		Page 1
	STATE OF INDIANA	
	COUNTY OF MONROE	
	IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COUP	RT
COUNTY RESIDENTS AGAINST		
ANNEXATION, IN	IC., an Indiana	
not for profit	corporation,	
et al.,		
Remo	nstrators/	
Appe	ellants/	
Peti	tioners,	
v.		Cause No.
THE COMMON COUNCIL of the City 53C06-2203-P		53C06-2203-PL-
of Bloomington, Monroe County, 000509		000509
Indiana, et al	• ,	
Resp	ondents.	
V	IDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION	OF
	PAUL POST	
DATE:	Thursday, March 7, 2024	
TIME:	2:04 p.m.	
LOCATION:	Remote Proceeding	
	Bloomington, IN	
REPORTED BY:	Andrew Pronschinske	
JOB NO.:	6504457	
	ANNEXATION, IN not for profit et al., Remonstrate Petitive. THE COMMON COUNTY of Bloomington Indiana, et al. Respondent Time: LOCATION: REPORTED BY:	COUNTY OF MONROE IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COUNTY COUNTY RESIDENTS AGAINST ANNEXATION, INC., an Indiana not for profit corporation, et al., Remonstrators/ Appellants/ Petitioners, V. THE COMMON COUNCIL of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, et al., Respondents. VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION PAUL POST DATE: Thursday, March 7, 2024 TIME: 2:04 p.m. LOCATION: Remote Proceeding Bloomington, IN REPORTED BY: Andrew Pronschinske

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER COUNTY RESIDENTS AGAINST
3	ANNEXATION, INC.:
4	WILLIAM J. BEGGS, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
5	Bunger & Robertson
6	211 South College Avenue
7	Bloomington, IN 47404
8	wjbeggs@lawbr.com
9	(812) 332-9295
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY
12	OF BLOOMINGTON:
13	STEPHEN C. UNGER, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
14	Bose McKinney & Evans LLP
15	111 Monument Circle
16	Indianapolis, IN 46204
17	sunger@boselaw.com
18	(317) 684-5465
19	
20	ANDREW W. MCNEIL, ESQUIRE (by videoconference)
21	Bose McKinney & Evans LLP
22	111 Monument Circle
23	Indianapolis, IN 46204
24	amcneil@boselaw.com
25	(317) 684-5253

		Pa	age 3
1		I N D E X	
2	EXAMINATION:		PAGE
3	By Mr.	Unger	6
4	By Mr.	Beggs	98
5	By Mr.	Unger	104
6	By Mr.	Beggs	105
7			
8		EXHIBITS	
9	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
10	Exhibit 28	Amended Supplemented Answers	
11		to Interrogatories	94
12	Exhibit 53	Police Department Organizational	
13		Assessment 2020	48
14	Exhibit 54	Fiscal Plan for Annexations	85
15	Exhibit 55	Post's Deposition Notes -	
16		Review of Data Bloomington	
17		January-March 2024	99
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

PROCEEDINGS

THE REPORTER: Good afternoon. My name is Andrew Pronschinske; I am the reporter assigned by Veritext to take the record of this proceeding. We are now on the record at 2:04 p.m.

This is the deposition of Paul Post taken in the matter of County Residents Against Annexation, Incorporated, an Indiana not for profit corporation, et al. vs. The Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, et al. on March 7, 2024, virtually over Zoom.

I am a notary authorized to take acknowledgments and administer oaths in Indiana. Parties agree that I will swear in the witness remotely.

Additionally, absent an objection on the record before the witness is sworn, all parties and the witness understand and agree that any certified transcript produced from the recording of this proceeding:

- is intended for all uses permitted under applicable procedural and evidentiary rules and laws in the same manner as a deposition recorded by stenographic means; and

2425

	Page 5
1	- shall constitute written stipulation
2	of such.
3	At this time will everyone in
4	attendance please identify yourself for the record,
5	beginning with the noticing attorney.
6	MR. UNGER: All right. Stephen Unger,
7	Bose McKinney & Evans on behalf of the city. And with
8	me is Andrew McNeil, also of my firm, on behalf of the
9	city.
10	MR. BEGGS: Bill Beggs, Bunger &
11	Robertson, on behalf of the petitioners.
12	MR. POST: Paul Post. I'm the
13	president of the Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 88 in
14	Bloomington, Indiana.
15	THE REPORTER: Thank you. Hearing no
16	objection, I will now swear in the witness.
17	Please raise your right hand.
18	WHEREUPON,
19	PAUL POST,
20	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
21	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
22	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
23	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
24	We may proceed.
25	

	Page 6
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. UNGER:
3	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Post. It's nice to meet
4	you.
5	A You, too.
6	Q I'm Stephen Unger. Again, I represent the
7	city. I don't think we've ever met before, have we?
8	A Okay.
9	Q You don't recall?
10	A Not in person, no. I've seen your name, but
11	not in person. Correct.
12	Q Okay. Have you ever been deposed before?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q Okay. In criminal matters, could I assume?
15	A Yes. I'm a City of Bloomington police
16	officer, so I've been through a few depositions.
17	Q Okay. Ever in a civil matter?
18	A I don't believe so for civil, no.
19	Q Okay. Well, I'm just going to remind you of
20	a few things. As you know, you're under oath;
21	correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And so it's we have a reporter that's
24	going to be typing down everything that we say. It's
25	important that you answer questions yes and no instead

- of nodding your head yes or no because --
- A Got you.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q -- don't show up.
- A Correct. Got you.
 - Q And second thing is it'll be very helpful for the reporter, and it can be a little harder on a Zoom deposition like this, not talking over each other. I'll do my best to not talk over you if you do your best to let me finish my questions, and I'll try to let you finish, as well. Okay?
 - A Makes sense.
 - Q Okay. And if you need a break, just let us know, okay, and we'll make sure we accommodate.
 - A Okay.
 - Q So you understand that I'm going to ask you questions, and your responsibility is to answer my questions as truthfully and completely and honestly as you can; do you understand that?
 - A Yes, I understand that.
 - Q Okay. If for whatever reason I ask you a question and you don't understand it or there's some reason you can't answer it completely or truthfully, will you agree to let me know?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Okay. What did you do to prepare for your

deposition today?

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

A I talked to one of my shift reps who does the numbers for us and got the March reporting numbers for our staffing and went over those so I made sure I had the current numbers in front of me.

- Q Okay. Who was that that you got those from?
- 7 A Jeff Rodgers.
 - Q Anything else? Did you do anything else to prepare?
 - A Not today, no. I actually just got off shift at 2 p.m., so I rushed right in here and changed real fast, and that was it.
 - Q Anything before today? Did you talk to anybody else in preparation for the deposition?
 - A Not specifically about the deposition. I've talked to Mr. Beggs a few times about some questions they had. I talked to, this would be back maybe a week or so ago, I talked to the Indiana State FOP Labor Council, my field rep, and notified them that the deposition was taking place.
 - Q What did Mr. Beggs want to know about generally?
 - A He was asking questions about the, I guess, the staffing and the manpower situation with the Bloomington Police Department.

- Q Do you have, you mentioned, March reporting numbers that you got from Jeff Rodgers; do you have any notes or anything with you?
- A I've got that sheet with me, yes. I mean, is that something I can share through that text or the thing that Abbi sent?
- Q Okay. Probably not at this point. Is there -- let me ask you this. I want to just make sure I'm clear because again, we're not in the same room. So normally I would see if you have other papers in front of you; is there anything else that you have, notes or anything?
- A I have the subpoena which was with my notebook sitting here, yeah. I have a folder.
- Q Okay. What's in your folder?
- A Oh, it's just my -- my FOP folder when I -- I just have stuff with me. Yeah.
 - Q Okay. Understood. And thank you. Can you -- yeah, would you be able to email the -- those --
- 20 MR. UNGER: Actually, let's go off the record for a minute.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record at 2:09
- 24 p.m.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25 (Off the record.)

- THE REPORTER: We're now back on the record at 2:12 p.m.
- 3 BY MR. UNGER:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q Mr. Post, just to clarify for the record.

 Off the record, we kind of went through and you, I understand, have taken a picture or emailed us a copy of the March report numbers that you referenced earlier; correct?
 - A Correct. I sent an email to all three of us -- or all three of you.
 - Q The three attorneys that are --
- 12 A Yes.
 - Q -- here today. Okay. Good. And we'll take a look at that after a little bit, so I want to go through some other stuff first.
 - Could you tell me what your, briefly, your employment history has been in with the Bloomington Police Department?
 - A I've been employed with BPD since 2002. I'm a senior patrol officer currently assigned to the day shift which is in the mornings. I've worked all three of the patrol shifts over the course of my 21-plus years.
- Q Have you always been a patrol officer?
- 25 A Correct.

Q Have you worked for any other police departments?

2.0

2.2

- A Prior to this, I worked for the Anderson
 University Police Department while I was in college
 going to Anderson University.
- Q What are your, as a senior patrol officer, what are your responsibilities?
- A I'm assigned to the uniformed patrol division, so each day I'm assigned to a patrol district and, you know, full uniform, marked car, drive around my district and, you know, look for whether it's answering calls or looking for -- for crimes that are occurring or traffic incidents, things like that.
- Q Is it always the same district? Or is it a different district?
- A It can rotate. I'm senior enough that it tends to be usually the same one. But we have rotating numbers, so depending on needs of the shift, yeah, it could rotate.
- Q Do you have, like, seniority via preference on what district?
- A We get to put in a preference, yes, on district. But again, you know, depending on the needs of the day, we can get moved.

- Q Is that considered an upper level policy-making position?
 - A No.
- Q Are you -- do you consider yourself a policy maker in your role as a city employee?
- A No.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- Q Do you have any spending authority in that role?
- A No.
- 10 Q Do you as a city employee have any involvement in the budgeting process?
 - A Other than as an observer, no.
 - Q Do you in your role as a city employee, do you have -- do you work with other city departments?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 0 What exactly?
 - A We interact with a lot of other city employees throughout, you know, our days. It could be, you know, on a traffic incident it could be Parks and Rec making a complaint about, you know, maybe some vandalism in a park or someone calling in a prior incident they want to report. We interact with lots of them.
 - Q Do you have as a city employee any role in helping to decide how city funds are budgeted among

	Page 13
1	different departments?
2	A No.
3	Q So I understand you're a union member?
4	A Correct.
5	Q What's the union that
6	A The collective bargaining unit at the
7	Bloomington Police Department is comprised of police
8	officers and detectives, so it's uniformed officers
9	and detectives who are of the rank officer first class
10	and senior police officer.
11	Q Is there a I guess my is it an FOP?
12	Because it's the Fraternal Order of Police?
13	A Correct. That bargaining unit is
14	represented by the Fraternal Order of Police Lodge 88.
15	Lodge 88 is comprised of multiple agencies across
16	Monroe and Brown County.
17	Q How long have you been a member of the
18	union?
19	A I joined in 2002 shortly after I was hired.
20	Q And what's your role with the union?
21	A Currently I'm the president of the lodge.
22	Q What does that entail?
23	A So for lodge-specific duties, it's running
24	meetings, running any sort of services we may hold,
25	memorial services, things like that. Organizing some

of our charitable events that we do like Shop With a Cop in December. Specifically to the collective bargaining unit at the Bloomington Police Department, FOP 88 is the group that represents that bargaining unit in terms of contract negotiations and bargaining.

- Q Are you testifying in this case today, and if at trial, in your official role as a union representative?
 - A Correct. Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q So you're -- are you speaking for the Fraternal Order of Police in general or just the lodge?
- A In this particular case, I'm representing the members of the Bloomington Police Department collective bargaining unit.
- Q How many members are there of Lodge 88? And I'll split it up. How many members are there at Lodge 88 and how many are there members of -- the Bloomington Police Department are members of the union?
- A The bargaining unit at BPD is I want to say somewhere in the 60s. I don't know, I'd have to look up the number exactly. And then the lodge itself is 80-some-odd members with different -- different agencies.

- Q How many Monroe County sheriff's employee -- deputy -- sheriff's office employees do you have that are members?
- A I'd have to have that list in front of me.

 I don't know. There's -- there's a handful, yeah.
 - Q It's voluntary; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Do officers or members pay dues?
 - A Yes, members of the lodge pay yearly dues.
- Q Is that a requirement to be a member?
- 11 A It is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- Q If somebody doesn't pay their dues, what's the penalty?
- A So basically to join the lodge, you have to agree and pay your dues each year. And then if you're not a paying member, you get dropped from the lodge roll.
- Q Okay. What's -- how much -- what are the dues?
 - A For this year, they are \$130 per year.
- Q Does that come directly out of somebody's paycheck usually?
- A So we have -- it's not an easy answer. For some City of Bloomington Police Department employees, it can, yes. Well, no, let me correct that. The

lodge dues do not. The membership in the -- the dues that the BPD members pay into the labor council dues come out electronically.

2.0

- O And is that in addition to the \$130?
- A Correct. So the -- the bargaining unit at the -- at BPD elected to join the Indiana FOP, the state FOP Labor Council for legal representation.

 That's a separate amount than the lodge dues for membership.
- Q Do you know what that is, the legal counsel dues?
- A I want to say it's like \$17 a month. It's an odd amount. It's like 17 and change, I don't remember the exact amount.
- Q And am I correct in understanding that you can -- you don't have to be a member of a local lodge to be able to join the legal council fund at the FOP?
- A Correct. So some members of the bargaining unit at BPD are not members of the local lodge, correct. They are just represented by us.
- Q Okay. So is that -- so in other words, that would be like saying probably more than 60 officers are members of the -- pay to the labor council fund?
- A I don't think it's that -- I don't know the exact number of the BPD officers that pay into the

- labor council. I would have to get the list from the controller to see what this year's number is. Again, the bargaining unit is about 60 total officers, I believe, at BPD. But again, that list is different than the list of members of the lodge itself.
- Q Do -- are there -- and I'll get a little bit more into your collective bargaining agreement. But do the -- there's not a separate set of rules at the police department for members of the lodge or FOP than there are for other members; correct?
 - A No. No.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q So if I'm a police officer that has chosen not to be a member of the lodge, do I receive the same benefits under the collective bargaining agreement as members?
- A Correct. The collective bargaining unit -- or collective bargaining agreement at BPD covers all of those members who are part of that bargaining unit, yes.
- Q Are more police officers in Monroe County and in Bloomington a good thing for the union?
- A A good thing meaning financially? Or like fraternally? Or what?
- Q Both, either?
 - A Well, again, because you don't -- they're

not required to join the lodge. The potential is there, yes, if more of them were hired in the county they could potentially join the lodge and bring more dues in. Again, we don't require them to do so. It's a voluntary, if they wish to join, so potentially.

Fraternally, I'd say yes if we have more members, more members that participate, it makes -- makes things easier for some of our charitable outreach work that we do.

- Q Is one of the union's goals to boost compensation and benefits for its members?
 - A Correct.

2.0

- Q What are other goals of the union?
- A Well, to protect the rights of the members to ensure that, you know, they're seeing due process with the contracts that, you know, that's collective bargaining.

Fraternally, it's, you know, we try to encourage the members from different agencies to interact with each other. It's a way for them to get to know people from other agencies and recognize those people.

And then, again, with the charitable outreach, it's good to have those members involved in the community itself and doing good things.

Q Is the union opposed to the city's annexations of area 1A and 1B?

2.0

2.2

2.3

A We are, let's say, fearful of annexation because we currently don't have enough officers for what we have, and adding large areas like area 1A and 1B without doing some of the prep work to staff those areas is why I think most of the members are so fearful of it.

Q Other than that, is there any other reason that the union is opposed other than those concerns?

A No. Most of the members don't -- don't live in the city or live in those areas, so it's not like you have, you know, people who are, you know, concerned about their homes or their taxes in that sense, no.

Q Was there any kind of a membership vote concerning the city's annexation?

A Not specific to annexation, no. That would be -- we've done polls of the membership for other things. I don't know that we've done one about that specifically.

Q Have you done polls for things that relate to the annexation?

A We've done polls largely with BPD. It's about compensation or about, you know, things,

- directions that we want to do. You know, whether it's reaching out to city council on issues, whether it's, you know, taking numbers for voting on contract issues, that sort of thing.
 - Q And is it your understanding that cities and towns in Indiana are not required to collectively bargain for -- with police and fire?
 - A I think that's right. I'm trying to remember all the -- all of that case law, but I believe that's correct.
 - Q You're not aware of a requirement that municipalities must engage in collective bargaining with the police union, are you?
 - A Correct.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

2.5

- Q When was the last collective bargaining agreement negotiated?
- A The current CBA runs from years 2023 through 2026, so it would have been signed and negotiated in 2022.
- 20 Q Is that -- are they normally four-year 21 terms?
 - A Correct.
- Q Are those -- what was your role in the 2022 collective bargaining agreement negotiations?
 - A I was also the president at that time of the

union.

2.0

2.2

- Q Were you one of the negotiators then?
- A Correct. So the team that does that is comprised of a representative of each uniformed shift and detectives, and then an FOP representative; in this case, that was me, yes.
- Q So there -- is that a five-person team when
- A Correct. BPD uses it. We have five people, and then with the last two contracts after they joined the labor council, there are times where there may have been an attorney or there may have been a field representative from the labor council in attendance, as well.
- Q What were the union's primary concerns in that last negotiating process? Or what were their -- what were the things that you guys wanted to accomplish in it?
- A You know, largely compensation. BPD has consistently ranked well behind our peer agencies in terms of compensation and what you would lump under benefits, let's say. We see that as affecting our ability to compete in the marketplace and recruit and then also retain employees. We've lost a lot of employees to other agencies who pay better than BPD or

have better benefits than BPD.

2.0

2.2

- Q Is there anything else?
- A So doing a contract, I mean, it's a multipart thing. So, I mean, that's the general header of it is largely compensation. I mean, there's a lot of different areas of that contract that I could, if I had it in front of me we could go through them line by line, but.
- Q What was the result in -- I understand there was a pay increase that came out of that collective bargaining agreement?
- A There was. The -- so each year of this four-year contract, there was a percentage increase. The first year was the larger of the four years, and then it's a different amount each year since -- or for '24, '25, and '26.
- Q Do you remember what the first year percentage increase was?
- A For officer first class, it was 13.something percent, and for senior police officer it
 was 12.6 percent, something like that. For year two,
 it's 2.8 percent increase for everyone, for year three
 it's 2.9 percent, and year four it's 3 percent.
- Q Do you -- did you view those as successful negotiations and a successful collective bargaining

agreement?

2.0

2.2

A The membership voted on it and accepted it as acceptable, yes. It was definitely one of the larger increases they have seen, and so, yeah, they felt good about it at the time.

Q I haven't read it, but I would assume it doesn't prohibit the city from raising pay greater than that that's in the agreement?

A No. There's a section in there that talks about, you know, if both parties to the agreement agreed that there needed to be some sort of change made, both parties could come back to the table and, yeah, do that if they wanted to.

Q What role did annexation play in the collective bargaining agreement negotiations in 2022?

A You know, annexation's been talked about for a long time, so obviously it was mentioned during that, I'm sure. Basically it's the same -- same concept, you know.

The officers view annexation as something that, you know, if we don't do something now to begin recruiting new officers and filling in the gaps we have as well as adding new positions, BPD has traditionally been rather understaffed for population, call volume, crime statistics.

And, you know, obviously we're 20 officers
short today, so, you know, I'm sure it was discussed
in terms of that where, you know, we're trying to find
a way, you know, whether it's increasing compensation
and benefits to attract new officers here and retain
the officers that we currently have.

- Q Was it something that the union raised specifically during negotiations?
 - A I'm sure we did, yeah.

2.0

2.2

Q And as in was it a -- I'm trying to think how to phrase this. Was it an issue that affected compensation?

A I think that it was an issue that where we were looking at it as, you know, the more compensation or the better way we can position BPD to attract either recruiting a new officer or retaining existing officers, obviously the higher we can position ourselves as a marketable agency, the better we will be.

That's been the, kind of the big issue for a number of years is being able to hire officers and then keep them long-term.

Q The pay increases, they're not contingent on the annexation being successful or unsuccessful, are they?

A No. The annexation is not mentioned in any way in the current collective bargaining agreement, no.

Q So the annexation doesn't affect the pay increases or the collective bargaining agreement?

A No, it's not a mentioned section in the agreement, no.

Q Was -- so I'm looking at my timing here. Prior to that, the prior collective bargaining agreement negotiations would have been in 2018; is that correct?

A I think that one went maybe a little bit into 2019. There was, I think it was that year that we did go into our evergreen year. I don't believe we came to an agreement by the end of it, and we went in a little bit to the next year.

Q By "evergreen," you just mean the prior agreement just continued for an extra year?

A Correct.

2.0

2.2

Q Okay. Was annexation an issue during those collective bargaining agreement negotiations?

A It was probably mentioned because I believe the city started the annexation in 20 -- was it 2017, 2018, somewhere in there, so it probably was mentioned at that time just because of the closeness of it.

- Q You talked about a little bit of the staffing concerns. Do you agree that police departments around the country are having trouble right now attracting new officers?
 - A Yes, I would agree with that.
- Q Sorry, can you repeat that? I think you tuned out a minute.
 - A Sorry. Yes, I would agree with that.
- Q There's a shortage of police officers nationwide?
 - A Correct.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 12 Q Is it pretty competitive nationwide to attract officers?
- 14 A Yeah, it's a very competitive hiring market 15 right now.
 - Q Are there fewer people that want to be police officers?
 - A There's -- there's some research that says yeah, the pool is a lot less just based on national trends and some of the media stuff that's been out the last, you know, let's say, you know, eight to ten years. So yeah, you probably do have fewer people applying for those jobs.
 - Q Why do you think that is?
 - A You know, a lot of the media reports, you

know, in the last eight to ten years have been, you know, very negative about law enforcement. There was a period of time where law enforcement was really getting a bad rap, and, you know, it's -- it swings back and forth.

2.0

2.2

If you've done this long enough, you know, in law enforcement you see that where sometimes, you know, everyone loves you, sometimes everyone hates you. It just goes back and forth, and we're just kind of in the midst of that.

Q When do you think -- I understand your position is there's a staffing shortage; when you do, in Bloomington PD, when do you think that problem started to occur?

