			Page 1
1		STATE OF INDIANA	
2		COUNTY OF MONROE	
3		IN THE MONROE CIRCUIT COU	URT
4			
5	COUNTY RESIDEN	ITS AGAINST	
6	ANNEXATION, IN	NC., an Indiana not	
7	for profit com	rporation, et al.,	
8	Remonstra	ators/Appellants/	
9	Petitione	ers,	
10	v.		CAUSE NO.
11	THE COMMON COL	JNCIL of the City of	53C06-2203-PL-
12	Bloomington, N	Monroe County,	000509
13	Indiana, et al	L.,	
14	Responder	nts.	
15			
16		DEPOSITION OF	
17		MAYOR KERRY THOMPSON	
18	DATE:	Friday, March 8, 2024	
19	TIME:	1:08 p.m.	
20	LOCATION:	Bloomington City Hall	
21		401 North Morton Street	, Room 225
22		Bloomington, IN 47404	
23	REPORTED BY:	Andrew Pronschinske	
24	JOB NO.:	6485948	
25			

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd)
2	ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY
3	OF BLOOMINGTON, MONROE COUNTY, INDIANA:
4	STEPHEN C. UNGER, ESQUIRE
5	Bose McKinney & Evans LLP
6	111 Monument Circle
7	Indianapolis, IN 46204
8	sunger@boselaw.com
9	(317) 684-5465
10	
11	MARGIE RICE, ESQUIRE
12	Corporation Counsel for City of Bloomington
13	401 North Morton Street
14	Bloomington, IN 47404
15	margie.rice@bloomington.in.gov
16	(812) 349-3426
17	
18	ALSO PRESENT:
19	Margaret Clements, County Residents Against
20	Annexation, Inc. Corporate Representative
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

888-391-3376

	Pag	e 4
1	INDEX	
2	EXAMINATION:	PAGE
3	By Mr. Beggs	7
4		
5	EXHIBITS	
6	NO. DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7	Exhibit 56 Map of Proposed Annexation Areas	10
8		
9	QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER	
10	PAGE LINE	
11	81 10	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

PROCEEDINGS

THE REPORTER: Good afternoon. My name is Andrew Pronschinske; I am the reporter assigned by Veritext to take the record of this proceeding. We are now on the record at 1:08 p.m.

This is the deposition of Kerry

Thompson taken in the matter of County Residents

Against Annexation, Inc., an Indiana not for profit

corporation, et al. vs. The Common Council of the City

of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, et al. on

March 8, 2024, at the Bloomington City Hall, 401 North

Morton Street, Room 225, Bloomington, Indiana 47404.

I am a notary authorized to take acknowledgments and administer oaths in Indiana.

Additionally, absent an objection on the record before the witness is sworn, all parties and the witness understand and agree that any certified transcript produced from the recording of this proceeding:

- is intended for all uses permitted under applicable procedural and evidentiary rules and laws in the same manner as a deposition recorded by stenographic means; and
- shall constitute written stipulation

24

2.5

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 6
1	of such.
2	At this time will everyone in
3	attendance please identify yourself for the record,
4	beginning from my left.
5	MR. BEGGS: Bill Beggs on behalf of
6	petitioners.
7	MS. CLEMENTS: Margaret Clements,
8	County Residents Against Annexation.
9	MS. RICE: Margie Rice, Corporation
10	Counsel.
11	MR. UNGER: Stephe Unger, counsel for
12	the City.
13	MR. MCNEIL: Andrew McNeil, counsel for
14	the City, present for the witness as well.
15	MS. THOMPSON: Kerry Thompson.
16	THE REPORTER: Thank you.
17	Hearing no objection, I will now swear
18	in the witness.
19	Please raise your right hand.
20	WHEREUPON,
21	KERRY THOMPSON,
22	called as a witness and having been first duly sworn
23	to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
24	the truth, was examined and testified as follows:
25	THE REPORTER: We may proceed.

	Page 7
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BEGGS:
3	Q Will you please state your full name for the
4	record?
5	A Kerry Thompson.
6	Q Your occupation, please?
7	A Mayor, City of Bloomington.
8	Q Your business address?
9	A 401 North Morton, Bloomington, Indiana.
10	Q As you undoubtedly know, Mayor Thompson,
11	I'll be asking you questions today. If at any time
12	you don't hear the question, don't understand the
13	question, or need me to repeat it for any reason
14	whatsoever, you please let me know and I'll be happy
15	to do so. Okay?
16	A Mm-hmm.
17	Q You are going to be at times asked questions
18	that would call for a yes or a no, and if you happen
19	to shake or nod or say uh-huh or uh-huh, even though I
20	would probably know what you meant, that's hard for
21	him to type. So if I correct you or ask you to
22	clarify it, please don't be offended. I'm just trying
23	to get a clear record.
24	A Of course.
25	Q You have an injured leg, foot, some part,

and if any time you need to take a break for that, I hope you'll please let us know and we'll be happy to accommodate you.

A Thank you.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q Some of this we know, Mayor, but we're making a record here. You became mayor when, please?
 - A January 1, 2024.
- Q And you became a candidate for mayor when, please?
- 10 A Officially about, I don't know, January
 11 2023.
 - Q Okay. That's fine. And in your capacity as the mayor of the City of Bloomington, Indiana, are you at the head of the civil government?
 - A I am.
 - Q And do you speak for the civil government of the City of Bloomington?
 - A I do.
 - Q With regard to this issue that we're here to talk about today, I think you know we're here to talk about the involuntary annexation by the City of Bloomington of areas 1A and 1B. Do you know what I'm referring to when I say that?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And have you had occasion in the past, I

guess it's roughly almost 14 months, to give public statements and make public statements and answer questions publicly about the annexation, both of 1A and 1B and of the other areas that are not the subject of this lawsuit?

A Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q You speak for the Thompson Administration today; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q If areas 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed by the City of Bloomington, will you be the head of the government that would be the government in which they reside?

A Yes.

Q If 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed by the City of Bloomington, will you be the head of the governmental entity responsible for delivering city services, both capital and non-capital nature, to those areas?

A Yes.

Q Do you contend in any manner that delivery of either water or sewer service to residents of the City of Bloomington is delivered by an entity other than the government over which you preside?

A It is delivered by the City of Bloomington

	Page 10
1	Utilities.
2	Q And is that the same entity over which you
3	are the head?
4	A Correct.
5	Q In front of you is an exhibit that's been
6	marked as Exhibit 56.
7	(Exhibit 56 was marked for
8	identification.0
9	And I'll ask first, do you recognize the map
10	that's marked as 56?
11	A I do.
12	Q And does it reflect the areas that the City
13	of Bloomington is attempting to involuntarily annex
14	within Monroe County, Indiana?
15	A It appears to, yes. It is it is a bit of
16	a small scale, but I would assume that this is one of
17	our maps.
18	Q And I'll represent to you that I think it is
19	too.
20	A Yes.
21	Q If it isn't, that wouldn't be intentional.
22	We took this I believe from the City's website, but we
23	intend it to be the same one, if there's a difference.
24	That's not intentional, I assure you. Do you know how

many owners of property there are in area 1A?

25

	Page 11
1	A I do not.
2	Q Do you know of how many there are in 1B?
3	A I do not.
4	Q Is that information that you have known and
5	you don't know today, or you just have never known
6	that?
7	A I have never known the specifics of that.
8	Q Do you know how many acres there are in 1A?
9	A I do not.
10	Q What about 1B?
11	A I do not.
12	Q And is that information that you have known
13	at some point, you're just forgetting, or you've never
14	known those?
15	A I have never known those.
16	Q From your perspective, your seat as the
17	mayor of the City of Bloomington, is the number of
18	acres in 1A and 1B or the number of residents in 1A
19	and 1B significant?
20	A I'm not sure I understand what you mean.
21	Q Yeah, thank you. Does it matter to you as
22	the mayor to know the number of acres or the number of
23	residents within 1A and 1B?
24	A It matters to me that we understand
25	the the general service provision needed, which is

- impacted by the number of residents and distance from fire houses and police stations and things.
 - Q I want to say some of that back and make sure I --
 - A But I'm not sure that the specific number of residents is -- if it varies by ten people, it won't be an impact on the -- on our ability to provide services, for instance.
 - Q I understand. Do you know approximately the acreage or the number of owners of property within 1A and 1B?
 - A I don't.

2.0

2.2

- Q So to that last point you made about, you know, varying within ten or so, you don't even know within thousands, I take it, is that correct, of people or hundreds of acres, or do you have some idea within those parameters?
 - A I don't.
- Q Is it significant to the Thompson

 Administration that a majority of property owners

 within 1A and 1B oppose being involuntarily annexed by
 the City of Bloomington?
- A My hope would be that at some point, these property owners understand that they are welcome into the City of Bloomington. And I understand that there

- are enough that have signed a remonstrance against the annexation, and it will be my job as mayor to ensure that we not only provide them services but that we do our best to listen to them and help them feel welcome.
- Q I heard every word you said. Let me make sure I understand. I intended to ask, does the Thompson Administration consider it significant that a majority of the property owners in 1A and 1B oppose being involuntarily annexed. Is the answer yes or no?
- A I guess --
- 11 MR. MCNEIL: Hold on. Objection.
- 12 Asked and answered. Mischaracterizes her answer.
- 13 You can respond.
- 14 BY MR. BEGGS:

3

5

6

7

8

10

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q Yeah, I don't want to characterize your
 answer. I just want to get the answer. So you may
 answer, please.
 - A Yeah, I guess I don't know what you mean by significant. Do I care that people are opposed?

 Absolutely.
 - Q And why so?
 - A Because I think, you know, what is very important to me in being the executive in this governmental entity is that we are, to the best of our ability, providing an inclusive and responsive

government. And so it is significant to me in knowing
that we are conducting an involuntary annexation that
there are enough residents that say, this wasn't what
I wanted. I should care, as their mayor. If I become
their mayor, I want them to know I do care and that
I'll do my best to address their needs and concerns.

Q Does the Thompson Administration consider that it knows the best interests of the residents and owners of 1A and 1B better than the residents of 1A and 1B know themselves their best interests?

MR. MCNEIL: Object to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion under the statute.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I believe that I -- I do believe that the City, my administration, knows how to facilitate a city government well and that these are areas that have already been urbanized.

BY MR. BEGGS:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.5

Q Okay. But does the Administration contend that it knows the best interests of the owners and residents of 1A and 1B better than the residents and owners of 1A and 1B know themselves?

MR. MCNEIL: Same objection.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: In order to know an

- individual's needs, I would need to know that
 individual. So I wouldn't hypothetically answer a
 question about a group of individuals.
 - BY MR. BEGGS:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q Do you understand, Mayor, that the court will be called upon in this case to consider the best interests of the residents and owners of 1A and 1B?

MR. MCNEIL: Object to the extent it mischaracterizes the statute.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I understand that the court is deciding whether or not these areas should be annexed and are already urbanized areas.

BY MR. BEGGS:

Q So let me understand that, please. You think the trial in which you've been identified as a witness will require the court to decide if 1A and 1B should be annexed and if they are urbanized areas? Did I hear that correctly? Don't let me put words in your mouth. I don't want to misquote you.

MR. MCNEIL: Still objection. Calling for legal conclusions.

But you can answer.

MR. BEGGS: Well, I'm not calling for a conclusion. I just want to understand the last

- 1 | answer, is what I'm trying to do.
- MR. MCNEIL: Same objection to the
- 3 question that prompted the last answer.
- 4 MR. BEGGS: Fair enough.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. That's part of what
- 6 | that court is deciding.
- 7 BY MR. BEGGS:
- 8 Q The best interests of the residents of 1A
- 9 and 1B?
- 10 A If it is -- the court is deciding not
- 11 | necessarily the -- the overall best interests of
- 12 individual residents, but in fact if these properties
- 13 | should be annexed into the City of Bloomington. And
- 14 it's my understanding that part of that is whether or
- 15 | not it causes undue harm to those residents.
- 16 Q I don't want to ask you anything your
- 17 attorneys have told you, so if your attorneys have
- 18 | told you that, please say so. But from where did you
- 19 get the understanding that the court at the trial will
- 20 be required to determine undue harm to the owners and
- 21 residents of 1A and 1B?
- 22 A I -- I don't have a legal degree. I mean,
- 23 | I'm --
- 24 Q Fair enough.
- 25 A I understand -- you know, I understand the

- base principles that need to be proven in the -- in the annexation hearing. And so you can drill down on the legal aspects, but I am not an attorney.
- And I'm certainly not asking for a legal opinion. I'm just asking as the head of the government that is attempting an involuntary annexation over the areas we call 1A and 1B, ultimately the original question was, if the government believes it knows the best interests of the residents and owners of 1A and 1B better than residents and owners know themselves.
- A I believe I've asked -- answered that question twice now.
 - O Could you please --
 - A I don't know --
- Q I didn't understand the answer, so if you could please say so, I'd appreciate it.
- 18 MR. MCNEIL: That was a long wind-up.

 19 Can you just please succinctly state the question that
- 20 you want her to answer?
- MR. BEGGS: Probably not, but I will do
- 22 my best.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- MR. MCNEIL: Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. BEGGS:
- 25 Q Does the Thompson Administration contend

that it knows the best interests of the owners and	
residents of 1A and 1B better than the residents an	ıd
owners of 1A and 1B know their own best interests?	
MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and	
answered	

2.0

2.2

You can answer again.

THE WITNESS: I do not know the best interests of individuals in this annexation area.

BY MR. BEGGS:

Q I mean, that's what I figured, but I didn't know if there was some other answer, so I have to ask. That's an issue in the trial. Does the Thompson Administration consider the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B to be significant financially?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Vague.

A I don't know what significant is and -- and significant -- can -- can you be more specific?

Q Sure. Has the Thompson Administration considered the net revenue that would result to the City of Bloomington if 1A and 1B were involuntarily annexed?

A The -- the revenue will be relatively flat for -- in the immediate future. Insignificant.

Q And how long is the immediate future, please?

- 1 A At least five years.
 - Q And is that revenue less expenses you're talking about, or you're talking about gross revenue to the City? In other words, are you netting that, or is that a gross answer?
 - A Netting.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q Okay. What about the gross answer? Has the Thompson Administration considered the gross revenue inflow to the City of Bloomington if 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed?
- A So back to your original question, that's insignificant to a government because it is -- you know, you have to take into account the revenue and expenses when you're looking at anything.
- Q Well, I know, and we're going to talk about that. So you do understand the significance. So is the economic impact to the City of Bloomington significant in the event 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed?
 - A No.
- Q Okay. And I take it from what we were kind of winding through there is, is that because you believe the net, revenue minus expenses leaves you with a net, is relatively flat?
 - A Correct.

- Q Okay. So then back to -- do you know the expected gross revenue to the City of Bloomington if 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed?
- 4 MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and 5 answered.
- You can answer again.
- 7 MR. BEGGS: It's been asked. I don't 8 think it's been answered.
- 9 MR. MCNEIL: It's been answered.
- 10 There's an answer in the transcript.
- 11 You can answer again.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. I have reviewed the
- 13 | financial documents.
- 14 BY MR. BEGGS:

2.0

- Q And how much was that? What was that number?
- 17 A I cannot recall the exact figures.
- 18 Q Can you identify the document or documents
 19 you reviewed to relied on for that yes answer?
 - A The fiscal plan.
- Q And do you have a ballpark of the gross
 revenue to the City of Bloomington for either 1A or 1B
 or both?
- 24 A I do not.
- 25 | Q Not even within millions of dollars?

