22,22,24	83:14
37:10 38:21	111:15	planned 20:5	policing 40:7
39:23 40:10	personnel	24:19,19	policy 20:19
57:12 58:9,16	46:24 47:6	planning 20:5	political 13:3
58:20,23 59:6	petition 11:22	20:12 21:12	poor 51:24
59:12 63:8 70:9	11:24 20:12,22	49:22,24 50:1	70:17
74:18 79:3,4	87:23	50:11,15	populated 43:6
82:19 84:13,16	petitioners 1:11	plans 23:12	43:16 78:24
84:20,20 85:2	2:2 6:10 20:23	25:9 26:11	79:8,12
85:11 91:21	phil 41:17 42:3	91:10,14,15	population 37:9
92:11,18 94:24	42:6	plant 18:23	43:9,11 54:18
96:9 98:10,13	phone 109:3	21:18,21,24	55:4
98:19 99:19	picked 48:15	22:4,17,21,24	portions 9:7
100:12 102:11	picking 44:7	23:5 24:1,5,15	43:15 62:24
people's 19:11	46:8	24:21,22 25:1	position 39:3
58:13 69:13	picture 61:18	89:13	43:25 65:4
perceive 38:25	piece 32:22	plants 18:8	positive 90:12
percent 33:19	49:13,17 55:13	21:15,16 22:11	97:11
33:24 34:5	80:18 97:8	play 57:15	possible 18:2
57:15 71:4	piecemeal	100:19	45:23
96:16 100:1	31:19,24 34:22	please 6:3,19	potential 17:15
percentage	pill 58:18	105:10 109:13	21:17 22:8 23:5
55:10,17 56:6	pl 1:13	plow 46:8,9	31:12 95:9
percentages	place 25:23	point 10:11	predevelopm
71:14	29:14 34:24	47:3 48:23 51:6	34:23
permit 45:20	35:4,12,25	55:14 74:17,19	prefer 109:15
permits 45:15	36:10,12,18,21	76:7 79:15	preferable
permitted 5:20	36:22 47:9	98:22	26:23
	84:25 87:8		

	_	1 - 1 - 1 - 2	04.04.4.00.4.0
premium 28:5	procedure	15:12 17:20	81:3,14 82:12
prepare 9:2,4	110:5 111:5	18:7 21:18 24:2	83:14 100:3,10
61:20	proceed 7:1	26:19 27:5	100:11
prepared 61:16	proceeding 5:4	28:22 29:25	providers 70:17
91:1 108:3	5:19 106:12	31:20 32:5,7	provides 79:7
prerogative	108:4	33:19 49:1,1,17	providing
28:21	proceedings	56:18 57:8,9,19	28:13 39:1 68:8
presence 88:6	107:3,5,6,9	58:13,23 63:9	68:10 75:3
present 3:11	108:6	63:10 69:24	86:15 99:23
presented	proceeds 48:5	70:1,24 78:18	provision 14:16
91:21	78:9	78:18,19 80:19	78:7,10 80:3,4
pressed 17:25	process 11:16	96:18 103:15	80:9
pretty 52:10	28:19 98:9	proposal 14:19	psap 47:2 76:5
previous 21:9	produced 5:18	proposals 55:25	public 2:5 7:16
previously 77:4	production	propose 54:10	26:13 29:21
77:9	11:14 109:23	proposed 11:11	32:19 37:13
price 85:3	profit 1:7 5:8	14:13 20:6,17	40:8 44:14 46:4
prices 71:15	28:17	23:13,15 59:24	46:5 47:2 58:6
prior 9:11	program 84:16	65:25	58:18,24 59:1,4
93:22 107:5	project 17:7	proposes 14:9	68:2 69:14 76:6
private 7:16	18:6,11,20	prosecutor	91:23 92:6
20:14,17	19:22,25 20:2,3	58:6	95:20 100:5
pro 97:11	20:15 22:6	protected 82:20	107:18 110:10
probably 54:19	23:16 52:21	protecting	110:18 111:15
56:23,24 62:6	87:25	37:17,18	111:23 112:23
probation 58:7	projects 14:12	protection 38:2	pud 20:5 21:2
76:10	64:12 88:7,9,11	38:5,10,16,19	24:25
problem 52:4	88:14 89:5	39:2 47:10	purchase 16:3
65:9,10 67:20	promised 82:16	59:10 63:25	17:3,20
67:21,22 93:9	pronschinske	70:13 82:20,21	purchased
problematic	1:24 5:3 107:2	82:24,25	109:16
52:13	107:17	provide 14:14	purchasing
problems 35:21	properties	38:1,19 58:2,11	78:17
52:2	50:10 61:12	63:23 64:19	purpose 53:10
procedural	property 11:10	68:12 78:6 79:3	71:24 98:16,18
5:21	11:18,25 14:20	79:4,19 80:24	