A Really in the last about five years, I think is where we really saw, you know, we were losing more than we were able to bring in and retain. I'd have to have, you know, multi years in front of me, but I want to say 2019, 2020, somewhere in there, the last bit.

Q You don't think it was a problem before that?

A Well, this is my opinion. It was because Bloomington's obviously always been a little bit under kind of the national number of, you know, where you see police officer totals. I think it was two mayors

ago they made a big push they were going to try to add two new positions each year during his terms.

2.0

2.2

We added for a number of years, you saw regular retirements, but we were still continuing to add, and we can still hire people with, you know, relative regularity, let's say.

And then here in the last, I'd say about five years or so, it's become harder to hire people because you have, you know, fewer people putting in, and then we were losing people who may be retiring, whether it's early or more than -- instead of people staying for, let's say, 25 years, they were getting out right at 20 years type thing.

Q And do you think that's consistent nationwide, too? Officers retiring earlier?

A I do. You see quite a bit of that, and that's really, you know, again why recruiting and retaining have to go hand in hand.

Q Do you know -- I believe there was a change in the law at some point concerning where officers can reside; are you familiar with that?

A Yeah, 'cause it used to be, I think there was like, there was a certain mileage put on it. And then I know that changed, and then now I don't think there's an actual number on it, if I remember

correctly.

2.0

2.2

- Q There's no restriction for Bloomington police officers on where they can live, is there?
- A Not that I'm aware of. We have several officers who live outside of the city, outside of the county, yes.
- Q Like I, for example, I think Carmel has police officers that probably come from Monroe County; are you familiar with that?
- A I don't know of any, you know, specifically people who live here and drive there, no.
- Q You mentioned a minute ago that within the last five years is when -- or, I'm sorry, you said, and I'm just trying to make sure I understand it, I think, Bloomington's always been under the national totals was something you -- what do you base that off of when you say, "national totals"?
- A Oh. Bloomington, you know, for population size, for call volume, for, you know, crime that goes on, you know, we've as a bargaining unit have always felt like we should have more officers to cover what we have.
- Traditionally, you know, it's usually viewed between two and three officers per thousand people is kind of a generally benchmark that you see a lot of

times. I don't think anyone has it really written down like you must have X number, or something like that.

2.0

2.2

2.5

But for a population size, we've seen that in talking to the city, you know, people in the past, the argument has been brought out that well, we have the city police but you also have Indiana University police, there's the sheriff's office, the state police. There are several other law enforcement agencies who cover this area. And so, you know, we can get by with fewer officers in the city.

Q Because I want to make sure I understand it, you said two to three officers per thousand; there's not a national statistic or guideline out there that lists that number, is there?

A So you see it come up. If you search online, you do the research on it, you see it mentioned by, you know, agencies like the FBI, you see it mentioned by organizations like the, what is it, the International Association of Chiefs of Police. I think that's the acronym.

You know, a lot of them use -- use those kind of numbers of, you know, kind of benchmarks for where cities or municipalities should be aiming for.

Again, the city of Bloomington doesn't actually have a

number written down that say, you know, we have to have X number of officers per whatever, no.

Q And I'm talking about nationwide, too, there's not a -- I mean, I will tell you, I've not seen, and so that's why I'm asking you if you've seen a specific study that says here's the recommended number of officers per thousand people.

A Again, yeah, it's one of those like you see if you look online, I mean, I don't think the FBI comes right out and says you must have X number per thousand.

What you see is research where it's, you know, that's kind of the numbers they use for cities and towns for how they do things for looking at things, population size, crime reporting, or crime statistics, that sort of thing.

- Q Do you believe Bloomington has good police officers?
 - A I do.

2.0

2.2

2.5

- Q How would you compare Bloomington's police department to other departments in Indiana in terms of the service that they provide? Is it better? Is it worse? Is it comparable?
- A Multi-part answer, there. I would say for the terms -- in terms of the service that they receive

from the officers in terms of, you know, how we respond to calls, how we treat the citizens, how we do things, I'd put us at exceptional. We have a very good group of officers, and that's -- it's -- we do an excellent job of training officers, which is why they get poached by other agencies with some regularity.

2.0

2.2

2.5

There are times as an agency where we're, you know, this is part of, you know, the staffing numbers where we're unable to respond to calls in a timely manner, so there, you know, compared to other agencies we may be behind. There's times where we have to pend calls for service for citizens calling about something because we just don't have any units available.

So in terms of the service you receive from the officers, great. In terms of, you know, timing and our ability to handle, you know, large-scale things, quite a bit less.

Q That's not unusual in other departments around the state, though, is it, right? You agree?

A I don't know all of them for, you know, their response time, so I really don't have a way to respond specifically to all of them like that.

Q So your measure is response times? Is that your measure?

A It's one part of it. You know, the citizens, when they call 9-1-1, they expect that someone's going to respond to a call. We get citizens who, you know, get irritated with us when it takes, you know, a bit of time to respond to something, or they get a, you know, a phone call instead of an actual person showing up, or they get one of the civilian employees versus a sworn officer, that sort of thing.

2.0

2.2

Q What do you believe is a adequate response time when somebody calls 9-1-1?

A Well, I think, Steve, it would really depend on what type of call or what it is they're calling about. And that would be more of a department question about what they view, you know, adequate time or response. I don't have any of that data in front of me.

Q I mean, do you have a -- you don't have a specific opinion yourself, though, of what would be an appropriate response time?

A Well, it would depend on what the call was. We use a four-tier system of prioritizing calls in the computer. One is -- so there's 1, 2, 3, 4; 1 is, you know, a very quick response, priority call; 2 can pend for about 15 minutes; 3 and 4 can pend 30 to 60

minutes, like that.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

So depending on the type of call would be the way to, you know, I'd have to know exactly what the call was. Then obviously every call is different. You know, it may be put in the computer as one thing, but when you read the notes you realize it's something completely different or there's some issue with it. So it's hard to -- to put a true number to that, I guess, without a more detailed, specific example.

- Q Have you reviewed -- and, I'm sorry, I haven't seen the email that you sent us; does it include tall time data? Or is it just shift staffing data?
 - A It's just staffing.
- Okay. Do you review regularly the call time data?
 - A I don't in my role, no. That would be a department question.
 - Q Are you familiar with, I guess -- are you familiar with the current run-time data for Bloomington Police Department?
 - A I can't say that I've seen it. No.
 - Q Are you aware that the call -- the response call time is shorter than it is for the Monroe County

 Fire -- or Police -- Monroe County Sheriff's

Department?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

A I'm not necessarily aware of it, but I wouldn't be surprised by it just for geography.

- Q Why is that if you could explain?
- A So city is obviously, you know, geographically just one area. And we have, you know, usually more officers working than the county does in a smaller area. They would have larger distances to travel between calls.
- Q How many officers during the afternoon shift, for example, does Bloomington Police Department have patrolling?
- A I think afternoon shift's minimum is nine.

 I don't currently work that shift, so I'd have to look that one up. But I believe it's around nine.
 - Q What's the -- is that the busiest shift?
- A In terms of call volume, yes. Second shift is the busiest one, yes.
 - Q What's the maximum?
- A Well, so for example, I'm trying to think, it's on here. So afternoon shift currently has 16 officers assigned that'd be broken up into three rotations. So let's say you have five, five, and six of the three rotations. If you had -- and you always have two rotations working at a time. So in one

instance you may have ten people total possible, or you may have the other one that'd be a five and a six, you'd have eleven possible working if no one was off, no one was in training, no one was sick, like that.

- Q Is -- do you know how many sheriff's deputy at that same shift time are patrolling the county?
 - A I do not know that number.

2.0

- Q And you understand that your -- do sheriff's deputies, do they patrol inside the city, as well?
- A I don't think they -- I mean, they obviously, if they're in their vehicles and they see a crime occurring or traffic, you know, something like that, they would -- they would be able to take action. They don't specifically patrol inside the city for, I guess, patrol area. Their district or areas are outside of the city.
- Q But their headquarters is in the city, isn't it?
- A Right. And so the headquarters, the jail, that's why -- I mean, obviously they transit the city just like there are areas of -- of, you know, around the edge of the county where the city -- a city officer may transit bits of the county.
- Q But they -- you understand it's the -- it's -- inside the city is also the sheriff's department's

jurisdiction, as well?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- A Sure. They're county-wide.
- Q Is -- do you think 16 officers in the -- during the second shift is an appropriate number to be patrolling the city during that time?
- A Well, let me correct you there, Steve. Sixteen is the total number assigned to that shift.
- Q Thank you. Thank you for correcting me. Let's say nine to ten?
- 10 A Nine to ten is -- is, let's say, light.

 11 It's short.
 - Q How many do you believe should be patrolling the current city's boundaries right now during the second shift?
 - A Well, if we had -- if we were fully staffed at 105 officers, we had 20 more than we currently do, and we say we put another, you know, five onto that 16 that already exists, we'd have 21 officers to draw from. You could split that, you know, three ways, and then you'd have, you know, a few more working on each one.
 - I don't know the -- I wouldn't be the person that would set the number of officers for that. That would be more like a chief question. Obviously if we had a fully staffed 105 officers, you know, they'd be

able to do that and staff second shift with, you know, at least five more, let's say.

2.0

2.2

- Q When you say, "fully staffed at 105," what do you base the 105 on?
- A That's the number that's budgeted by the city for the authorized staffing of the police department.
- Q Do you know what that number is based off of?
- A I do not. That's the number that's set by, you know, folks above my pay grade.
- Q Do you base -- so to you, fully staffed is based off of what the council budgets?
- A That's one way to look at it, yeah. I mean, they -- that's the number that's reported as part of the budgeting process, and then that's set by, you know, obviously the chief and the mayor, you know, in working on their budget.
- Q I mean, aside from that 105 number from the budget, is there anything else that you would base off of saying we need more officers during the second shift?
- A Well, the last staffing or organizational study that was done by the city was, I want to say, 2018, 2019, somewhere in there. That one did

recommend on our current shift schedule having more officers. So we've talked about that with city council and publicly, as well, in the past. I believe that one put us at 121 officers at our current patrol schedule is what they recommended.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Q Besides that and the budget, is there anything else that you would base it off of? Any other data that you have about needing more officers?

A Well, those are the two, you know, obviously, you know, the 105 is set by the city. That's not something we really base it off of. That's just what's available. The staffing study is one that we think is, you know, data, you know, that an outside organization came in and said, hey, this would be it.

If you look at the traditional, you know, idea of having two to three officers per thousand, again that's, you know -- I'd have to do the math in front of me for what we have.

And there's some question there as to the actual census number for Bloomington and how many people actually live in Bloomington. We've had that debate, as well, in the past. And I know the city was upset with the last census number because they felt it was underreported.

Q Is the two to three officers per thousand,

that's -- is that total officers in the department, or is that a shift staffing recommendation?

2.0

2.2

A Usually where you see that stuff, you know, for whether it's FBI or whoever else is talking about it, it's based on total numbers. I don't think anyone breaks it down for an actual, like, shift recommendation. No.

Q Like the plain clothes detectives, civilian staff, as well?

A Yeah, I mean -- well, so total number of officers would be your sworn personnel. The total number of employees of an agency would include your non-sworn, your civilian, you know, whether it's records clerks, dispatch, those folks, yeah.

Q Maybe the way I want to ask it is that two to three would include upper level policy-making detectives, or detectives and upper level policy-making sworn officers?

A It would, yeah. I mean, that's -- if you're looking at sworn positions, that's the 105 that we use locally as the budgeted number includes everyone from the chief down.

Q If there were 105 sworn officers, would that address your concerns about current staffing levels?

A I think it would help the members, you know,

obviously in their day-to-day lives with, you know, call volume and what they're doing. It would -- again, you know, if we could follow the actual organizational recommendation that said, hey, you guys should have 121, that would be even better. That was based on data from -- and, again, I want to say it was like 2019, somewhere in there -- in terms of call volume.

2.0

2.2

We've seen call volume and crime stuff go up the last few years. I know last year specifically our call volume went up quite a bit. So, you know, we'd almost have to do another organizational or staffing study to see if those numbers are still recommended or what they would put it at.

Q Do you agree that city police officers respond to calls in the annexation areas from time to time?

A It depends on the type of call, and it would depend on a supervisor, a city supervisor saying, yeah, go -- that's okay to respond to that.

So we -- we have a kind of a -- a rule, let's say, where, you know, obviously the areas around the edge of the city are some. If you are driving through one of those areas around the edge of the town and you come upon, let's say, a motor vehicle crash.

Most of the time, the officer who encounters it would just go ahead and work it rather than call the other agency or the county sheriff's office to work it.

Obviously they're not driving well into the county jurisdiction working crashes or taking a call like that unless the other organization or the other agency was to say, hey, you know, we're busy, could you guys send someone to respond to this particular call in our jurisdiction, which does happen from time to time.

- Q So the sheriff's department would say, we're too busy, even though this area is outside of the city's boundaries, can the -- can a city officer come and take this call, and will do that from time to time?
 - A Correct.

2.0

2.2

- Q Including in the annexation areas, I assume?
- A Yeah, I mean, I'd have to look at the addresses, but yeah, I'm sure we've done something in those areas.
- Q You said that there was a rule, you used the term "rule"?
 - A Yeah.
- Q Is there a -- I just want to make sure we're clear on that. Is that a written rule, is it kind of

an unspoken rule? Has anybody told you that?

2.0

2.2

A You know, we've been told that by our shift supervisors. It's not like a written rule that's written down in a general order or something, no.

Q What about like a priority one call, right, you guys will respond to that without asking, I would assume?

A So generally what would happen, you know, as a patrol guy, you're going to maybe start that way if you hear the call, but we're asking our supervisor over the radio, you know, hey, did you hear the call and can I respond? And obviously they're going to take half a second, look at the call, and say yes or no or make that decision.

But, you know, obviously if there was, let's say, an active shooter incident at a school outside of the city jurisdiction, most of us would begin responding to that, yes.

Q When it comes from dispatch, do they say if it's -- whose jurisdiction it is? Or do they just say it's here and you figure out whose jurisdiction it is on the way or later?

A No, we -- well, for all the calls, we would only -- city would get dispatched to city jurisdiction, county would get dispatched to county

- jurisdiction. In the computer, I can see all of those calls if I have it set, you know, I could see all the ambulance calls or all the fire calls, or whatever.

 Or I could narrow it down and just see, you know, my agency, let's say.
- Q Do you agree that Bloomington Police
 Department officers interact with residents in the annexation areas every day?
- A I wouldn't really have a way to answer that.

 I'd -- it's possible, I suppose, but I don't -- I

 don't know for sure.
- Q Do you agree that the additional population and businesses right outside the city's boundaries impact the manner in which we provide police service?
 - A I would say that --
- MR. BEGGS: Object -- show objection to the form of the question.
- 18 BY MR. UNGER:

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- O Go ahead and answer, Paul?
- A What I was going to say is I think that adding a large population or large area would affect, you know, things because obviously you're adding both, you know, people and, you know, land mass. It's time to cover those areas, so potentially.
 - Q Are you familiar with the Walmart located in

1 annexation area 1B?

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A I am.
- Q Do you think there's a crime problem at the Walmart in area 1B?
- A I don't know that I would classify it as a crime problem. I would say it's a higher call volume address in the county jurisdiction, yes.
- Q Are you aware of county officers ever asking, you know, your rank and file to make the calls to -- make the runs on the calls to the Walmart?
- A One of them did today during my shift this morning where one of our officers was assisting county with, I think they were looking for a suspect or something that the call had originated at Walmart.
- Q Are you aware of the county sheriff's deputies ever asking Bloomington Police Department to just take over all of the calls to the Walmart?
 - A I'm not aware or familiar with that, no.
- Q Do you agree that shorter response times are a benefit to a resident or a business?
- A I think that a resident or a business would say that, yes, that they would -- they would, you know, say, hey, you know, we want the police to respond in a timely manner.
 - Q Do you have -- are you aware of any data

that showing that calls are going unanswered in Bloomington based off of the current staffing levels?

2.0

2.2

A You would probably have to ask the department that question. I don't have that data to where I've seen a list of -- I know that calls sometimes get marked "no units available" and they will pend. I don't know of calls that -- of a list of those calls. That would be more of a department data question.

Q But, I guess, are you aware of any specific evidence of calls being unanswered because -- all together unanswered because of short staffing or crimes not being addressed because of short staffing?

A Well, we see it pretty frequently on my shift where calls get marked, you know, "no units available" and they'll just sit for a while until we can free someone up, yes. I mean, that's just my personal experience seeing it day to day.

Again, I don't know how many total calls that constitutes. That would be a department question.

Q Do you know -- I would assume even with the 105 officers, there would still be calls that would go -- be marked as "no units available" because they would find things to do; do you agree?

A I think it's potentially possible, yeah. I mean, no matter how many officers you have and then depending on the type of calls that are coming in could you see a circumstance where, you know, all of the officers get tied up on a major event, yeah.

2.0

2.2

Q Would the -- if I were to look at the run-time data, would it reflect that the, for example, if something went unanswered for three minutes, that would show up in the run-time data, that would be a part of it?

A Kind of a dispatcher department question. I

-- I would think so. There's a -- there's a call time
counter on the calls that we can see. Again, the four
priority listings, you know, have -- dispatch uses a
timer, let's say, of, you know, things have to be
dispatched within a certain amount of time.

So I would assume you could extract that somewhere, but I'm not the person to ask about that.

Q Any other -- I just want to make sure I've exhausted this -- kind of any other data or evidence about crimes being unsolved or supporting short -- that were short-staffed?

A As to crimes not being solved, or something like that, clearance rates would be either detective division or a department-level guestion. I can't

	Page 48
1	speak to clearance rates, that sort of thing. I don't
2	have that data.
3	Q And so you haven't studied it, clearance
4	data?
5	A I know that number exists because I've heard
6	people talk about it. I don't particularly have it in
7	front of me or know it off the top of my head. No.
8	MR. UNGER: I'm going to let's go
9	off the record for one second.
10	THE REPORTER: Off the record at 3:05
11	p.m.
12	(Off the record.)
13	THE REPORTER: We are now back on the
14	record at 3:12 p.m.
15	BY MR. UNGER:
16	Q Mr. Post, I've now put in front of you a
17	document that's labeled as Exhibit 53 titled "City of
18	Bloomington Police Department Organizational
19	Assessment Final Report August 2020"; do you see that?
20	(Exhibit 53 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	A Yes, I do.
23	Q Can you read it okay on your screen?
24	A Yes, I can.
25	Q If you can't, let me know and I'll zoom in

- 1 or whatever you need.
 - A Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- Q You mentioned a report around 2019 that talks about 121 officers -- schedule; is this the report that you were referencing?
 - A Yes, correct.
- Q Any other reports besides this one concerning staffing that you're aware of?
- A This was the one that talked about staffing. I know the city had conducted a salary study which is different reporting. I believe even a different company.
 - Q When was the salary study?
- A I believe that was done prior to this report if I remember correctly.
 - Q Do you remember what the recommendations were in the salary study?
 - A Number-wise, no. I don't remember specific, no. And that one's probably been 2018, 2017, 2018, somewhere in there.
 - Q Do you recall did the union agree with the recommendations in that, the salary study report?
- 23 A I don't remember the specific numbers to say
 24 yes or no to that. I don't remember off the top of my
 25 head.

- So I'm going to call this the Novak report. 0
- Α Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- For ease of reference. Do you -- what's your understanding as to why the Novak report was prepared?
- It was done at the direction of the city and the current mayor at that time. I don't know if there was something else that prompted them to do that.
- 0 And so do you know -- are you -- have you studied the Novak report?
 - I've read this report a few times, yes. Α
- Do you agree with all of the recommendations in the Novak report?
- I would say no. I mean, it has several different recommendations for different things. know there's, I think, four different proposals, let's say, of different shift hours. You know, we obviously, the patrol division currently works eight and a half hour shifts. I think there was -- I want to say it was four different recommendations in there about ways you could put the shift hours.
- MR. BEGGS: If I could just interject to pose an objection. I think the witness said he's aware of it, but I don't know when he's last seen it. I don't know -- he's clearly not seen it today in this

1						
	depos	٧ ٦	+	_	\sim	
L	uepos	ᅩ	ᆫ	_	OII	

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- And so when asked if he agrees with all of its recommendations or not, I think it would be fair to let the witness take a look at it.
- MR. UNGER: Yeah. I'll -- well, you can do that, though, on your part, if you'd like.
- 8 BY MR. UNGER:

That's fine.

- Q The -- you agree with the recommendation for 121 officers?
 - A Again, that's my recollection of the recommendation they made of our current eight and a half hour patrol schedule, yes. And that was -- that was from obviously, you know, four -- not quite four years ago based on numbers at that time.
 - Q And you, I think, alluded to this, you understand that the report recommendations moving to ten-hour shifts; is that correct?
 - A If I remember correctly, the report had, it was eight hours, maybe eight, ten, and twelve were the others that it talks about.
 - Q And do you recall the report finding that the eight and a half hour shifts, current shifts, were inefficient?
 - A I don't remember the language off the top of

1 my head. No.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Do you dispute that eight and a half hour shifts are inefficient?
- A I don't really have an answer to that off the top of my head, and that would be something more of a department question as to staffing numbers and how they view that.
- Q I mean, if the Novak report says it's inefficient, would you dispute the Novak report?
- A Well, again, Steve, I mean, there's a lot of different things in that report. It's several pages if I remember correctly. You know, obviously I don't have it right in front of me other than what's on your screen, so I'm not really sure how to answer that.
- Q Do you know, do you recall how many officers the report says the city -- they recommend that the city add if it changes to a ten-hour shift?
 - A I don't recall that number.
- Q If the city followed the recommendations of the Novak report for shifting and staffing, do you have any reason to think that the city would still be short-staffed?
- A Not having the direct numbers in front of me, I'm not sure I can answer that. You know, that would be more of like a, like you said, the policy

level or the chief level type thing to compare, you know, numbers that they used when the report was written versus now. Again, I know last year they said our call volume went up considerably, so I don't know.

2.2

Q I think what I'm trying to understand is you're basing your belief that the city is short-staffed on this report. And what I'm trying to understand -- that's what I understand your testimony to be.