A I just answered your question.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

- Q Okay. And then to follow your thinking with regard to the expenses, do you have in mind the amount of expenses that would be incurred by the City of Bloomington in the event 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed?
- A I have reviewed the fiscal plan and understand that we have the capacity to provide services.
- Q Right. But I was asking about expenses. If you don't know, it's okay. I just want to know, do you know the expenses that the City will incur if 1A and 1B are annexed?
- A I have reviewed the fiscal plan. I have not memorized the balances on anything.
- Q That's fine. Do you have a ballpark of the expenses?
 - A I do not.
- Q Okay. Would you -- and I'm not asking you to give an answer, a number you don't remember, but do you think it would be in the millions of dollars of gross revenue to the City of Bloomington if 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed?
- MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and answered several times.

	Page 22
1	You can answer again.
2	THE WITNESS: Again, I do not have the
3	figures in front of me.
4	BY MR. BEGGS:
5	Q No, I know that. That wasn't my question.
6	I'm trying to ask a slightly different question, so if
7	it sounded the same, that wasn't my intention. Do you
8	think that number would be in the millions of dollars?
9	A I don't know.
10	Q Okay. And I take it you won't know within
11	millions of dollars same question as to expenses;
12	correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Mayor Thompson, do you contend that
15	residents of 1A and 1B will be financially impacted if
16	they are involuntarily annexed into the City of
17	Bloomington?
18	MR. MCNEIL: Object to the extent it
19	calls for a legal conclusion under the statute.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
22	BY MR. BEGGS:
23	Q Don't know at all?
24	A I don't know.
25	Q Do you know, Mayor Thompson, if residents of

1A and 1B who own property would be expected to experience property tax increases if they are involuntarily annexed?

- A Many of them, yes.
- Q And on what do you base that?
- A They will be paying City taxes at that point.
 - Q Which are higher, you're saying?
- A Yes.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- Q And when you say many of them will, can you give me an idea of what you mean by many, whether it's percentage, whether it's some other way?
- A I would need to know their individual circumstances to -- to know what increases look like given circuit breakers and other things. And so I don't know what percentage of people will experience an increase.
 - Q Do you think it will be more than half?
- A I don't know.
- Q Have you at any point asked for that question to be answered for you?
 - A I have not.
- Q Is that information that you believe you have had at one point but you just don't remember today, or you've never known?

- A I do not know. I have never known.
- Q So I want to make sure I follow. The original question was, do you think residents who own property in 1A and 1B will be financially impacted, and I think you said yes by virtue of property tax increase. Is that the sum total of the impact?
 - A Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q Have you at any point been presented with that number -- if I've already asked that, I apologize -- but with that number of increased property taxes that 1A and 1B owners would pay if involuntarily annexed?
 - A No.
 - O So you just don't know, period?
- 15 A Correct.
 - Q If the -- I'm not asking you the number because I think we've well established you don't know the numbers specifically or even within the millions, but my question is a little different. Who will pay that difference in revenue to the City of Bloomington if 1A and 1B are involuntarily annexed?
 - A That will come from property taxes of the owners.
- Q So the owners and residents of 1A and 1B will pay that?

- 1 A That's correct.
 - Q Anybody else?
 - A No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.2

23

- Q I'd like to ask you about sewer service for a moment, please. What is your administration's plan for extending sewer services to areas 1A and 1B if involuntary annexation goes through?
- A Our -- our plan is to -- to look at it on a case-by-case basis and determine -- determine provision of sewer extensions.
- Q Will you please explain for me what you mean by determine provision of sewer extensions?
- A Currently, folks within the city, if -- you know, it depends on if there's a main there, etcetera, etcetera. If there's a main there and a lateral is needed, then those would be authorized. Currently, sewer mains are put in by the developer.
 - Q Yeah, at their --
 - A With the -- policy, yeah.
 - Q At the developer's expenses?
- 21 A That's correct.
 - Q So the City won't be installing any mains or laterals because the developers do that; right?
- A I didn't say that. I said that we would be happy to look at it on a project basis.

- Q You did, and I don't want to mischaracterize you. I want to understand.
 - A Yeah.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q So do I understand correctly that the answer is, our policy is we don't install sewer mains and sewer laterals as a policy of the City of Bloomington; correct?
 - A We don't finance those, that's correct.
- Q But your testimony here is that you would consider that on a case-by-case basis in 1A and 1B if presented with a request or a question?
- A We -- we will look at provision of those kinds of services on a case-by-case basis. I'm not promising that we're going to put them in. And laterals are provided within the city limits, the ability to tap into our sewer.
 - Q At whose expense?
 - A At the property owner's expenses.
- Q So if a person who owns property in 1A or 1B becomes involuntarily annexed and they want to, I think you said tap onto the city's sewer system, they do so at their expense?
- A That's correct. Same with all city residents.
 - Q Do you have any experience with cost of such

tap-ons or hook-ons in a residential setting?

A I do.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q And can you give me a sense of how one would go about estimating -- do you know what it costs? I think that's too broad a question. I think you're going to tell me this. What would it cost?
 - A About \$1200.
- Q And how does a person do that? Would they pay the City, or would they hire that, privately contracted, or what?
- MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Calls for speculation.
 - But you can answer.
 - THE WITNESS: So one would need a -- a contractor to put the lateral in, and then you would pay the fee for connecting.
- 17 BY MR. BEGGS:
 - Q Connection fee. At present, is there any location within 1A or 1B that your administration has identified that it will indeed install sewer service if involuntary annexation goes through?
 - A Not that I know of.
 - Q Am I understanding your answer correctly that the way the money would work in there is that the property owner would pay two parties, the contractor

- who does the work and the City for the opportunity to hook on, the hook-on fee?
 - A That depends. For instance, I just had a new lateral dug because mine failed. The contractor that I hired wanted to pay the City's fee. I paid one check.
 - O Got it. Okay.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.0

- A It's a case-by-case basis.
- Q Understood. Does your administration have any plans to upgrade any existing sewer facilities within 1A and 1B if involuntary annexation goes through?
- A We have a capital improvement plan at CBU, which includes improvements to one of our wastewater facilities and the water treatment plant.
- Q Okay. Which wastewater facility are you talking about?
- 18 A Blucher is the one on -- is the next one on 19 schedule.
 - O Is that Bottom Road thereabouts?
- 21 A I think.
- Q And do you know if Blucher Poole is
 located -- I think it's Poole -- is located within 1A
 or 1B?
- 25 A I actually don't know where each of these

- wastewaters go, but CBU does it as a -- you know, as a whole.
 - O On a schedule?
 - A That's right.
 - Q So I asked about any plans to upgrade, and you told me CBU has a schedule and you said Blucher is the next one on the schedule?
 - A I believe it is.
 - Q And I feel like you said -- there was another part to your answer, but I didn't get it written down.
 - A The water treatment plant.
 - Q Okay. And where's that located?
 - A Down by the lake.
- 15 Q Handy Road?
- 16 A Somewhere close to Handy, if it's not technically on Handy.
 - O Thereabouts?
- 19 A Yeah.
- 20 And that's not located in 1B or 1A, is it?
- 21 A Physically, no, but it serves them.
 - Q Other than that predetermined schedule that you identified CBU has made, are you aware of any other plans that the City has to upgrade any existing sewer facilities if involuntary annexation of 1A and

Veritext Legal Solutions

www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

25

2.2

23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

1B go through?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

- A We are doing a capacity study now. We have -- yeah, we're doing a capacity study now.
- Q And I'm sure that was responsive, but I don't understand. Are you saying, we're doing a capacity study to determine if we're going to be making other upgrades?
- A Well, we have a major development that is proposed on the south side of Bloomington. And so that capacity study is related to that development, not specifically to annexation.
- Q And does that proposed development have a name?
- A Yes. Summit. Is that the official development name? I think, yeah. We call it Summit.
- Q And where's that located? You said south, but where?
 - A Tapp Road, Sudbury.
- 19 Q I got it. Okay. And so the capacity study 20 is of sewer service only, or is it --
 - A Sewer and water.
 - Q And what has prompted that capacity study?
- 23 A The proposal of a major development.
- Q Several thousand homes, isn't it?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1
- Or residents? 0
- Α That's right.
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9

- 12

- 16
- 17

- 2.0
- 21
- 2.2
- 23

- As we sit here today without the benefit of 0 that capacity study, is the City's water and sewer
- facility capable of serving the Sudbury project?
 - That is why we're doing a capacity study, to
- ensure that we are capable of serving that before we commit to it. We are capable of serving 1A and 1B.
 - 0 I want to come back to that. So I take it
- we're doing the study to make sure Sudbury can be 10
- 11 served because we're not sure; is that accurate?
 - Well, I think that what we need is a
- 13 benchmark for, do we need to -- do we need to amend
- 14 our capital improvement plan and at what point if
- 15 Sudbury goes through.
 - Okay.
 - So there are feasible situations like
- 18 Sudbury goes through, but then we only have capacity
- 19 for another 10,000 residents, right, 10,000
 - residences. So we would then pace the capital
 - improvement based on what -- you know, future
 - development and/or not do the development.
 - And I think I heard you say that we're doing 0
- 24 the study prompted by the Sudbury proposal, but we
- know we have capacity for 1A and 1B. Did I get that 25

1 right?

2.0

2.2

2.5

- A We do.
- Q And how do you know that?

A Because on a regular basis, our utilities assesses its capacity based on current draws and processing, and we have quite a significant growth potential there. So we're -- we're fine. And as you know, many of the residents in 1A and 1B are already connected to our utilities and in fact, signed waivers that they would not remonstrate against a future annexation because these were actually intended for annexation for the past 20 years. So CBU has been serving them because they were intended to be part of the city.

Q That reminds me. Last week in this very room, at this very table, six or eight or ten different property owners in 1A and 1B were asked by City attorneys if they would be agreeable to disconnecting their water and sewer service if involuntary annexation does not go through. Does the Thompson Administration have a position on that?

A We don't have any plans, but certainly, our priority -- my -- my job is to serve the City of Bloomington. And as we've already established, CBU is a City of Bloomington entity. And so if we were to

come up against capacity, our priority would be serving only the City of Bloomington residents.

Q Let me understand that, please. The question that they were asked and the suggestion that was made to them was that them being disconnected from their sewer and water would turn on whether involuntary annexation goes through, not on -- but I think I hear you saying capacity is the concern or the issue. Can you help me understand which it is?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. Questions are not evidence.

But you can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, my job is to serve the City of Bloomington. And when these connections were made, there was an agreement made that these would be City properties. If annexation doesn't go through, they're not City properties. And so if we have City properties that want to hook on and they can't, those would be my priority.

BY MR. BEGGS:

2.0

2.5

Q I understand. But I thought I heard you say, Mayor, that there is plenty of capacity in 1A and 1B. It's not a concern.

A For now.

O So --

A We've also established we have a major
development coming on the south side of Bloomington
within the city limits, and housing is at crisis
levels. We we need to add housing somewhere. That
means more people tapping onto our city utilities.

- Q Do I understand from your answers correctly that the decision of whether the Thompson Administration to ask owners in 1A and 1B to disconnect their water and sewer service depends on just as they were asked whether annexation goes through or not?
- A I don't have any plans to disconnect anybody's utilities. I want to be clear about that. And I do have very clear plans to serve the City of Bloomington very well.
- Q I thought you'd say that, which was why I wanted to clear up questions that -- has your office been receiving phone calls from folks who were asked that question last week?
 - A No.

2.0

2.2

2.5

- Q Do you happen to know, Mayor, what expansions to sewer capacity the City of Bloomington Utilities Department has made within the last seven years?
 - A I don't.

- Q How about a longer period or a shorter period?
 - A I don't. Not specifically.
- Q Do you know -- because I just don't understand how this gets quantified -- can you describe how much capacity there is in 1A and 1B assuming the Sudbury project goes through, gets approved?
 - A I don't understand the question.
- Q I probably don't, either. What I want to know is, has anybody presented you with information, if Sudbury is approved --
 - A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q -- how much capacity 1A and 1B would have?
- A So I don't know the specific answer to that question. I do know that the study has taken into account full deployment of utilities in 1A and 1B as a precursor to if Sudbury goes through. So the assumption is all.
 - Q Who's performing -- is the study finished?
- A I don't know. I don't know who's performing the study, and I don't even know if they've engaged anybody. CBU has determined that they need a study.
- Q Well, who's making that assumption you talked about a moment ago?

A It's been talked about at with CBU
Administration that that study is needed, and
we because we're talking about improvements to the
water treatment plant right now, we need that capacity
study, so we know how significant those improvements
need to be, etcetera.

2.0

2.2

2.5

- Q No contract has been let, no request has been made?
 - A I don't know the answer to that question.
- Q I'm going to ask more or less the same questions as to water. If I ask you the same questions about water service, is that the same? Are they hand in hand, the water and sewer service?
- A CBU does it all, yes, and so they do the same capital improvements we're doing, assessment of water provision and sewage treatment.
- Q So if annexation goes through and there is any property owner in 1A -- I'm on the earlier part of what we talked about on sewer. If involuntary annexation goes through and a property owner in 1A or 1B wants to be connected to City water service, they got to pay for it themselves; is that right?
 - A The connection, yes.
- Q Are you distinguishing that from something else?

- A Sorry. Everybody pays for their own water, 2 so --
 - Q The water itself.
 - A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Q But how about the connection, sorry.
- A Also you pay to connect, yes.
- O Same as what we talked about on sewer?
- A Correct.
 - Q So the City, I take it, then, has no plans to connect any property within 1A or 1B to water or sewer if involuntary annexation goes through, except on the person's own dime, at their own expense?
 - A The City plans to treat the newly-annexed property owners with the same policies that our current city residents have, that's correct. And you pay for your own.
 - Q I asked you about replacement of existing sewer facilities within 1A and 1B, so I want to shift that same line of questioning to water. Is the answer going to be the same as it was with sewer with regard to a CBU capital plan and prescheduled --
 - A Yes. Sorry, I was -- I was answering universally for CBU services, so that is --
 - Q Water and sewer?
 - A Water and sewer, yes.

Q Thank you. So do I understand your answers correctly, Mayor, that there are a lot of folks out there who think that if they become involuntarily annexed, that they would be furnished with City water and sewer at the City's expense, but I think you're telling me that's not right; am I correct?

MR. MCNEIL: Can you read that question back, please?

BY MR. BEGGS:

2.0

O If there are folks at 1 -- strike that.

If there are residents and owners of 1A and 1B who are under the impression that the City will provide them connection to sewer and water if they become involuntarily annexed, that's just not right, is it?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Assumes facts.
But you can answer.

THE WITNESS: The City will provide the connection for the connection fee, yes. But the City does not actually come out and do the work. And it is currently the policy that if you are not in the city, you may not connect.

BY MR. BEGGS:

Q And that's a policy you inherited from your predecessor; correct?

- A I did inherit that.
- Q Has your administration made the decision to extend or continue that policy?
 - A It has been continued, yes.
 - Q And why's that?
- A Because it is -- it is still under question whether the waivers will be upheld, and we don't want to commit City service beyond the city boundaries. We have to make sure that we can really do great work within our city boundaries, and that's going to be our priority.
- Q And I think you made reference to it, the other lawsuit, the constitutional lawsuit --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- that the City has brought. So do I understand correctly, then, that if the City prevails in that lawsuit, it will then reopen access to sewer and water outside of the jurisdiction of the city?
- MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Calls for speculation. Lack of foundation.
- 21 You can answer.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know what we're
 going to do. We would be much more likely to do it if
 waivers were upheld.

25 / //

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 BY MR. BEGGS:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q And why is that?
- A Because, you know, it is of significant expense to maintain service, you know, to -- to any property, and -- and going outside the jurisdiction is -- is added expense for the City. And we want to -- as I've said several times, we want to focus our efforts on the residents of the city, as -- as we should.
- Q When you say there's significant expense to the City, folks that are outside of the city limits but are served by CBU are paying for that, aren't they?
- A They pay a fee for their water and sewer, but they actually -- it doesn't keep up with the expense of maintaining the infrastructure.
 - Q And why not?
- A Because it's -- it's expensive to maintain the -- the mains, the treatment facilities, etcetera.