www.veritext.com

	I		
purposes 60:14	r	100:12 101:24	69:8 70:21 91:4
72:24	r 2:1 3:1 4:10	realtors 19:10	92:2 93:5,10
pursuing 102:3	4:10 5:1	reason 94:3	94:6,15 95:12
put 20:24 46:23	rainy 67:6,11	111:8 112:3	95:17 96:9,23
63:7 73:2 89:17	67:17	reasons 85:16	97:9
92:8	raise 6:19 36:13	103:7,21	reedy's 94:9
putting 48:11	36:19,21,22	recall 9:25 11:8	96:22
q	71:15	34:20 95:14	reference 109:7
qualified 107:7	raised 36:14	receipt 109:18	110:2 111:2
quality 38:2,19	ran 71:2	receive 26:5	referenced
quantify 19:4	range 68:21	receiving 79:17	109:10 110:11
quartry 43:11	81:10	recent 12:25	111:15
quarry 43.11 question 8:24	rate 28:19	26:13 47:16,17	referencing
8:25 10:10,12	69:16 70:4,20	47:18 48:19	88:13
10:18,19 19:8	rather 69:1	recently 62:21	referring 87:20
22:2 24:17 25:6	ratio 43:17	77:12 86:24	reflect 94:21
27:4 30:11 37:5	rca 18:7,8	92:21	reflective 33:14
38:23 43:20,23	read 54:13	record 5:4,5,16	reframe 94:18
55:12,20 73:1	106:1 110:5,6	6:3 14:3 53:21	refuse 28:8
74:23 75:21	110:12 111:5,6	53:22,24 62:6,9	regarding
77:23 79:2	111:17	62:10,12	26:13 45:2 46:7
81:10,11,24	reading 64:3	102:13,14,16	52:3 78:7,12
83:24 87:21	80:10 83:17	102:18 106:9	80:6 82:12
88:25 90:3,4,6	109:11,18	107:9 108:5	90:17
94:18 102:25	real 15:20 47:8	111:9	region 37:19
questions 7:6	47:12 70:15	recorded 5:23	regularly
7:23 8:12,17	realize 100:12	107:6	104:11
9:17,20 32:9	realized 102:5	recording 5:18	related 77:23
69:15 77:20	really 28:11	7:22 107:8	107:11 108:7
81:20 99:20,22	32:7 35:15	108:4	relates 32:13
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	46:13 52:25	redevelopment	56:3 78:11,22
100:5 103:24	54:12 55:15	17:14	80:13
105:1,12,15	60:4 61:5 69:11	reduced 107:7	relating 77:14
quickly 26:3	80:16 84:6	reedy 9:8 10:14	77:24
52:10 92:17		55:1 59:1 60:4	relationship
	98:12 99:19,23	60:4,13 63:12	26:22 51:21
L	Voritort I oc	<u> </u>	

52:14 53:1	59:3,22,23 60:3	requirements	86:11 92:6
relative 107:13	60:4,6,13 61:10	14:4 15:3 17:17	93:13 94:19,20
108:10	61:16,18,21	22:17,24 51:10	95:5 97:22 98:5
relatives 11:25	62:15,18,21,24	91:18	98:16,18,23
relevant 14:17	64:3,12 66:2	requiring 27:18	105:2,4,5 109:6
reliable 19:9	67:21 69:7,8	reread 9:7	110:3 111:3
relief 70:17	70:21 71:1,24	rescue 17:8,10	respect 14:4
rely 60:14	72:10,19,24	20:10 22:8 48:9	30:5 55:3 56:5
62:24	73:18 91:5 92:2	research 10:10	64:2 71:25
remain 82:20	93:5 94:6,7,23	reserve 68:16	79:20 80:3
remains 103:14	95:12,12,17	reserved	83:23
remember 7:11	96:4 97:2,6,9	106:10	respond 77:21
7:12 39:11 49:6	97:16 99:24,25	reserves 66:21	responded
51:1 61:17,19	reported 1:24	67:5,8,9 68:17	38:15 39:20
65:7 86:18 91:9	reporter 5:2,3	reshape 68:5	respondent
95:15 100:9	6:17,25 11:13	residence 58:23	2:18 3:2
remembered	53:20,23 62:8	89:17 103:16	respondents
93:4	62:11 102:14	residency 100:4	1:16
reminds 53:5,5	102:17 105:16	resident 26:8	response 40:11
remodeling	105:19,22	70:4 71:19 74:8	41:4,8,11
48:9	106:1,4,8 110:7	residential 14:5	responsibility
remonstrance	reports 44:15	14:21 17:16	8:12
11:21,24 99:6	66:18 70:10	54:17 56:3	responsible
101:7	representative	71:12	61:6
remonstrances	3:12 6:12	residents 1:5	rest 45:7
98:9	represented	2:3 3:13 4:13	restate 42:20
remonstrators	105:5	5:7 6:12 25:24	restaurant
1:9 2:2	request 106:3	26:4,15,16	47:24 85:7
renewed 50:23	111:9,11	31:11 32:3	restaurants
rep 101:4	requested	33:13 57:23,25	48:2
rephrase 29:8	107:21	61:1 63:4,12	restriction 15:7
55:12	require 18:21	68:9,11 69:3,10	restrictive 15:2
report 4:8 9:8,9	23:25	73:6,13 74:14	restroom 8:23
10:14,14 54:19	required 81:24	74:21 75:3 77:5	result 44:8
54:20,24,25	104:11 109:25	77:20 79:2 82:6	64:15 66:8 67:2
55:1 58:25 59:1		83:1,5 84:3,7,8	70:24 72:14