If the city follows the recommendations in the report, would you agree that the city would be no longer short-staffed according to the report?

A Well, I don't know that I would agree with phrasing it like that because, again, this is old data. And I know that, you know, we've talked about there's different recommendations for different shift staffing, and then based on, you know, numbers that way.

So at the time, the discussion, if I remember correctly, was, you know, did -- did we as an agency want to change to a different patrol hour schedule. And the answer was no. So I think that that's why we focused solely on the -- the eight and a half hour section because that's what we currently -- that's what we use is the eight and half hour shifts.

Q When you say we as an agency did not want to change to the ten-hour shift, do you mean the union didn't want to change to the ten-hour shift?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

A I remember -- I remember at some point that that conversation was had with -- with, you know at least with the chief and, you know, from that level down of, you know, is this something that we're going to -- is the agency going to change hours. And the answer was no to the union.

Q If changing to the ten-hour shifts allowed the city to be more efficient in its policing, would the union oppose that?

A I think we would have to, you know, that would be a specific question I'd have to put to the membership as to, you know, their opinion on ten hour specifically. And then we would have to see what that patrol schedule would look like.

I know there's a couple different ways you can do a ten-hour shift, and so I don't know how the members would specific look at that if it's, you know, set days off or rotating days off, or there's kind of several -- several moving parts to that, I think.

Q I think it also outlined the possibility of a 12-hour shift; do you know, would the union oppose 12-hour shifts?

A Again, it's something that I would have to, you know, poll all of the members directly with specific information, you know, as to what was being proposed.

Q Hold on a second, please. Do you know at the time the Novak report was prepared, was there a debate in the city at that time about appropriate levels of policing?

A I'm trying to remember which year it was.

There was a particular year where then Mayor Hamilton proposed lowering the number of officers from 105 to 100, yes.

Q Was that a hot issue?

A Yeah, I mean, I think it was for the city council because, you know, it had been discussed for some time of getting to 105, and we had never been able to get to that point in terms of our hiring. And so, you know, we obviously didn't want to see, you know, our goal number, let's say, shortened when we were, you know, trying to achieve that 105.

Q Is it your -- I assume, I read in the news, I'm not a police officer, but I've heard of the, what I'll loosely call the defund the police movement. You're familiar with that?

A I am.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Q Do you feel like there's -- there are people in the Bloomington community that you felt were active in the defund the police movement?

A I can't say to, you know, citizens involved directly in the movement. Were there people who were voicing those types of, you know, rhetoric, yes. We heard that at the time. I think the membership would have said, you know, at that time, hearing from then Mayor Hamilton, that was how they took his -- his proposal, let's say.

Q They took his proposal as kind of consistent with that movement?

A Sure.

2.0

2.2

Q Like, what -- let me ask you. What does "defund the police" mean to you? Like, what's -- what is that?

A Well, I think originally what it was designed or what was put out was the idea of taking funding from police departments and putting it into other social service things, whether that's, you know, other agencies or other responses to services that a city does.

I think now it's -- it's kind of morphed a little bit into the idea of trying to, you know, maybe re-evaluate what things police officers respond to

specifically and not necessarily as much as the funding aspect. I think early on it was very -- very dollar oriented.

2.0

2.2

Q Is that a lightning rod to police officers?

A I think it can be. You know, obviously police officers try to do the best thing they can for their communities, and, you know, with that specific language, yeah, I mean, that can be kind of an issue where, you know, we're going hey, we're trying, and now you're saying, you know, do more with less, basically. So, sure.

Q Were -- did that -- was -- do you view it as there was money in Bloomington taken from the police department and moved to other services?

A I'm trying to remember all the language from that particular budget year. I don't -- I don't think there was money that was taken from the police line and moved to other services.

I think the proposal of moving to 100 officers was that, you know, we weren't filled -- we had open positions that weren't filled, and Mayor Hamilton wanted to use that funding somewhere else I think was how it went.

Q The -- there's a special unit in the police department, and forgive me, the name's not coming to

1 | me, that does -- that's kind of like a downtown unit?

- A We have what's called a downtown resource officer.
 - O Yes, I believe that's it.
- A Okay.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q They do more of a community policing; is that correct?
- A Community policing is a very umbrella of activities, but what that particular group is designed to do is to focus on homeless outreach with -- with, you know, the homeless population we have in Bloomington.
- Q Do they do other things besides address homelessness?
- A They're sworn officers, so, I mean, they can respond to, you know, any type of patrol call as needed. I mean, they're obviously still members, sworn members of the police department, so, you know, they do -- they do at times do other things than just that.
- Q When we -- when you talked about the nine minimum officers on the second shift, that does not include the downtown resource officers; correct?
- A For second shift, no, I don't think it does, no. I think they are a separate line.

Q Are those downtown resource officers, are they members of the union?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A So currently we have only two people in that unit, so there's a sergeant and an officer. And we have -- so the officer, you know, by his rank is, and then the sergeant is not. Sergeants are not included in the bargaining unit.
- Q Do you agree at least that there are people in the Bloomington community that believe policing should be cut?
- A Oh, yes. We've heard from them. I mean, you hear that publicly.
- Q Are there also people in the community that believe that the way policing is done should be changed?
 - A Yes, we've heard that.
 - Q What does that mean to you?
- A Well, currently there's been a push, I forgot the name of the commission, or whatever, but there's an organizational group that is wanting to basically change the type of calls that police would respond to and to come up with, I think, like a third-party response to, you know, particular types of calls so it's not an armed police officer responding to them.

Q Is that a local Bloomington community thing?

A Yeah, there's a commission that was started under Mayor Hamilton that was looking at that. I don't -- I think they had proposed making a new city department, and I don't believe it was ever enacted.

2.0

Q Did the union have a position on that?

A As to the making a new department, or taking the calls, or?

Q As to taking the calls and moving them to non-uniformed sworn police officers?

A Kind of a multi-part answer, Steve. We already -- the department itself already has civilian employees who handle some lower level calls. I'm not sure what all type of calls this other group was proposing would be handled by this unnamed third party, so I can't really speak to -- to everything.

I know that we did have officers from BPD that participated in a study, I guess, which looked at types of calls and response types. I think it was like a 9-1-1 response committee, something like that, I don't remember what it was called, that we participated in with, you know, other members of the city staff.

Q Was it your understanding that if that was implemented, that would involve cuts to the police

department?	?
-------------	---

2.0

2.2

2.5

A I don't know for sure because I don't know, like, specific dollars or anything like that, and I know, you know, it would -- cuts in terms of financial, or cuts in terms of type of calls that we respond to, I guess two different things there.

Q I think I was asking in terms of officers, in terms of sworn officers?

A I haven't heard anyone say that, no.

Q So I want to talk about compensation for a little bit, and I know you touched on it a little bit earlier. How does Bloomington's compensation for police officers compare to others in the region or around the state; can you talk about that?

A Sure. So locally within Monroe County, I believe we -- BPD is the fourth overall, fourth or fifth.

So state police I believe is currently the highest paid agency in the county. Sheriff's officer IU depending on their numbers for this year, the MCCSC school police pay more for the position for that line than a patrol officer does at BPD. And then BPD. Like, I want to say we'd be, what, fourth or fifth there.

That's just within the county. Some of the

times, what we do is we look at the, what's called the certified salary list, which is a list of agencies who pay into the pension fund that we belong to. So it's not every law enforcement agency in the state, it's only agencies, cities and towns that pay into the 1977 Police and Fire Fund if that makes sense.

2.0

2.2

So on that list, you know, we look at where we rank compared to our peers in that group, and then we can, you know, agency by agency, we can compare ourselves, you know, let's say if we wanted to compare ourselves to another sheriff's office. Sheriff's offices tend to not be in that same pension structure, so if we wanted to compare ourselves, to, say, Hamilton County or Lake County or something like that, we would have to do that agency to agency looking at numbers.

Sheriff's offices tend to have different rank structures, so it gets a little muddier with is it an officer to deputy, is it officer to, you know, like how they track. Within the 1977 Police and Fire Pension Fund, most agencies will have at least the rank of officer first class and then some other ranks around it, so the benchmark that is used is officer first class pay plus 20 years of longevity, assuming a member had stayed for a 20-year career.

Then that's what's considered each year the certified salary number that gets put out so agencies can look basically at the list and say, you know, this is where we land.

2.0

2.2

Q So I want to -- when you -- the Monroe

County, you say we rank fourth in Monroe County,

fourth or fifth; is that based off of base pay for a

first class officer, or is that based off the first

class officer plus 20 years?

A So the number, the certified number is officer first class plus 20 years of longevity. You can compare starting salary to several different, you know, agencies and not just in the pension fund. That's an easy one that translates across, whether it's like a city to a sheriff's office or city to the school corporation like that.

Q What -- let me ask, what's the base pay for a first class officer in Bloomington? Do you know?

A I'd have to have the contract in front of me to know exactly the dollar amount this year. I don't know off the top of my head.

- Q Does \$68,184 sound correct?
- A Probably something like that, yeah.
- Q All right. Okay. But do you know what it is for a state police in Monroe County, for a state

police trooper?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

A Their starting -- their advertised starting salary I think was 70,000 is what the numbers they had put out, then it went up from there. I don't know what their first -- obviously that's a starting salary. I don't know what their first, like, rank would be after that.

- Q Would, like, you don't know what that includes? Would that include, like, a signing bonus or?
- A For the state police, I don't know that specifics. No.
- Q So in the -- where does Bloomington rank in terms of the '77 fund to your understanding of that?
- A Again, I don't have the sheet in front of me. I believe we're in the 60s this year.
- Q In the -- meaning in like the 60th percentile?
- 19 A So, like, 64th on the list, you know, from 20 the top. Something like that.
- Q Do you know about how many agencies are in that?
- A I want to say, like, 170, 180, somewhere in there.
 - Q So Bloomington pays in the upper half?

- 1
- That's a way to describe it, yeah. Α
- Okay. I just want to make sure I'm Q understanding what's number 1 and what's number 170. 3
- 4
- Α I don't remember who number 1 is this year,

Let me -- a couple more questions here, and

They can receive education pay if they show

Are you aware of any data comparing what

You'd have to do almost agency to agency

- 5
- but yeah, that's generally how it's looked at.

on top of that; correct?

- 6
- then we'll take a break. Do you know what the -- so 7
- 8
- Bloomington police officers also receive incentive pay

incentive pay, but they can receive specialty pay

depending on if they belong to a specialty team.

documentation of higher education degrees.

Bloomington pays compared to other departments

direct comparison to see if, you know, taking a

can receive longevity pay which is based on years of

including longevity and incentives and those things?

I'd want to clarify what you mean by

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14

service.

Α

- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 2.0
- 21
- 2.2
- 23
- 24
- 2.5
- benefits packages, let's say. You know, some people have an education benefit, some people don't. Some people may have --

particular agency and then comparing, you know, the

education line. Because not everyone has the same

	Page 66
1	longevity is one I know that changes quite a bit
2	across the state, you know, for in terms of the
3	amounts put into that.
4	MR. UNGER: Let's take a break there
5	and go off the record.
6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
7	THE REPORTER: All right. Off the
8	record at 3:38 p.m.
9	(Off the record.)
10	THE REPORTER: We're now back on the
11	record at 3:46 p.m.
12	BY MR. UNGER:
13	Q Mr. Post, do union members or police
14	officers have the ability in Bloomington to work
15	overtime?
16	A Yes.
17	Q That's a you would agree that's a benefit
18	to those officers?
19	A I I think they view it as a financial
20	benefit, yeah. They can earn more money potentially.
21	Q I understand some of them work substantial
22	overtime; is that true?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Do you happen to know how much the highest
25	paid or do you know who the highest paid employee

is in the City of Bloomington?

A I do not.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Do you know how much the highest patrol officer, the highest paying patrol officer made in 2023?
 - A I do not.
- Q Did you know that with overtime, there are many officers that make more than the mayor?
- A It wouldn't surprise me considering the number of hours I know some people work, yeah.
- Q Is -- you understand if the city hires more officers, that will reduce the amount of overtime that's available?
- A Yeah, I think the officers understand that, you know, that the overtime that's available now is only there because we are 20 officers short, yeah.
- Q Are there -- do you think are some officers, would they be concerned about losing some of their overtime, or?
- A Without talking to each of them specifically, I mean, I don't know. I don't have a way to answer for all of them on that.
- Q Are you aware, can you talk about some of the recent changes that the city's made to attract more officers and attract and retain more officers?

A There's been several efforts that the past administration undertook, yes.

Q What are those?

2.0

2.2

A There is a rental assistance program. They were trying to set up a mortgage assistance program that hasn't really come to fruition. There is a -- it's a city-wide effort, but officers can apply to it, as education benefit. I don't know of anyone that's been able to participate in that.

There's been some hiring bonuses, I guess would be the word, that were put out for officers -- or hiring of officers in the last year or two, I want to say. And then I know last year, the city started a health clinic which is again, a city-wide thing but would certainly benefit officers.

- Q Do you think those efforts will help attract and retain more officers?
- A Well, I think the majority of those are -- are more directed toward attracting the new hire, let's say. Recruiting really has to be broken down into two parts.

So obviously you can recruit a brand-new, you know, young person, you know, 22 years old.

We'll, you know, hire this young person, put them through the full academy, put them through a full

training program, and then they can go out on their own, versus recruiting a already certified officer who maybe has a few years of experience.

Those are kind of two different -- two different recruiting focuses, let's say. Some of the bonus stuff that was put out was specific to those two different groups. There was, you know, hiring versus hiring a lateral or certified officer.

- Q There's a lot of, I think you mentioned it earlier, poaching that goes on of lateral officers, isn't there, around Indiana?
 - A There is. There is, yeah.

2.0

2.2

- Q And even I feel like I've seen, I want to say, Seattle advertises in Indianapolis for police officers?
- A Yeah, Seattle's one that has done it.

 Several major agencies do it. Indianapolis, for example, had two billboards down here in Monroe County for quite a while. I haven't driven past one. I'd have to go look and see if they're still up or not.

 But, yeah, I mean, that goes on across the state.
- Q In particular for lateral officers because you don't have to train them?
- A Well, you don't have to pay to put them through the academy and take that time, yes. You

still -- most agencies are still going to train them to agency-specific standards, location orientation for that particular area.

You know, obviously if you're hiring someone who's certified within the state of Indiana already, you don't have to put them through, you know, criminal law, traffic law, that sort of thing. They're a lot quicker and a lot cheaper to get up and on their own.

Q Are you aware of any plans of the current administration to address officer recruiting and retention?

A Specifically to Mayor Thomson? Is that who you're referring to, administration?

O Correct, yes?

2.0

2.2

A One area that we have talked to her about as a union has been starting a take-home car program which, you know, would give us a little bit more parity, let's say, with, you know, comparing ourselves or trying to recruit that lateral officer from our local area specifically, you know, within a county or two.

If you look at recruiting someone from outside of Monroe County but they're still allowed to keep their residence, let's say, in Lawrence County, right, and they have a take-home car, that person may

consider, you know, that commute being okay, versus if they don't have a take-home car, now it's going to cost them, you know, X number of dollars in gas to drive to work.

2.0

2.5

That's the one that we've spoken to to Mayor Thomson about specifically, yes.

Q But when you say the city is short-staffed from the 105, let's say, what is it currently about, do you know?

A That's on the form that I sent to you guys. The March number is 85 total sworn on the payroll.

Q Why do you -- and I think we've talked about this a little bit, but I want to make sure I'm clear -- is it -- why do you believe that the city has fewer than 105 officers?

A It's kind of multi-party, and certainly, one, we've had people that have -- we've had officers that have been poached by other agencies that have become, you know, lateral hires and gone somewhere else.

We've had a number of people who I would consider people that we could have maybe kept for a few more years, but they chose to retire, so that's a retention issue.

We've had, you know, several people who we

have in a hiring process and are trying to get them started with us, and then they get a job with someone else who maybe pays more, has different benefits type thing.

2.0

2.2

Again, it's a very competitive hiring market for police officers.

Q I mean, let me ask. Is it purely a compensation issue? Or are there other factors?

A You know, compensation tends to be the big thing that's out in front of everybody because you can compare those numbers. There's also, I mean, take-home cars is one issue that comes up frequently, and Bloomington, I believe, is the only class II city in Indiana that does not have a take-home car program.

So, you know, if you have an officer who is, let's say, a Bedford officer, Bedford City police officer, right? He may look at Bloomington and say, okay, yeah, currently they pay more than I'd make in Bedford, so I would get a raise if I went to Bloomington. But then I don't have a take-home car, so I've got to add gas. Is it worth that sort of thing?

So some people will, you know, your lateral or certified officer tends to have a few years of service on. They are going to look at things

differently than, say, a brand-new recruited officer.

A brand-new young hire may only look at the salary

line or may only look at that direct compensation.

2.0

2.2

Whereas trying to recruit a certified officer, you know, this is a person who may already have a home. They have kids in different schools. You know, they may have established, you know, residency somewhere else that they don't necessarily want to have to change. So they'll look at things a little bit differently than your brand-new recruit would.

Q And you would acknowledge, would you not, that even at a -- it's rare that the city is ever going to have a fully staffed to budget department?

A I don't know. It's one of those -- we hope for it. I think that there are several things that we could do to better position ourselves to fill those numbers, but what you run into then is, you know, how do you train and get all those positions filled in a timely manner.

Could we hire 20 people tomorrow and start them? No. You run into some bottlenecks with training and the academy and things like that, so it becomes kind of a timing issue. It would be good to have 105, but it's not a quick fix to get to 105.

Q In your time in Bloomington, do you know if it's ever been fully staffed to the budget number?

2.0

2.2

A I don't know for sure. I know for a while we were right at 100 officers for a period of time, but I don't remember what our staffing number was, what the budget number was when we were there, if it was 99 or 100 or where we were if that was considered fully staffed.

Q So you said, and I want to make sure I'm clear, you said that we can put ourselves in a better position to fill numbers, there's things we can do; is that -- are there other things besides compensation and take-home cars?

Those are the two I heard. Are there other things you think the city should be doing to better fill the numbers?

A Well, those are your two big primary things. Equipment-wise, we're currently talking with the mayor's office about starting a taser program. This is kind of an aside, here, but a taser or an electronic stun device is something that's pretty universally recognized in American law enforcement as a less lethal option.

And Bloomington, I believe, is the only agency in Monroe County that still does not use

that -- that tool. So it makes you stand out when you're trying to recruit someone from another agency who maybe gets paid less but they have a take-home car or they have a taser, you know, they may consider their equipment level in a better position than BPD.

2.0

2.2

So there's some internal things like that that we could do to better attract that particular certified hire.

Q Is that because there are people that are opposed to police officers having tasers?

A In the last eight years, yes. Then Mayor Hamilton was directly opposed to us having that and would waffle back and forth on, well, you know, we have to have some sort of public, you know, meeting about it and the community has to be okay with it.

Or, you know, the chief has to bring it to me. Or there was always some sort of, you know, speed bump to it.

But tasers, I mean, they've been talked about for -- for a long time. They've been around. I mean, most people assume a police officer has a taser. It's just a very common, you know, accepted tool. And so a lot of people are actually surprised when they speak to a Bloomington officer that they don't have a taser that -- on their belt.

Q But so there's people that are opposed to
police officers, not just Mayor Hamilton, former Mayor
Hamilton, but there's a set of the population out
there that is generally opposed I was not aware of
that, so that's why I'm opposed to police officers
carrying tasers?

A I would say yeah. I mean, we've heard people over the years that have -- that were opposed to it. Any time you make a, you know, an equipment change, you'll have someone who will complain about it in some sense, whether it's right or wrong or not, but you run into that with the public.

Q I think you mentioned this earlier, I just want to make sure. Do you live in any of the annexation areas?

A T do.

2.2

- Q Okay. Where do you live?
- A I live in area 4, one of the islands.
- Q Do you own property -- you or your family own property in any of the other annexation areas?
 - A No.
- Q Did you -- are you personally opposed to the annexation by the city?
- A It's kind of a difficult answer, but I'd say overall, yeah, I'm opposed to it.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Why?

A One, I think the county's done a very poor

job of raising assessed values to, you know, what the

property is actually worth. And then, you know, to

see your taxes go up on top of that, when I know

specifically police service, you know, is not going to

improve or change.

Fire service, if I understand it correctly, there's some question about whether the townships or the city fire, you know, that's a different timeline.

I already have city water that I pay a higher rate for, so I guess my water rate would go down, but I would wind up paying more for the city sanitation, the city trash service, so it's kind of a wash there in the end, so.

- Q So is it fair to say it's a financial thing?
- A Yeah, for my particular, you know, my individual answer is financial based, yeah.
 - Q Did you sign a remonstrance petition?
 - A I did.
- Q Do -- are you opposed to the city annexing areas 1A and 1B --
- A -- see me individually, you know, personally, I don't really own any -- I don't own any property in those areas, so I don't really have any --

any, I guess, issue there that I can say, like, oh, it's financially bad for me 1A and 1B.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Q As a police officer, are you opposed to the city working for annexing the urban areas right outside its boundaries?

A A complicated answer again. As a police officer with my lived experience in terms of what has been proposed for, you know, it's not just right outside the area at the edge of the city, it's a very large chunk of land, large population addition. It's several high crime areas that the sheriff's office services.

So yes, as a police officer, looking at it from my current position of, you know, knowing how many officers we have, knowing my day-to-day call volume and what I do, yeah, I would say that would be correct. I'd be opposed to it.

Q And understand I'm talking about just areas

1A and 1B in this case; do you understand this case is

only about areas 1A and 1B?

A I am, yeah, and those are the two largest areas, so obviously as a police officer, you know, those are going to still be a large area that we would be responding to.

We currently have, you know, on my shift in

particular, again I work morning shift, today we had seven police officers covering the entire city of Bloomington.

2.0

2.2

Adding to, and I don't have the number in front of me as to what the land mass and population mass is from 1A and 1B, but adding to that without having added any additional personnel would be very concerning to me.

Q If the city adds additional personnel to help patrol those areas, would that address your concern?

A It would start to, but we get back to,
Steve, the idea of timelines. You know, can we add,
you know, appropriate levels of personnel in a timely
manner, and I think that's most of the officers'
concern is when would this, you know, actually go into
effect and become their problem, let's say.