 And -- and especially when it's not contiguous with the rest of what we're doing.
- Q Is that a brand new finding or piece of information, that it's not keeping up?
 - A I don't believe so.
 - Q Do you believe that to have been established

in the public domain before you took office?

- A I don't know.
- Q Did you know that before you took office?
- A I did not.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Okay. I want to make sure I'm following that answer. Are you saying -- in different words, of course -- that CBU is not charging enough for the provision of water and sewer services that it furnishes outside the city limits?
- A I think that would be encompassed in a rate study, but, you know, the provision of the infrastructure and maintenance thereof in a geography that is outside of what the city is ordinarily doing and -- and where we're traveling, etcetera, is greater expense. And -- and as a former developer, I can tell you where the sewer is, there goes the -- the urbanization. And so you're going to have -- you're going to have a significant load on that sewer as soon as you put the -- the main in.
- Q I want to make sure I'm understanding correctly. You're telling me that CBU is not receiving as much in payments from non-city users as it is expending to furnish them services; is that right?
 - A I believe that the cost of the actual water,

the cost of the actual treatment of the wastewater is probably keeping up. It's the infrastructure that's expensive. And the more miles of infrastructure we have, the more expensive it is.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

- Q And back to earlier answers you gave. The infrastructure that you're talking about was installed not at the City's expense, but at a developer or property owner's expense; right?
- A That's right. But when it breaks, it's ours.
- Q And the City isn't charging enough in its monthly rate for that when-it-breaks scenario?
- A It's my understanding that it is -- that it is not. It is expensive to -- to do that the more miles that we have, and -- and so it's not fully incorporated.
- Q Well, I'm sure the miles -- I get that part.

 But are you saying that, as we sit here today, CBU

 does not charge enough of non-city residents for

 repairs, replacements to equipment that serves those

 non-city residents?
 - A I would say it is not.
- Q And your source or basis for that is what, please?
 - A Well, conversations with CBU about the cost

- of maintenance and -- and repairs and conversation -- I can't even remember who it was -- about extension -- potential extension of sewer outside of corporate boundaries proposed.
 - Q Did the person -- and I realize you don't remember their name -- did the person tell you how long it has been the case that CBU is not charging enough of its non-city residents to keep up with the expense of repairs and replacements?
 - A No.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Did you get the sense it's a sudden realization or a longer-term realization?
 - A I didn't get a sense of that.
- Q And so I just want to make sure I get this.

 And so your administration is doing what about that issue?
- A We are currently not doing anything about that issue. We are waiting for these annexation hearings to go through to see if these indeed become corporate boundaries of the City of Bloomington. You know, when there's a water main break, we have to cut into somebody else's road and get -- you know, there's -- there's a whole process that's very different than when it happens within the city.
 - Q Can you please help me understand what that

1 process is?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A Well, I'm -- I'm not a line worker, so

I -- I don't know the full process. But from -- from
my former days of doing some development, you know,
every time you touch the highway, you've got to go
talk to the highway department and you have to get the
street department involved and the planning department
involved every time you -- you touch any of their
stuff. Those are then not contained within
the -- building, right. And so there's -- there's a
significant -- a significant process to coordinate
when it's outside of corporate limits.

Q Oh, I see. So the deficit is in the process of making the repairs and replacements, it's not in the CBU having charged enough; is that correct?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection.

Mischaracterizes the record.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: No, that's now what I

20 said.

21 BY MR. BEGGS:

22 Q Okay. I want to understand what you said.

23 So --

A Yeah, I mean, there is a process challenge,

25 but there's a cost challenge too.

Q Okay. So it's both? CBU has failed to charge enough for non-city residents and there's a process challenge or process cost that you're identifying; is that correct?

A Correct.

2.0

2.2

Q Do you know if the developer of the proposed project at the Sudbury Farm has been advised that the City might not have enough capacity to serve that project?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Assumes facts.
You can answer.

THE WITNESS: That has not been our assessment, actually. And what I stated earlier is that we're going to do a capacity assessment so that we know what our growth potential is beyond Sudbury.

BY MR. BEGGS:

- Q Oh, so we're not assessing because we're concerned that if Sudbury comes on line, we don't have capacity, we're assessing for projects beyond Sudbury?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Thank you. You did say that, I apologize. And the way that 1A and 1B residents and owners might have to disconnect their sewer and water service, which you've said is not your intention, even though they've been presented with that in this case, is if

Sudbury were to go through and other development in the city were to use up that capacity; is that right?

- A What I think I said was I don't have any plans to disconnect sewer. It definitely would be part of the conversation when we're looking at capacity.
- Q Do you happen to know, is City water and sewer service extended to the entirety of areas 1A and 1B, as we sit here today?
 - A I do not believe that it is.
- Q Do you know on any kind of a basis, whether fractional, percentage, what portions of 1A and 1B are not served by City water and sewer service, as we sit here today?
 - A I do not.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Ask you about sidewalks. Does your administration have a plan with respect to the installation of sidewalks in 1A and 1B if involuntary annexation goes through?
- A We will also take that on a case-by-case basis and incorporate them into our City sidewalk plan.
- Q So from that answer, do I correctly understand, Mayor, that it would be treated like water and sewer where those folks would have to pay to build

their own sidewalks?

2.0

2.2

2.5

A Again, I think it would be a case-by-case basis. New developments are required to -- the developers are required to install sidewalks.

Currently, there is not any kind of mandate for existing residents to install a sidewalk where there is not one.

Q So therefore, in those areas of 1A and 1B that have no current existing sidewalk, will the City be putting sidewalks in for them?

A So, again, we'll do that on a case-by-case basis, and, you know, my -- my genuine expression is that I am looking forward to talking to residents about what they want. Some residents, I'm sure, don't want sidewalks, and others may. We do have a sidewalk program in the city where, for instance, a neighborhood could apply to be part of our sidewalk program and get installation and/or repair of their sidewalks. So I think that's one of the things that after annexation goes through, we could talk about.

Q But as we sit here today, you're not prepared to say that a person who owns property in 1A or 1B is going to have a sidewalk installed by the City necessarily if they're involuntarily annexed?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and

	Page 48
1	answered.
2	You can answer.
3	BY MR. BEGGS:
4	Q Because that's going to be a case-by-case
5	basis, and they can sign up if they want; is that
6	right?
7	A Right.
8	Q Is there a scenario within the sidewalk
9	program where the property owner pays for the sidewalk
10	themselves?
11	A I don't know if that's within the sidewalk
12	program because our sidewalk program is a funding
13	mechanism.
14	Q Let me try again there. Is there a scenario
15	where the property owner in 1A and 1B, if
16	involuntarily annexed, would not have to pay for the
17	installation of a sidewalk at their property if they
18	want one after the conversation you've talked about
19	and so forth?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And that would come through what source?
22	A The sidewalk program.
23	Q Okay. So the sidewalk is that a written
24	program, is that published some place, the terms and

conditions of it?

- A I don't -- I actually don't know exactly where it is, but it is an application process that -- that neighborhoods and/or individuals can apply to. And I'm happy to provide those details later.
- Q Are there criteria for the granting or denying of sidewalk program benefits that you know of?
 - A Yes, but I don't know what they are.
 - O Okay.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A There is an evaluation process.
- Q As we sit here today, just to make sure before we move on, Mayor, as we sit here today, your administration has no specific location in mind where it will install sidewalks if involuntary annexation goes through; is that correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q And I take it there might be and there might not be installation of sidewalks on properties in 1A and 1B because that's going to be decided on a case-by-case basis; is that correct?
- MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and answered.
- You can answer.
- 24 BY MR. BEGGS:
- 25 0 Is that correct?

Page 50 1 A Correct. MR. MCNEIL: Bill, if you're switching 3 off of sidewalks, it's been about an hour and 10, 15 minutes --5 MR. BEGGS: Let's do it. Break's fine. 6 MR. MCNEIL: Thank you. Five-, 7 ten-minute break? MR. BEGGS: 8 Sure. 9 THE REPORTER: Off the record at 2:13 10 p.m. 11 (Off the record.) 12 THE REPORTER: We are now back on the 13 record at 2:27 p.m. BY MR. BEGGS: 14 Mayor Thompson, we've taken a 15 16 ten-minute-or-so break. And, again, if you need to 17 take any more breaks, you can just let me know. 18 be happy to accommodate that. We were just talking 19 about sidewalks before we took a break, and I'm going to ask you about street lights and I'm essentially 2.0 21 going to ask you the same and similar information. 2.2 Does your administration have any plans to construct 23 or install street lights in any areas of 1A and 1B if 24 they are involuntarily annexed? 2.5 Α We do not have specific plans. Again, we

would take it on a project-by-project basis.

- Q And this might be similar to sidewalks and sewer and water, it might not, but with regard to street lights, if a person happens to be in one of those cases that the City says are appropriate for street lights, would those street lights be installed at the expense of the City or at the expense of the property owner?
- A Again, we would take that on a case-by-case basis.
 - Q Even the funding? Even the expense?
- A Well, most likely, expenses would be -- typically, street lights are not individual property owner. They're in a development, so we would -- we would need to make plans with neighborhoods on how that would happen.
- Q So it sounds like the neighborhoods or the owners within the neighborhoods might be paying for those; is that right?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Is it likely they would be paying?
- 22 A I don't know.
 - Q Has the Thompson Administration considered imposing a fee on people who live in 1A and 1B for using the streets of the City of Bloomington if

	Page 52
1	involuntary annexation goes through?
2	MR. MCNEIL: Wait, if it goes through?
3	MR. BEGGS: If it excuse me, thank
4	you.
5	BY MR. BEGGS:
6	Q If it does not go through.
7	A We have not.
8	Q Mayor, are there any economic development
9	projects within 1A or 1B, to your knowledge?
10	A Not to my knowledge.
11	Q Is that something that you have inquired
12	about or researched or attempted to find out?
13	A It is not.
14	Q Are you aware of any improvements,
15	facilities, buildings, or the like within 1A and 1B
16	that the City has paid to install or construct?
17	A No.
18	Q Is that something that you have researched
19	or attempted to identify or find out?
20	A No.
21	Q Does the City, to your knowledge, have any
22	buildings or any locations where it furnishes services
23	within 1A or 1B?
24	A I don't know. We we provide a lot of
25	services 'cause there's plenty of sewer and water

1 there.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Anything else?
- A That's what I know about.
- Q Mayor Thompson, are you aware of any project or location within areas 1A or 1B that you believe would attract a major new business enterprise to Bloomington?
 - A I'm not.
 - Q Or expand an existing business enterprise?
 - A I know of no plans.
- Q Mayor Thompson, is it your administration's contention that areas 1A and 1B are needed by the City of Bloomington?
- A It is not my contention that they are needed. It is my contention that they are already urbanized zones where we're providing City water and sewer, and they have been intended for annexation for the entirety of my existence in this community. And so it's -- it's not a needs-based assessment.
- They -- they already are behaving as if they're part of the City of Bloomington.
- Q And you've used the phrase a couple of times today, so I better go back and ask. I think you said urbanized area. What does that mean, please?
 - A Some densification of development proximate

	Page 54
1	to the city.
2	Q Is there any other definition besides some
3	densification of development proximate to the city?
4	A I'm sure there are, but that's my
5	definition.
6	Q I think you already answered this, but I
7	want to make sure. Does your administration have some
8	intention to use 1A or 1B for its development?
9	MR. MCNEIL: What's the its in that
10	question?
11	MR. BEGGS: For the city's development.
12	THE WITNESS: I I don't really
13	understand what you're saying.
14	BY MR. BEGGS:
15	Q Is there some plan, is there some intent, is
16	there some vision, some picture that your
17	administration has of 1A and 1B, of those areas being
18	used for the City of Bloomington's development?
19	A No. There's no vision or such.
20	Q Nor a plan?
21	A No.
22	Q Nor an intention?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Do you happen to know, Mayor Thompson, how

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

many residents per acre there are in 1A and 1B?

25

- A I don't. I know where to find that information, if I need it.
 - O And where's that?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A In the annexation plan.
- Q Okay. When you say in the annexation plan, are you talking about the fiscal plan prepared by Mr. Reedy?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And which version of that are you referring to?
 - A I -- I don't know what version, but, you know, we have plenty of City staff that have been working on this for some time and have access to all of this kind of information. So it's not -- these aren't things I've memorized or needed to ask about.
 - Q Understood. Do you know which of those plans you have reviewed?
 - A I don't.
- 19 Q Is it just one?
- 20 A Correct.
- Q Mayor Thompson, do you know how much of areas 1A and 1B are subdivided?
- 23 A I don't.
- Q How many sworn officers are employed by the Bloomington Police Department, as we sit here today?

1 A Eighty-six.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q Has that changed in the short time since you became mayor?
 - A Not significantly, no.
- Q And if 86 are employed, how many are
 available for service after you discount for things
 like sickness and injury and military leave and
 others?
 - A Well, I guess that varies on a day-to-day basis based on, you know, who's got the flu. But
 - Q Has that been a relatively constant number since you became mayor?
 - A Yes. I've only been mayor two months.
 - Q Has that been a relatively constant number since you became a candidate for mayor in January or so of 2023?
 - A It has.
- Q And you've been asked about that in public settings ad nauseam, haven't you, Mayor?
- 21 A I've -- I've been asked about it. Something
 22 I'm happy to talk about.
- 23 | 0 Is 70-ish enough?
- A Some would say it's too many. Others would say it's not enough. I think in -- in today's

environment, we have -- we have an evolving vision of public safety, so what I can say is that we're -- we're doing a great job. We have just a highly-trained police force who is doing a great job of -- of providing service.

2.0

2.2

Q You say that some think 70-ish available officers is too many, and some think it's too few. What do you think?

A You know, I would like to -- beyond talking about numbers, I want to talk about how we're providing public safety. And you may have -- may have observed some of our public meetings about that. We really -- I think to -- to talk about how many officers we need, we need to identify how we're going to structure policing, including what the shifts are and things like downtown resource officers who are making a really significant impact on public safety.

Q Okay. And I'll ask you about those. But what do you think of 70-ish sworn officers being available from day to day?

A Right now, they're covering us pretty well, and we also have a recruitment and retention plan that -- that we're continuing to improve. And I think that's -- you know, I want to be sure that we have adequate policing but are focused on various upstream

- measures of public safety as well.
- Q And when you say the 70-ish day-in or day-out are covering us pretty well, is it your opinion that 70-ish is enough?
- A I think it's enough for what we're doing right now, yes.
- Q Have you made public statements to the contrary in the past?
- A I have, yes. Before I was in office, I had -- I had looked at the budget numbers and had representation from others that said that we should have more.
- Q 105.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

- 14 A 105 is what's in our budget.
 - Q What's the other number you've heard Bloomington should have?
 - A Well, I've heard all kinds of numbers about it, but, you know, there are some -- some say that we'd be good at 85 -- some say 100, some say 105.
- There's a report I'm sure you have seen that says 120.
 - Q And you say?
- MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and
- 23 | answered.
- You can answer again.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. I would say

Q No, I understand that. But that's an answer to a question I didn't ask. I'm asking, do you think that's enough?

MR. MCNEIL: She's answered that.