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[result - service] Page 25

78:25	62:8 63:3 64:21	russian 13:2	77:15 78:3 85:7
retired 16:24	64:21,24 66:23	S	88:2 90:14 94:8
retiring 37:11	67:13 71:7	s 2:1 3:1 4:6,10	95:19 97:2,6,7
return 75:3	72:20 76:22	4:10 5:1 111:8	seem 71:5
returned	79:23,23,24	111:8 112:3	seems 29:5
109:17	80:7,23,23	safety 26:13	seen 77:7
returning	86:17 96:12	37:13 47:3 76:6	send 106:5,6
75:13	97:3 101:10	sake 11:14	sense 28:17
revenue 25:21	105:16,19	sample 94:23	32:4 36:17
28:18 47:25	rights 98:20	sample 94.23 sarah 108:2,15	53:14 58:9 83:5
56:17 63:15	rita 99:14	savings 67:7,11	sentence 88:4
64:4 73:17 75:6	road 11:6 23:21	67:18	separate 54:22
81:1 104:13,14	32:16,17,17,18	saying 7:22	56:25 57:4
review 81:12	51:14 64:22	54:23 88:16	separated
105:25 107:21	roads 32:23	97:10 99:24	54:22 93:8
109:13 110:1	37:14 44:17	106:2	septic 14:21,23
111:1	56:20	says 81:22 82:7	15:3,14 86:20
reviewed 10:13	roadway 24:21	88:4	87:9,11
41:11 71:18	24:22 32:22	scale 59:14	seriously 75:2
91:14	roadways	scenario 29:3	servant 29:21
revise 68:6	32:13	30:1	58:18 68:2
reworded	robertson 1:21	school 59:10	serve 21:24
28:24	2:12 5:11	63:21	38:20 39:16
richland 82:23	role 20:2,8	schools 36:15	78:25 79:7,21
83:1,5,7 89:21	97:25 100:19	63:20	89:21,24
richland's	101:25	science 13:3	served 24:4
89:14,23	root 52:4	seal 110:15	83:6
ridge 60:19,22	roughly 72:19	111:21	serves 57:23,25
60:23	rules 5:22 7:19	search 92:25	78:13
right 6:19 8:7,7	96:13 110:5	second 7:25 8:3	service 14:5
12:6,21 20:17	111:5	13:10 60:3 88:3	19:22,24 23:25
27:1,10,13 28:3	run 32:22 71:11	section 95:22	27:17,19 28:5
28:10 35:11	rural 78:13,13	see 14:11,12	28:13 38:2 39:2
37:8 50:19	78:25 79:1,2,10	27:8 49:15	39:14 58:11
52:25 53:17,20	russell 11:6	54:11 64:6	63:11,23 68:10
56:1 61:1,11,13		31.11 01.0	76:11 78:8,10