One -- and I forget, 1A is the northern section, 1B is the southern section?

Q I call 1A the west and 1B would be southwest.

A Okay. Southwest. So from my personal, you know, experience, I generally work the southwest district of the city. What you're saying is the two officers who are assigned to that district today would

1 | have, you know, that extra land mass to cover.

2.0

2.2

That, you know, that would include Walmart, let's say, on the southwest side of the city, and we've already discussed how Walmart is a, you know, call volume generator, whether that's crime or just crashes or, you know, issues like that.

So yeah, I mean, as a police officer, that is concerning to see that and then know that we don't really have a plan to add personnel in a timely manner.

Q What would -- let me ask. Have you studied how many officers are needed for areas 1A and 1B to be able to effectively provide service to those areas?

A I'm going to refer you to the department on that. I know at some point the department did put out kind of a recommended number, I think, of what they thought would be needed to add to each of the areas. I don't know what that is for 1A and 1B, no.

Q Do you have any reason to dispute the numbers that the department put out?

A I don't remember what they are, so I don't have an answer to that.

Q You talked about effective timing; what's your view on timing, I guess, how long do you think it would take before the department could have, in your

view, a sufficient number of officers to cover those areas?

A Well, and I'll get long-winded here. The idea right now is, you know, if we're budgeted for 105 officers but we only have 85, we currently cover a large area. Again, today we had seven officers working this morning. We all felt the pinch of that. You know, that's seven of us trying to cover the call volume for, you know, a large city on a weekday.

If, you know, if we were to be at 105 and a true 105 sworn staffing, we wouldn't feel that same way. We could say, okay, yeah, this probably feels a little bit easier to handle.

If we were at the 121 that the Novak report spoke about at our current patrol shift schedule, the eight and a half hour shifts, you know, we'd feel even better about it. Okay, yeah, we probably can absorb this a little easier.

Knowing right now how long it takes to hire and train and get a new officer out on their own to actually count for manpower, I mean, I can tell you even if we hired someone today, it would be better part of a year before they were any help to manpower.

So, you know, I guess that goes back to the question of the timeline for -- for this annexation,

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

2.0

you know, for 1A and 1B is if the court proceeding goes through and then they're turned on, you know, immediately, you're going to have officers who, you know, the officers who are already kind of on the fence or looking at other agencies for whatever reason, they're going to really look at those things and go, yeah, okay, it's time to get out.

2.0

2.2

2.5

It's going to become harder to recruit officers at the same time going hey, we're, you know, X number of officers short, we just absorbed all this land, all this people, all this call volume, but it'll be fine, don't worry about it. That's not really a response, you know.

Q You said there's high crime areas besides Walmart; what other areas in area 1A or 1B would you say are high crime areas?

A I'd have to have the exact map in front of me where the boundaries are for 1A and 1B. I know that to the west side there are a couple of trailer parks that would be picked up, which the county considers high call volume areas.

Menard's is another business specific like Walmart where it's a high call volume. I'm trying to think where those lines are for what you were describing.

Those are the big ones off the top of my head, Steve.

Q Are those, when you say high volume, do you mean, like, Walmart's not probably a priority one, a lot of priority one calls, they're more low priority calls?

A -- not really studied the type of calls at Walmart specifically. Any place can have a priority one call at any point. Walmart or the businesses like that tend to be your thefts, frauds, motor vehicle crashes.

But, you know, the same time you can have a lost child, you could have a domestic in the parking lot between, you know, a married couple who decides to get into an argument.

I know in the recent past at Walmart specifically we've had issues with a subject with some type of weapon inside the store, I want to say a machete, maybe. You know, obviously that's a higher priority than a traffic crash, but it's still a call for service at that particular address.

Q Have you aside from Bloomington's annexation, have you studied any other annexations or reviewed any other annexations around the state?

A No.

2.0

2.2

2.5

- Q Have you studied -- do you know what the fiscal plan is when I talk about the fiscal plan?
- A Uh-huh.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q Have you studied the fiscal plan for Bloomington's annexations?
 - A I looked it over when it -- when it was released. That's been some time ago. I don't remember the last time I looked at it.
- Q Do you -- are you aware of any deficiencies in the fiscal plan with respect to policing?
- 11 A Again, I don't remember specific numbers
 12 from that. It's been some time since I've looked at
 13 that particular document.
- MR. UNGER: We could go off the record for a minute.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record at 4:10 p.m.
- 18 (Off the record.)
- THE REPORTER: We're now back on the record at 4:12 p.m.
- 21 BY MR. UNGER:
- Q Mr. Post, I am showing you what's the fiscal plan for the annexations by Bloomington. I've marked it as Exhibit 54. Can you see that on your screen?

	Page 85
1	(Exhibit 54 was marked for
2	identification.)
3	A Yes, I can.
4	Q Just give me a second here. Okay, I'm on
5	page 62; can you see this okay?
6	A Yes.
7	Q So I want to show you, you can see a line
8	here for "sworn personnel annexation estimates"; do
9	you see that heading?
10	A I do. Is it possible to enlarge it at all?
11	Q Yeah, let me take a minute. Is that better?
12	A Much better, thank you.
13	Q Sorry the mouse isn't showing up very well.
14	There it goes. Is that better? Can you see that?
15	A Yes, thank you.
16	Q So you see the sworn officer sworn
17	personnel annexation areas for in the chart for
18	areas 1A and 1B?
19	A Correct.
20	Q Okay. And do you see here that total sworn
21	where it has that minimum for area 1A at 6.42 and
22	maximum for 9.75?
23	A Correct, yes.
24	Q Okay. And then also for area 1B the minimum
25	of 7.4 and a maximum 11.25?

1 A Yes.

2.0

2.2

- Q Okay. And then if I go down to the "civilian personnel," for 1A it's a minimum of 1.5, maximum 1.5; and area 1B of 2; do you see that?
 - A Correct, yes.
- Q Okay. So do you understand the fiscal plan is suggesting that the city would provide service for that area with an additional approximately 14 to 21 sworn officers and three to four civilian officers if areas 1A and 1B were annexed?
 - A That is what I'm reading on there, yes.
- Q Okay. Do you have any reason to dispute that with 14 to 21 additional sworn officers, the city will be able to provide service to the annexation area in the same manner it's currently provided in the city?
- A What I would say is, what I would want to ask, I guess, is a better way to put it, is has this been updated any time recently? I know that the -- this particular document came out some time ago; has it been updated with the most recent call volume and numbers?
 - Q Yeah, so the report, this came out in 2021?
- A Right. So I guess that would be the only dispute I would have to it is obviously, you know,

some time has passed since this was put together. Has it been updated in any way to reflect maybe updated or changed call volume or crime statistics?

2.0

2.2

Q If -- but so, I mean, do you have anything, any reason to believe that that would not be sufficient?

A I'd ask one other question there. I think it would be helpful. I can't really answer to sufficient. Is it in additional to the 105 goal? Or is it in addition to the 121 goal, which was recommended by the Novak report? So I guess there's two different answers there.

If we're looking at 121 plus these personnel, I think we're much closer to being able to provide that service if we're fully staffed at that number. That's the problem is we're not.

At this point, if we added, you know, let's say 10 and, what is it, 10 and 12 or whatever it is, you know, to our 85; well, then you're still not even getting up to the 105 we're budgeted for the existing size city.

So, you know, that I think gets back to where the officers' fears come from is it's all well and good to say yes, this is the plan to annex X -- or to add this many officers. Can we do it is a better

1 | question, and currently we're well short of that.

- Q But what I'm asking you is 14 to 21 sworn officers, do you have any reason to believe that's not a sufficient number of officers to cover area 1A and 1B?
- MR. BEGGS: And I guess I'm just going to show objection to form. I think he's been asked that at least twice, if not three times in a row now. And I think he's answered that guestion.

10 BY MR. UNGER:

3

5

6

7

8

11

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Go ahead and answer, Paul, if you can?
- 12 A Just so I have it clear, Steve, could you 13 repeat it for me?
- 14 Q Yeah. I'm saying just considering areas 1A 15 and 1B alone --
 - A Uh-huh.
 - Q -- do you have any reason to believe 14 to 21 additional sworn officers is not sufficient for area 1A and 1B alone?
- MR. BEGGS: Same objection.
 - A And what I'm going to say, Steve, is that I think it would be helpful, but I don't know specifically with current call volume if that answer -- if that's -- if that is going to be exactly enough or not.

Q Do you think it was enough in 2021 at the time of this report?

A I think that it probably was looked at with people higher than me who put this together, and it's kind of more a department question, a policy maker question as how they reached those particular numbers. But again, I would say that they have probably looked at, you know, call volume and numbers from what, I think you said 2021. Obviously, you know, things have changed, so.

- Q You know, you're going to be a -- you don't know how these numbers were arrived at; is that safe to say?
 - A Correct.

2.0

2.2

- Q Did you raise any concerns with the fiscal plan in 2021 to anybody at the city?
- A I don't know when we talked about it. Whenever we first saw this particular document, it probably was discussed as part of the, you know, the ongoing conversation then. Whether it was starting from 105 or starting from 121 I think would be the best way.

I mean, that's been our main concern is, you know, what is the real goal here. Do we need 121 officers to start with the existing city size and then

- we add to that with the annexation areas? Or is it,
 you know, we're staying at 105 and then adding to the
 annexation areas?
 - Q Who first approached you about testifying in this case?
 - A Margaret Clements.
 - O When was that?

5

6

7

8

9

12

17

18

2.2

23

- A Oh, sometime in 2023, I don't remember exactly when.
- 10 Q Okay. Have you communicated with her via
 11 email?
 - A I'm sure we have, yeah.
- 13 Q About this case?
- A It would have -- it would have been about annexation. I don't -- specifically to 1A and 1B, I don't think specifically to these.
 - Q Can I ask you what email address you would have used for those communications?
- 19 A It would have been, I have an FOP-specific
 20 email address which is all one word paulpost, P-A-U-L21 P-O-S-T @FOP88.org.
 - Q That's separate from your City of Bloomington email?
- 24 A Correct.
- Q Would have you used your City of Bloomington

1 | emails to communicate with her about annexation?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- A I don't remember. I -- I don't remember doing that specifically. FOP stuff I try to keep on my FOP email specifically and then work stuff on my Bloomington city one.
- Q Do you have any other emails that you use, addresses that you use --
 - A I have a personal qmail account.
- Q Would you have had any communications relating to annexation with anybody on your personal email account?
 - A Off the top of my head, I don't remember.
 - Q What's that one, just --
- A All one word paulrpost, so P-A-U-L-R-P-O-S-T @gmail.com.
 - Q Have you communicated with anybody else besides Margaret Clements about the annexation and opposition to the annexation?
 - A Just Mr. Beggs reached out, and I don't remember when exactly it was. We've talked a couple times on the phone and once in person.
 - Q Are you a member of County Residents Against Annexation?
- A Am I a member like am I a paid member of?
 No.

- Q Have you donated to it?
- A No, I have not.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- Q Okay. Did you participate in any of their meetings?
- A I have not been to any of their meetings.

 The extent to my connection to them is I do -- I have gotten one of their signs in the past, like the yard signs. That'd be about it.
- Q Did you do anything to assist in organizing the remonstrance effort to sign petitions?
- A No, and I don't remember the lady who did my particular area. There was a lady who did area 4, and I don't remember -- I don't remember her name, but other than her coming and, you know, my talking to her at that point, that was it.
- Q You didn't -- did you go out and collect petitions yourself?
 - A No.
- Q How would you describe the relationship between the union and the city under the Hamilton administration?
 - A Strained.
- 23 | 0 Why is that?
- A Mayor Hamilton seemed to have a attitude of kind of a anti-law enforcement bend, and at least to

- the members and, you know, myself seemed to be unwilling to listen to concerns that we brought to him.
- How would you describe the relationship 0 between union and the city under the new administration, the Thomson administration?
- Cordial, I guess. We've only -- the shift rep team has only met with her once, so I don't really have a large data group to look at in terms of, like, they're getting together with her or doing things with her.
- 12 Do you perceive her as having an anti-law 13 enforcement bend as you did with Hamilton?
 - I don't have a large group of -- of Α experience with her to really savvy. I haven't had anything to suggest that yet. But again, it's a very small level of interaction with her so far.
 - MR. UNGER: We'll go off the record here for a minute.
- 2.0 THE REPORTER: Off the record at 4:25 21
- 2.2 (Off the record.)
- 23 THE REPORTER: We're now back on the 24 record at 4:37 p.m.

2.5 //

p.m.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

BY MR. UNGER:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q Mr. Post, I'm showing you a document that's been marked as Exhibit 28. It's titled "The Amended and Supplemented Answers of County Residents Against the Annexation, Inc. to the City of Bloomington's Interrogatories"; do you see that?

(Exhibit 28 was marked for identification.)

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Have you -- do you know what interrogatories are? Have you seen something of them before?
 - A I have seen them before, yes.
 - Q Okay. Have you seen these?
- A I do not believe so, no.
 - Q So these were the responses from County
 Residents Against Annexation's to the written requests
 from the City of Bloomington, actually supplemental
 responses; and I'm going to show you one of the
 responses.

On page 2, where to question number 3 it says "Identify each fact witness you plan to call to testify in this lawsuit and provide a summary of their anticipated testimony." And it's under "Supplemental answer"; do you see where your name is?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And it says "Mr. Post will be called
in his capacity as a union representative. If called
he would be asked to testify about operations of
Bloomington's police department, police protection in
Bloomington, and the impact of annexation upon
extending those operations."

We've talked a lot today about police protection, annexation in Bloomington, police protection in Bloomington, and the impact of annexation; besides what we've talked about today, is there anything else that you would add to this?

MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the

MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the question.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: Not that I can think of.

BY MR. UNGER:

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

Q Is there anything else that you would testify concerning Bloomington's police department, police protection, or the impact of annexation other than what we've already talked about?

MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the question.

- A No, I don't think so.
- Q Any other concerns from a policing
 perspective with respect to the annexation of areas 1A

- and B other than the items we've already talked about?

 MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the

 question.
 - A Steve, my answer would be that, you know, my concern and the members' concern is call volume and amount of things that we would be expected to take on in an unknown timeline.
 - Q You know Jeff Rodgers?
 - A I do.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Who's Jeff Rodgers?
- A Jeff is a detective with the Bloomington Police Department and is the detective shift representative.
 - Q Is also a union representative?
- A He was the vice president last year. He is not the vice president this year. I believe he is a trustee of the lodge this year if I remember correctly.
- Q Does Jeff Rodgers, are you aware if he has any knowledge concerning police protection within Bloomington or the annexation areas that is different than yours?
- A I wouldn't necessarily want to -- want to answer specifically to everything he would know or would potentially say. It would largely be the same.

- I mean, we have worked together on a couple of different contracts and things in the past few years.
- Q I mean, do you have any reason to think he would answer any of the questions I've asked you today differently than you've answered?
- MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the question.
 - A I don't think so off the top of my head.

 And, Steve, bear with me. I'm going to try to plug my laptop in real quick here.
- O Yeah.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

- A It's fighting me. That means I have to take it outside. Can you hear me?
- O Yeah.
- 15 A Okay, there we go. Now I'll just talk in the car.
 - Q Okay. Is that your police car, your city police car?
 - A Yeah, this is -- I'm using the laptop from my car, yeah. I thought I had enough battery but you went a little long today.
 - Q I mean, would Jeff Rodgers have access to sources of information that are different than the information you have available to you concerning --

MR. BEGGS: Object to the form of the

	Page 98
1	question.
2	BY MR. UNGER:
3	Q Go ahead?
4	A Hang on. Can you hear me?
5	Q Yes.
6	A Okay. Sorry, it popped up with a microphone
7	change there. I don't know why it did that.
8	I don't believe so. No, Steve.
9	MR. UNGER: I don't have any further
10	questions.
11	Bill?
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. BEGGS:
14	Q FOP President Post, I just have a few
15	questions for you. You were shown a report that was
16	marked as Exhibit 53 which Counsel identified as the
17	Novak report, and you were shown one page of that; are
18	you with me as to what I'm talking about now?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And I think, is it your testimony you have
21	reviewed that document in the past?
22	A Yes.
23	Q How long ago?
24	A Probably, I look at it probably last month.
25	Q So when you were asked about all of anything

you disagree with or agree with, it would be about a month ago since you've looked at a 56-page report; would that be right?

A Correct.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Is it possible if you had 45 minutes or an hour to review that carefully, you might have other opinions about it?

A Correct.

Q The document that you brought with you today to answer Counsel's questions, and I'm going to ask the court reporter if he would please mark this as 55?

MR. BEGGS: And I don't know if you've got this, Andrew, or not, but I'll ask this be marked as Exhibit 55 and made part of the deposition.

(Exhibit 55 was marked for identification.)

BY MR. BEGGS:

Q FOP President Post, is this a review of data for the Bloomington Police Department for the months of January, February, and March of 2024?

A Yes.

Q And does this show -- when it says "85 payroll," what does that mean, please?

A That means there's 85 sworn officers currently on the payroll from the chief down to the

most junior officer.

2.0

2.2

Q Okay. And then if I look at -- I don't know if you have it handy, but I'm looking at March of 2024 to the -- in green to the right it says "71 available to work after subtracting various things"; please explain what that means?

A So what that means is that the 71 number is who is actually available to respond to calls or take calls.

In this particular box, it shows taking out the admin, which is the top four, chief, deputy chief, captain and captain, and then the administrative sergeant.

Sick, injured, or light duty is any officer who's out on long-term medical leave, or light duty is someone -- and I'm not going to talk about their medical issues, but we have a couple officers out with things which will not preclude them from coming back but they cannot work on the street right now.

Military would be a officer who is on military leave but expected to return after a period of time. And then the final one down there, FTO/ILEA, that is officers who are still in training or at the police academy and are not currently certified to be out on their own.

- Q "FTO" stands for what, please?
- A Field training officer. And "ILEA" is the Indiana Law Enforcement Academy.
- Q And then if you would, please, go to the left of that, so it'd be the second column that says "second shift" which you were asked about before?
 - A Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q And I think maybe this will help me understand the entire document, but I follow March 2 of 2024, and I follow second shift, and then I see columns that rose, I think, for lieutenant, sergeant, officer, chief, and then "DC" in capitals; what's "DC"?
- A DC is deputy chief, and then captain is there's an operations captain and a administrative captain.
- Q Okay. So there is no chief, DC, or captain on the second shift of that report; is that right?
- A Correct. Those are the top level positions. They're reflected to the right under the -- the admin column.
- Q Okay. And what's the "16"? If I'm moving upwards, what's the "16" on the officer row?
- A So that is patrol officers, whether they're officer first class or senior police officer rank.

That is the working uniformed officer that you would see in a patrol car.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q And does that mean that there were -- for March of 2024, there are 16 on the street sworn officers during the second shift?
- A No. What that means is there are 16 officers assigned to that second shift period which is 1:30 p.m. to 10 p.m. So the potential is I think that shift is split up 5, 5, and 6. So there could be 10 if the two 5's are working, or there could be 11 if the 5 and the 6 rotation are working. So the maximum you could have would be 11 officers working.
 - Q Do they sometimes overlap?
- A On that particular shift, or any of the shifts, what the overlap is when you have three rotations, you only have two that work at any given time. So that's what I'm saying, you could have the two groups of 5 or the group of 5 and 6 working together, but you're always going to have one rotation that is on their regular scheduled days off.
- Q Have you ever, Officer Post, FOP President
 Post, have you ever heard the current mayor or her
 predecessor state publicly or even privately that the
 City of Bloomington has a full staffing of officers?
 - A Not that I can remember. We've --

especially under -- the entirety of Mayor Thomson, which is very short, but I believe under most of Mayor Hamilton, we were short-staffed, as well.

2.0

2.2

2.5

Q You mentioned earlier in your testimony today, you made reference to FBI guidelines and International Association of Chiefs of Police guidelines; and do I understand correctly that the FBI recommends as a guideline 2.3 officers per thousand residents and that the IACP recommendations 3.4 per thousand?

A So there -- it's a little difficult. They talk about those numbers, but whether it's actually written as a recommendation at two agencies is usually not how it's taken.

They use -- I'm saying, "they." The FBI or the International Association of Chiefs of Police use those numbers in terms of how they look at statistics. I don't think there's a document that you can find where the FBI says a city should have, you know, X number of officers.