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 BY MR. BEGGS:

18

19

2.0

23

24

2.5

Q It is enough? Okay. I gather -- but you didn't say this directly -- that the budget number of 105 officers is one with which you take exception; is

1 | that right?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A I think the budget allows us to recruit up to 105 and -- and that is -- you know, if we -- if we wanted to recruit up to 105 and ensure that we -- various, you know, transfers and things didn't impact us, then -- then we have the budget there. There are, you know, budget allocations made all of the time that don't get spent, so --

- O And I'm sure --
- A -- not necessarily a plan. It's a budget.
 - Q Do you know where that 105 comes from?
- A I actually don't know exactly how they landed on that number.
- Q I understand you believe that the 70-ish is enough, and I understand that you believe City of Bloomington is covered now. I get that. Do you know how long it has been that the City of Bloomington has been attempting to hire more police officers than we have now?
 - A I would say I don't know exactly.
- Q Do you know roughly or approximately?
- 22 A More than two years, yeah.
- 23 | O More than five?
- A Don't know.
- 25 Q Do you know if those efforts have been

	Page 61
1	successful?
2	A Yes. I'm told we've never hired so many
3	police officers, so there there are a number of
4	factors at play. Lateral transfers seem to be a
5	significant part of it.
6	Q Meaning problem? Inflow of laterals or
7	outflow?
8	A Outflow. So we hire them, and then there's
9	a lateral transfer.
10	Q So that's a problem, isn't it?
11	A I don't know if it's a problem or not, but
12	that is why we've hired so many and we have a constant
13	number. We don't have the N is zero.
14	Q I see. We've hired a lot, but we've lost a
15	lot also and so we aren't moving the total number up?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q How many officers does the City of
18	Bloomington need to have if 1A and 1B are
19	involuntarily annexed?
20	MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Lack of
21	foundation.
22	You can answer.
23	MR. BEGGS: It's the mayor of the city.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

her personal knowledge. That's a legitimate

24

25

MR. MCNEIL: You're still asking for

1 objection.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I -- I know that it's in the plan, and I don't know the exact figure right now.

5 BY MR. BEGGS:

Q Do you think it's more than what we have now?

A Yes.

Q Does your administration have a plan for how it would increase the number of officers it employs in light of the history of the department being unable to retain or change the number, I think is what you said?

A Yeah, we actually are working on a number of measures currently to improve retention at the police department.

Q And those are what, please?

A Well, we started with listening sessions at the department and -- and frankly just having a mayor that says that she values the police is a significant step. You know, I -- I have also reversed the decision on Showers West. These -- these significant decision points that directly support the police are -- are meaningful and are tangible to our force. We are talking about a number of other benefits that -- that we haven't rolled out publicly yet, but

- we are -- we're working pretty hard on making sure
 that our police force knows how valued they are. We
 also have a recruiter that has been hired in the
 former administration just to focus on public safety
 recruits.
 - Q When you say public safety, I take it that includes fire?
 - A That's correct.

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Q So we need more police officers; right? We need to hire more police officers?
 - A For annexation. Is that what you're asking?
- 12 | Q Only for annexation?
 - A That's what I'm answering, yes.
- 14 O That is your answer?
 - MR. MCNEIL: Is that your question?

 Can you ask your question again just so it's clear?

 BY MR. BEGGS:
 - Q We need more police officers, but only for annexation? Is that your testimony?
 - A Correct.
- Q We don't need more police officers if the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B don't go through; is that right?
- 24 A I -- I have -- I have not come to a conclusion that we do yet.

- Q Okay. And with respect to fire -- because you mentioned the recruiter, with respect to firefighters, is there a shortage of firefighters employed by the Bloomington Fire Department?
 - A There is not.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

- Q Okay. How many are employed?
- A Oh, I can't recall the exact number, but we are fully staffed now.
 - O Since when? Do you know?
- 10 A About a month ago.
 - Q You made reference to a report and 120, I think you said. Would you recognize that report as the Novak report?
 - A Correct.
- 15 Q Have you read that report?
- 16 A I have. It's been some time.
 - Q Is there any portion of that report that you recall disputing or disagreeing with or not being accepting of?
 - A No, not that I recall.
 - Q Mayor Thompson, do you believe that the officers of the Bloomington Police Department have somehow obstructed or rejected the recommendations of the Novak report?
 - A No. I don't have reason to believe that.

- Q Do you believe, Mayor Thompson, that the officers of the Bloomington Police Department have somehow been uncooperative with respect to the recommendations from the Novak report?
 - A No. I have no knowledge of that.
- Q Do you agree, Mayor Thompson, that the expansion of the city if the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B go through would stretch the Bloomington Police Department sworn officer staff thinner than they are now?
- A So the -- the annexation plan has one year rollout to get to capacity to -- to provide services, and we will meet that plan.
- Q So let me understand that. If the judge were to decide that the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B should go through tomorrow --
 - A Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q -- did your last answer just suggest that the plan that City has proposed would give the City one year to hire enough officers to keep up with the demand?
 - A Yes.
- Q So for a year, I take it, the City's police department would be stretched thinner than they are now?

A That would be correct.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

- Q And I take it that even though it's a plan to hire more, there have been plans to hire more officers for years by the Bloomington Police Department; isn't that right?
 - A That is correct.
- Q And I get that the Bloomington Police

 Department would want to hire more officers, but it

 may not be able to hire more officers within that one

 year; isn't that correct?
 - A I believe that we can hire those officers.
 - Q But you may not be able to; correct?
- A Anything's possible, but I believe that we can hire them.
- Q Is it good for the residents and owners of property in 1A and 1B for there to be a year of police protection that is stretched already thinner than it is?
- A Well, I think the annexation plan is adequate and -- and that we can -- we can get some resources in to provide ample coverage.
- Q And I appreciate that you answered a question, but let me ask my question again.
- 24 A Okay.
 - Q Is it good for the residents and owners of

- property in 1A and 1B for there to be a year of the Bloomington Police Department to be stretched thinner than they already are if involuntary annexation should go through?
- A I don't think it will have a negative impact on those residents.
 - O And why's that?

3

5

6

7

8

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A Because we can provide ample coverage.
- Q With the existing force?
- 10 A We will be hiring more, yes, as I have 11 stated.
- Q Do you know the process for hiring a police officer?
- 14 A I -- I vaguely know it. I don't know it in detail.
 - Q What's your understanding of it?
 - A Well, it depends if they are a lateral transfer or new recruit that still needs to go through the academy, but we recruit them. They may need to go through an academy. Depending on their years of service and/or transfer status, they may need to be shadowing another officer -- riding with another officer for a period of time before they're fully on their own.
 - Q And do you know any of those periods

of -- your answer referenced a couple of different periods of time. Do you know any of them?

A I know that it can be as -- you know, it can be six months from the time of hire.

Q Is the City of Bloomington were to hire five brand new officers who were not laterals today, are you able to testify as to when they would be up and running, so to speak, as officers on the force?

A No. I would ask our recruiter for that information or the chief.

Q Have you ever compared the size of the Bloomington Police Department's sworn officers to other cities within the state of Indiana?

A Sorry, sounds like you're asking me the physical size of an officer.

Q Yeah, that's not what I -- it does sound like that. Let's try again. Have you ever compared the size of the force of the Bloomington Police Department's sworn officers to the size of the police forces in other cities --

A No.

2.0

2.2

Q -- in Indiana? Have you ever made comparisons -- so I don't have to say that again -- have you ever made such a comparison with respect to other cities, say, in the Midwest?

		Page 69
1	А	I have not.
2	Q	How about other college towns?
3	A	I have not.
4	Q	Do you know, Mayor Thompson, where you
5	made refe	cence to the plan. I think you mean the
6	fiscal pla	an. And you made reference to a
7	recommenda	ation that it has for hiring new police
8	officers,	and you said that would happen within a
9	year. Do	you know where that number came from, that
10	recommende	ed number came from?
11	А	I don't.
12	Q	Who would know that?
13	А	Well, honestly, probably Chief Diekhoff
14	knows that	There are others who know that as well.
15	Q	Others at the City?
16	А	Yeah.
17	Q	Who?
18	А	Well, I think Larry Allen has been working
19	partially	on it. I think the recruiter knows about
20	it.	
21	Q	What's the recruiter's name, please?
22	А	What is his name? Steve.
23	Q	Steve.
24	А	And I am such a first-name person. It's
25	Steve. I	always see him in the room across the hall.

		Page 70
1	Q	How long has Steve been employed here?
2	A	Oh, I don't know. Less than a year.
3	Q	He was employee of the prior administration?
4	A	Yes. He was that position was named in
5	the pri	or administration.
6	Q	So do you know when in the prior
7	adminis	tration he was hired?
8	A	I I just told you I don't know.
9	Q	And so he's been trying to recruit more
10	public	safety officers since some time in 2023, I take
11	it?	
12	A	And he has been recruiting them, yes.
13	Q	Okay. He didn't have a different job, is
14	what I'ı	m trying to rule out.
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	Is he a public safety officer himself?
17	A	He is not.
18	Q	Mayor Thompson, will commercial property
19	owners	experience tax increases if the involuntary

A Again, I think it's a case-by-case basis, but I assume they would.

annexation of 1A and 1B goes through?

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Q Because I know there are some -- you talked about residential properties earlier. Do you think that commercial properties would be different or the

	Page 71
1	same in terms of some of them will and some of them
2	won't?
3	A I would think that they're the same.
4	Q Okay. Do you know of any reason why tax
5	increases experienced by commercial property owners
6	wouldn't be passed onto their customers, their
7	tenants, or their users?
8	MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Lack of
9	foundation. Calls for speculation.
10	You can answer.
11	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
12	BY MR. BEGGS:
13	Q You have no idea if a commercial property
14	owner gets a higher tax bill if they're going to pass
15	it onto their customers or their tenants?
16	A I haven't talked to them.
17	Q And why not?
18	A Because we're in the middle of a court case,
19	and it's not prudent for me to be reaching out to any
20	of the involved parties.
21	Q So you can't know at all if they would pass
22	those increases on?
23	A That's right.
24	Q How much has the City of Bloomington paid

thus far for its annexation efforts?

25

her for what she has.

25

Q Has that number, has that expense item been important to you since you've become mayor?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A It has been important to me that the City has already invested in the annexation case and -- and so yes, it -- it has been important to me.
 - Q But is knowing the number important to you?
- A Knowing the exact number in my decision in pursuing this was -- was not important. It was just the significance of what's already been invested.
- Q Well, you mentioned your decision in pursuing this. You mean the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B or something else?
- A Yeah, in pursuing annexation in general. Yes.
- Q And so when you were making the decision to pursue annexation in general, am I understanding correctly that you made that decision with no idea whatsoever of how much the City had incurred in expenses?
- 20 MR. MCNEIL: Let's go off the record 21 for a moment.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record at 3:03 p.m.
- 24 (Off the record.)
- THE REPORTER: Back on the record at

1 | 3:03 p.m.

2.2

2.4

MR. MCNEIL: We need to go off the record to discuss the potential assertion of the legislative and executive privilege when it comes to deliberations of executive officers of government bodies. That's why we're going off the record.

THE REPORTER: All right. Off the record at 3:04.

(Off the record.)

THE REPORTER: We're now back on the record at 3:12 p.m.

MR. MCNEIL: All right. So we had a discussion. The Bloomington team had a discussion outside of the conference room off the record. There is a privilege under Indiana law, as I said, for a government executive and legislative bodies when it comes to the deliberative process and their motives. We're going to allow the witness to answer the question. We're going to ask the court reporter to read it back, then she can answer it.

But I felt that question got closer to privilege issues than anything else you'd asked so far, Bill. So you can ask any question you want.

We'll evaluate it on a case-by-case basis. But with respect to this question, she can answer it. We're

	Page 75
1	not going to assert the privilege 'cause I don't think
2	it gets at the heart of the privilege issue.
3	MR. BEGGS: Will you please read that
4	back, Andrew?
5	THE REPORTER: One moment, please.
6	(Repeated the record.)
7	MR. MCNEIL: You can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: So yes, I had I think
9	I had already stated this, that I had read a public
10	news article about an estimate of how much the City
11	had spent. I didn't have I don't have precise
12	figures and likely would be hard to quantify that,
13	given all the City staff time and consultants and
14	everybody else. I do know it's it's been quite
15	significant.
16	BY MR. BEGGS:
17	Q So you mentioned a public news article. In
18	which publication was that, please?
19	A I believe it was the B Square.
20	Q And can you tell us when you read that
21	article?
22	A I cannot.
23	Q Or when it was written or published?
24	A I cannot recall.
25	Q Do you think it was during your

	Page 76
1	administration
2	A No.
3	Q or before?
4	A It was before.
5	Q Okay. Do you think it was after you had won
6	the November election or before?
7	A I don't recall.
8	Q And from what you have discovered thus far
9	since taking office, was the number that you read in
10	the B Square accurate?
11	A I don't have an accurate accounting of the
12	complete total of how much the City has invested.
13	Q Was the number that you read in the B Square
14	accurate based on what you have seen thus far?
15	A I do not know.
16	Q Okay. Was the number that you read in the B
17	Square in the ballpark?
18	A I do not know.
19	Q You don't even know the ballpark?
20	A I don't know.
21	Q Is any of the money that you think I'm
22	asking about and that you're answering about today, is
23	any of it private money or is it all public dollars
24	that you're answering me? Are you thinking public
25	dollars or private dollars?

- 1
- I'm thinking public dollars. Α
- Okay. I meant to ask public dollars, but I Q want to make sure we're on the same page. So you're
- 3 4
 - unable to have pulled up that number in the 60-some
- 5
- days you've been in office, or you just haven't
- 6

7

- I have not elected to do so. Α
- 8
- Do you know of anybody on your staff that 0
- has done so?
- 10 Α I don't know if they specifically tallied
- 11 that figure, so I -- I guess the answer would be no.
- 12
 - And then you said words to the effect of, 0
- 13 but I know it's quite significant. Did I quote you
 - 14 accurately?
- 15
- Α Sounds right.

what is quite significant?

elected to do so?

- 16
- And what do you mean, in your definition,
- 17
- 18 Α Well, I would say anything in excess of

the Monroe County Sheriff's Department?

- 19
- \$100,000 is quite significant.
- 2.0 Mayor Thompson, do you have any criticism of 0
- 21
- Α T do not.
- 23

2.2

- Do you have any criticism of the police
- 24
- services that the Monroe County Sheriff's Department
- 25

	Page 78
1	A I do not.
2	Q Do you have any criticism of the Monroe
3	County Parks Department?
4	A I do not.
5	Q Do you have any criticism of the Monroe
6	County Highway Department?
7	A I don't.
8	Q Do you think all three of those agencies are
9	good at what they do?
10	A I actually I live within the city limits.
11	I have always lived within the city limits, and so I
12	don't have a vast amount of experience of relying on
13	any of those three departments.
14	Q Yeah, but you've been an active, involved
15	person in this community. Do you have any problems
16	with the services any of them provide?
17	A Well, I've already answered that I don't
18	have any criticism of them.
19	Q You were quoted in the Herald-Times in a
20	February 10 of 2024 article, and you sort of touched
21	on this earlier. Believe me, you may have been
22	misquoted, so I want to
23	A Yeah.

-- get that out of the way first.

MR. BEGGS:

And I don't have copies of

24

25

Q

	Page 79
1	this, so you guys are I didn't plan to make an
2	exhibit, even.
3	MR. MCNEIL: Do you want us to make
4	copies?
5	MR. BEGGS: I don't think we need to.
6	I just want to ask her about something that's in it,
7	so I don't need copies.
8	MR. MCNEIL: Let's go and see if we
9	need to make it an exhibit.
10	MR. BEGGS: Yeah, that's fine. That's
11	fine.
12	THE WITNESS: What do you want to ask
13	me about?
14	BY MR. BEGGS:
15	Q So you've been handed a copy of a February
16	10, 2024, article from the Herald-Times, and on that
17	first page, do you see a section that I've highlighted
18	in yellow?
19	A Mm-hmm.
20	Q Would you mind reading that for a moment?
21	A "Thompson's Administration announced January
22	5th the Mayor had ordered internal reviews of city
23	matters, including litigation, and charged Corporation

cases -- value taxpayers and make recommendations to

Counsel Margie Rice with leading a review of all

24

25

Page 80

- Thompson on whether the City should continue with litigation."
- Q Yeah, that's the section I was going to ask you about. And whether it was in that article or others, we're sitting here today, so we know that your decision is to have proceeded with the annexation involuntarily of 1A and 1B. My question is, the reference in there to value to the taxpayers, is that something you charged somebody in your administration with determining or establishing?
- A Yes. As it states here, I asked Corporation Counsel to look at all of the litigation and determine whether or not we would pursue it.
- Q But there's another reference in there to the value to the taxpayers. You see that?
 - A Mm-hmm.
- 17 O Yes?