[service - spoke] Page 26

79:3,4,11,19 sheets 41:18 signing 109:11 34:15 42:7,20 80:24 81:3 45:6,9 45:6,9 47:21 55:21 82:13 83:14 sheriff 39:15,17 109:21 88:24 89:20 8ervices 18:21 42:7 43:20 61:4 sincerely 88:24 89:20 101:8 sheriff's 19:24 single 65:12 90:5,7 8ervices 18:21 40:20 41:1,5 single 65:12 90:5,7 18:22 26:5 42:14 43:17 sitting 67:9 sources 37:18 58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 sources 104:13 79:18 82:15 15:24 16:16,19 72:12 74:4 south 1:22 2:13 79:18 82:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 south 1:22 2:13 59:4 show 14:18 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 59:4 shows 64:3 side 11:8 46:24 sills 107:10 23:7 49:13 59:4 shows 64:3 side 11:8 46:24 sills 107:10 23:7 49:13 59:even 10:21,22 58:5 61:5 solwer 40:11 small 31:19 41:7 59:16 58:5 61:5 signature 1				T
80:24 81:3 45:6,9 sincerely 65:18 87:5 82:13 83:14 sheriff 39:15,17 84:17 89:4 42:7 43:20 61:4 single 65:12 90:5,7 84:17 89:4 40:20 41:1,5 single 65:12 90:5,7 8ervices 18:21 40:20 41:1,5 sir 109:9 south 1:14 84:3 58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 source 37:18 59:25 64:16,18 shortage 15:16 72:12 74:4 source 37:18 64:25 68:9 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 south 1:22 2:13 59:4 showt 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 59:4 show 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 8eventh 48:15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 spackership 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing speakership 10:10;6,8 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 specific 16:21 </th <th>79:3,4,11,19</th> <th>sheets 41:18</th> <th>signing 109:11</th> <th>34:15 42:7,20</th>	79:3,4,11,19	sheets 41:18	signing 109:11	34:15 42:7,20
82:13 83:14 sheriff 39:15,17 109:21 88:24 89:20 84:17 89:4 42:7 43:20 61:4 single 65:12 90:5,7 services 18:21 40:20 41:1,5 sir 109:9 south 87:23 58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 sources 104:13 59:25 64:16,18 shortage 15:16 15:24 16:16,19 72:12 74:4 104:14 64:25 68:9 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 79:18 82:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 shows 64:3 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 46:25,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 31:23 92:18 speakership several 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 106:2 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 speaking 19:14 80:14,17	80:4,15,18,20	shelter 45:2,4,5	109:18	47:21 55:21
84:17 89:4 42:7 43:20 61:4 single 65:12 90:5,7 services 18:21 40:20 41:1,5 sir 109:9 sought 87:23 18:22 26:5 42:14 43:17 sitting 67:9 source 37:18 58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 72:12 74:4 source 37:18 59:25 64:16,18 45:24 16:16,19 72:12 74:4 south 1:22 2:13 64:25 68:9 15:24 16:16,19 72:12 74:4 south 1:22 2:13 79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 59:4 shows 64:3 side 11:8 46:24 slose 55:24 southern 12:23 seven 10:21,22 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 41:7 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 speaking 19:14 speaking 19:14 sever 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 106:2 signature 106:3 s	80:24 81:3	45:6,9	sincerely	65:18 87:5
101:8 sheriff's 19:24 40:20 41:1,5 sir 109:9 sitting 67:9 situation 40:2 72:12 74:4 sources 104:13 104:14 sources 104:14 sources 104:13 104:14 sources 104:14 sources 104:13 104:14 sources 104:14 sources 104:14 sources 104:13 104:14 sources 104:	82:13 83:14	sheriff 39:15,17	109:21	88:24 89:20
services 18:21 40:20 41:1,5 sir 109:9 sought 87:23 18:22 26:5 42:14 43:17 sitting 67:9 sources 37:18 58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 30:00 cm ces 104:13 59:25 64:16,18 15:24 16:16,19 72:12 74:4 sources 104:13 64:25 68:9 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 small 31:19 34:22 35:14,14 35:16 63:19 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 31:23 92:18 speakership several 90:21 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing 46:7 speaking 19:14 several 14:4,15 106:2 sold 13:10 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 10	84:17 89:4	42:7 43:20 61:4	single 65:12	90:5,7
18:22 26:5 42:14 43:17 sitting 67:9 source 37:18 58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 104:14 59:25 64:16,18 shortage 15:16 72:12 74:4 104:14 64:25 68:9 15:24 16:16,19 situations 7:16 south 1:22 2:13 79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 44:7 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 several 90:21 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 solid 63:24 sp	101:8	sheriff's 19:24	95:8	sort 61:14 84:3
58:16 59:12,17 60:25 situation 40:2 sources 104:13 59:25 64:16,18 shortage 15:16 72:12 74:4 104:14 64:25 68:9 15:24 16:16,19 situations 7:16 south 1:22 2:13 79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 steven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 slower 40:11 space 29:19 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 several 44:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 speci	services 18:21	40:20 41:1,5	sir 109:9	sought 87:23
59:25 64:16,18 shortage 15:16 72:12 74:4 104:14 64:25 68:9 15:24 16:16,19 situations 7:16 south 1:22 2:13 79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 severth 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speak 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 software 46:7 33:12 70:10 28:13 51:	18:22 26:5	42:14 43:17	sitting 67:9	source 37:18
64:25 68:9 15:24 16:16,19 situations 7:16 south 1:22 2:13 79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speak 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 87:190:11 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifics 46:2 8hake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 <th>58:16 59:12,17</th> <td>60:25</td> <td>situation 40:2</td> <td>sources 104:13</td>	58:16 59:12,17	60:25	situation 40:2	sources 104:13
79:18 82:15 17:23,24 19:5,5 size 14:20,23 5:12 17:10 84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 severth 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speake 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 speakership 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 87:190:11 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 8hake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 specifics 46:2	59:25 64:16,18	shortage 15:16	72:12 74:4	104:14
84:19 86:15 19:19 39:25 56:4 18:11,20 19:21 sessions 58:24 shorter 41:23 sizes 55:24 20:1 21:18 22:6 59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speake 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 speakership sever 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 27:16,19 28:5,8 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically </td <th>64:25 68:9</th> <td>15:24 16:16,19</td> <td>situations 7:16</td> <td>south 1:22 2:13</td>	64:25 68:9	15:24 16:16,19	situations 7:16	south 1:22 2:13
sessions58:24shorter41:23sizes55:2420:1 21:18 22:659:4show14:18skills107:1023:7 49:13set16:2,7,1162:23108:6southern12:2351:4 100:2shows64:3slower40:11space29:19seven10:21,22side11:8 46:24small31:1941:713:1746:25 56:1734:22 35:14,14speak14:7,8,10several90:21sidewalks86:23smaller14:11speakership14:16 20:20sign11:21,23snowplowingspeaking19:1421:4,13 23:25106:246:7software46:25speaking19:1427:16,19 28:5,8signature106:3software46:2587:1 90:1180:14,17,18,20108:14 109:13solid63:24specifically80:24 89:4,9,13signatures99:8solutions109:160:18 84:280:24 89:4,9,13signatures99:8solutions109:160:18 84:28hake8:4,6significant112:1specifics46:2share79:10,2133:10 34:1870:19 71:6,16specifics57:10shared79:1856:10 69:20,2081:16 91:11spend57:15sheet111:18 112:1104:20sorry18:17spoke9:9	79:18 82:15	17:23,24 19:5,5	size 14:20,23	5:12 17:10
59:4 show 14:18 skills 107:10 23:7 49:13 set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 space 29:19 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speak 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 solid 63:24 87:1 90:11 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 60:18 84:2 80:24 89:4,9,13 significant 109:1 50:10	84:19 86:15	19:19 39:25	56:4	18:11,20 19:21
set 16:2,7,11 62:23 108:6 southern 12:23 51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 speak 14:7,8,10 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speakership several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 speakership sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 solid 63:24 specific specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 specifics 46:2 specifics 46:2 <	sessions 58:24	shorter 41:23	sizes 55:24	20:1 21:18 22:6
51:4 100:2 shows 64:3 slower 40:11 space 29:19 seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 speak 14:7,8,10 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speak 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 speakership sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 specifics 46:2 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 </td <th>59:4</th> <td>show 14:18</td> <td>skills 107:10</td> <td>23:7 49:13</td>	59:4	show 14:18	skills 107:10	23:7 49:13
seven 10:21,22 side 11:8 46:24 small 31:19 41:7 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speak 14:7,8,10 several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 speakership sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing speaking 19:14 21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 specific 16:21 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 60:18 84:2 80:24 89:4,9,13 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 8hake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 specifics 46:2 8hare 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 8hared 79:18 <th>set 16:2,7,11</th> <td>62:23</td> <td>108:6</td> <td>southern 12:23</td>	set 16:2,7,11	62:23	108:6	southern 12:23
13:17 46:25 56:17 34:22 35:14,14 speak 14:7,8,10 seventh 48:15 58:5 61:5 35:16 63:19 speakership several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 11:18 somebody 14:9 speculation share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 spent 57:15 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9 <th>51:4 100:2</th> <td>shows 64:3</td> <td>slower 40:11</td> <td>space 29:19</td>	51:4 100:2	shows 64:3	slower 40:11	space 29:19
seventh48:1558:5 61:535:16 63:19speakershipseveral90:21sidewalks86:23smaller14:1114:16 20:20sign11:21,23snowplowingspeaking19:1421:4,13 23:25106:246:733:12 70:1027:16,19 28:5,8signature106:3software46:2587:1 90:1128:13 51:15106:10 107:22sold13:1095:1680:14,17,18,20108:14 109:13solid63:24specifically80:24 89:4,9,13signatures99:8solutions109:160:18 84:2101:6,8signed110:13112:1specifics46:2shake8:4,6111:18somebody14:9speculationshape68:5significant27:8 63:2319:11share79:10,2133:10 34:1870:19 71:6,16spend57:15shared79:1856:10 69:20,2081:16 91:1175:10sheet111:7,1071:10 73:1396:12spent104:4111:18 112:1104:20sorry18:17spoke9:9	seven 10:21,22	side 11:8 46:24	small 31:19	41:7
several 90:21 sidewalks 86:23 smaller 14:11 101:25 sewer 14:4,15 87:15,17 31:23 92:18 speaking 19:14 14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing specific 16:21 21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 8hake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 specifics 46:2 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 spend 57:15 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 <th>13:17</th> <td>46:25 56:17</td> <td>34:22 35:14,14</td> <td>speak 14:7,8,10</td>	13:17	46:25 56:17	34:22 35:14,14	speak 14:7,8,10
sewer14:4,1587:15,1731:23 92:18speaking19:1414:16 20:20sign11:21,23snowplowingspecific16:2121:4,13 23:25106:246:733:12 70:1027:16,19 28:5,8signature106:3software46:2587:1 90:1128:13 51:15106:10 107:22sold13:1095:1680:14,17,18,20108:14 109:13solid63:24specifically80:24 89:4,9,13signatures99:8solutions109:160:18 84:2101:6,8signed110:13112:1specifics46:2shake8:4,6111:18somebody14:9speculationshape68:5significant27:8 63:2319:11share79:10,2133:10 34:1870:19 71:6,16spend57:15shared79:1856:10 69:20,2081:16 91:1175:10sheet111:7,1071:10 73:1396:12spent104:4111:18 112:1104:20sorry18:17spoke9:9	seventh 48:15	58:5 61:5	35:16 63:19	speakership
14:16 20:20 sign 11:21,23 snowplowing 46:7 specific 16:21 21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	several 90:21	sidewalks 86:23	smaller 14:11	101:25
21:4,13 23:25 106:2 46:7 33:12 70:10 27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation shape 68:5 significant 27:8 63:23 19:11 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	sewer 14:4,15	87:15,17	31:23 92:18	speaking 19:14
27:16,19 28:5,8 signature 106:3 software 46:25 87:1 90:11 28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation shape 68:5 significant 27:8 63:23 19:11 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	14:16 20:20	sign 11:21,23	snowplowing	specific 16:21
28:13 51:15 106:10 107:22 sold 13:10 95:16 80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	21:4,13 23:25	106:2	46:7	33:12 70:10
80:14,17,18,20 108:14 109:13 solid 63:24 specifically 80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	27:16,19 28:5,8	signature 106:3	software 46:25	87:1 90:11
80:24 89:4,9,13 signatures 99:8 solutions 109:1 60:18 84:2 101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation shape 68:5 significant 27:8 63:23 19:11 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 56:10 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	28:13 51:15	106:10 107:22	sold 13:10	95:16
101:6,8 signed 110:13 112:1 specifics 46:2 shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation shape 68:5 significant 27:8 63:23 19:11 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	80:14,17,18,20	108:14 109:13	solid 63:24	specifically
shake 8:4,6 111:18 somebody 14:9 speculation shape 68:5 significant 27:8 63:23 19:11 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	80:24 89:4,9,13	signatures 99:8	solutions 109:1	60:18 84:2
shape 68:5 significant 27:8 63:23 19:11 share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	101:6,8	signed 110:13	112:1	specifics 46:2
share 79:10,21 33:10 34:18 70:19 71:6,16 spend 57:15 shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	shake 8:4,6	111:18	somebody 14:9	speculation
shared 79:18 56:10 69:20,20 81:16 91:11 75:10 sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	shape 68:5	significant	27:8 63:23	19:11
sheet 111:7,10 71:10 73:13 96:12 spent 104:4 111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	share 79:10,21	33:10 34:18	70:19 71:6,16	spend 57:15
111:18 112:1 104:20 sorry 18:17 spoke 9:9	shared 79:18	· ·	81:16 91:11	75:10
	sheet 111:7,10	71:10 73:13	96:12	spent 104:4
19:17 27:4	111:18 112:1	104:20	sorry 18:17	spoke 9:9
			19:17 27:4	