But it's very common to see those particular types of numbers put forth in, you know, research online or documents and stuff. Like I said, the two three officers, that's -- I was just kind of rounding there from what I remember. Yours sound much more

	Page 104
1	particular.
2	You know, that's a very common thing that
3	you see. I've heard that numerous times over my
4	career.
5	MR. BEGGS: I'll just ask that 55 be
6	made part of the deposition.
7	I have no further questions at this
8	time. Thank you, sir.
9	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. UNGER:
12	Q Mr. Post, just a couple of questions on this
13	Exhibit 55?
14	A Yes.
15	Q The who prepared the green part at the
16	on the right-hand side? Who would have added that?
17	A This is a internal document that's put
18	together, I believe, by one of the administrative
19	secretaries.
20	Q Do they so this is this a normal
21	document they create in the department?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. With the available to work pieces at
24	the end in the green
25	A Vec

you have Exhibit 55, or do I need to email that to

25

Page 106 1 you? THE REPORTER: I don't have -- unless 3 you put it in the Exhibit Share, I don't have it. How would you like to provide that? 4 5 MR. BEGGS: I will forward it right --6 Steve, tell me if this is agreeable. I will forward 7 right now the email that you and I received from FOP President Post transmitting this today; does that 8 work? 10 MR. UNGER: That's fine. 11 THE REPORTER: Okay. And signature? 12 MR. BEGGS: What address for you, 13 Andrew? 14 THE REPORTER: I'll provide that in 15 just a moment. 16 MR. UNGER: Okay. 17 THE REPORTER: But first, signature? 18 MR. UNGER: I don't care. 19 FOP President Post, you have the right to review the transcript and then sign off that you 2.0 21 have reviewed it or make corrections or errors on an 2.2 errata sheet. Or you have the right to waive that. 23 You can do whichever unless the city's attorneys 24 request that you review and sign. 2.5 MR. UNGER: We don't care. It's up to

```
Page 107
 1
     you, Mr. Post.
                     THE WITNESS: I'm okay to waive it.
 3
     That's fine.
                     THE REPORTER: Okay. In that case, we
 4
     are now off the record at 4:54 p.m.
 5
                     (Signature waived.)
 6
                     (Whereupon, at 4:54 p.m., the
 7
8
                     proceeding was concluded.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE

Notary Public in and for the

State of Indiana

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, REBECCA CAMERON, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

REBECCA CAMERON

Runned Can

[& - 5's] Page 1

0	13 22:19	2.3 103:8	22 68:23
&		2.3 103:8 2.8 22:22	24 22:16
& 2:5,14,21 5:7			
5:10	14 86:8,13 88:2	2.9 22:23	25 22:16 28:12
0	88:17	20 24:1 25:23	26 22:16
000509 1:14	15 33:25	28:13 37:16	27071 108:16
	16 35:21 37:3	62:24,25 63:9	28 3:10 94:3,7
1	37:17 101:22	63:11 67:16	29864 109:14
1 33:23,23 65:3	101:23 102:4,6	73:21	2:04 1:21 4:5
65:4	17 16:12,13	2002 10:19	2:12 10:2
1.5 86:3,4	170 64:23 65:3	13:19	3
10 87:18,18	180 64:23	2017 25:23	3 22:23 33:23
102:8,9	1977 62:5,20	49:19	33:25 94:20
100 55:12	1:30 102:8	2018 25:10,24	3.4 103:9
57:19 74:4,7	1a 19:2,5 77:22	38:25 49:19,19	30 33:25
104 3:5	78:2,19,20	2019 25:13	317 2:18,25
105 3:6 37:16	79:6,18,20	27:19 38:25	332-9295 2:9
37:25 38:3,4	80:12,18 82:1	41:7 49:3	3:12 48:14
38:19 39:10	82:15,18 85:18	2020 3:13	3:38 66:8
40:20,23 46:23	85:21 86:3,10	27:19 48:19	3:46 66:11
55:11,16,20	88:4,14,19	2021 86:23	
71:8,15 73:25	90:15 95:25	89:1,9,16	4
73:25 81:4,10	1b 19:2,6 45:1	2022 20:19,23	4 33:23,25
81:11 87:9,20	45:4 77:22	23:15	76:18 92:12
89:21 90:2	78:2,19,20	2023 20:17	45 99:5
11 102:10,12	79:6,19,20	67:5 90:8	46204 2:16,23
11.25 85:25	80:12,18 82:1	2024 1:20 3:17	47404 2:7
111 2:15,22	82:15,18 85:18	4:11 99:20	48 3:13
12 54:24,25	85:24 86:4,10	100:3 101:10	4:12 84:20
87:18	88:5,15,19	102:4	4:37 93:24
12.6 22:21	90:15	2026 20:18	4:54 107:5,7
12.0 22.21 121 39:4 41:5	2	21 10:22 37:18	5
49:4 51:10		86:8,13 88:2	5 102:9,9,11,18
81:14 87:10,13	2 8:11 33:23,24	88:18	102:18
89:21,24	86:4 94:20	211 2:6	5's 102:10
07.21,24	101:9		3 5 102.10

		1	
53 3:12 48:17	85 3:14 71:11	access 97:22	added 28:3
48:20 98:16	81:5 87:19	accommodate	79:7 87:17
53c06-2203	99:22,24	7:13	104:16
1:13	88 5:13 13:14	accomplish	adding 19:5
54 3:14 84:24	13:15 14:4,16	21:18	23:23 44:21,22
85:1	14:18	account 91:8	79:4,6 90:2
55 3:15 99:11	9	91:11	addition 16:4
99:14,15 104:5	9-1-1 33:2,11	accurate 108:9	78:10 87:10
104:13 105:25	60:20	109:5	additional
56 99:2	9.75 85:22	achieve 55:20	44:12 79:7,9
6	94 3:11	acknowledge	86:8,13 87:9
6 3:3 102:9,11	98 3:4	73:12	88:18
102:18	99 3:17 74:7	acknowledg	additionally
6.42 85:21		4:13	4:16
60 16:22 17:3	a	acronym 30:21	address 40:24
33:25	abbi 9:6	action 36:13	45:7 58:13
60s 14:22 64:16	ability 21:23	108:12,16	70:10 79:10
60th 64:17	32:17 66:14	109:8,12	83:21 90:17,20
62 85:5	108:10 109:7	active 43:16	106:12
64th 64:19	able 9:19 16:17	56:2	addressed
6504457 1:25	24:21 27:17	activities 58:9	46:13
68,184 63:22	36:13 38:1	actual 28:25	addresses
684-5253 2:25	55:17 68:9	33:7 39:20	42:19 91:7
684-5465 2:18	80:13 86:14	40:6 41:3	adds 79:9
	87:14	actually 8:10	adequate 33:10
7	above 38:11	9:20 30:25	33:15
7 1:20 4:11	absent 4:16	39:21 75:23	admin 100:11
7.4 85:25	absorb 81:17	77:4 79:16	101:20
70,000 64:3	absorbed 82:10	81:21 94:17	administer
71 100:4,7	academy 68:25	100:8 103:12	4:13
77 64:14	69:25 73:23	add 28:1,5	administration
8	100:24 101:3	52:17 72:21	68:2 70:10,13
80 14:24	acceptable 23:3	79:13 80:9,17	92:21 93:6,6
812 2:9	accepted 23:2	87:25 90:1	administrative
2.7	75:22	95:11	100:12 101:15

Veritext Legal Solutions

	T		T
104:18	41:15 44:6,12	amounts 66:3	52:4,14,24
advertised 64:2	45:19 46:25	anderson 11:3	53:22 54:9
advertises	49:21 50:12	11:5	60:11 67:22
69:14	51:9 53:11,13	andrew 1:24	76:24 77:18
affect 25:4	59:8 66:17	2:20 4:3 5:8	78:6 80:22
44:21	99:1	99:13 105:24	87:8 88:11,24
affected 24:11	agreeable	106:13 108:2	94:24 95:14
affecting 21:22	106:6	108:17	96:4,24 97:4
afternoon 4:2	agreed 23:11	annex 87:24	99:10
6:3 35:10,13	agreement 17:7	annexation 1:6	answered 88:9
35:21	17:14,17 20:16	2:3 4:8 19:3,17	97:5
agencies 13:15	20:24 22:11	19:18,23 23:14	answering
14:25 18:19,21	23:1,8,10,15	23:20 24:24	11:12
21:20,25 30:10	25:2,5,7,10,15	25:1,4,20,23	answers 3:10
30:18 32:6,11	25:18,21	41:16 42:17	87:12 94:4
56:21 62:2,5	agrees 51:2	44:8 45:1	anti 92:25
62:21 63:2,13	ahead 42:2	76:15,20,23	93:12
64:21 69:17	44:19 88:11	81:25 83:23	anticipated
70:1 71:18	98:3	85:8,17 86:14	94:23
82:5 103:13	aiming 30:24	90:1,3,15 91:1	anybody 8:14
agency 24:18	al 1:8,15 4:9,10	91:10,17,18,23	43:1 89:16
32:7 40:12	allowed 54:10	94:5 95:5,8,10	91:10,16
42:3,7 44:5	70:23	95:19,25 96:21	appellants 1:10
53:21 54:1,8	alluded 51:16	annexation's	applicable 4:22
61:19 62:4,9,9	ambulance	23:16 94:16	apply 68:7
62:15,15 65:19	44:3	annexations	applying 26:23
65:19,21 70:2	amcneil 2:24	3:14 19:2	approached
74:25 75:2	amended 3:10	83:23,24 84:5	90:4
ago 8:18 28:1	94:3	84:23	appropriate
29:12 51:15	american 74:22	annexed 86:10	33:20 37:4
84:7 86:20	amount 16:8,13	annexing 77:21	55:7 79:14
98:23 99:2	16:14 22:15	78:4	approximately
agree 4:14,18	47:16 63:20	answer 6:25	86:8
7:23 15:15	67:12 96:6	7:16,22 15:23	area 19:2,5
26:2,5,8 32:20		31:24 44:9,19	30:10 35:6,8

[area - behalf] Page 4

36:15 42:12	aspect 57:2	august 48:19	20:24 22:11,25
44:21 45:1,4	assessed 77:3	authority 12:7	23:15 25:2,5,9
70:3,15,20	assessment	authorized	25:21 29:20
76:18 78:9,23	3:13 48:19	4:12 38:6	59:7
81:6 82:15	assigned 4:3	available 32:14	base 29:16 38:4
85:21,24 86:4	10:20 11:8,9	39:12 46:6,16	38:12,20 39:7
86:8,14 88:4	35:22 37:7	46:24 67:13,15	39:11 63:7,17
88:19 92:12,12	79:25 102:7	97:24 100:4,8	based 26:19
areas 19:5,7,12	assist 92:9	104:23	38:8,13 40:5
22:6 36:15,21	assistance 68:4	avenue 2:6	41:6 46:2
41:16,22,24	68:5	aware 20:11	51:15 53:17
42:17,20 44:8	assisting 45:12	29:4 34:23	63:7,8 65:13
44:24 76:15,20	association	35:2 45:8,15	77:18
77:22,25 78:4	30:20 103:6,16	45:18,25 46:10	basically 15:14
78:11,18,20,22	assume 6:14	49:8 50:24	23:18 57:11
79:10 80:12,13	23:6 42:17	65:16 67:23	59:21 63:3
80:17 81:2	43:7 46:22	70:9 76:4 84:9	basing 53:6
82:14,15,16,21	47:17 55:21	06.10	hottomy 07.20
02.14,13,10,21	47.17 33.21	96:19	battery 97:20
85:17,18 86:10	75:21	b	bear 97:9
	75:21 assuming 62:24	b	1
85:17,18 86:10	75:21	b b 3:8 96:1	bear 97:9
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3	75:21 assuming 62:24	b b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4	b b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5	b b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4 98:25 101:6	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15 26:13 67:24,25	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2 bargain 20:7	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15 105:20,21,22
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4 98:25 101:6 105:12	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15 26:13 67:24,25 68:16 75:7	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2 bargain 20:7 bargaining	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15 105:20,21,22 105:24 106:5
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4 98:25 101:6 105:12 asking 8:23	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15 26:13 67:24,25 68:16 75:7 attracting 26:4	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2 bargain 20:7 bargaining 13:6,13 14:3,4	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15 105:20,21,22 105:24 106:5 106:12
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4 98:25 101:6 105:12 asking 8:23 31:5 43:6,10	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15 26:13 67:24,25 68:16 75:7 attracting 26:4 68:19	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2 bargain 20:7 bargaining 13:6,13 14:3,4 14:5,15,21	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15 105:20,21,22 105:24 106:5 106:12 beginning 5:5
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4 98:25 101:6 105:12 asking 8:23 31:5 43:6,10 45:9,16 61:7	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15 26:13 67:24,25 68:16 75:7 attracting 26:4 68:19 audio 108:8	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2 bargain 20:7 bargaining 13:6,13 14:3,4 14:5,15,21 16:5,18 17:3,7	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15 105:20,21,22 105:24 106:5 106:12 beginning 5:5 behalf 2:2,11
85:17,18 86:10 88:14 90:1,3 95:25 96:21 argument 30:6 83:15 armed 59:24 arrived 89:12 aside 38:19 74:20 83:22 asked 51:2 88:7 95:3 97:4 98:25 101:6 105:12 asking 8:23 31:5 43:6,10	75:21 assuming 62:24 attendance 5:4 21:13 attitude 92:24 attorney 5:5 21:12 108:14 109:10 attorneys 10:11 106:23 attract 24:5,15 26:13 67:24,25 68:16 75:7 attracting 26:4 68:19	b 3:8 96:1 back 8:17 10:1 23:12 27:5,9 48:13 66:10 75:13 79:12 81:24 84:19 87:22 93:23 100:18 bad 27:4 78:2 bargain 20:7 bargaining 13:6,13 14:3,4 14:5,15,21	bear 97:9 bedford 72:16 72:16,19 beggs 2:4 3:4,6 5:10,10 8:16 8:21 44:16 50:22 88:6,20 91:19 95:12,21 96:2 97:6,25 98:13 99:12,17 104:5 105:5,15 105:20,21,22 105:24 106:5 106:12 beginning 5:5

[belief - busiest] Page 5

belief 53:6	better 21:25	48:18 56:2	16:19,25 17:4
believe 6:18	22:1 24:15,18	57:13 58:12	17:17 19:24
17:4 20:10	31:22 41:5	59:9 60:1	21:9,19,25
25:14,22 28:19	73:17 74:10,15	63:18 64:13,25	22:1 23:23
31:17 33:10	75:5,7 81:17	65:8,17 66:14	24:15 60:17
35:15 37:12	81:22 85:11,12	67:1 72:13,17	61:16,22,22
39:3 49:11,14	85:14 86:18	72:20 74:1,24	75:5
58:4 59:9,14	87:25	75:24 79:3	brand 68:22
60:5 61:16,18	big 24:20 28:1	84:23 90:23,25	73:1,2,10
64:16 71:14	72:9 74:17	91:5 94:17	break 7:12 65:7
72:13 74:24	83:1	95:5,8,9 96:11	66:4
87:5 88:3,17	bill 5:10 98:11	96:21 99:19	breaks 40:6
94:14 96:16	billboards	102:24	briefly 10:16
98:8 103:2	69:18	bloomington's	bring 18:3
104:18 105:10	bit 10:14 17:6	27:23 29:15	27:17 75:16
belong 62:3	25:12,16 26:1	31:20 61:12	broken 35:22
65:12	27:19,23 28:16	83:22 84:5	68:20
belt 75:25	32:18 33:5	94:5 95:4,18	brought 30:6
benchmark	41:11 56:24	bonus 64:9	93:2 99:9
29:25 62:23	61:11,11 66:1	69:6	brown 13:16
benchmarks	70:17 71:13	bonuses 68:10	budget 38:18
30:23	73:10 81:13	boost 18:10	38:20 39:6
bend 92:25	bits 36:23	bose 2:14,21	57:16 73:14
93:13	bloomington	5:7	74:2,6
benefit 45:20	1:14,23 2:7,12	boselaw.com	budgeted 12:25
65:25 66:17,20	3:16 4:10 5:14	2:17,24	38:5 40:21
68:8,15	6:15 8:25	bottlenecks	81:4 87:20
benefits 17:14	10:17 13:7	73:22	budgeting
18:11 21:22	14:3,14,19	boundaries	12:11 38:16
22:1 24:5	15:24 17:21	37:13 42:13	budgets 38:13
65:23 72:3	27:13 29:2,18	44:13 78:5	bump 75:17
best 7:8,9 57:6	30:25 31:17	82:18	bunger 2:5
89:22 108:10	34:21 35:11	box 100:10	5:10
109:6	39:20,21 44:6	bpd 10:19	busiest 35:16
	45:16 46:2	14:21 16:2,6	35:18

[business - city] Page 6

	I .		
business 45:20	45:10,17 46:1	census 39:20,23	101:12,14,17
45:21 82:22	46:5,7,8,11,15	certain 28:23	chief's 105:14
businesses	46:19,23 47:3	47:16	chiefs 30:20
44:13 83:9	47:13 59:21,24	certainly 68:15	103:6,16
busy 42:7,12	60:8,9,13,14,19	71:16	child 83:13
c	61:5 83:5,6,7	certificate	chose 71:23
c 2:1,13 4:1	100:8,9	108:1 109:1	chosen 17:12
call 23:25	cameron 109:2	certified 4:19	chunk 78:10
29:19 33:2,3,6	109:15	62:2 63:2,10	circle 2:15,22
33:13,21,24	capacity 95:2	69:2,8 70:5	circuit 1:3
34:2,4,4,15,23	capitals 101:12	72:24 73:4	circumstance
34:24 35:17	captain 100:12	75:8 100:24	47:4
41:2,7,9,11,18	100:12 101:14	certify 108:4	cities 20:5
42:2,5,9,14	101:15,16,17	109:2	30:24 31:13
43:5,10,11,13	car 11:10 70:16	change 16:13	62:5
45:6,14 47:12	70:25 71:2	23:11 28:19	citizens 32:2,12
50:1 53:4	72:14,20 75:3	53:21 54:2,3,8	33:2,3 56:4
55:23 58:16	97:16,17,18,20	59:21 73:9	city 1:13 2:11
78:15 79:20	102:2	76:10 77:7	4:9 5:7,9 6:7
80:5 81:8	care 106:18,25	98:7	6:15 12:5,10
82:11,21,23	career 62:25	changed 8:11	12:13,14,17,24
83:9,20 86:21	104:4	28:24 59:15	12:25 15:24
87:3 88:23	carefully 99:6	87:3 89:10	19:12 20:2
89:8 94:21	carmel 29:7	changes 52:17	23:7 25:23
96:5	carrying 76:6	66:1 67:24	29:5 30:5,7,11
called 5:20 58:2	cars 72:12	changing 54:10	30:25 35:5
60:21 62:1	74:13	charitable 14:1	36:9,14,16,17
95:1,2	case 14:6,13	18:8,23	36:20,22,22,25
calling 12:21	20:9 21:6	chart 85:17	37:5 38:6,24
32:12 33:13	78:19,19 90:5	cheaper 70:8	39:2,10,22
calls 11:12 32:2	90:13 107:4	chief 37:24	41:15,19,23
32:9,12 33:11	cause 1:12	38:17 40:22	42:13 43:17,24
33:22 35:9	28:22	53:1 54:6	43:24 48:17
41:16 43:23	cba 20:17	75:16 99:25	49:10 50:6
44:2,3,3 45:9		100:11,11	52:16,17,19,21
44.4,3,3 43.9			

	T	I	T
53:6,10,11	88:12	coming 47:3	comparison
54:11 55:7,14	clearance	57:25 92:14	65:20
56:22 60:4,23	47:24 48:1,3	100:18	compensation
63:15,15 67:1	clearly 50:25	commission	18:11 19:25
67:11 68:7,13	clements 90:6	59:19 60:2	21:19,21 22:5
68:14 71:7,14	91:17	committee	24:4,12,14
72:13,16 73:13	clerks 40:14	60:20	61:10,12 72:8
74:15 76:23	clinic 68:14	common 1:13	72:9 73:3
77:10,11,13,14	closeness 25:25	2:11 4:9 75:22	74:12
77:21 78:4,9	closer 87:14	103:21 104:2	compete 21:23
79:2,9,24 80:3	clothes 40:8	communicate	competitive
81:9 86:7,13	collect 92:16	91:1	26:12,14 72:5
86:16 87:21	collective 13:6	communicated	complain 76:10
89:16,25 90:22	14:2,15 17:7	90:10 91:16	complaint
90:25 91:5	17:14,16,17	communicati	12:20
92:20 93:5	18:16 20:12,15	90:18 91:9	completely
94:5,17 97:17	20:24 22:10,25	communities	7:17,22 34:7
102:24 103:19	23:15 25:2,5,9	57:7	complicated
city's 19:1,17	25:21	community	78:6
37:13 42:13	collectively	18:25 56:2	comprised 13:7
44:13 67:24	20:6	58:6,8 59:9,13	13:15 21:4
106:23	college 2:6 11:4	60:1 75:15	computer
civil 6:17,18	column 101:5	commute 71:1	33:23 34:5