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q You got to say yes.
- 20 A Yeah.
- Q Did you charge Ms. Rice with that as well, the value part?
- 23 MR. MCNEIL: Objection. Asked and 24 answered. That's what the document says.
- MR. BEGGS: She read back something

Page 81

- 1 different than what I'd asked.
- 2 BY MR. BEGGS:
- Q So my question to you is, Mayor Thompson,
 what did you determine is the value to the taxpayers
 of pursuing the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B?

MR. MCNEIL: Objection. So as the
article states, Corporation Counsel was charged with
that evaluation and make recommendations. So what
you're asking about now is attorney-client

10 communication. We instruct the witness not to answer.

- MR. BEGGS: Very well.
- 12 BY MR. BEGGS:

13

14

16

17

18

19

- Q Do you refuse to answer based on advice of Counsel?
- 15 A Correct.
 - Q Okay. Now let me ask a similar question.

 Outside of what your attorney advised you, what is the value of the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B to the residents of the City of Bloomington?
- MR. MCNEIL: To the -- okay.
- MR. BEGGS: I'm not asking what she was told by her attorney. I'm asking what's her answer to that question.
- MR. MCNEIL: Her impression of the value to the citizens?

Page 82

MR. BEGGS: Yeah.

2 BY MR. BEGGS:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q And I'll specifically exclude what your lawyer said to you. What's your conclusion?

MR. MCNEIL: You can answer it, to the extent you can do so without disclosing the attorney-client communication with --

MR. BEGGS: Agreed.

MR. MCNEIL: -- Corporation Counsel.

MR. BEGGS: Agreed.

MR. MCNEIL: If you can. If not, you just need to state as such.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I can answer that without disclosing discussions with attorney.

BY MR. BEGGS:

Q Are you able to answer that question and identify your opinion of the value of pursuing the involuntary annexation of 1A and 1B to the taxpayers in the City of Bloomington based on anything separate from what Ms. Rice told you?

A Well, as -- as I have publicly stated, you know, the -- the annexation's been going on for a number of years, and the City obviously has invested staff time as well as resources for counsel and consultants on this. And it is -- you know, 1A and

- 1 | 1B, in addition to other areas, are already living and
- 2 behaving as if they are part of the City of
- 3 | Bloomington, and so, in fact, the value to our
- 4 taxpayers is that pursuing this is essentially not
- 5 | wasting what's already come before and ensuring that
- 6 we follow through with an annexation plan that -- that
- 7 in fact was put into place several administrations
- 8 ago.
- 9 Q When you say the value is not wasting what's
- 10 come before, I take it that's the money that's been
- 11 | spent?
- 12 A And the time and -- and everything else,
- 13 yes.
- 14 Q That's the reason you've elected to pursue
- 15 | it?
- MR. MCNEIL: Objection.
- 17 | Mischaracterizes --
- 18 BY MR. BEGGS:
- 19 O Is that the reason you elected to pursue it?
- 20 A No. I listed a bunch of things and -- and,
- 21 | you know, there -- there are several reasons that I
- 22 | elected to pursue it.
- 23 O Is one of them because staff time has been
- 24 incurred, City of Bloomington staff time has been
- 25 incurred?

		Page 84
1	А	That's one of them.
2	Q	Attorney fees have been incurred?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	Expert witness fees have been incurred?
5	A	Yes. But I did not list that.
6	Q	Residents of 1A and 1B are already living
7	and behav	ring as if they are part of the city?
8	A	The properties are.
9	Q	In 1A and 1B?
10	A	Correct because it was intended for
11	annexatio	on back in the Fernandez Administration.
12	Q	Not wasting what's come before?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	That's money? That's finances?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	Follow through with the plan that was
17	created b	pefore you came into office?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Any others?
20		MR. MCNEIL: Objection to the extent
21	you omitt	ed any from her answer. The record is
22		MR. BEGGS: Yeah, and I don't
23		MR. MCNEIL: for that.
24		MR. BEGGS: That's why I'm asking. If
25	I do omit	any, I want her to tell me. I want to know

Page 85 1 what they are. THE WITNESS: I mean, 3 there's -- there's been -- yes, there -- there are sort of a myriad of reasons that I decided to pursue 5 this and I -- I think we -- we have already gotten this far down the road, and I am looking forward to 6 7 welcoming new residents to the City of Bloomington and -- and to serving them well. 8 BY MR. BEGGS: 10 Any other reasons? 0 11 There may be some, but I am not thinking of Α 12 them right now. 13 0 Mayor Thompson, have you ever heard the phrase, right-sizing or right-size the city? 14 15 I have heard that term. Α 16 Where did you hear that? 0 17 From John Hamilton. Α 18 What does that phrase mean? Q 19 MR. MCNEIL: Objection. To her --2.0 MR. BEGGS: Yeah. MR. MCNEIL: -- or to John Hamilton? 21 2.2 MR. BEGGS: Well, I just asked her what 23 I mean, if -it means. I don't know what it 24 THE WITNESS: means to John Hamilton. I have heard the term. 25

I -- I actually don't know what it means in the context it has been provided. I assume it means that -- similar to something I just said. These areas are really already developed as part of the City of Bloomington. They have been -- they've been virtually incorporated. You know, you can't tell where the city boundary is when you drive into these places.

2.2

2.5

And, you know, in fact, when I moved here and started building residences, I used to actually go to the city planning department to get permits and -- and planning authorization in the areas intended for annexation. And so for -- for years and years, they've been really behaving as if they are part of the city. Now, that planning piece changed several years ago, but in terms of whose planning department you go to and -- but it's -- it's been decades that they've been living like the city.

BY MR. BEGGS:

- Q If a person needs to go to a planning department and they have property in 1A or 1B, whose department do they go to?
- A I don't know if you still have to go to both. But you at least have to go to the County.
- Q Just back to the first part of that. Do I understand correctly that right-sizing the city in the

context of annexation of 1A and 1B is not a phrase you have a definition for? And I know that's your predecessor's term, but I think I'm hearing, that's not my term; is that correct?

A It's not my term.

1

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q When you said a moment ago that you can't tell the boundary when traveling from the city to either 1A or the city to 1B, are you able to tell the boundary in other locations?

A I would say to the north, it becomes distinctly more sparse.

Q You mean on the highway, on 69, or someplace else?

A On 37, on Walnut, as you head out. Yeah, I mean, it becomes -- it's developed in a completely different way. You know, we -- we had the two-mile fringe for a long time and -- and that was -- because it was an area intended for annexation, a lot of development was allowed to happen there. In fact, by definition, as if it were already in the city.

Q But you're not saying there's a sign or something similar to a sign that tells a person they're moving from the city's jurisdiction to the county's jurisdiction? I think that's --

A There are actually now a couple of signs.

Page 88

1 Q Whereabouts?

4

7

8

9

10

11

- 2 A Somewhere on the south side, like maybe on 3 South Walnut and one on Moores Pikes.
 - O East on Moores Pike?
- 5 A That's -- well, from here, that is east of here.
 - O So you mean at 446?
 - A It's -- it's west of 446. There's now a sign that says, "City of Bloomington welcomes you," or something.
 - Q And the other one was where, please?
- 12 A I think I've seen one on South Old State
 13 Road 37, Walnut Street.
- 14 O Do you know how far south?
- 15 A I would imagine that they are at the corporate limits, but I'm not sure.
- Q Any others?
- 18 A Are there any other?
- Q Signs that tell a person they have left the
 City of Bloomington's boundary and are going into some
 other entity's boundary?
- A I can't think of any. There may be some.