anning 12.4	gtor: 10.5	atudias 10.19	gunnanted 17.6
spring 13:4	stay 40:5	studies 19:18	supported 17:6
staff 21:12	stenographic	22:20 39:14	supporting
staffing 39:25	5:24	65:23 66:18	39:24 87:22
stage 20:7	stephen 2:20	89:23	90:8
stalled 21:4	6:5	study 39:17	supportive
stand 97:19	sticking 48:23	54:14 56:25	16:12
standards	stinesville	59:25	supposed 20:25
51:16	30:23 63:19	studying 54:12	21:2 24:3 91:23
stands 48:10	84:24	stuff 7:19 81:20	sure 7:20 9:1
start 36:1,10	stipulation 5:25	subdivided	10:7 11:15
53:16 58:12	storage 20:24	55:11,14,15,17	24:17 34:17
started 13:24	21:6,8	55:23	36:9,11 45:1
39:11 53:7 91:9	store 40:10	subdivision	46:4 50:16,16
100:14	stormwater	14:13 27:23	52:24 53:19
starting 13:15	56:18 59:20	55:16,18	60:12 69:23
13:15 37:10	61:12,13 63:15	subdivisions	74:24,25 75:9,9
state 1:1 14:24	64:24	14:10	75:23 76:13
15:5 16:8,9	street 2:6 10:25	subjective	78:1 80:2 81:5
23:21 26:20	44:3,9 49:15	19:11	81:8,10 83:20
32:18 34:3 51:9	64:22 86:20	subscribed	83:22,22 99:24
54:9,10 74:3,12	87:3,6,8	110:10 111:14	101:12 103:17
89:16 91:7	streets 44:4,10	112:21	surprise 101:19
101:4,25	46:3,10 51:9,10	sufficient 24:2	surprised 26:16
107:19 110:10	strength 103:19	28:18 38:9	surrendered
111:15	structural	43:19 59:16	45:3
statement 92:9	74:10	82:15 83:14	surveyor 58:3
110:13,14	structure 31:13	suite 109:2	swallow 58:18
111:19,19	struggle 83:15	sunger 2:23	swear 6:18
states 54:11	struggling	superior 109:1	swoop 92:15
station 100:7	72:17	supplemental	sworn 5:16
statute 14:24	student 13:14	77:6 88:3 90:14	6:22 107:5
15:5 16:8,9	37:9,9	supplemented	110:10,13
81:24 94:4,12	studied 21:17	4:12	111:14,18
100:15	41:11 44:5 54:6	support 75:19	112:21
statutory 91:17	54:8 67:23 91:5	88:1 90:13	system 14:21
101:6 102:9			15:4 19:3 68:13