civilian 33:8	101:21	company 49:12	44:1
40:8,13 60:12	columns	comparable	concept 23:19
86:3,9	101:11	31:23	concern 79:11
clarify 10:4	come 15:21	compare 31:20	79:16 89:23
65:10	16:3 23:12	53:1 61:13	96:5,5
class 13:9	29:8 30:16	62:9,10,13	concerned
22:19 62:22,24	41:25 42:13	63:12 72:11	19:14 67:18
63:8,9,11,18	59:22 68:6	compared	concerning
72:13 101:25	87:23	32:10 62:8	19:17 28:20
classify 45:5	comes 31:10	65:17	49:8 79:8 80:8
clear 9:9 42:25	43:19 72:12	comparing	95:18 96:20
71:14 74:10		65:16,21 70:18	97:24

concerns 19:10	contracts 18:16	103:7	83:14 91:20
21:15 26:2	21:10 97:2	cost 71:3	97:1 100:17
40:24 89:15	controller 17:2	council 1:13	104:12
93:2 95:24	conversation	2:11 4:9 8:19	course 10:22
concluded	54:5 89:20	16:2,7,17,23	105:8
107:8	cop 14:2	17:1 20:2	court 1:3 82:1
conducted	copy 10:6	21:11,13 38:13	99:11
49:10	105:21	39:3 55:15	cover 29:21
connection	cordial 93:7	counsel 16:10	30:10 44:24
92:6	corporation	98:16 108:11	80:1 81:1,5,8
consider 12:4	1:7 4:9 63:16	108:14 109:7	88:4
71:1,22 75:4	correct 6:11,21	109:10	covering 79:2
considerably	6:22 7:4 10:8,9	counsel's 99:10	covers 17:17
53:4	10:25 13:4,13	count 81:21	crash 41:25
considered	14:9 15:6,7,25	counter 47:13	83:20
12:1 63:1 74:7	16:5,15,18,20	country 26:3	crashes 42:5
considering	17:10,16 18:12	county 1:2,5,14	80:6 83:11
67:9 88:14	20:10,14,22	2:2 4:7,10	create 104:21
considers 82:21	21:3,9 25:11	13:16 15:1	crime 23:25
consistent	25:19 26:11	17:20 18:2	29:19 31:15,15
28:14 56:11	37:6 42:16	29:6,8 34:24	36:12 41:9
consistently	49:6 51:18	34:25 35:7	45:3,6 78:11
21:20	58:7,23 63:22	36:6,22,23	80:5 82:14,16
constitute 5:1	65:9 66:23	37:2 42:3,5	87:3
constitutes	70:14 78:17	43:25,25 45:7	crimes 11:13
46:20	85:19,23 86:5	45:8,12,15	46:13 47:21,23
contingent	89:14 90:24	61:15,19,25	criminal 6:14
24:23	99:4,8 101:19	62:14,14 63:6	70:6
continued	correcting 37:8	63:6,25 69:18	current 8:5
25:18	corrections	70:20,23,24	20:17 25:2
continuing	106:21	74:25 82:20	34:20 37:13
28:4	correctly 29:1	91:22 94:4,15	39:1,4 40:24
contract 14:5	49:15 51:19	county's 77:2	46:2 50:7
20:3 22:3,6,13	52:12 53:20	couple 54:18	51:12,23 70:9
63:19	77:8 96:18	65:6 82:19	78:14 81:15
	1	1	1

99.32 103.33	Johnson 20,22	domontmenta	Johnstine 17.21
88:23 102:22	debate 39:22	departments 11:2 12:14	detective 47:24
currently 10:20 13:21 19:4	55:7		96:11,12
	december 14:2	13:1 26:3	detectives 13:8
24:6 35:14,21	decide 12:25	31:21 32:19	13:9 21:5 40:8
37:16 50:18	decides 83:14	56:19 65:17	40:17,17
53:24 59:3,18	decision 43:14	depend 33:12	device 74:21
61:18 71:8	deficiencies	33:21 41:19	different 11:16
72:18 74:18	84:9	depending	13:1 14:24,24
78:25 81:5	definitely 23:3	11:19,24 34:2	17:4 18:19
86:15 88:1	defund 55:23	47:3 61:20	22:6,15 34:4,7
99:25 100:24	56:3,15	65:12	49:11,11 50:15
cut 59:10	degrees 65:15	depends 41:18	50:15,16,17,20
cuts 60:25 61:4	department	deposed 6:12	52:11 53:16,16
61:5	3:12 8:25	deposition 1:18	53:21 54:18
d	10:18 11:4	3:15 4:6,24 7:7	61:6 62:17
d 3:1 4:1	13:7 14:3,14	8:1,14,15,20	63:12 69:4,5,7
data 3:16 33:16	14:19 15:24	51:1 99:14	72:3 73:6
34:12,13,16,20	17:9 31:21	104:6 105:13	77:10 87:12
39:8,13 41:6	33:14 34:18,21	108:1	96:21 97:2,23
45:25 46:4,8	35:1,11 38:7	depositions	differently 73:1
47:7,9,20 48:2	40:1 42:11	6:16	73:10 97:5
48:4 53:15	44:7 45:16	deputies 36:9	difficult 76:24
65:16 93:9	46:4,8,20	45:16	103:11
99:18	47:11,25 48:18	deputy 15:2	digital 108:8
date 1:20	52:6 57:14,25	36:5 62:19	109:3
day 10:20 11:9	58:18 60:5,7	100:11 101:14	direct 52:23
11:25 41:1,1	60:12 61:1	describe 65:1	65:20 73:3
44:8 46:18,18	73:14 80:14,15	92:19 93:4	directed 68:19
78:15,15	80:20,25 89:5	describing	direction 50:6
days 12:18	95:4,18 96:12	82:25	directions 20:1
54:21,21	99:19 104:21	description 3:9	directly 15:21
102:20	105:10	designed 56:18	55:2 56:5
dc 101:12,13,14	department's	58:9	75:12 105:12
101:17	36:25	detailed 34:9	disagree 99:1
101.17			

1. 1. 24.2	1 11 77 2	10.0	11 10 6
discussed 24:2	dollar 57:3	easier 18:8	emailed 10:6
55:15 80:4	63:20	81:13,18	emails 91:1,6
89:19	dollars 61:3	easy 15:23	employed
discussion	71:3	63:14	10:19 108:11
53:19	domestic 83:13	edge 36:22	108:14 109:8
dispatch 40:14	donated 92:1	41:23,24 78:9	109:11
43:19 47:14	downtown 58:1	education	employee 12:5
dispatched	58:2,23 59:1	65:14,15,22,24	12:10,13,24
43:24,25 47:16	draw 37:18	68:8	15:1 66:25
dispatcher	drive 11:11	effect 79:17	108:13 109:10
47:11	29:11 71:4	effective 80:23	employees
dispute 52:2,9	driven 69:19	effectively	12:18 15:2,24
80:19 86:12,25	driving 41:23	80:13	21:24,25 33:8
distances 35:8	42:4	efficient 54:11	40:12 60:13
district 11:10	dropped 15:16	effort 68:7	employment
11:11,15,16,22	due 18:15	92:10	10:17
11:24 36:15	dues 15:8,9,12	efforts 68:1,16	enacted 60:5
79:24,25	15:15,19 16:1	eight 26:21	encounters
division 11:9	16:1,2,8,11	27:1 50:18	42:1
47:25 50:18	18:4	51:12,20,20,23	encourage
document	duly 5:20 108:5	52:2 53:23,25	18:19
48:17 84:13	duties 13:23	75:11 81:16	enforcement
86:20 89:18	duty 100:14,15	either 17:24	27:2,3,7 30:9
94:2 98:21	e	24:16 47:24	62:4 74:22
99:9 101:9	e 2:1,1 3:1,8 4:1	elected 16:6	92:25 93:13
103:18 104:17	4:1	electronic	101:3
104:21	earlier 10:8	74:21	engage 20:12
documentation	28:15 61:12	electronically	enlarge 85:10
65:15	69:10 76:13	16:3	ensure 18:15
documents		eleven 36:3	entail 13:22
103:23	103:4	email 9:19 10:9	entire 79:2
doing 18:25	early 28:11	34:11 90:11,17	101:9
19:6 22:3 41:2	57:2	90:20,23 91:4	entirety 103:1
74:15 91:3	earn 66:20	91:11 105:25	equipment
93:10	ease 50:3	106:7	74:18 75:5

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

76:9	examined 5:22	f	fill 73:17 74:11
errata 106:22	example 29:7	fact 94:21	74:16
errors 106:21	34:9 35:11,20	factors 72:8	filled 57:20,21
es 108:4	47:7 69:18	fair 51:4 77:16	73:19
especially	excellent 32:5	familiar 28:21	filling 23:22
103:1	exceptional	29:9 34:19,20	final 48:19
esquire 2:4,13	32:3	44:25 45:18	100:22
2:20	exhausted	55:24	financial 61:5
established	47:20	family 76:19	66:19 77:16,18
73:7	exhibit 3:10,12	far 93:17	financially
estimates 85:8	3:14,15 48:17	fast 8:12	17:22 78:2
et 1:8,15 4:9,10	48:20 84:24	fbi 30:18 31:9	108:15 109:11
evaluate 56:25	85:1 94:3,7	40:4 103:5,7	find 24:3 46:25
evans 2:14,21	98:16 99:14,15	103:15,19	103:18 105:7,8
5:7	104:13 105:25	fearful 19:3,8	finding 51:22
event 47:5	106:3	fears 87:23	fine 51:7 82:12
events 14:1	existing 24:16	february 99:20	106:10 107:3
evergreen	87:20 89:25	feel 56:1 69:13	finish 7:9,10
25:14,17	exists 37:18	81:11,16	fire 20:7 34:25
everybody	48:5	feels 81:12	44:3 62:6,20
72:10	expect 33:2	felt 23:5 29:21	77:8,10
evidence 46:11	expected 96:6	39:23 56:2	firm 5:8
47:20	100:21	81:7	first 5:20 10:15
evidentiary	experience	fence 82:5	13:9 22:14,17
4:23	46:18 69:3	fewer 26:16,22	22:19 62:22,24
exact 16:14,25	78:7 79:23	28:9 30:11	63:8,8,11,18
82:17	93:15	71:15	64:5,6 89:18
exactly 12:16	explain 35:4		90:4 101:25
14:23 34:3	100:6	field 8:19 21:12	106:17
63:20 88:24	extending 95:6	101:2	fiscal 3:14 84:2
90:9 91:20	extent 92:6	fifth 61:17,23 63:7	84:2,4,10,22
examination	extra 25:18		86:6 89:15
3:2 6:1 98:12	80:1	fighting 97:12	five 21:7,9
104:10 105:4	extract 47:17	figure 43:21	27:15 28:8
		file 45:9	29:13 35:23,23
			,

[five - gotten] Page 12

36:2 37:17	forth 27:5,9	fund 16:17,23	46:23 48:8
38:2	75:13 103:22	62:3,6,21	66:5 69:1,20
fix 73:25	forward 106:5	63:13 64:14	77:5,12 79:16
focus 58:10	106:6	funding 56:19	82:7 84:14
focused 53:23	four 20:20	57:2,22	86:2 88:11
focuses 69:5	22:13,14,23	funds 12:25	92:16 93:18
folder 9:14,15	33:22 47:13	further 98:9	97:15 98:3
9:16	50:16,20 51:14	104:7 105:3,15	101:4
folks 38:11	51:14 86:9	108:13 109:9	goal 55:19 87:9
40:14	100:11	g	87:10 89:24
follow 41:3	fourth 61:16,16	g 4:1	goals 18:10,13
101:9,10	61:23 63:6,7	gaps 23:22	goes 27:9 29:19
followed 52:19	fraternal 5:13	gaps 23.22 gas 71:3 72:21	69:10,21 81:24
follows 5:22	13:12,14 14:11	general 14:11	82:2 85:14
53:10	fraternally	22:4 43:4	going 6:19,24
fop 8:18 9:16	17:23 18:6,18	generally 8:22	7:15 11:5 28:1
13:11 14:4	frauds 83:10	29:25 43:8	33:3 43:9,12
16:6,7,17 17:9	free 46:17	65:5 76:4	44:20 46:1
21:5 90:19	frequently	79:23	48:8 50:1 54:7
91:3,4 98:14	46:14 72:12	generator 80:5	54:8 57:9 70:1
99:18 102:21	front 8:5 9:10	geographically	71:2 72:25
105:6 106:7,19	15:4 22:7	35:6	73:14 77:6
fop88.org.	27:18 33:16	geography 35:3	78:23 80:14
90:21	39:18 48:7,16	getting 27:4	82:3,6,8,9 88:6
foregoing	52:13,23 63:19	28:12 55:16	88:21,24 89:11
108:3,4 109:4	64:15 72:10	87:20 93:10	94:18 97:9
forget 79:18	79:5 82:17	give 70:17 85:4	99:10 100:16
forgive 57:25	fruition 68:6	given 102:16	102:19 105:13
forgot 59:19	fto 100:22	gmail 91:8	good 4:2 6:3
form 44:17	101:1	gmail.com.	10:13 17:21,22
71:10 88:7	full 11:10 68:25	91:15	18:24,25 23:5
95:12,21 96:2	68:25 102:24	go 9:20 10:14	31:17 32:4
97:6,25	fully 37:15,25	22:7 25:14	73:24 87:24
former 76:2	38:3,12 73:14	28:18 41:9,20	gotten 92:7
	74:2,8 87:15	42:2 44:19	
		12.2 11.17	

[grade - idea] Page 13

grade 38:11	hamilton 55:10	59:11,16 61:9	hiring 26:14
great 32:16	56:9 57:22	74:14 76:7	55:17 68:10,12
greater 23:7	60:3 62:14	102:22 104:3	69:7,8 70:4
green 100:4	75:12 76:2,3	hearing 5:15	72:1,5
104:15,24	92:20,24 93:13	56:8	history 10:17
group 14:4	103:3	help 40:25	hold 13:24 55:5
32:4 58:9	hand 5:17	68:16 79:10	home 70:16,25
59:20 60:14	28:18,18	81:23 101:8	71:2 72:12,14
62:8 93:9,14	104:16	helpful 7:5	72:20 73:6
102:18	handful 15:5	87:8 88:22	74:13 75:3
groups 69:7	handle 32:17	helping 12:25	homeless 58:10
102:18	60:13 81:13	hereto 108:15	58:11
guess 8:23	handled 60:15	109:11	homelessness
13:11 34:9,19	handy 100:3	hey 39:14 41:4	58:14
36:15 46:10	hang 98:4	42:7 43:11	homes 19:14
60:18 61:6	happen 42:9	45:23 57:9	honestly 7:17
68:10 77:12	43:8 66:24	82:9	hope 73:15
78:1 80:24	hard 34:8	high 78:11	hot 55:13
81:24 86:18,24	harder 7:6 28:8	82:14,16,21,23	hour 50:19
87:11 88:6	82:8	83:3	51:13,18,23
93:7	hates 27:8	higher 24:17	52:2,17 53:21
guideline 30:14	head 7:1 48:7	45:6 65:15	53:24,25 54:2
103:8	49:25 52:1,5	77:12 83:19	54:3,10,15,19
guidelines	63:21 83:2	89:4	54:24,25 81:16
103:5,7	91:12 97:8	highest 61:19	99:6
guy 43:9	header 22:4	66:24,25 67:3	hours 50:17,21
guys 21:17 41:4	heading 85:9	67:4	51:20 54:8
42:8 43:6	headquarters	hire 24:21 28:5	67:10
71:10	36:17,19	28:8 68:19,24	huh 84:3 88:16
h	health 68:14	73:2,21 75:8	104:9
h 3:8	hear 43:10,11	81:19	i
half 43:13	59:12 97:13	hired 13:19	iacp 103:9
50:19 51:13,23	98:4	18:2 81:22	idea 39:16
52:2 53:24,25	heard 48:5	hires 67:11	56:18,24 79:13
64:25 81:16	55:22 56:7	71:19	81:4
04.43 01.10			01.4

4.7	• 65.15	• • •	
identification	increase 22:10	interject 50:22	job 1:25 32:5
48:21 85:2	22:13,18,22	internal 75:6	72:2 77:3
94:8 99:16	increases 23:4	104:17	jobs 26:23
identified	24:23 25:5	international	join 15:14 16:6
98:16	increasing 24:4	30:20 103:6,16	16:17 18:1,3,5
identify 5:4	indiana 1:1,6	interrogatories	joined 13:19
94:21	1:15 4:8,10,13	3:11 94:6,10	21:10
ii 72:13	5:14 8:18 16:6	involve 60:25	junior 100:1
ilea 100:22	20:6 30:7	involved 18:24	jurisdiction
101:2	31:21 69:11	56:4	37:1 42:5,9
immediately	70:5 72:14	involvement	43:17,20,21,25
82:3	101:3 108:19	12:11	44:1 45:7
impact 44:14	indianapolis	irritated 33:4	k
95:5,9,19	2:16,23 69:14	islands 76:18	keep 24:22
implemented	69:17	issue 24:11,13	70:24 91:3
60:25	individual	24:20 25:20	kept 71:22
important 6:25	77:18	34:7 55:13	kids 73:6
improve 77:7	individually	57:8 71:24	kind 10:5 19:16
incentive 65:8	77:23	72:8,12 73:24	24:20 27:9,24
65:11	inefficient	78:1	29:25 30:23,23
incentives	51:24 52:3,9	issues 20:2,4	31:13 41:21
65:18	information	80:6 83:17	42:25 47:11,20
incident 12:19	55:3 97:23,24	100:17	54:21 56:11,23
12:22 43:16	injured 100:14	it'd 101:5	57:8 58:1
incidents 11:13	inside 36:9,14	it'll 7:5 82:11	60:11 69:4
include 34:12	36:25 83:18	items 96:1	71:16 73:24
40:12,16 58:23	instance 36:1	iu 61:20	74:20 76:24
64:9 80:2	intended 4:21	j	77:14 80:16
included 59:6	interact 12:17	j 2:4	82:4 89:5
includes 40:21	12:22 18:20	jail 36:19	92:25 103:24
64:9	44:7	january 3:17	know 6:20 7:13
including 42:17	interaction	99:20	7:23 8:21
65:18	93:17	jeff 8:7 9:2 96:8	11:10,11,24
incorporated	interested	96:10,11,19	12:18,19,20
4:8	108:15 109:12	97:22	14:22 15:5
		71.44	11,22 13,3

[know - levels] Page 15

16:10,24 18:15	51:14 52:12,15	80:18 81:4,8,9	laptop 97:10,19
18:16,18,21	52:24 53:2,3,4	81:10,16,24	large 19:5
19:13,13,20,25	53:13,15,15,17	82:1,2,4,9,13	32:17 44:21,21
20:1,3 21:19	53:20 54:5,6,7	82:18 83:12,14	78:10,10,23
23:10,16,19,21	54:13,15,18,19	83:16,19 84:1	81:6,9 93:9,14
24:1,2,3,4,14	54:20,24 55:2	86:19,25 87:17	largely 19:24
26:21,21,25	55:3,5,15,18,19	87:19,22 88:22	21:19 22:5
27:1,2,4,6,8,16	55:20 56:4,6,8	89:8,9,11,12,17	96:25
27:18,24 28:5	56:20,24 57:5	89:19,24 90:2	larger 22:14
28:9,17,19,24	57:7,9,10,20	92:14 93:1	23:4 35:8
29:10,10,18,19	58:11,16,18	94:10 96:4,8	largest 78:21
29:20,23 30:5	59:5,23 60:17	96:24 98:7	lateral 69:8,10
30:10,18,22,23	60:22 61:2,2,4	99:12 100:2	69:22 70:19
31:1,13 32:1,8	61:4,11 62:7,9	103:19,22	71:19 72:23
32:8,10,16,17	62:10,19 63:3	104:2	law 20:9 27:2,3
32:21,21 33:1	63:13,18,20,21	knowing 78:14	27:7 28:20
33:4,5,6,15,24	63:24 64:4,6,8	78:15 81:19	30:9 62:4 70:7
34:3,3,5 35:5,6	64:11,19,21	105:12	70:7 74:22
36:5,7,12,21	65:7,20,21,24	knowledge	92:25 93:12
37:17,19,20,22	66:1,2,24,25	96:20 108:10	101:3
37:25 38:1,8	67:3,7,10,15,21	109:6	lawbr.com 2:8
38:11,17,17	68:8,13,23,23	l	lawrence 70:24
39:9,10,13,13	68:24 69:7	l 90:20 91:14	laws 4:23
39:15,17,22	70:4,6,17,18,20	labeled 48:17	lawsuit 94:22
40:3,13,25	71:1,3,9,19,25	labor 8:19 16:2	leave 100:15,21
41:1,3,10,11,22	72:9,15,23	16:7,23 17:1	left 101:5
42:7 43:2,8,11	73:5,7,7,15,18	21:11,13	legal 16:7,10,17
43:15 44:2,4	74:1,3,3 75:4	lady 92:11,12	lethal 74:23
44:11,22,23,23	75:13,14,16,17	lake 62:14	level 12:1 40:16
45:5,9,23,23	75:22 76:9	land 44:23 63:4	40:17 47:25
46:5,7,15,19,22	77:3,4,5,6,10	78:10 79:5	53:1,1 54:6
47:4,14,15	77:17,23 78:8	80:1 82:11	60:13 75:5
48:5,7,25	78:14,22,25	language 51:25	93:17 101:19
49:10 50:7,9	79:13,14,16,23	57:8,15	levels 40:24
50:16,17,24,25	80:1,2,4,6,8,15	37.0,13	46:2 55:8

- 0 -			
79:14	locally 40:21	looked 60:18	major 47:5
lieutenant	61:15	65:5 84:6,8,12	69:17
101:11	located 44:25	89:3,7 99:2	majority 68:18
light 37:10	location 1:22	looking 11:12	make 7:13 9:8
100:14,15	70:2	24:14 25:8	29:14 30:12
lightning 57:4	lodge 5:13	31:14 40:20	42:24 43:14
line 22:7,8	13:14,15,21,23	45:13 60:3	45:9,10 47:19
57:17 58:25	14:12,16,17,23	62:15 78:13	65:2 67:8
61:21 65:22	15:9,14,16	82:5 87:13	71:13 72:18
73:3 85:7	16:1,8,16,19	100:3	74:9 76:9,14
lines 82:24	17:5,9,13 18:1	loosely 55:23	106:21
list 15:4 17:1,4	18:3 96:17	losing 27:16	maker 12:5
17:5 46:5,7	long 13:17	28:10 67:18	89:5
62:2,2,7 63:3	23:17 24:22	lost 21:24	makes 7:11
64:19	27:6 75:20	83:13	18:7,8 62:6
listen 93:2	80:24 81:3,19	lot 12:17 21:24	75:1
listings 47:14	97:21 98:23	22:5 26:19,25	making 12:2,20
lists 30:15	100:15	29:25 30:22	40:16,18 60:4
little 7:6 10:14	longer 53:12	52:10 69:9	60:7
17:6 25:12,16	longevity 62:24	70:7,8 75:23	manner 4:24
26:1 27:23	63:11 65:13,18	83:5,14 95:7	32:10 44:14
56:24 61:11,11	66:1	lots 12:22	45:24 73:20
62:18 70:17	look 10:14	loves 27:8	79:15 80:10
71:13 73:10	11:11 14:22	low 83:5	86:15
81:13,18 97:21	31:9 35:14	lower 60:13	manpower
103:11	38:14 39:15	lowering 55:11	8:24 81:21,23
live 19:11,12	42:18 43:13	lump 21:21	map 82:17
29:3,5,11	47:6 51:4	m	march 1:20
39:21 76:14,17	54:17,20 62:1	machete 83:19	3:17 4:11 8:3
76:18	62:7 63:3	made 8:4 23:12	9:1 10:7 71:11
lived 78:7	69:20 70:22	28:1 51:12	99:20 100:3
lives 41:1	72:17,25 73:2	67:4,24 99:14	101:9 102:4
llp 2:14,21	73:3,9 82:6	103:5 104:6	margaret 90:6
local 16:16,19	93:9 98:24	main 89:23	91:17
60:1 70:20	100:2 103:17	11ttill 07.23	

[mark - mortgage]

mark 99:11	mcneil 2:20 5:8	member 13:3	mileage 28:23