 And -- and to be clear, I don't believe these things

 say, you are entering the corporate -- I -- I don't

 think it's as formal as that. I think it says, "City

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

	Page 89
1	of Bloomington welcomes you."
2	Q The welcome type thing.
3	MR. BEGGS: One moment, please.
4	MR. MCNEIL: On or off?
5	MR. BEGGS: Let's take a short break.
6	THE REPORTER: All right. Off the
7	record at 3:33 p.m.
8	(Off the record.)
9	THE REPORTER: Back on the record at
10	3:42 p.m.
11	MR. BEGGS: We'll ask that 56 be made
12	part of the deposition. We have no further questions.
13	Thank you, Mayor.
14	MR. MCNEIL: We have no questions. We
15	want the witness to review and sign the deposition
16	transcript.
17	THE REPORTER: Okay. Regarding orders,
18	Mr. Beggs, are you ordering this transcript?
19	MR. BEGGS: Yes, please.
20	THE REPORTER: Mr. McNeil, do you need
21	a copy?
22	MR. MCNEIL: Yes.
23	THE REPORTER: Okay. And do you need a
24	copy, Ms. Rice?
25	MR. MCNEIL: No, just

```
Page 90
                     THE REPORTER: Okay. All right. In
 1
 2
     that case, we are now off the record at 3:43 p.m.
                     (Signature reserved.)
 3
                     (Whereupon, at 3:43 p.m., the
 4
                     proceeding was concluded.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSITION OFFICER

I, ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE, the officer before whom the foregoing proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that any witness(es) in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that the proceedings were recorded by me and thereafter reduced to typewriting by a qualified transcriptionist; that said digital audio recording of said proceedings are a true and accurate record to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

17 ANDREW PRONSCHINSKE

Notary Public in and for the

State of Indiana

[X] Review of the transcript was requested.

2.1

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I, PROMY ISLAM, do hereby certify that this transcript was prepared from the digital audio recording of the foregoing proceeding, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skills, and ability; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this was taken; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any counsel or attorney employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of this action.

fru fru

PROMY ISLAM

	Page 93
1	Veritext Legal Solutions
	1100 Superior Ave
2	Suite 1820
	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
3	Phone: 216-523-1313
4	
	March 26, 2024
5	
	To: ANDREW M. MCNEIL
6	
	Case Name: County Residents Against Annexation, Inc., Et Al. v. City
7	Of Bloomington, Indiana, Et Al.
8	Veritext Reference Number: 6485948
9	Witness: Mayor Kerry Thompson Deposition Date: 3/8/2024
10	
	Dear Sir/Madam:
11	
12	Enclosed please find a deposition transcript. Please have the witness
13	review the transcript and note any changes or corrections on the
14	included errata sheet, indicating the page, line number, change, and
15	the reason for the change. Have the witness' signature notarized and
16	forward the completed page(s) back to us at the Production address
	shown
17	
	above, or email to production-midwest@veritext.com.
18	
19	If the errata is not returned within thirty days of your receipt of
20	this letter, the reading and signing will be deemed waived.
21	
	Sincerely,
22	
	Production Department
23	
24	
25	NO NOTARY REQUIRED IN CA

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

			Page
	El	RRATA SHEET	
•	JERITEXT L	EGAL SOLUTION	NS MIDWEST
	ASSIGNI	MENT NO: 648!	5948
PAGE/LINE	(S) /	CHANGE	/REASON
Date			 rry Thompson
	IAUD CMUD		ME THIS
DAI OF			, <u>2</u> 0
	Notary 1	PUDITC	
	Commiss	ion Expiration	on Date

[& - 5th] Page 1

&	21:22 22:15	23:1 24:4,11	225 1:21 5:12
& 2:6,15 3:5	23:1 24:4,11	24:21,24 25:6	26 93:4
	24:21,24 25:6	26:10,19 27:19	27071 91:16
0	26:10,19 27:19	28:11,24 29:20	29660 92:14
000509 1:12	28:11,23 29:20	30:1 31:8,25	2:27 50:13
1	29:25 31:8,25	32:8,17 33:23	3
1 8:7 38:10	32:8,17 33:22	34:8 35:6,14	3/8/2024 93:9
10 4:7,11 50:3	34:8 35:6,14	35:17 36:21	94:3 95:3
78:20 79:16	35:17 36:18,20	37:10,18 38:12	317 2:19 3:9
10,000 31:19,19	37:10,18 38:11	45:22 46:9,12	332-9295 2:10
100 58:19	45:22 46:8,12	46:18 47:8,23	349-3426 3:16
100,000 77:19	46:18 47:8,22	48:15 49:19	37 87:14 88:13
105 58:13,14,19	48:15 49:18	50:23 51:24	3:04 74:8
59:25 60:3,4	50:23 51:24	52:9,15,23	3:12 74:11
60:11	52:9,15,23	53:5,12 54:8	3:33 89:7
1100 93:1	53:5,12 54:8	54:17,25 55:22	3:42 89:10
111 2:16 3:6	54:17,25 55:22	61:18 63:22	3:43 90:2,4
12 72:10	61:18 63:22	65:8,16 66:16	4
120 58:20	65:8,15 66:16	67:1 70:20	
64:11	67:1 70:20	73:12 80:7	401 1:21 3:13
1200 27:7	73:12 80:7	81:5,18 82:18	5:11 7:9
14 9:1	81:5,18 82:18	83:1 84:6,9	44114 93:2
15 50:3	82:25 84:6,9	86:20 87:1,8	446 88:7,8
1820 93:2	86:20 87:1,8	2	46204 2:17 3:7
1:08 1:19 5:5	1b 8:22 9:4,10	20 32:12 94:16	47404 1:22 2:8
1a 8:22 9:3,10	9:15 11:2,10	95:22 96:22	3:14 5:12
9:15 10:25	11:18,19,23	2023 8:11	5
11:8,18,18,23	12:11,21 13:8	56:17 70:10	53c06-2203
12:10,21 13:8	14:9,10,21,22	2024 1:18 5:11	1:11
14:9,9,21,22	15:7,17 16:9	8:7 78:20	56 4:7 10:6,7
15:7,17 16:8	16:21 17:8,10	79:16 93:4	10:10 89:11
16:21 17:8,10	18:2,3,14,20	211 2:7	5th 79:22
18:2,3,14,20	19:9,18 20:3	216-523-1313	
19:9,18 20:3	20:22 21:5,13	93:3	
20:22 21:5,12	21:22 22:15		

[60 - annex] Page 2

	20.17	061100705	000 1 04 1 7
6	access 39:17	86:1,10 87:25	affixed 94:15
60 77:4	55:13	ad 56:20	95:21
6485948 1:24	accommodate	add 34:4	afternoon 5:2
93:8 94:2 95:2	8:3 50:18	added 40:6	agencies 78:8
96:2	accordance	addition 83:1	ago 35:25
684-5253 2:19	94:5 95:5	additionally	64:10 83:8
684-5465 3:9	account 19:13	5:15	86:15 87:6
69 87:12	35:17	address 7:8	agree 5:17 65:6
7	accounting	14:6 93:16	agreeable
-	76:11	adequate 57:25	32:18
7 4:3	accurate 31:11	66:20	agreed 82:8,10
70 56:11,23	72:23,24 76:10	administer	agreement
57:6,19 58:2,4	76:11,14 91:9	5:14	33:15
59:9 60:14	92:5	administration	ahead 59:7
8	accurately	9:7 12:20 13:7	al 1:7,13 5:9,10
8 1:18 5:11	77:14	14:7,15,19	93:6,7 94:3,3
81 4:11	acknowledge	17:25 18:13,18	95:3,3
812 2:10 3:16	94:11 95:16	19:8 27:19	allen 69:18
85 58:19 59:9	acknowledg	28:9 32:21	allocations
86 56:5 59:1	5:14	34:8 36:2 39:2	60:7
a	acre 54:25	43:15 46:17	allow 74:18
	acreage 12:10	49:13 50:22	allowed 87:19
ability 12:7	acres 11:8,18	51:23 54:7,17	allows 60:2
13:25 26:16	11:22 12:16	62:9 63:4 70:3	amcneil 2:18
91:10 92:7	act 94:14 95:20	70:5,7 72:22	amend 31:13
able 66:9,12	action 91:12,16	76:1 79:21	amount 21:3
68:7 82:16	92:8,12	80:9 84:11	78:12
87:8	active 78:14	administratio	ample 66:21
above 93:17	actual 41:25	25:5 53:11	67:8
absent 5:15	42:1	administrations	andrew 1:23
absolutely	actually 28:25	83:7	2:14 5:3 6:13
13:20	32:11 38:20	advice 81:13	75:4 91:2,17
academy 67:19	40:15 45:13	advised 45:7	93:5
67:20	49:1 60:12	81:17	annex 10:13
accepting	62:13 78:10		
64:19			

annexation 1:6	37:13 38:4,14	59:12,20 66:22	72:2
2:3 3:20 4:7	47:24 48:16	78:17 80:24	area 10:25 18:8
5:8 6:8 8:21	50:24 61:19	answering	53:24 87:18
9:3 13:2 14:2	announced	37:22 63:13	areas 4:7 8:22
17:2,7 18:8,13	79:21	76:22,24	9:4,10,19
25:7 27:21	answer 4:9 9:2	answers 34:6	10:12 14:17
28:11 29:25	13:9,12,16,16	38:1 42:5	15:12,13,18
30:11 32:11,12	13:17 14:13,24	anybody 25:2	17:7 25:6 46:8
32:20 33:7,16	15:2,10,23	35:11,23 77:8	47:8 50:23
34:10 36:17,20	16:1,3 17:16	anybody's	53:5,12 54:17
37:11 43:18	17:20 18:6,11	34:13	55:22 83:1
46:19 47:20	19:5,7 20:6,10	anything's	86:3,11
49:14 52:1	20:11,19 21:20	66:13	article 72:19
53:17 55:4,5	22:1,20 26:4	apologize 24:10	75:10,17,21
63:11,12,19,22	27:13,23 29:10	45:21	78:20 79:16
65:7,11,15	33:12 35:15	appear 94:11	80:4 81:7
66:19 67:3	36:9 37:19	95:15	asked 7:17
70:20 71:25	38:17 39:21	appears 10:15	13:12 17:12
72:3,10 73:4	41:6 44:18	appellant 2:2	18:4 20:4,7
73:11,13,16	45:11 46:23	appellants 1:8	21:24 23:20
80:6 81:5,18	48:2 49:23	appended	24:9 29:5
82:18 83:6	58:24 59:13,17	95:11,18	32:17 33:4
84:11 86:12	61:22 63:14	applicable 5:21	34:10,18 37:17
87:1,18 93:6	65:18 68:1	application	47:25 49:21
94:3 95:3	71:10 74:18,20	49:2	56:19,21 58:22
annexation's	74:25 75:7	apply 47:17	59:11 74:22
82:22	77:11 81:10,13	49:4	80:11,23 81:1
annexed 9:10	81:22 82:5,13	appreciate	85:22
9:15 12:21	82:16 84:21	17:17 66:22	asking 7:11
13:9 15:13,18	answered	appropriate	17:5,6 21:10
16:13 18:21	13:12 17:12	51:5	21:19 24:16
19:10,19 20:3	18:5 20:5,8,9	approved 35:8	59:18 61:24
21:6,13,23	21:1,25 23:21	35:12	63:11 68:14
22:16 23:3	48:1 49:22	approximately	76:22 81:9,21
24:12,21 26:20	54:6 58:23	12:9 60:21	81:22 84:24

			1
aspects 17:3	audio 91:8 92:3	46:11,21 47:3	believe 10:22
assert 75:1	authorization	47:12 48:5	14:14,15 17:12
assertion 74:3	86:11	49:20 51:1,10	19:23 23:23
assesses 32:5	authorize	56:10 70:21	29:8 40:24,25
assessing 45:17	95:11	74:24	41:25 46:10
45:19	authorized	beggs 2:5 4:3	53:5 60:14,15
assessment	5:13 25:16	6:5,5 7:2 13:14	64:21,25 65:1
36:15 45:13,14	available 56:6	14:18 15:4,14	66:11,13 72:16
53:19	57:6,20	15:24 16:4,7	75:19 78:21
assigned 5:3	ave 93:1	17:21,24 18:9	88:23
assignment	avenue 2:7	20:7,14 22:4	believes 17:9
94:2 95:2 96:2	aware 29:23	22:22 27:17	benchmark
assume 10:16	52:14 53:4	33:20 38:9,23	31:13
70:22 86:2	b	40:1 44:21	benefit 31:3
assumes 33:10	b 4:5 75:19	45:16 48:3	benefits 49:7
38:16 45:10	76:10,13,16	49:24 50:5,8	62:24
assuming 35:7	back 12:3	50:14 52:3,5	best 13:4,24
assumption	19:11 20:1	54:11,14 59:4	14:6,8,10,20
35:19,24	31:9 38:8 42:5	59:16,22 61:23	15:6 16:8,11
assure 10:24	50:12 53:23	62:5 63:17	17:9,22 18:1,3
attached 95:7	73:25 74:10,20	71:12 75:3,16	18:7 91:10
attempted	75:4 80:25	78:25 79:5,10	92:6
52:12,19	84:11 86:24	79:14 80:25	better 14:9,21
attempting	89:9 93:16	81:2,11,12,21	17:10 18:2
10:13 17:7	balances 21:15	82:1,2,8,10,15	53:23
60:18	ballpark 20:21	83:18 84:22,24	beyond 39:8
attendance 6:3	21:16 76:17,19	85:9,20,22	45:15,19 57:9
attorney 17:3	base 17:1 23:5	86:18 89:3,5	bill 6:5 50:2
81:9,17,22	based 31:21	89:11,18,19	71:14 74:23
82:7,14 84:2	32:5 53:19	beginning 6:4	bit 10:15
91:14 92:10	56:10 76:14	behalf 2:2,12	bloomington
attorneys 16:17	81:13 82:19	3:2 6:5	1:12,20,22 2:8
16:17 32:18	basis 25:9,25	behaving 53:20	2:13 3:3,12,14
attract 53:6	26:10,13 28:8	83:2 84:7	5:10,11,12 7:7
	32:4 42:23	86:13	7:9 8:13,17,22

			•
9:11,16,23,25	43:20	calls 14:12	cases 51:5
10:13 11:17	boundary 86:7	22:19 27:11	79:25
12:22,25 16:13	87:7,9 88:20	34:18 39:19	cause 1:10
18:20 19:9,17	88:21	71:9	52:25 59:8
20:2,22 21:5	brand 40:22	candidate 8:8	75:1
21:22 22:17	68:6	56:16	causes 16:15
24:20 26:6	break 8:1 43:21	capable 31:5,7	cbu 28:13 29:1
30:9 32:24,25	50:7,16,19	31:8	29:6,23 32:12
33:2,14 34:2	89:5	capacity 8:12	32:24 35:23
34:15,22 43:20	break's 50:5	21:8 30:2,3,6	36:1,14 37:21
51:25 53:7,13	breakers 23:15	30:10,19,22	37:23 40:12
53:21 55:25	breaks 42:9,12	31:4,6,18,25	41:7,21 42:18
58:16 60:16,17	50:17	32:5 33:1,8,22	42:25 43:7
61:18 64:4,22	broad 27:5	34:22 35:6,14	44:15 45:1
65:2,8 66:4,7	brought 39:15	36:4 45:8,14	certainly 17:5
67:2 68:5,12	budget 58:10	45:19 46:2,6	32:22
68:18 71:24	58:14 59:24	65:12	certificate 91:1
72:3,9 74:13	60:2,6,7,10	capital 9:18,18	92:1 95:11
81:19 82:19	build 46:25	28:13 31:14,20	certification
83:3,24 85:7	building 44:10	36:15 37:21	94:1 95:1
86:5 88:9 89:1	86:9	care 13:19 14:4	certified 5:18
93:7 94:3 95:3	buildings 52:15	14:5	certify 91:4
bloomington's	52:22	case 15:6 25:9	92:2
54:18 88:20	bunch 83:20	25:9 26:10,10	challenge 44:24
bloomington	bunger 2:6	26:13,13 28:8	44:25 45:3
3:15	business 7:8	28:8 43:7	change 62:12
blucher 28:18	53:6,9	45:25 46:20,20	93:14,15 95:8
28:22 29:6	c	47:2,2,11,11	96:3
bodies 74:6,16	c 2:1 3:1,4 5:1	48:4,4 49:20	changed 56:2
bose 2:15 3:5	ca 93:25	49:20 51:9,9	86:14
boselaw.com	call 7:18 17:8	70:21,21 71:18	changes 93:13
2:18 3:8	30:15	73:4 74:24,24	94:7 95:7,9
bottom 28:20	called 6:22 15:6	90:2 93:6 94:3	characterize
boundaries	calling 15:21	95:3	13:15
39:8,10 43:4	15:24		

	1	1	1
charge 42:19	33:14,16,17,18	54:11 65:23	community
45:2 80:21	34:3,5,14,22	87:23	53:18 78:15
charged 44:15	36:21 37:9,13	civil 8:14,16	compared
79:23 80:9	37:15 38:4,12	94:5 95:5	68:11,17