[t - think] Page 28

t	47:25 49:4	60:20 67:10	things 18:3
t 4:6,10	56:17,18 57:7,8	77:24 78:1 81:9	27:1 32:14
table 57:3	57:9,10,18,19	81:15,23,25	35:21,22,23
81:14,16	57:19,20 58:22	82:4,4,8,9	36:16,25 37:1,2
take 5:4,13	58:23 63:5,9,10	85:18 95:7	37:24 46:7
53:12,17 76:25	63:15,16,16	103:7	52:13 53:9
81:11 95:19	69:16,17,22,22	terrible 29:16	57:20 59:9,15
97:13 104:16	70:4,20 73:17	territories	67:8,10 85:11
104:19 106:1	74:4,7,16 75:1	69:10 87:23	86:25 90:21
taken 5:7 75:2	75:2 78:17,18	territory 38:16	92:12 93:8
107:3,12 108:9	84:9 86:9 94:23	83:3 94:20	94:11
109:10	96:8,13 98:7	testified 6:24	think 13:17,17
takes 47:8 52:7	taxes 57:16	102:4 104:4	15:6,22 19:5
52:8 57:10 65:1	58:13 59:7 68:4	testify 82:8	25:17,19 26:1
talk 9:11 10:4	69:24 70:1,5,6	83:19,21,24	26:25 27:7,14
11:15 22:6	72:13 73:7	88:4,6 90:16,23	28:7,24 30:1
60:19 95:21	74:18 76:3 81:4	102:5,22	32:13,15,20
100:22	95:17	testifying 107:5	33:4,16,21 34:1
talked 9:16	taxing 65:12	testimony 78:7	34:2,5,16 35:6
33:13 54:3 76:6	95:8,25 98:15	110:6,7 111:6,9	35:19,25 36:18
90:20,23 96:24	taxpayers	111:12	36:24 37:4,7,7
102:20,22	75:14 78:18	thank 6:17,25	39:11 40:25
103:6	teach 12:20,22	8:8 10:16 11:16	43:1,3,14 44:6
talking 10:1,4	13:1,13	28:24 42:13	44:9 50:25 52:1
19:4,11 25:9	teaching 12:24	65:22,23 66:20	52:1,2,3,4,13
30:10 40:8,21	13:17	76:24 94:14	52:24 54:22
61:7 66:3 69:13	tell 6:23 22:10	105:11,13,21	60:20,24 63:11
80:5,9,20,21	31:10 32:8 44:2	theirs 48:6	65:5,8,8 67:1,4
86:16 98:9	66:22	thing 7:21	67:5,7,24,25
target 92:10,11	telling 82:14	14:11 15:14	68:1 71:11
targeted 32:3	tend 71:13	22:7 27:10	73:16 75:1,1
91:22 92:7	term 13:24	31:10 37:8	76:13 80:6,12
taught 13:3,15	16:21,22 17:2	46:18 49:23	81:4,4,13,19
tax 31:13 33:10	terms 17:18	51:15 86:12	83:9,25,25
47:3,19,22,23	27:1 32:21	103:11	84:11,12,13,15
	33:15 57:8		85:10,25 86:3