marked 11:10	mean 9:4 22:3	13:17 15:10,16	military 100:20
46:6,15,24	22:4,5 25:17	16:16 17:13	100:21
48:20 84:23	31:4,9 33:18	62:25 91:22,24	minimum
85:1 94:3,7	36:10,20 38:14	91:24	35:13 58:22
98:16 99:13,15	38:19 40:10,19	members 14:14	85:21,24 86:3
market 26:14	42:18 46:17	14:16,17,18,19	minute 9:21
72:5	47:2 50:14	14:24 15:3,8,9	26:7 29:12
marketable	52:8,10 54:2	16:2,18,19,23	84:15 85:11
24:18	55:14 56:15	17:5,9,10,15,18	93:19
marketplace	57:8 58:15,17	18:7,7,11,14,19	minutes 33:25
21:23	59:11,17 65:10	18:24 19:7,11	34:1 47:8 99:5
married 83:14	67:21 69:21	40:25 54:20	moment 106:15
mass 44:23	72:7,11 75:19	55:2 58:17,18	money 57:13
79:5,6 80:1	75:21 76:7	59:2 60:22	57:17 66:20
math 39:17	80:7 81:21	66:13 93:1	monroe 1:2,3
matter 4:7 6:17	83:4 87:4	96:5	1:14 4:10
47:2	89:23 97:1,3	membership	13:16 15:1
matters 6:14	97:22 99:23	16:1,9 19:16	17:20 29:8
maximum	102:3	19:19 23:2	34:24,25 61:15
35:19 85:22,25	meaning 17:22	54:15 56:7	63:5,6,25
86:4 102:11	64:17	memorial	69:18 70:23
mayor 38:17	means 4:25	13:25	74:25
50:7 55:10	97:12 99:24	menard's 82:22	month 16:12
56:9 57:21	100:6,7 102:6	mentioned 9:1	98:24 99:2
60:3 67:8	measure 32:24	23:17 25:1,6	months 99:19
70:12 71:5	32:25	25:22,24 29:12	monument
75:11 76:2,2	media 26:20,25	30:18,19 49:3	2:15,22
92:24 102:22	medical 100:15	69:9 76:13	morning 45:12
103:1,2	100:17	103:4	79:1 81:7
mayor's 74:19	meet 6:3	met 6:7 93:8	mornings
mayors 27:25	meeting 75:14	microphone	10:21
mccsc 61:20	meetings 13:24	98:6	morphed 56:23
mckinney 2:14	92:4,5	midst 27:10	mortgage 68:5
2:21 5:7			

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

•			•
motor 41:25	96:23	normal 104:20	100:7 103:20
83:10	need 7:12 38:21	normally 9:10	numbers 8:3,3
mouse 85:13	49:1 89:24	20:20	8:5 9:2 10:7
moved 11:25	105:20,25	northern 79:18	11:19 20:3
57:14,18	needed 23:11	notary 4:12	30:23 31:13
movement	58:17 80:12,17	108:18	32:9 40:5
55:23 56:3,5	needing 39:8	notebook 9:14	41:13 49:23
56:12	needs 11:19,24	notes 3:15 9:3	51:15 52:6,23
moving 51:17	negative 27:2	9:11 34:6	53:2,17 61:20
54:22 57:19	negotiated	noticing 5:5	62:16 64:3
60:9 101:22	20:16,18	notified 8:19	72:11 73:18
muddier 62:18	negotiating	novak 50:1,4	74:11,16 80:20
multi 22:3	21:16	50:10,13 52:8	84:11 86:22
27:18 31:24	negotiations	52:9,20 55:6	89:6,8,12
60:11 71:16	14:5 20:24	81:14 87:11	103:12,17,22
multiple 13:15	22:25 23:15	98:17	numerous
105:2,6,8	24:8 25:10,21	number 14:23	104:3
municipalities	negotiators	16:25 17:2	0
20:12 30:24	21:2	24:21 27:24	o 4:1 90:21
muted 105:21	neither 108:11	28:3,25 30:2	91:14
n	109:7	30:15 31:1,2,7	oath 6:20
n 2:1 3:1 4:1	never 55:16	31:10 34:8	oaths 4:13
name 4:2 6:10	new 23:22,23	36:7 37:4,7,23	object 44:16
59:19 92:13	24:5,16 26:4	38:5,8,10,15,19	95:12,21 96:2
94:24	28:2 60:4,7	39:20,23 40:10	97:6,25
name's 57:25	68:19,22 73:1	40:12,21 48:5	objection 4:16
narrow 44:4	73:2,10 81:20	49:18 52:18	5:16 44:16
national 26:19	93:5	55:11,19 63:2	50:23 88:7,20
27:24 29:15,17	news 55:21	63:10,10 65:3	observer 12:12
30:14	nice 6:3	65:3,4 67:10	obviously
nationwide	nine 35:13,15	71:3,11,21	23:17 24:1,17
26:10,12 28:15	37:9,10 58:21	74:2,5,6 79:4	27:23 34:4
31:3	nodding 7:1	80:16 81:1	35:5 36:11,20
necessarily	non 40:13	82:10 87:16	37:24 38:17
35:2 57:1 73:8	60:10	88:4 94:20	39:10 41:1,22

42:4 43:12,15	100:1,14,20	74:4 75:10	97:15,17 98:6
44:22 50:18	101:2,12,23,25	76:2,5 78:15	100:2 101:17
51:14 52:12	101:25 102:1	79:2,15,25	101:22 104:23
55:18 57:5	102:21 108:1,2	80:12 81:1,5,6	105:1,3 106:11
58:17 64:5	officers 13:8,8	82:3,4,9,10	106:16 107:2,4
68:22 70:4	15:8 16:22,25	86:9,9,13	old 53:14 68:23
78:22 83:19	17:3,20 19:4	87:23,25 88:3	once 91:21 93:8
86:25 89:9	23:20,22 24:1	88:4,18 89:25	one's 49:19
occur 27:14	24:5,6,17,21	99:24 100:17	ones 83:1
occurring	26:4,9,13,17	100:23 101:24	ongoing 89:20
11:13 36:12	28:15,20 29:3	102:5,7,12,24	online 30:17
odd 14:24	29:5,8,21,24	103:8,20,24	31:9 103:23
16:13	30:11,13 31:2	offices 62:12,17	open 57:21
office 15:2 30:8	31:7,18 32:1,4	official 14:7	operations 95:3
42:3 62:11	32:5,16 35:7	oh 9:16 29:18	95:6 101:15
63:15 74:19	35:10,22 37:3	59:11 78:1	opinion 27:22
78:11 105:14	37:16,18,23,25	90:8	33:19 54:15
officer 6:16	38:21 39:2,4,8	okay 6:8,12,14	opinions 99:7
10:20,24 11:6	39:16,25 40:1	6:17,19 7:10	oppose 54:12
13:9,10 17:12	40:11,18,23	7:12,13,14,20	54:24
22:19,20 24:16	41:15 44:7	7:25 8:6 9:7,15	opposed 19:1
27:25 33:8	45:8,12 46:23	9:18,22 10:13	19:10 75:10,12
36:23 42:1,13	47:2,5 49:4	15:18 16:21	76:1,4,5,8,22
55:22 58:3	51:10 52:15	25:20 34:15	76:25 77:21
59:4,5,24	55:11 56:25	41:20 48:23	78:3,17
61:19,22 62:19	57:4,6,20	49:2 50:2 58:5	opposition
62:19,22,23	58:15,22,23	63:24 65:2	91:18
63:8,9,11,18	59:1 60:10,17	66:6 71:1	option 74:23
67:4,4 69:2,8	61:7,8,13 65:8	72:18 75:15	order 5:13
70:10,19 72:15	66:14,18 67:8	76:17 79:22	13:12,14 14:11
72:16,17,24	67:12,14,16,17	81:12,17 82:7	43:4
73:1,5 75:21	67:25,25 68:7	85:4,5,20,24	ordering
75:24 78:3,7	68:11,12,15,17	86:2,6,12	105:18
78:13,22 80:7	69:10,15,22	90:10 92:3	organization
81:20 85:16	71:15,17 72:6	94:13 95:1	39:14 42:6

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

	l		
organizational	100:25	participated	53:21 54:17
3:12 38:23	\mathbf{p}	60:18,22	58:16 61:22
41:4,12 48:18	p 2:1,1 4:1	particular	67:3,4 79:10
59:20	90:20,21 91:14	14:13 42:8	81:15 101:24
organizations	91:14	55:10 57:16	102:2
30:19	p.m. 1:21 4:5	58:9 59:23	patrolling
organizing	8:11 9:24 10:2	65:21 69:22	35:12 36:6
13:25 92:9	48:11,14 66:8	70:3 75:7	37:5,12
orientation	66:11 84:17,20	77:17 79:1	paul 1:19 4:6
70:2	93:21,24 102:8	83:21 84:13	5:12,19 44:19
oriented 57:3	102:8 107:5,7	86:20 89:6,18	88:11
originally	packages 65:23	92:12 100:10	paulpost 90:20
56:17	page 3:2,9 85:5	102:14 103:21	paulrpost
originated	94:20 98:17	104:1	91:14
45:14	99:2	particularly	pay 15:8,9,12
outcome		48:6	15:15 16:2,23
108:16 109:12	pages 52:11	parties 4:14,17	16:25 21:25
outlined 54:23	paid 61:19	23:10,12	22:10 23:7
outreach 18:9	66:25,25 75:3 91:24	108:12,14	24:23 25:4
18:24 58:10		109:8,11	38:11 61:21
outside 29:5,5	papers 9:10 parity 70:18	parts 54:22	62:3,5,24 63:7
36:16 39:13	park 12:21	68:21	63:17 65:8,11
42:12 43:16	*	party 59:23	65:11,13,14
44:13 70:23	parking 83:13	60:16 71:16	69:24 72:18
78:5,9 97:13	parks 12:19	passed 87:1	77:11
overall 61:16	82:20	past 30:5 39:3	paycheck 15:22
76:25	part 17:18 22:4	39:22 68:1	paying 15:16
overlap 102:13	31:24 32:8	69:19 83:16	67:4 77:13
102:15	33:1 38:15	92:7 97:2	payroll 71:11
overtime 66:15	47:10 51:6	98:21	99:23,25
66:22 67:7,12	60:11 81:23	patrol 10:20,22	pays 64:25
67:15,19	89:19 99:14	10:24 11:6,8,9	65:17 72:3
own 69:2 70:8	104:6,15	36:9,14,15	pd 27:13
76:19,20 77:24	participate	39:4 43:9	peer 21:20
77:24 81:20	18:7 68:9 92:3	50:18 51:13	_

Veritext Legal Solutions

	1		1
peers 62:8	68:23,24 70:25	plans 70:9	58:18 59:21,24
penalty 15:13	73:5 91:21	play 23:14	60:10,25 61:13
pend 32:12	personal 46:18	please 5:4,17	61:18,21 62:6
33:24,25 46:7	79:22 91:8,10	55:5 99:11,23	62:20 63:25
pension 62:3	personally	100:5 101:1,4	64:1,11 65:8
62:12,21 63:13	76:22 77:24	105:9	66:13 69:14
people 18:21,22	personnel	plug 97:9	72:6,16 75:10
19:13 21:9	40:11 79:7,9	plus 10:22	75:21 76:2,5
26:16,22 28:5	79:14 80:9	62:24 63:9,11	77:6 78:3,6,13
28:8,9,10,11	85:8,17 86:3	87:13	78:22 79:2
29:11,24 30:5	87:14	poached 32:6	80:7 95:4,4,7,8
31:7 36:1	perspective	71:18	95:18,19 96:12
39:21 44:23	95:25	poaching 69:10	96:20 97:17,18
48:6 56:1,5	petition 77:19	point 9:7 28:20	99:19 100:24
59:3,8,13	petitioner 2:2	54:4 55:17	101:25 103:6
65:24,25,25	petitioners	80:15 83:9	103:16
67:10 71:17,21	1:11 5:11	87:17 92:15	policing 54:11
71:22,25 72:23	petitions 92:10	police 3:12 5:13	55:8 58:6,8
73:21 75:9,21	92:17	6:15 8:25	59:9,14 84:10
75:23 76:1,8	phone 33:6	10:18 11:1,4	95:24
82:11 89:4	91:21	13:7,7,10,12,14	policy 12:1,4
perceive 93:12	phrase 24:11	14:3,11,14,19	40:16,17 52:25
percent 22:20	phrasing 53:14	15:24 17:9,12	89:5
22:21,22,23,23	picked 82:20	17:20 20:7,13	poll 55:2
percentage	picture 10:6	22:20 26:2,9	polls 19:19,22
22:13,18	pieces 104:23	26:17 27:25	19:24
percentile	pinch 81:7	29:3,8 30:7,8,9	pool 26:19
64:18	pl 1:13	30:20 31:17,20	poor 77:2
period 27:3	place 8:20 83:8	34:21,25 35:11	popped 98:6
74:4 100:21	105:13	38:6 41:15	population
102:7	plain 40:8	44:6,14 45:16	23:24 29:18
permitted 4:21	plan 3:14 80:9	45:23 48:18	30:4 31:15
person 6:10,11	84:2,2,4,10,23	55:22,23 56:3	44:12,21 58:11
21:7 33:7	86:6 87:24	56:15,19,25	76:3 78:10
37:22 47:18	89:16 94:21	57:4,6,13,17,24	79:5

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

[pose - put] Page 22

	T		
pose 50:23	preference	83:4 89:3,7,19	proposed 55:4
position 12:2	11:21,23	98:24,24	55:11 60:4
24:15,17 27:12	prep 19:6	problem 27:13	78:8
60:6 61:21	preparation	27:20 45:3,6	proposing
73:17 74:11	8:14	79:17 87:16	60:15
75:5 78:14	prepare 7:25	procedural	protect 18:14
positions 23:23	8:9	4:22	protection 95:4
28:2 40:20	prepared 50:5	proceed 5:24	95:8,9,19
57:21 73:19	55:6 104:15	proceeding	96:20
101:19	109:3	1:22 4:4,20	provide 31:22
possibility	president 5:13	82:1 107:8	44:14 80:13
54:23	13:21 20:25	109:4	86:7,14 87:15
possible 36:1,3	96:15,16 98:14	proceedings	94:22 106:4,14
44:10 47:1	99:18 102:21	108:3,5,6,9	provided 86:15
85:10 99:5	105:6 106:8,19	109:6	public 75:14
post 1:19 4:6	pretty 26:12	process 12:11	76:12 108:18
5:12,12,19 6:3	46:14 74:21	18:15 21:16	publicly 39:3
10:4 48:16	primary 21:15	38:16 72:1	59:12 102:23
66:13 84:22	74:17	produced 4:19	purely 72:7
94:2 95:1	prior 11:3	profit 1:7 4:8	push 28:1
98:14 99:18	12:21 25:9,9	program 68:4,5	59:18
102:21,22	25:17 49:14	69:1 70:16	put 11:23 28:23
104:12 105:6	108:5	72:14 74:19	32:3 34:5,8
106:8,19 107:1	prioritizing	prohibit 23:7	37:17 39:4
post's 3:15	33:22	prompted 50:8	41:14 48:16
potential 18:1	priority 33:24	pronschinske	50:21 54:14
102:8	43:5 47:14	1:24 4:3 108:2	56:18 63:2
potentially	83:4,5,5,8,20	108:17	64:4 66:3
18:3,5 44:24	privately	property 76:19	68:11,24,25
47:1 66:20	102:23	76:20 77:4,25	69:6,24 70:6
96:25	probably 9:7	proposal 56:10	74:10 80:15,20
preclude	16:22 25:22,24	56:11 57:19	86:18 87:1
100:18	26:22 29:8	proposals	89:4 103:22
predecessor	46:3 49:19	50:16	104:17 106:3
102:23	63:23 81:12,17		

	I	I	I
putting 28:9	raised 24:7	87:8 93:8,15	recommended
56:19	raising 23:7	reason 7:20,22	31:6 39:5
q	77:3	19:9 52:21	41:13 80:16
qualified 108:7	rank 13:9 45:9	80:19 82:6	87:11
question 7:21	59:5 62:8,18	86:12 87:5	recommends
33:15 34:18	62:22 63:6	88:3,17 97:3	103:8
37:24 39:19	64:6,13 101:25	rebecca 109:2	record 4:4,5,17
44:17 46:4,9	ranked 21:20	109:15	5:4 9:21,23,25
46:21 47:11,25	ranks 62:22	rec 12:20	10:2,4,5 48:9
52:6 54:14	rap 27:4	recall 6:9 49:21	48:10,12,14
77:9 81:25	rare 73:13	51:22 52:15,18	66:5,8,9,11
87:7 88:1,9	rate 77:12,12	receive 17:13	84:14,16,18,20
89:5,6 94:20	rates 47:24	31:25 32:15	93:18,20,22,24
95:13,22 96:3	48:1	65:8,11,13,14	107:5 108:9
97:7 98:1	rather 23:24	received 106:7	109:5
questions 6:25	42:2	recent 67:24	recorded 4:24
7:9,16,17 8:16	reach 105:13	83:16 86:21	108:6
8:23 65:6 97:4	reached 89:6	105:11	recording 4:19
98:10,15 99:10	91:19	recently 86:19	108:8 109:4
104:7,12	reaching 20:2	recognize	records 40:14
104.7,12	read 23:6 34:6	18:21	recruit 21:23
quick 33:24	48:23 50:11	recognized	68:22 70:19
73:25 97:10	55:21	74:22	73:4,10 75:2
quicker 70:8	reading 86:11	recollection	82:8
quite 28:16	real 8:12 89:24	51:11	recruited 73:1
32:18 41:11	97:10	recommend	recruiting
51:14 66:1	realize 34:6	39:1 52:16	23:22 24:16
69:19	really 27:3,15	recommendat	28:17 68:20
	27:16 28:17	40:2,7 41:4	69:2,5 70:10
r	30:1 32:22	51:9,12 103:13	70:22
r 2:1 4:1 91:14	33:12 39:11	recommendat	reduce 67:12
radio 43:11	44:9 52:4,14	49:16,22 50:12	reduced 108:7
raise 5:17	60:16 68:6,20	50:15,20 51:3	refer 80:14
72:19 89:15	77:24,25 80:9	51:17 52:19	reference 50:3
	82:6,12 83:7	53:10,16 103:9	103:5

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

	T	I	I
referenced 10:7	92:13 96:17	reporting 8:3	103:9
referencing	102:25 103:25	9:1 31:15	resource 58:2
49:5	remind 6:19	49:11	58:23 59:1
referring 70:13	remonstrance	reports 26:25	respect 84:10
reflect 47:7	77:19 92:10	49:7 105:7	95:25
87:2	remonstrators	represent 6:6	respond 32:2,9
reflected	1:9	representation	32:23 33:3,5
101:20	remote 1:22	16:7	41:16,20 42:8
region 61:13	remotely 4:15	representative	43:6,12 45:24
regular 28:4	rental 68:4	14:8 21:4,5,13	56:25 58:16
102:20	rep 8:19 93:8	95:2 96:13,14	59:22 61:6
regularity 28:6	repeat 26:6	represented	100:8
32:6	88:13	13:14 16:20	respondent
regularly 34:15	report 10:7	representing	2:11
relate 19:22	12:22 48:19	14:13	respondents
related 108:11	49:3,5,14,22	represents 14:4	1:16
109:7	50:1,4,10,11,13	reps 8:2	responding
relating 91:10	51:17,19,22	request 106:24	43:18 59:24
relationship	52:8,9,11,16,20	requests 94:16	78:24
92:19 93:4	53:2,7,11,12	require 18:4	response 32:22
relative 28:6	55:6 81:14	required 18:1	32:24 33:10,16
108:13 109:10	86:23 87:11	20:6	33:20,24 34:23
released 84:7	89:2 98:15,17	requirement	45:19 59:23
remember	99:2 101:18	15:10 20:11	60:19,20 82:13
16:14 20:9	reported 1:24	research 26:18	responses
22:17 28:25	38:15	30:17 31:12	56:21 94:15,18
49:15,16,18,23	reporter 4:2,3	103:22	94:19
49:24 51:19,25	5:15,23 6:23	reside 28:21	responsibilities
52:12 53:20	7:6 9:23 10:1	residence 70:24	11:7
54:4,4 55:9	48:10,13 66:7	residency 73:8	responsibility
57:15 60:21	66:10 84:16,19	resident 45:20	7:16
65:4 74:5	93:20,23 99:11	45:21	restriction 29:2
80:21 84:8,11	105:17,20,23	residents 1:5	result 22:9
90:8 91:2,2,12	106:2,11,14,17	2:2 4:7 44:7	retain 21:24
91:20 92:11,13	107:4	91:22 94:4,16	24:5 27:17

[retain - see] Page 25

			1
67:25 68:17	robertson 2:5	runs 20:17	schools 73:6
retaining 24:16	5:11	45:10	screen 48:23
28:18	rod 57:4	rushed 8:11	52:14 84:24
retention 70:11	rodgers 8:7 9:2	S	search 30:16
71:24	96:8,10,19	s 2:1 3:8 4:1	seattle 69:14
retire 71:23	97:22	90:21 91:14	seattle's 69:16
retirements	role 12:5,8,13	safe 89:12	second 7:5
28:4	12:24 13:20	salary 49:10,13	35:17 37:4,14
retiring 28:10	14:7 20:23	49:17,22 62:2	38:1,21 43:13
28:15	23:14 34:17	63:2,12 64:3,6	48:9 55:5
return 100:21	roll 15:17	73:2	58:22,24 85:4
review 3:16	room 9:9	sanitation	101:5,6,10,18
34:15 99:6,18	rose 101:11	77:14	102:5,7
106:20,24	rotate 11:17,20	savvy 93:15	secretaries
reviewed 34:10	rotating 11:19	saw 27:16 28:3	104:19
83:24 98:21	54:21	89:18	section 23:9
106:21	rotation 102:11	saying 16:22	25:6 53:24
rhetoric 56:6	102:19	38:21 41:19	79:19,19
right 5:6,17	rotations 35:23	57:10 79:24	see 9:10 17:2
8:11 20:8 26:4	35:24,25	88:14 102:17	21:22 27:7,25
26:15 28:13	102:16	103:15	28:16 29:25
31:10 32:20	rounding	says 26:18 31:6	30:16,17,18
36:19 37:13	103:24	31:10 52:8,16	31:8,12 36:11
43:5 44:13	row 88:8	94:21 95:1	40:3 41:13
52:13 63:24	101:23	99:22 100:4	44:1,2,4 46:14
66:7 70:25	rule 41:21	101:5 103:19	47:4,13 48:19
72:17 74:4	42:21,22,25	scale 32:17	54:16 55:18
76:11 78:4,8	43:1,3	schedule 39:1,5	65:20 69:20
81:4,19 86:24	rules 4:23 17:8	49:4 51:13	77:5,23 80:8
99:3 100:4,19	run 34:20 47:7	53:22 54:17	84:24 85:5,7,9
101:18,20	47:9 73:18,22	81:15	85:14,16,20
104:16 105:17	76:12	scheduled	86:4 94:6,24
106:5,7,19,22	running 13:23	102:20	101:10 102:2
rights 18:14	13:24	school 43:16	103:21 104:3
		61:21 63:16	105:8
		01.21 03.10	

Veritext Legal Solutions

		1	
seeing 18:15	57:14,18 78:12	58:24 78:25	shown 98:15,17
46:18	set 17:8 37:23	79:1 81:15	shows 100:10
seemed 92:24	38:10,16 39:10	93:7 96:12	sick 36:4
93:1	44:2 54:21	101:6,10,18	100:14
seen 6:10 23:4	68:5 76:3	102:5,7,9,14	side 80:3 82:19
30:4 31:5,5	seven 79:2 81:6	shift's 35:13	104:16
34:11,22 41:9	81:8	shifting 52:20	sign 77:19
46:5 50:24,25	several 29:4	shifts 10:22	92:10 106:20
69:13 94:11,12	30:9 50:14	50:19 51:18,23	106:24
94:13 105:2	52:11 54:22,22	51:23 52:3	signature
send 42:8	63:12 68:1	53:25 54:10,25	106:11,17