81:7	38:18,19,21	clarify 7:22	comparison
charging 41:7	39:8,8,10,15,16	clear 7:23	68:24
42:11 43:7	39:18 40:6,8	34:13,14,17	comparisons
check 28:6	40:11,11 41:9	63:16 88:23	68:23
chief 68:10	41:13,22 42:11	clements 3:19	complete 76:12
69:13	42:19,21 43:8	6:7,7	completed
circle 2:16 3:6	43:20,24 45:2	cleveland 93:2	93:16
circuit 1:3	45:8 46:2,7,13	client 81:9 82:7	completely
23:15	46:21 47:9,16	close 29:16	87:15
circumstances	47:24 51:5,7	closer 74:21	concern 33:8
23:14	51:25 52:16,21	college 2:7 69:2	33:23
cities 68:13,20	53:12,16,21	come 24:22	concerned
68:25	54:1,3,18	31:9 33:1	45:18
citizens 81:25	55:12 60:15,17	38:20 48:21	concerns 14:6
city 1:11,20	61:17,23 65:7	63:24 83:5,10	concluded 90:5
2:12 3:2,12 5:9	65:19,19 68:5	84:12	conclusion
5:11 6:12,14	69:15 71:24	comes 45:18	14:12 15:25
7:7 8:13,17,21	72:2,9,20 73:3	60:11 74:4,17	22:19 63:25
9:11,16,17,23	73:18 75:10,13	coming 34:2	82:4
9:25 10:12	76:12 78:10,11	commercial	conclusions
11:17 12:22,25	79:22 80:1	70:18,25 71:5	15:22
14:15,16 16:13	81:19 82:19,23	71:13	conditions
18:20 19:4,9	83:2,24 84:7	commission	48:25
19:17 20:2,22	85:7,14 86:4,6	94:19 95:25	conducting
21:4,12,22	86:10,14,17,25	96:25	14:2
22:16 23:6	87:7,8,20 88:9	commit 31:8	conference
24:20 25:13,22	88:20,25 93:6	39:8	74:14
26:6,15,23	94:3 95:3	common 1:11	connect 37:6,10
27:9 28:1	city's 10:22	2:12 3:2 5:9	38:22
29:24 32:14,18	26:21 28:5	communication	connected 32:9
32:23,25 33:2	31:4 38:5 42:7	81:10 82:7	36:21

aannaatina	continue 20.2	24.15 25.1 21	2011mtr: 1.2 5 12
connecting 27:16	continue 39:3	24:15 25:1,21	county 1:2,5,12
	59:2 80:1	26:7,8,23 37:8	2:2,13 3:3,19
connection	continued 39:4	37:15 38:6,25	5:7,10 6:8
27:18 36:23	continuing	39:14 44:15	10:14 77:21,24
37:5 38:13,19	57:23	45:4,5,20	78:3,6 86:23
38:19	contract 36:7	49:15,16,20,25	93:6 94:3,10
connections	contracted	50:1 51:20	95:3,15
33:15	27:10	54:23 55:8,20	county's 87:24
consider 13:7	contractor	61:16 63:8,20	couple 53:22
14:7 15:6	27:15,25 28:4	64:14 65:17	68:1 87:25
18:13 26:10	contrary 58:8	66:1,6,10,12	course 7:24
considered	controller	70:15 81:15	41:7 59:5,8
18:19 19:8	72:23	84:3,10,13,15	court 1:3 15:5
51:23	conversation	84:18 87:4	15:12,17 16:6
constant 56:12	43:2 46:5	corrections	16:10,19 71:18
56:15 61:12	48:18	93:13 95:17	74:19 94:7
constitute 5:25	conversations	correctly 15:19	coverage 59:1,8
constitutional	42:25	26:4 27:23	59:15 66:21
39:13	coordinate	34:6 38:2	67:8
construct 50:22	44:11	39:16 41:21	covered 60:16
52:16	copies 78:25	46:23 73:17	covering 57:21
consultants	79:4,7	86:25	58:3
75:13 82:25	copy 79:15	cost 26:25 27:6	created 84:17
cont'd 3:1	89:21,24	41:25 42:1,25	crisis 34:3
contained 44:9	corporate 3:20	44:25 45:3	criteria 49:6
contend 9:21	43:4,20 44:12	costs 27:4	criticism 77:20
14:19 17:25	88:16,24	council 1:11	77:23 78:2,5
22:14	corporation	2:12 3:2 5:9	78:18
contention	1:7 2:4 3:12	counsel 3:12	current 32:5
53:12,14,15	5:9 6:9 79:23	6:10,11,13	37:15 47:9
context 86:2	80:11 81:7	79:24 80:12	currently 25:13
87:1	82:9	81:7,14 82:9	25:16 38:21
contiguous	correct 7:21	82:24 91:11,14	43:17 47:5
40:20	9:8 10:4 12:15	92:7,10	62:14
	19:25 22:12,13		

	1		7400
customers 71:6	degree 16:22	89:15 91:1	difference
71:15	deliberations	93:9,12 94:1,3	10:23 24:20
cut 43:21	74:5	95:1,3	different 22:6
d	deliberative	describe 35:6	24:19 32:17
d 4:1 5:1	74:17	description 4:6	41:6 43:24
date 1:18 93:9	delivered 9:23	detail 67:15	68:1 70:13,25
94:3,9,19 95:3	9:25	details 49:4	81:1 87:16
95:13,25 96:20	delivering 9:17	determine	digital 91:8
96:25	delivery 9:21	16:20 25:9,9	92:3
day 56:9,9	demand 65:21	25:12 30:6	dime 37:12
57:20,20 58:2	densification	80:12 81:4	directly 59:24
58:3 94:16	53:25 54:3	determined	62:22
95:22 96:22	denying 49:7	35:23	disagreeing
days 44:4 77:5	department	determining	64:18
93:19	34:23 44:6,7,7	80:10	disclosing 82:6
dear 93:10	55:25 62:11,15	developed 86:4	82:14
decades 86:17	62:18 64:4,22	87:15	disconnect 34:9
decide 15:17	65:2,9,24 66:5	developer	34:12 45:23
65:15	66:8 67:2	25:17 41:15	46:4
decided 49:19	77:21,24 78:3	42:7 45:6	disconnected
85:4	78:6 86:10,16	developer's	33:5
deciding 15:12	86:20,21 93:22	25:20	disconnecting
16:6,10	department's	developers	32:19
decision 34:7	68:12,19	25:23 47:4	discount 56:6
39:2 62:21,22	departments	development	discovered
73:7,10,15,17	78:13	30:8,10,12,15	76:8
80:6	depending	30:23 31:22,22	discuss 74:3
deed 94:14	67:20	34:2 44:4 46:1	discussion
95:20	depends 25:14	51:14 52:8	74:13,13
deemed 93:20	28:3 34:9	53:25 54:3,8	discussions
deficit 44:13	67:17	54:11,18 87:19	82:14
definitely 46:4	deployment	developments	disputing 64:18
definition 54:2	35:17	47:3	distance 12:1
54:5 77:16	deposition 1:16	diekhoff 69:13	distinctly 87:11
87:2,20	5:6,23 89:12		

70 /0 0 7 0	00 4 40 0	0.17.00	21525
distinguishing	efforts 40:8	entity 9:17,23	evans 2:15 3:5
36:24	60:25 71:25	10:2 13:24	event 19:18
document	72:3,10	32:25	21:5
20:18 80:24	eight 32:16	entity's 88:21	everybody 37:1
documents	eighty 56:1	environment	75:14
20:13,18	either 9:22	57:1	evidence 33:11
doing 30:2,3,5	20:22 35:10	equipment	33:11
31:6,10,23	87:8	42:20	evidentiary
36:15 40:21	elected 77:6,7	errata 93:14,19	5:22
41:13 43:15,17	83:14,19,22	95:7,10,18	evolving 57:1
44:4 57:3,4	election 76:6	96:1	exact 20:17
58:5	else's 43:22	es 91:4	62:3 64:7 73:7
dollars 20:25	email 93:17	especially	exactly 49:1
21:21 22:8,11	employed	40:20	60:12,20
76:23,25,25	55:24 56:5	esquire 2:5,14	examination
77:1,2	64:4,6 70:1	3:4,11	4:2 7:1
domain 41:1	91:11,14 92:8	essentially	examined 6:24
downtown	92:11	50:20 83:4	except 37:11
57:16	employee 70:3	established	exception
draws 32:5	91:13 92:10	24:17 32:24	59:25
drill 17:2	employs 62:10	34:1 40:25	excess 77:18
drive 86:7	enclosed 93:12	establishing	exclude 82:3
dug 28:4	encompassed	80:10	excuse 52:3
duly 6:22 91:5	41:10	estimate 75:10	executed 95:10
e	engaged 35:22	estimating 27:4	execution
e 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	ensure 13:2	et 1:7,13 5:9,10	94:14 95:19
4:5 5:1,1	31:7 59:3 60:4	93:6,7 94:3,3	executive 13:23
earlier 36:18	ensuring 83:5	95:3,3	74:4,5,16
42:5 45:13	entered 95:9	etcetera 25:14	exhibit 4:7 10:5
70:24 78:21	entering 88:24	25:15 36:6	10:6,7 79:2,9
east 88:4,5	enterprise 53:6	40:19 41:14	existence 53:18
economic 19:17	53:9	evaluate 74:24	existing 28:10
52:8	entire 94:5 95:5	evaluation	29:24 37:17
effect 77:12	entirety 46:8	49:10 81:8	47:6,9 53:9
chect //.12	53:18		67:9

888-391-3376

ownend 53:0	extend 39:3	40:14 51:24	fiscal 20:20
expand 53:9		feel 13:4 29:9	
expansion 65:7	extended 46:8		21:7,14 55:6
expansions	extending 25:6	fees 84:2,4	69:6
34:22	extension 43:3	felt 74:21	five 19:1 50:6
expected 20:2	43:3	fernandez	60:23 68:5
23:1	extensions	84:11	flat 18:22 19:24
expending	25:10,12	figure 62:3	flu 56:10
41:23	extent 14:11	77:11	focus 40:7 63:4
expense 26:17	15:8 22:18	figured 18:10	focused 57:25
26:22 37:12	82:6 84:20	figures 20:17	folks 25:13
38:5 40:4,6,10	f	22:3 72:23,24	34:18 38:2,10
40:16 41:15	facilitate 14:16	75:12	40:11 46:25
42:7,8 43:9	facilities 28:10	finance 26:8	follow 21:2
51:7,7,11 73:1	28:15 29:25	finances 72:21	24:2 83:6
expenses 19:2	37:18 40:19	84:14	84:16
19:14,23 21:3	52:15	financial 20:13	following 41:5
21:4,10,12,17		financially	follows 6:24
22:11 25:20	facility 28:16	18:14 22:15	foot 7:25
26:18 51:12	31:5	24:4 91:15	force 57:4
73:19	fact 16:12 32:9	92:11	62:23 63:2
expensive	83:3,7 86:8	find 52:12,19	67:9 68:8,18
40:18 42:3,4	87:19	55:1 93:12	forces 68:20
42:14	factors 61:4	finding 40:22	foregoing 91:3
experience 23:2	facts 33:10	fine 8:12 17:4	91:4 92:4
23:16 26:25	38:16 45:10	21:16 32:7	94:13 95:18
70:19 78:12	failed 28:4 45:1	50:5 79:10,11	forgetting
experienced	fair 16:4,24	finished 35:20	11:13 72:17
71:5	far 71:25 74:23	fire 12:2 63:7	formal 88:25
expert 84:4	76:8,14 85:6	64:1,4	former 41:15
expiration	88:14	firefighters	44:4 63:4
94:19 95:25	farm 45:7	64:3,3	forth 48:19
96:25	feasible 31:17	first 6:22 10:9	forward 47:13
	february 78:20	69:24 78:24	85:6 93:16
explain 25:11	79:15		
expression	fee 27:16,18	79:17 86:24	foundation
47:12	28:2,5 38:19		39:20 61:21

		I	
71:9	given 23:15	gotten 85:5	51:16 54:24
fractional	75:13	government	69:8 87:19
46:12	go 27:4 29:1	8:14,16 9:12	happens 43:24
frankly 62:18	30:1 32:20	9:12,24 14:1	51:4
free 94:14	33:17 43:19	14:16 17:6,9	happy 7:14 8:2
95:20	44:5 46:1 52:6	19:12 74:5,16	25:25 49:4
friday 1:18	53:23 59:7,9	governmental	50:18 56:22
fringe 87:17	63:22 65:8,16	9:17 13:24	hard 7:20 63:1
front 10:5 22:3	67:4,18,19	granting 49:6	75:12
full 7:3 35:17	73:20 74:2	great 39:9 57:3	harm 16:15,20
44:3	79:8 86:10,16	57:4	head 8:14 9:11
fully 42:15 64:8	86:19,21,22,23	greater 41:14	9:16 10:3 17:6
67:23	goes 25:7 27:21	gross 19:3,5,7,8	87:14
funding 48:12	28:11 31:15,18	20:2,21 21:22	hear 7:12 15:19
51:11	33:7 34:10	group 15:3	33:8 85:16
furnish 41:23	35:7,18 36:17	growth 32:6	heard 13:5
furnished 38:4	36:20 37:11	45:15	31:23 33:21
furnishes 41:9	41:16 46:19	guess 9:1 13:10	58:15,17 85:13
52:22 77:25	47:20 49:15	13:18 56:9	85:15,25
further 89:12	52:1,2 70:20	77:11	hearing 6:17
91:13 92:9	going 7:17	guys 79:1	17:2 87:3
future 18:23,24	19:15 26:14	h	hearings 43:19
31:21 32:10	27:6 30:6	h 4:5	heart 75:2
g	36:10 37:20	half 23:18	help 13:4 33:9
g 5:1	39:10,23 40:5	hall 1:20 5:11	43:25
gather 59:23	41:17,18 45:14	69:25	herald 78:19
general 11:25	47:23 48:4	hamilton 85:17	79:16
73:13,16	49:19 50:19,21	85:21,25	hereto 91:15
genuine 47:12	57:14 71:14	hand 6:19	92:11
geography	72:21 74:6,18	36:13,13	higher 23:8
41:12	74:19 75:1	handed 79:15	71:14
give 9:1 21:20	80:3 82:22	handy 29:15,16	highlighted
23:11 27:3	88:20	29:17	79:17
65:19	good 5:2 58:19	happen 7:18	highly 57:4
00.17	66:15,25 78:9	34:21 46:7	

highway 11.5 6	identified	inclusive 13:25	inflow 10.0
highway 44:5,6	identified		inflow 19:9
78:6 87:12	15:16 27:20	incorporate	61:6
hire 27:9 60:18	29:23	46:21	information
61:8 63:10	identify 6:3	incorporated	11:4,12 23:23
65:20 66:3,3,8	20:18 52:19	42:16 86:6	35:11 40:23
66:9,11,14	57:14 82:17	95:12	50:21 55:2,14
68:4,5	identifying	increase 23:17	68:10
hired 28:5 61:2	45:4	24:6 62:10	infrastructure
61:12,14 63:3	imagine 88:15	increased	40:16 41:12
70:7	immediate	24:10	42:2,3,6
hiring 67:10,12	18:23,24	increases 23:2	inherit 39:1
69:7	impact 12:7	23:14 70:19	inherited 38:24
history 62:11	19:17 24:6	71:5,22	injured 7:25
hmm 7:16	57:17 60:6	incur 21:12	injury 56:7
79:19 80:16	67:5	incurred 21:4	inquired 52:11
hold 13:11	impacted 12:1	73:18 83:24,25	insignificant
homes 30:24	22:15 24:4	84:2,4	18:23 19:12
honestly 69:13	important	indiana 1:1,6	install 26:5
hook 27:1 28:2	13:23 73:2,3,5	1:13 2:3,13 3:3	27:20 47:4,6
28:2 33:18	73:6,8	5:8,10,12,14	49:14 50:23
hope 8:2 12:23	imposing 51:24	7:9 8:13 10:14	52:16
hour 50:3	impression	68:13,22 74:15	installation
houses 12:2	38:12 81:24	91:19 93:7	46:18 47:18
housing 34:3,4	improve 57:23	94:3 95:3	48:17 49:18
huh 7:19,19	62:14	indianapolis	installed 42:6
hundreds	improvement	2:17 3:7	47:23 51:6
12:16	28:13 31:14,21	indicating	installing 25:22
hypothetically	improvements	93:14	instance 12:8
15:2	28:14 36:3,5	individual 15:2	28:3 47:16
i	36:15 52:14	16:12 23:13	instruct 81:10
idea 12:16	included 93:14	51:13	instructed 4:9
23:11 71:11,13	includes 28:14	individual's	intend 10:23
72:5,7 73:17	63:7	15:1	intended 5:20
identification.0	including 57:15	individuals	13:6 32:11,13
10:8	79:23	15:3 18:8 49:3	53:17 84:10

[intended - known]

86:12 87:18	63:22 65:7,15	kerry 1:17 5:6	46:7,11 47:12
intent 54:15	67:3 70:19	6:15,21 7:5	48:11 49:1,7,8
intention 22:7	73:11 81:5,18	93:9 94:4,9	50:17 51:22
45:24 54:8,22	82:18	95:4,13 96:20	52:24 53:3,10
intentional	involved 44:7,8	kind 19:21	54:24 55:1,11
10:21,24	71:20 78:14	46:11 47:5	55:12,16,21
interested	ish 56:11,23	55:14	56:10 57:9,24
91:15 92:12	57:6,19 58:2,4	kinds 26:13	58:18 60:3,5,7
interests 14:8	59:9 60:14	58:17	60:11,12,16,20
14:10,20 15:7	islam 92:2,15	know 7:10,14	60:21,24,25
16:8,11 17:9	issue 8:19	7:20 8:2,5,10	61:11 62:2,3
18:1,3,8	18:12 33:9	8:20,22 10:24	62:20 64:9
internal 79:22	43:16,18 75:2	11:2,5,8,22	67:12,14,14,25
interrupting	issues 74:22	12:9,14,14	68:2,3,3 69:4,9
59:6	item 73:1	13:18,22 14:5	69:12,14 70:2
invested 73:4,9	j	14:10,22,25	70:6,8,23 71:4
76:12 82:23	i 2:5	15:1 16:25	71:21 72:1,4,6