86:17 92:13,17	61:16 62:15,21	79:11,14	80:4,6
94:5,21 95:2,7	62:24 66:2 69:7	told 19:1 70:10	treasurer 58:3
96:1,3 97:1,1	69:15,16 71:1	took 52:16	treated 27:21
99:22 101:1,14	71:24 72:10,19	top 57:10	trial 83:20
102:11,22	72:24 73:18	topic 39:9	102:23
103:2,10,10,10	91:5 94:7,23	total 92:24 93:2	tried 41:12 56:6
104:4 105:24	95:12,18 96:4,6	totality 23:18	61:10
thinking 17:2	97:2,6,16 99:23	tourist 48:5	truck 83:2
32:14,20 36:5	100:4	toward 19:9	true 107:9
36:17 67:6,10	tilly's 94:9	town 30:16	108:5
91:10	time 1:20 6:2	31:12,22 35:14	trustees 70:15
thinks 27:3	7:25 10:11	35:20 36:24	99:13
32:15	12:20,24 13:9	85:6	truth 6:23,23
thirty 109:17	13:10,15,18,18	township 57:19	6:24
thomas 1:18	25:23 29:14,20	57:21,23,24	truthfully 8:13
5:6 6:15,15,21	30:15 37:25	70:14 82:23	8:18
7:4 78:6 88:5	38:5,5 40:11,17	83:1,5,7 99:13	try 11:15 41:15
90:16 104:3	40:24 42:15	townships	79:19 81:20,21
105:3 109:8	46:7 49:19	63:21,22	trying 11:7
110:4,9 111:4	50:24 51:11,17	tracked 55:5	26:7 29:24
111:13 112:20	57:3 65:9,10	transcribed	33:23 41:25
thomson 53:2	66:6 69:2 73:18	110:7	51:18,19 55:19
thought 68:21	81:11 86:1	transcriber	55:19,20 83:18
68:25	92:13,13 93:23	108:1	turn 77:18,22
thousand 13:16	98:11 100:16	transcript 5:18	77:25 98:22
three 41:1	102:6	11:14 105:17	turned 53:4
46:20 53:14,15	times 7:13	105:20,23	two 12:21 13:16
99:4,5	12:12 36:3 41:4	107:21 108:3,5	15:7,25 32:23
thumb 97:3	41:8,11 80:14	109:10,15	37:16 41:3,23
tif 17:16 24:23	timing 94:2	110:5,12 111:5	41:23 49:7
24:23 63:15	tiny 18:3	111:11,17	59:15 65:5 98:3
tilly 4:8 9:8	today 8:12 9:3	transcriptionist	98:4 100:9
10:14 54:21,24	10:8 19:1 90:21	107:8	type 7:24 8:5,5
56:25 58:25	104:19	transit 78:8,10	84:17
59:2,22,23 60:3	together 43:13	78:12,13,13,15	typewriting
60:4,13 61:10	47:10 52:5	78:25 79:1,7,10	107:7

trunically 25.24	19.22 09.6	unhanization	Transian 0.0
typically 25:24	48:22 98:6	urbanization	version 9:8
48:23 53:9	unfortunate	43:7	92:3,20 93:17
u	84:6	usda 79:1	93:22
u 4:10	unger 2:20 4:3	use 8:22 17:1	versions 55:2
uh 8:4	6:5,5,8 7:3	24:10,15 37:23	93:18,22
under 5:21 8:9	11:17 22:1,15	48:4,6 75:11	versus 58:10
18:1 27:13	23:3,9,11 42:18	used 26:2 34:25	59:14
51:22 53:2	42:22 54:1	35:14 84:5 97:4	veteran 96:12
67:14	62:13 72:5,7,15	uses 5:20	viable 21:16
understaffed	75:5 76:18,20	using 49:3	visit 85:7
38:22,24 39:6	76:23 77:1,3	61:24	visually 43:4
understaffing	81:18 89:1,3	usually 98:2	vital 70:18
38:25	102:12,19	utilities 14:15	voices 69:18
understand	103:23 105:14	28:8	voluntary
5:17 7:25 8:6,8	105:16,18	utility 24:8	33:24 102:2
8:11,14,17	unicorn 73:2	utilize 24:25	voted 21:6
18:17 23:12	85:24	45:9	93:13,16
29:1,2,13,15,24	unified 68:13	utilized 18:24	voters 74:5
191111111			
	unincorporated	utilizes 45:12	vs 5:9
38:4,24 42:23	unincorporated 29:10		
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20	_	V	W
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19	29:10 unionville 79:5	v v 1:12 4:10	w wage 16:2,8,11
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9	wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2 48:16 50:20	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23	v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1 vehicle 56:19	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6 23:7
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23 updated 60:7	v v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2 48:16 50:20 58:22 59:21 62:25 64:11	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23 updated 60:7 62:18	v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1 vehicle 56:19	w wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6 23:7
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2 48:16 50:20 58:22 59:21	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23 updated 60:7 62:18 upgrades 24:20	v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1 vehicle 56:19 venture 28:17	wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6 23:7 want 10:2,7
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2 48:16 50:20 58:22 59:21 62:25 64:11	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23 updated 60:7 62:18 upgrades 24:20 urban 27:12	v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1 vehicle 56:19 venture 28:17 verification	wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6 23:7 want 10:2,7 11:13 19:4
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2 48:16 50:20 58:22 59:21 62:25 64:11 65:16 72:18	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23 updated 60:7 62:18 upgrades 24:20 urban 27:12 35:14 42:15,17	v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1 vehicle 56:19 venture 28:17 verification 14:14	wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6 23:7 want 10:2,7 11:13 19:4 27:19 32:8,21
38:4,24 42:23 42:25 55:19,20 62:15 64:19 66:24 69:21 77:19 80:2,11 81:10 83:19,21 92:8 98:14,20 103:11 understanding 18:25 40:2 48:16 50:20 58:22 59:21 62:25 64:11 65:16 72:18 104:16	29:10 unionville 79:5 unit 20:5 24:19 24:19 65:12 95:8 units 19:25 20:24 22:9 98:15 university 12:23 updated 60:7 62:18 upgrades 24:20 urban 27:12	v 1:12 4:10 109:6 110:3 111:3 value 57:16 95:24 various 40:9 60:18 vast 48:1 vehicle 56:19 venture 28:17 verification 14:14 veritext 5:4	wage 16:2,8,11 waived 109:12 109:19 waivers 98:10 101:7,7 walnut 17:10 18:11,20 19:21 20:1 21:18 22:6 23:7 want 10:2,7 11:13 19:4 27:19 32:8,21 43:12 45:24