senior 10:20	69:17 71:25	81:16 102:15	107:6 108:16
11:6,17 13:10	73:16 78:11	shooter 43:16	109:14
22:20 101:25	share 9:5 106:3	shop 14:1	signed 20:18
seniority 11:21	sheet 9:4 64:15	short 24:2	signing 64:9
sense 7:11	106:22	37:11 46:12,13	signs 92:7,8
19:15 62:6	sheriff's 15:1,2	47:21,22 52:22	sir 6:13 104:8
76:11	30:8 34:25	53:6,12 67:16	sit 46:16
sent 9:6 10:9	36:5,8,25 42:3	71:7 82:10	sitting 9:14
34:11 71:10	42:11 45:15	88:1 103:2,3	situation 8:24
separate 16:8	61:19 62:11,11	shortage 26:9	six 35:23 36:2
17:8 58:25	62:17 63:15	27:12	sixteen 37:7
90:22	78:11	shortened	size 29:19 30:4
sergeant 59:4,6	shift 8:2,11	55:19	31:15 87:21
100:13 101:11	10:21 11:19	shorter 34:24	89:25
sergeants 59:6	21:4 34:12	45:19	skills 108:10
service 31:22	35:11,14,16,17	shortly 13:19	109:6
31:25 32:12,15	35:21 36:6	show 7:3 44:16	small 93:17
44:14 56:20	37:4,7,14 38:1	47:9 65:14	smaller 35:8
65:14 72:25	38:22 39:1	85:7 88:7	social 56:20
77:6,8,14	40:2,6 43:2	94:18 99:22	solely 53:23
80:13 83:21	45:11 46:15	showing 33:7	solved 47:23
86:7,14 87:15	50:17,21 52:17	46:1 84:22	somebody
services 13:24	53:16 54:2,3	85:13 94:2	15:12 33:11
13:25 56:21	54:19,24 58:22		

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

somebody's	29:10 32:23	standards 70:2	106:6
15:21	36:14 41:10	stands 101:1	stipulation 5:1
someone's 33:3	54:16 57:1	start 43:9	store 83:18
sorry 26:6,8	67:21 70:12,20	73:21 79:12	strained 92:22
29:13 34:10	71:6 77:6 83:8	89:25	street 100:19
85:13 98:6	83:17 88:23	started 25:23	102:4
sort 13:24 20:4	90:15,16 91:3	27:14 60:2	structure 62:12
23:11 31:16	91:4 96:24	68:13 72:2	structures
33:8 48:1 70:7	105:11	starting 63:12	62:18
72:21 75:14,17	specifics 64:12	64:2,2,5 70:16	studied 48:3
sound 63:22	speed 75:17	74:19 89:20,21	50:10 80:11
103:25	spending 12:7	state 1:1 8:18	83:7,23 84:1,4
sources 97:23	split 14:17	16:7 30:8	study 31:6
south 2:6	37:19 102:9	32:20 61:14,18	38:24 39:12
southern 79:19	spoke 81:15	62:4 63:25,25	41:13 49:10,13
southwest	spoken 71:5	64:11 66:2	49:17,22 60:18
79:21,22,23	staff 19:6 38:1	69:21 70:5	stuff 9:17 10:15
80:3	40:9 60:23	83:24 102:23	26:20 40:3
speak 48:1	staffed 37:15	108:19	41:9 69:6 91:3
60:16 75:24	37:25 38:3,12	statistic 30:14	91:4 103:23
speaking 14:10	47:22 52:22	statistics 23:25	stun 74:21
special 57:24	53:7,12 71:7	31:16 87:3	subject 83:17
specialty 65:11	73:14 74:2,8	103:17	subpoena 9:13
65:12	87:15 103:3	stayed 62:25	substantial
specific 13:23	staffing 8:4,24	staying 28:12	66:21
19:18 31:6	26:2 27:12	90:2	subtracting
33:19 34:9	32:8 34:12,14	stenographic	100:5
46:10 49:18,23	38:6,23 39:12	4:25	successful
54:14,20 55:3	40:2,24 41:12	stephen 2:13	22:24,25 24:24
57:7 61:3 69:6	46:2,12,13	5:6 6:6	sufficient 81:1
70:2 82:22	49:8,9 52:6,20	steve 33:12	87:6,9 88:4,18
84:11 90:19	53:17 74:5	37:6 52:10	suggest 93:16
specifically	81:11 102:24	60:11 79:13	suggesting 86:7
8:15 14:2	stand 75:1	83:2 88:12,21	summary 94:22
19:21 24:8		96:4 97:9 98:8	

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[sunger - thing] Page 28

	I	I	
sunger 2:17	71:11 81:11	26:1 39:2 49:9	term 24:22
supervisor	85:8,16,16,20	53:15 58:21	42:22 100:15
41:19,19 43:10	86:9,13 88:2	70:15 71:12	terms 14:5
supervisors	88:18 99:24	75:19 80:23	20:21 21:21
43:3	102:4 108:5	89:17 91:20	24:3 28:2
supplemental	system 33:22	95:7,10,20	31:21,25,25
94:17,23	t	96:1	32:1,15,16
supplemented	t 3:8 90:21	talking 7:7 30:5	35:17 41:7
3:10 94:4	91:14	31:3 40:4	55:17 61:4,5,7
supporting	table 23:12	67:20 74:18	61:8 64:14
47:21	take 4:4,12	78:18 92:14	66:2 78:7 93:9
suppose 44:10	10:13 36:13	98:18	103:17
sure 7:13 8:4	42:14 43:13	talks 23:9 49:4	testified 5:22
9:8 23:18 24:2	45:17 51:4	51:21	testify 94:22
24:9 29:14	65:7 66:4	tall 34:12	95:3,18
30:12 37:2	69:25 70:16,25	taser 74:19,20	testifying 14:6
42:19,24 44:11	71:2 72:11,14	75:4,21,25	90:4 108:5
47:19 52:14,24	72:20 74:13	tasers 75:10,19	testimony 53:8
56:13 57:11	75:3 80:25	76:6	94:23 98:20
60:14 61:2,15	85:11 96:6	taxes 19:14	103:4
65:2 71:13	97:12 100:8	77:5	text 9:5
74:3,9 76:14	105:13	team 21:3,7	thank 5:15,23
90:12	taken 4:7 10:6	65:12 93:8	9:18 37:8,8
surprise 67:9	57:13,17	tell 5:21 10:16	85:12,15 104:8
surprised 35:3	103:14 108:3	31:4 81:21	105:15,24
75:23	108:12 109:9	106:6	that'd 35:22
suspect 45:13	takes 33:4	ten 26:21 27:1	36:2 92:8
swear 4:14	81:19	36:1 37:9,10	thefts 83:10
5:16	talk 7:8 8:13	51:18,20 52:17	thing 7:5 9:6
swings 27:4	48:6 61:10,14	54:2,3,10,15,19	17:21,22 20:4
sworn 4:17	67:23 84:2	tend 62:12,17	22:4 28:13
5:20 33:8	97:15 100:16	83:10	31:16 33:9
40:11,13,18,20	103:12	tends 11:18	34:5 48:1 53:1
40:23 58:15,18	talked 8:2,16	72:9,24	57:6 60:1
60:10 61:8	8:17,18 23:16		68:14 70:7

[thing - total] Page 29

—— 110.00		1001710001	17.10.70.11
72:4,10,22	56:7,17,23	102:15 103:24	45:19 50:11
77:16 104:2	57:2,5,16,19,23	thursday 1:20	58:19 62:1
things 6:20	58:24,25 59:22	tied 47:5	88:8 91:21
11:13 13:25	60:4,19 61:7	tier 33:22	104:3
18:8,25 19:20	64:3 66:19	time 1:21 5:3	timing 25:8
19:22,25 21:17	67:14,17 68:16	20:25 23:5,17	32:16 73:24
31:14,15 32:3	68:18 69:9	25:25 27:3	80:23,24
32:18 44:22	71:12 73:16	32:22 33:5,11	titled 48:17
46:25 47:15	74:15 76:13	33:15,20 34:12	94:3
50:15 52:11	77:2 79:15	34:15,20,24	today 8:1,10,13
56:20,25 58:13	80:16,24 82:24	35:25 36:6	10:13 14:6
58:19 61:6	87:7,14,22	37:5 41:16,17	24:2 45:11
65:18 72:25	88:7,9,22 89:1	42:1,9,10,14,15	50:25 79:1,25
73:9,16,23	89:3,9,21	44:23 47:7,9	81:6,22 95:7
74:11,12,15,17	90:16 95:15,23	47:12,16 50:7	95:10 97:4,21
75:6 82:6 89:9	97:3,8 98:20	51:15 53:19	99:9 103:5
93:10 96:6	101:8,11 102:8	55:6,7,16 56:7	106:8
97:2 100:5,18	103:18	56:8 69:25	together 46:12
think 6:7 16:24	third 59:23	74:1,4 75:20	87:1 89:4
19:7 20:8	60:15	76:9 82:7,9	93:10 97:1
24:10,13 25:12	thomson 70:12	83:12 84:7,8	102:19 104:18
25:13 26:6,24	71:6 93:6	84:12 86:19,20	told 43:1,2
27:11,13,15,20	103:1	87:1 89:2	tomorrow
27:25 28:14,22	thought 80:17	100:22 102:17	73:21
28:24 29:7,15	97:20	104:8	took 56:9,11
30:1,21 31:9	thousand 29:24	timeline 77:10	tool 75:1,22
33:12 35:13,20	30:13 31:7,11	81:25 96:7	top 48:7 49:24
36:10 37:3	39:16,25 103:8	timelines 79:13	51:25 52:5
39:13 40:5,25	103:10	timely 32:10	63:21 64:20
44:20 45:3,13	three 10:9,10	45:24 73:20	65:9 77:5 83:1
45:21 47:1,12	10:11,21 22:22	79:14 80:9	91:12 97:8
50:16,19,23	29:24 30:13	timer 47:15	100:11 101:19
51:3,16 52:21	35:22,24 37:19	times 8:16	total 17:3 36:1
53:5,22 54:13	39:16,25 40:16	21:11 30:1	37:7 40:1,5,10
54:22,23 55:14	47:8 86:9 88:8	32:7,11,24	40:11 46:19

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

[total - unger] Page 30

	1	1	1
71:11 85:20	translates	two 21:10	under 4:22
totals 27:25	63:14	22:21 27:25	6:20 17:14
29:16,17	transmitting	28:2 29:24	21:21 27:23
touched 61:11	106:8	30:13 35:25	29:15 60:3
toward 68:19	trash 77:14	39:9,16,25	92:20 93:5
town 41:24	travel 35:9	40:15 59:3	94:23 101:20
towns 20:6	treat 32:2	61:6 68:12,21	103:1,2
31:14 62:5	trends 26:20	69:4,4,6,18	underreported
townships 77:9	trial 14:7	70:21 74:14,17	39:24
track 62:20	trooper 64:1	78:21 79:24	understaffed
traditional	trouble 26:3	87:12 102:10	23:24
39:15	true 34:8 66:22	102:16,18	understand
traditionally	81:11 108:9	103:13,23	4:18 7:15,18
23:24 29:23	109:5	type 28:13	7:19,21 10:6
traffic 11:13	trustee 96:17	33:13 34:2	13:3 22:9
12:19 36:12	truth 5:21,21	41:18 47:3	27:11 29:14
70:7 83:20	5:22	53:1 58:16	30:12 36:8,24
trailer 82:19	truthfully 7:17	59:21 60:14	51:17 53:5,8,8
train 69:23	7:22	61:5 72:3 83:7	66:21 67:11,14
70:1 73:19	try 7:9 18:18	83:18	77:8 78:18,19
81:20	28:1 57:6 91:3	types 56:6	86:6 101:9
training 32:5	97:9	59:23 60:19,19	103:7
36:4 69:1	trying 20:8	103:22	understanding
73:23 100:23	24:3,10 29:14	typewriting	16:15 20:5
101:2	35:20 53:5,7	108:7	50:4 60:24
transcriber	55:9,20 56:24	typing 6:24	64:14 65:3
109:1	57:9,15 68:5	u	understood
transcript 4:19	70:19 72:1	u 90:20 91:14	9:18
105:18 106:20	73:4 75:2 81:8	uh 84:3 88:16	undertook 68:2
109:3,5	82:23	104:9	unger 2:13 3:3
transcriptionist	tuned 26:7	umbrella 58:8	3:5 5:6,6 6:2,6
108:8	turned 82:2	unable 32:9	9:20 10:3
transit 36:20	twelve 51:20	unanswered	44:18 48:8,15
36:23	twice 88:8	46:1,11,12	51:5,8 66:4,12
		47:8	84:14,21 88:10

[unger - want] Page 31

	1		
93:18 94:1	unknown 96:7	v	voluntary 15:6
95:16 98:2,9	unnamed 60:15	v 1:12	18:5
104:11 105:3	unsolved 47:21	values 77:3	vote 19:16
105:17,19	unspoken 43:1	vandalism	voted 23:2
106:10,16,18	unsuccessful	12:21	voting 20:3
106:25	24:24	various 100:5	vs 4:9
uniform 11:10	unusual 32:19	vehicle 41:25	w
uniformed 11:8	unwilling 93:2	83:10	w 2:20
13:8 21:4	updated 86:19	vehicles 36:11	waffle 75:13
60:10 102:1	86:21 87:2,2	veritext 4:4	waive 106:22
union 13:3,5,18	upper 12:1	versus 33:8	107:2
13:20 14:7,20	40:16,17 64:25	53:3 69:2,7	waived 107:6
17:21 18:13	upset 39:23	71:1	walmart 44:25
19:1,10 20:13	upwards	vice 96:15,16	45:4,10,14,17
21:1 24:7	101:23	videoconfere	80:2,4 82:15
49:21 54:2,9	urban 78:4	1:18 2:4,13,20	82:23 83:8,9
54:12,24 59:2	use 30:22,22	view 22:24	83:16
60:6 66:13	31:13 33:22	23:20 33:15	walmart's 83:4
70:16 92:20	40:20 53:25	52:7 57:12	want 8:21 9:8
1 03.5 05.7	57.777711.75	66:19 80:24	10 14 10 00
93:5 95:2	57:22 74:25	00.19 80.24	10:14 12:22
96:14	91:6,7 103:15	81:1	10:14 12:22 14:21 16:12
96:14 union's 18:10	91:6,7 103:15 103:16		
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11	14:21 16:12
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2	81:1 viewed 29:23	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4 78:16 80:5	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7 units 32:13	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18 15:22 29:23	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21 54:1,3 55:18
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7 units 32:13 46:6,15,24	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18 15:22 29:23 35:7 40:3	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4 78:16 80:5	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21 54:1,3 55:18 61:10,23 63:5
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7 units 32:13 46:6,15,24 universally	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18 15:22 29:23	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4 78:16 80:5 81:9 82:11,21	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21 54:1,3 55:18 61:10,23 63:5 64:23 65:2,10
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7 units 32:13 46:6,15,24 universally 74:22	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18 15:22 29:23 35:7 40:3	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4 78:16 80:5 81:9 82:11,21 82:23 83:3	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21 54:1,3 55:18 61:10,23 63:5 64:23 65:2,10 68:12 69:13
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7 units 32:13 46:6,15,24 universally 74:22 university 11:4	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18 15:22 29:23 35:7 40:3	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4 78:16 80:5 81:9 82:11,21 82:23 83:3 86:21 87:3	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21 54:1,3 55:18 61:10,23 63:5 64:23 65:2,10 68:12 69:13 71:13 73:9
96:14 union's 18:10 21:15 unit 13:6,13 14:3,5,15,21 16:5,19 17:3 17:16,18 29:20 57:24 58:1 59:4,7 units 32:13 46:6,15,24 universally 74:22	91:6,7 103:15 103:16 used 28:22 42:21 53:2 62:23 90:18,25 uses 4:21 21:9 47:14 using 97:19 usually 11:18 15:22 29:23 35:7 40:3	81:1 viewed 29:23 virtually 4:11 voicing 56:6 volume 23:25 29:19 35:17 41:2,8,9,11 45:6 53:4 78:16 80:5 81:9 82:11,21 82:23 83:3 86:21 87:3 88:23 89:8	14:21 16:12 20:1 26:16 27:18 30:12 38:24 40:15 41:6 42:24 45:23 47:19 50:19 53:21 54:1,3 55:18 61:10,23 63:5 64:23 65:2,10 68:12 69:13 71:13 73:9 74:9 76:14

[wanted - years] Page 32

wanted 21:17	47:8 53:4	worked 10:21	47:1,5 51:5
23:13 57:22	57:23 64:4	11:1,3 97:1	55:14 57:8
62:10,13 105:7	72:19 97:21	working 35:7	60:2 63:23
wanting 59:20	west 79:20	35:25 36:3	65:1,5 66:20
wash 77:15	82:19	37:20 38:18	67:10,14,16
water 77:11,12	whichever	42:5 78:4 81:7	69:12,16,21
way 18:20 24:4	106:23	102:1,10,11,12	72:18 76:7,25
24:15 25:2	wide 37:2 68:7	102:18	77:17,18 78:16
32:22 34:3	68:14	works 50:18	78:21 80:7
38:14 40:15	william 2:4	worry 82:12	81:12,17 82:7
43:9,22 44:9	wind 77:13	worse 31:23	85:11 86:23
53:18 59:14	winded 81:3	worth 72:21	88:14 90:12
65:1 67:22	wise 49:18	77:4	97:11,14,19,20
81:12 86:18	74:18	written 5:1	year 15:15,20
87:2 89:22	wish 18:5	30:1 31:1	15:20 20:20
ways 37:19	witness 4:14,17	42:25 43:3,4	22:12,13,14,15
50:21 54:18	4:18 5:16,20	53:3 94:16	22:17,21,22,23
we've 6:7 19:19	9:22 50:23	103:13	25:13,14,16,18
19:20,24 21:24	51:4 66:6	wrong 76:11	28:2 41:10
29:20 30:4	94:21 95:15	X	53:3 55:9,10
39:2,21 41:9	104:9 107:2	x 3:1,8 30:2	57:16 61:20
42:19 43:2	108:4	31:2,10 71:3	62:25 63:1,20
53:15 59:11,16	wjbeggs 2:8	82:10 87:24	64:16 65:4
71:5,12,17,17	word 68:11	103:19	68:12,13 81:23
71:21,25 76:7	90:20 91:14		96:15,16,17
80:4 83:17	words 16:21	<u>y</u>	year's 17:2
91:20 93:7	work 12:14	yard 92:7	yearly 15:9
95:7,10,20	18:9 19:6	yeah 9:14,17,19	years 10:23
96:1 102:25	35:14 42:2,3	11:20 15:5	20:17 22:14
weapon 83:18	66:14,21 67:10	23:4,13 24:9	24:21 26:22
week 8:18	71:4 79:1,23	26:14,19,22	27:1,15,18
105:12	91:4 100:5,19	28:22 31:8	28:3,8,12,13
weekday 81:9	102:16 104:23	38:14 40:10,14	29:13 41:10
went 8:4 10:5	106:9	40:19 41:20	51:15 62:24
25:12,15 41:11		42:18,19,23	63:9,11 65:13

[years - zoom] Page 33

68:23 69:3 71:23 72:24 75:11 76:8 97:2 105:2,7,8 **young** 68:23,24 73:2

Z

zoom 4:11 7:7 48:25

Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure Depositions Upon Oral Examination Rule 30

- (e) Submission to witness--Changes--Signing.
- (1) When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for reading and signing and shall be read to or by him, unless such reading and signing have been waived by the witness and by each party. "Submitted to the witness" as used in this subsection shall mean (a) mailing of written notification by registered or certified mail to the witness and each attorney attending the deposition that the deposition can be read and examined in the office of the officer before whom the deposition was taken, or (b), mailing the original deposition, by registered or certified mail, to the witness at an address designated by the witness or his attorney, if requested to do so by the witness, his attorney, or the party taking the deposition.
- (2) If the witness desires to change any answer in the deposition submitted to him, each change, with a statement of the reason therefor, shall be made

by the witness on a separate form provided by the officer, shall be signed by the witness and affixed to the original deposition by the officer. A copy of such changes shall be furnished by the officer to each party.

- (3) If the reading and signing have not been waived by the witness and by each party the deposition shall be signed by the witness and returned by him to the officer within thirty (30) days after it is submitted to the witness. If the deposition has been returned to the officer and has not been signed by the witness, the officer shall execute a certificate of that fact, attach it to the original deposition and deliver it to the party taking it. In such event, the deposition may be used by any party with the same force and effect as though it had been signed by the witness.
- (4) In the event the deposition is not returned to the officer within thirty (30) days after it has been submitted to the witness, the reporter shall execute a certificate of that fact and cause the certificate to be delivered to the party taking it. In such event, any party may use a copy of the

deposition with the same force and effect as though the original had been signed by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.