[want - zoning] Page 31

	T		
67:17,18 72:11	wednesday	66:12	year 44:6 49:5
75:8 77:1 80:1	1:19	worked 37:1,2	49:6 51:1 64:5
80:11 81:5,7,9	week 10:12	46:21	64:5,13 65:5,7
81:12 84:13,16	12:12,14,21	workforce	65:19 68:22
84:17,24,25	40:24	16:22	71:23 72:20,22
85:1,15 97:18	weeks 12:15	working 19:9	73:17 79:15
98:21 101:12	weights 66:10	25:24 56:1 71:5	102:5
wanted 20:24	went 102:4	works 32:19	years 39:10
84:18	western 82:22	44:14 46:4,5	41:9 47:18 49:7
wanting 29:22	wheel 63:15	world 68:19	50:5 75:24
wants 14:21	wide 16:11	worried 68:1,3	82:16 86:6,7
85:14	width 51:14	worthy 20:9	91:9 92:6 99:4
waste 28:19	william 2:11	writing 21:10	99:5 101:10,11
63:24 102:6	willing 36:20	77:21	104:4,6,21
wastewater	36:21	written 5:25	youth 76:11
19:3 89:14,15	window 49:16	77:19	Z
89:18	wish 57:4	wrong 26:21	zero 21:13
watched 39:8	witness 5:16,17	39:12 47:1	100:21
water 14:5,15	6:18,22 23:10	X	zones 50:8,9
23:25 37:18	42:19 72:11	x 4:1,6,10	zoning 50:2
89:4,7,7,8,14	81:13 87:25	107:21	55:25 56:2,3
way 24:18 26:1	90:12 105:13		33.23 30.2,3
26:20 27:20	107:4 109:8	y	
30:17 46:23	110:1,4,11	y 4:10	
52:6,16 53:9	111:1,4,15	yeah 7:7,18	
73:15 74:2	wjbeggs 2:15	9:13 10:25	
75:18 79:20	wonderful	12:15 29:9 31:6	
80:10 86:5,5	45:10	34:13 42:9,20	
96:7 98:6,7	word 34:14,18	46:16 49:8	
ways 46:20	84:5	51:18 52:21	
66:16 71:15	words 29:23	62:6 66:11	
80:17 84:12	32:14 81:2 83:4	72:17 76:3,20	
89:16	work 26:2	76:23 85:23	
website 63:8	29:17 32:5	100:18 102:6	
93:1	46:10,13 47:5,8	102:11	
	52:5,15 53:11		

Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure Depositions Upon Oral Examination Rule 30

- (e) Submission to witness--Changes--Signing.
- (1) When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for reading and signing and shall be read to or by him, unless such reading and signing have been waived by the witness and by each party. "Submitted to the witness" as used in this subsection shall mean (a) mailing of written notification by registered or certified mail to the witness and each attorney attending the deposition that the deposition can be read and examined in the office of the officer before whom the deposition was taken, or (b), mailing the original deposition, by registered or certified mail, to the witness at an address designated by the witness or his attorney, if requested to do so by the witness, his attorney, or the party taking the deposition.
- (2) If the witness desires to change any answer in the deposition submitted to him, each change, with a statement of the reason therefor, shall be made

by the witness on a separate form provided by the officer, shall be signed by the witness and affixed to the original deposition by the officer. A copy of such changes shall be furnished by the officer to each party.

- (3) If the reading and signing have not been waived by the witness and by each party the deposition shall be signed by the witness and returned by him to the officer within thirty (30) days after it is submitted to the witness. If the deposition has been returned to the officer and has not been signed by the witness, the officer shall execute a certificate of that fact, attach it to the original deposition and deliver it to the party taking it. In such event, the deposition may be used by any party with the same force and effect as though it had been signed by the witness.
- (4) In the event the deposition is not returned to the officer within thirty (30) days after it has been submitted to the witness, the reporter shall execute a certificate of that fact and cause the certificate to be delivered to the party taking it. In such event, any party may use a copy of the

deposition with the same force and effect as though the original had been signed by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.