involuntarily	january 8:7,10	17:11,15 18:3	72:9,13 75:14
9:10,15 10:13	56:16 79:21	18:7,11,16	76:15,18,19,20
12:21 13:9	jessica 72:23	19:13,15 20:1	77:8,10,13
18:20 19:10,18	job 1:24 13:2	21:11,11,12	80:5 82:22,25
20:3 21:5,23	32:23 33:13	22:5,9,10,21,23	83:21 84:25
22:16 23:3	57:3,4 70:13	22:24,25 23:13	85:24 86:1,6,8
24:12,21 26:20	john 85:17,21	23:14,16,19	86:22 87:2,16
38:3,14 47:24	85:25	24:1,14,17	88:14
48:16 50:24	judge 65:14	25:14 27:4,22	knowing 14:1
61:19 80:7	jurisdiction	28:22,25 29:1	73:6,7
involuntary	39:18 40:5	31:21,25 32:3	knowledge
8:21 14:2 17:7	87:23,24	32:8 34:21	52:9,10,21
18:13 25:7	,	35:4,11,15,16	61:25 65:5
27:21 28:11	k	35:21,21,22	91:10 92:6
29:25 32:20	keep 40:15 43:8	36:5,9 39:22	known 11:4,5,7
33:7 36:19	65:20	40:3,4 41:2,3	11:12,14,15
37:11 46:18	keeping 40:23	41:11 43:21,22	23:25 24:1
49:14 52:1	42:2	44:3,4 45:6,15	72:17,17
		l .	

knows 14:8,15	letter 93:20	location 1:20	mains 25:17,22
14:20 17:9	levels 34:4	27:19 49:13	26:5 40:19
18:1 63:2	light 62:11	53:5	maintain 40:4
69:14,19	lights 50:20,23	locations 52:22	40:18
_	51:4,6,6,13	87:9	maintaining
l	likely 39:23	long 17:18	40:16
lack 39:20	51:12,21 72:20	18:24 43:7	maintenance
61:20 71:8	75:12	60:17 70:1	41:12 43:1
lake 29:14	limits 26:15	87:17	major 30:8,23
landed 60:13	34:3 40:11	longer 35:1	34:1 53:6
larry 69:18	41:9 44:12	43:12	majority 12:20
lateral 25:15	78:10,11 88:16	longevity 59:3	13:8
27:15 28:4	line 4:10 37:19	look 23:14 25:8	make 9:2 12:3
61:4,9 67:17	44:2 45:18	25:25 26:12	13:5 24:2
laterals 25:23	93:14 95:7	80:12	31:10 39:9
26:6,15 61:6	96:3	looked 58:10	41:5,20 43:14
68:6	list 84:5	looking 19:14	49:11 51:15
law 74:15	listed 83:20	46:5 47:13	54:7 77:3 79:1
lawbr.com 2:9	95:7,17	85:6	79:3,9,25 81:8
laws 5:22	listen 13:4	lost 61:14	, ,
lawsuit 9:5		lot 38:2 52:24	making 8:6 30:7 35:24
39:13,13,17	listening 62:17 listing 95:7		44:14 57:17
lawyer 82:4		61:14,15 87:18	-
leading 79:24	litigation 79:23	m	63:1 73:15
leave 56:7	80:2,12	m 2:14 93:5	mandate 47:5
leaves 19:23	little 24:19	madam 93:10	manner 5:23
left 6:4 88:19	live 51:24	made 12:13	9:21
leg 7:25	78:10	29:23 33:5,15	map 4:7 10:9
legal 14:12	lived 78:11	33:15 34:23	maps 10:17
15:22 16:22	living 83:1 84:6	36:8 39:2,12	march 1:18
17:3,5 22:19	86:17	58:7 60:7	5:11 93:4
93:1 96:1	llp 2:15 3:5	64:11 68:22,24	margaret 3:19
legislative 74:4	load 41:18	69:5,6 73:17	6:7
74:16	located 28:23	89:11 94:7	margie 3:11 6:9
legitimate	28:23 29:13,20	main 25:14,15	79:24
61:25	30:16	41:19 43:21	

Page 14

margie.rice	17:18,23 18:4	meant 7:20	misquoted
3:15	18:15 20:4,9	77:2	78:22
marked 10:6,7	21:24 22:18	measures 58:1	mm 7:16 79:19
10:10	27:11 33:10	62:14	80:16
matter 5:7	38:7,16 39:19	mechanism	moment 25:5
11:21	44:16 45:10	48:13	35:25 73:21
matters 11:24	47:25 49:21	meet 65:13	75:5 79:20
79:23	50:2,6 52:2	meetings 57:12	87:6 89:3
mayor 1:17 7:7	54:9 58:22	memorized	money 27:24
7:10 8:5,6,8,13	59:11,20 61:20	21:15 55:15	76:21,23 83:10
11:17,22 13:2	61:24 63:15	mentioned 64:2	84:14
14:4,5 15:5	71:8 73:20	73:10 75:17	monroe 1:2,3
22:14,25 33:22	74:2,12 75:7	middle 71:18	1:12 2:13 3:3
34:21 38:2	79:3,8 80:23	midwest 68:25	5:10 10:14
46:24 49:12	81:6,20,24	93:17 96:1	77:21,24 78:2
50:15 52:8	82:5,9,11	mile 87:16	78:5
53:4,11 54:24	83:16 84:20,23	miles 42:3,15	month 64:10
55:21 56:3,13	85:19,21 89:4	42:17	monthly 42:12
56:14,16,20	89:14,20,22,25	military 56:7	months 9:1
61:23 62:18	93:5	millions 20:25	56:14 68:4
64:21 65:1,6	mean 11:20	21:21 22:8,11	72:11
69:4 70:18	13:18 16:22	24:18	monument
72:14 73:2	18:10 23:11	mind 21:3	2:16 3:6
77:20 79:22	25:11 33:13	49:13 79:20	moores 88:3,4
81:3 85:13	44:24 53:24	mine 28:4	morton 1:21
89:13 93:9	69:5 73:11	minus 19:23	3:13 5:12 7:9
94:4,9 95:4,13	77:16 85:2,18	minute 50:7,16	motives 74:17
96:20	85:23 87:12,15	minutes 50:4	mouth 15:20
mcclellan 72:24	88:7	mischaracteri	move 49:12
mckinney 2:15	meaning 61:6	26:1	moved 86:8
3:5	meaningful	mischaracteri	moving 61:15
mcneil 2:14	62:23	13:12 15:9	87:23
6:13,13 13:11	means 5:24	44:17 83:17	myriad 85:4
14:11,23 15:8	34:5 85:23,25	misquote 15:20	
15:21 16:2	86:1,2		

[n - ohio] Page 16

n	neighborhood	november 76:6	81:6 83:16
	47:17	number 11:17	84:20 85:19
n 2:1 3:1 4:1	neighborhoods	11:18,22,22	observed 57:12
5:1 61:13	49:3 51:16,17	12:1,5,10	obstructed
name 5:2 7:3	51:18	20:16 21:20	64:23
30:13,15 43:6	neither 91:11	22:8 24:9,10	obviously
69:21,22,24	92:7	24:16 56:12,15	82:23
93:6 94:3,4,15	net 18:19 19:23	58:15 59:24	occasion 8:25
95:3,4,21	19:24	60:13 61:3,13	occupation 7:6
named 70:4	netting 19:4,6	61:15 62:10,12	offended 7:22
nature 9:18	never 11:5,7,13	62:13,24 64:7	office 34:17
nauseam 56:20	11:15 23:25	69:9,10 73:1,6	41:1,3 58:9
necessarily 16:11 47:24	24:1 61:2	73:7 76:9,13	76:9 77:5
60:10	72:17	76:16 77:4	84:17
need 7:13 8:1	new 28:4 40:22	82:23 93:8,14	officer 65:9
15:1 17:1	47:3 53:6	numbers 24:18	67:13,22,23
23:13 27:14	67:18 68:6	57:10 58:10,17	68:15 70:16
31:12,13,13	69:7 85:7	72:16 95:7	91:1,2
34:4 35:23	newly 37:13	0	officers 55:24
36:4,6 50:16	news 75:10,17	o 5:1	57:7,14,16,19
51:15 55:2	nod 7:19	oaths 5:14	59:2,25 60:18
57:14,14 59:3	non 9:18 41:22	object 14:11	61:3,17 62:10
61:18 63:9,10	42:19,21 43:8	15:8 22:18	63:9,10,18,21
63:18,21 67:19	45:2	objection 5:15	64:22 65:2,20
67:21 74:2	north 1:21 3:13	6:17 13:11	66:4,8,9,11
79:5,7,9 82:12	5:11 7:9 87:10	14:23 15:21	68:6,8,12,19
89:20,23	notarized	16:2 18:4,15	69:8 70:10
needed 11:25	93:15	20:4 21:24	74:5
25:16 36:2	notary 5:13	27:11 33:10	official 30:14
53:12,15 55:15	91:18 93:25	38:16 39:19	94:15 95:21
needs 14:6 15:1	94:10,18 95:15	44:16 45:10	officially 8:10
53:19 67:18	95:23 96:23	47:25 49:21	oh 44:13 45:17
86:19	note 93:13	58:22 59:11	64:7 70:2
negative 67:5	novak 64:13,24	61:20 62:1	ohio 93:2
	65:4	71:8 80:23	

			_
okay 7:15 8:12	outcome 91:16	р	past 8:25 32:12
14:19 19:7,21	92:12	p 2:1,1 3:1,1	58:8
20:1 21:2,11	outflow 61:7,8	5:1	pay 24:11,19
21:19 22:10	outside 39:18	p.m. 1:19 5:5	24:25 27:9,16
28:7,16 29:13	40:5,11 41:9	50:10,13 73:23	27:25 28:5
30:19 31:16	41:13 43:4	74:1,11 89:7	36:22 37:6,16
41:5 44:22	44:12 74:14	89:10 90:2,4	40:14 46:25
45:1 48:23	81:17	pace 31:20	48:16
49:9 55:5	overall 16:11	page 4:2,6,10	paying 23:6
57:18 59:23	own 18:3 23:1	77:3 79:17	40:12 51:18,21
64:1,6 66:24	24:3 37:1,12	93:14,16 95:7	payments
70:13 71:4	37:12,16 47:1	96:3	41:22
76:5,16 77:2	67:24	paid 28:5 52:16	pays 37:1 48:9
81:16,20 89:17	owner 27:25	71:24 72:3,10	people 12:6,16
89:23 90:1	36:18,20 48:9	72:13	13:19 23:16
old 88:12	48:15 51:8,14	parameters	34:5 51:24
omit 84:25	71:14	12:17	percentage
omitted 84:21	owner's 26:18	parks 78:3	23:12,16 46:12
ons 27:1,1	42:8	part 7:25 16:5	performing
opinion 17:5	owners 10:25	16:14 29:10	35:20,21
58:4 82:17	12:10,20,24	32:13 36:18	period 24:14
opportunity	13:8 14:9,20	42:17 46:5	35:1,2 67:23
28:1	14:22 15:7	47:17 53:20	periods 67:25
oppose 12:21	16:20 17:10,11	61:5 80:22	68:2
13:8	18:1,3 24:11	83:2 84:7 86:4	permits 86:11
opposed 13:19	24:23,24 32:17	86:14,24 89:12	permitted 5:20
order 14:25	34:8 37:14	95:9	person 26:19
ordered 79:22	38:11 45:22	partially 69:19	27:8 43:5,6
ordering 89:18	51:18 66:15,25	parties 5:16	47:22 51:4
orders 89:17	70:19 71:5	27:25 71:20	69:24 78:15
ordinarily	owns 26:19	91:12,14 92:8	86:19 87:22
41:13	47:22	92:11	88:19
original 17:8		pass 71:14,21	person's 37:12
19:11 24:3		passed 71:6	personal 61:25
	l .	1	

personally	planning 44:7	60:18 61:3	prescheduled
94:11 95:15	86:10,11,14,15	62:14,19,22	37:21
perspective	86:19	63:2,9,10,18,21	present 3:18
11:16	plans 28:10	64:22 65:2,9	6:14 27:18
petitioner 2:2	29:5,24 32:22	65:23 66:4,7	presented 24:8
petitioners 1:9	34:12,14 37:9	66:16 67:2,12	26:11 35:11
6:6	37:13 46:4	68:12,18,19	45:25
phone 34:18	50:22,25 51:15	69:7 77:23	preside 9:24
93:3	53:10 55:17	policies 37:14	pretty 57:21
phrase 53:22	66:3	policing 57:15	58:3 63:1
85:14,18 87:1	plant 28:15	57:25	prevails 39:16
physical 68:15	29:12 36:4	policy 25:19	principles 17:1
physically	play 61:4	26:5,6 38:21	prior 70:3,5,6
29:21	please 6:3,19	38:24 39:3	91:5
picture 54:16	7:3,6,14,22 8:2	poole 28:22,23	priority 32:23
piece 40:22	8:6,9 13:17	portion 64:17	33:1,19 39:11
86:14	15:15 16:18	portions 46:12	private 76:23
pike 88:4	17:14,17,19	position 32:21	76:25
pikes 88:3	18:25 25:5,11	70:4	privately 27:9
pl 1:11	33:3 38:8	possible 66:13	privilege 74:4
place 48:24	42:24 43:25	potential 32:7	74:15,22 75:1
83:7	53:24 62:16	43:3 45:15	75:2
places 86:7	69:21 75:3,5	74:3	probably 7:20
plan 20:20 21:7	75:18 88:11	precise 75:11	17:21 35:10
21:14 25:5,8	89:3,19 93:12	precursor	42:2 69:13
28:13 31:14	93:12	35:18	problem 61:6
37:21 46:17,22	plenty 33:22	predecessor	61:10,11
54:15,20 55:4	52:25 55:12	38:25	problems 78:15
55:5,6 57:22	point 11:13	predecessor's	procedural
60:10 62:3,9	12:13,23 23:7	87:3	5:21
65:11,13,19	23:20,24 24:8	predetermined	procedure 94:5
66:2,19 69:5,6	31:14 72:19	29:22	95:5
79:1 83:6	points 62:22	prepared 47:22	proceed 6:25
84:16	police 12:2	55:6 92:3	proceeded 80:6
	55:25 57:4		

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

massadina 5.1	70.24.25.94.9	26.16 41.0 11	arragtion 7.10
proceeding 5:4	70:24,25 84:8	36:16 41:8,11	question 7:12
5:19 90:5 92:4	property 10:25	proximate	7:13 15:3 16:3
proceedings	12:10,20,24	53:25 54:3	17:8,13,19
91:3,5,6,9 92:6	13:8 23:1,2	prudent 71:19	19:11 21:1
process 43:23	24:4,5,11,22	public 9:1,2	22:5,6,11
44:1,3,11,13,24	26:18,19 27:25	41:1 56:19	23:21 24:3,19
45:3,3 49:2,10	32:17 36:18,20	57:2,11,12,17	26:11 27:5
67:12 74:17	37:10,14 40:5	58:1,7 63:4,6	33:4 34:19
processing 32:6	42:8 47:22	70:10,16 72:19	35:9,16 36:9
produced 5:18	48:9,15,17	75:9,17 76:23	38:7 39:6
production	51:8,14 66:16	76:24 77:1,2	54:10 59:18
93:16,17,22	67:1 70:18	91:18 94:10,18	63:15,16 66:23
profit 1:7 2:4	71:5,13 86:20	95:15,23 96:23	66:23 74:19,21
5:8	proposal 30:23	publication	74:23,25 80:7
program 47:16	31:24	75:18	81:3,16,23
47:18 48:9,12	proposed 4:7	publicly 9:3	82:16
48:12,22,24	30:9,12 43:4	62:25 82:21	questioning
49:7	45:6 65:19	published	37:19
project 25:25	protection	48:24 75:23	questions 4:9
31:5 35:7 45:7	66:17	pulled 77:4	7:11,17 9:3
45:9 51:1,1	proven 17:1	pursue 73:16	33:11 34:17
53:4	provide 12:7	80:13 83:14,19	36:11,12 89:12
projects 45:19	13:3 21:8	83:22 85:4	89:14
52:9	38:13,18 49:4	pursuing 73:8	quite 32:6
promising	52:24 65:12	73:11,13 81:5	75:14 77:13,17
26:14	66:21 67:8	82:17 83:4	77:19
prompted 16:3	78:16	put 15:19 25:17	quote 77:13
30:22 31:24	provided 26:15	26:14 27:15	quoted 78:19
promy 92:2,15	86:2	41:19 83:7	r
pronschinske	provides 77:25	putting 47:10	r 2:1 3:1 5:1
1:23 5:3 91:2	providing	q	raise 6:19
91:17	13:25 53:16	qualified 91:7	rate 41:10
properties	57:5,11	quantified 35:5	42:12
16:12 33:16,17	provision 11:25	quantify 75:12	reaching 71:19
33:18 49:18	25:10,12 26:12	quantity 13.12	reaching /1.19

[read - reserved] Page 20

	I		
read 38:7 64:15	record 5:4,5,16	referring 8:23	repeat 7:13
72:19 74:20	6:3 7:4,23 8:6	55:9	repeated 75:6
75:3,9,20 76:9	44:17 50:9,11	reflect 10:12	replacement
76:13,16 80:25	50:13 73:20,22	refuse 81:13	37:17
94:5,6,12 95:5	73:24,25 74:3	regard 8:19	replacements
95:6,17	74:6,8,9,11,14	21:3 37:20	42:20 43:9
reading 79:20	75:6 84:21	51:3	44:14
93:20	89:7,8,9 90:2	regarding	report 58:20
realization	91:9 92:5 95:9	89:17	64:11,12,13,15
43:12,12	recorded 5:23	regular 32:4	64:17,24 65:4
realize 43:5	91:6	rejected 64:23	reported 1:23
really 39:9	recording 5:18	related 30:10	reporter 5:2,3
54:12 57:13,17	91:8 92:4	91:11 92:7	6:16,25 50:9
86:4,13	recruit 59:2	relative 91:13	50:12 73:22,25
reason 7:13	60:2,4 67:18	92:10	74:7,10,19
64:25 71:4	67:19 70:9	relatively 18:22	75:5 89:6,9,17
83:14,19 93:15	recruiter 63:3	19:24 56:12,15	89:20,23 90:1
95:8 96:3	64:2 68:9	relied 20:19	94:7
reasons 83:21	69:19	relying 78:12	represent
85:4,10	recruiter's	remember	10:18
recall 20:17	69:21	21:20 23:24	representation
64:7,18,20	recruiting	43:2,6	58:11
75:24 76:7	70:12	reminds 32:15	representative
receipt 93:19	recruitment	remonstrance	3:20
receiving 34:18	57:22	13:1	request 26:11
41:22	recruits 63:5	remonstrate	36:7 95:9,11
recognize 10:9	reduced 91:7	32:10	requested
64:12	reedy 55:7	remonstrator	91:21
recommendat	reference 39:12	2:2	require 15:17
69:7	64:11 69:5,6	remonstrators	required 16:20
recommendat	80:8,14 93:8	1:8	47:3,4 93:25
64:23 65:4	94:2 95:2	reopen 39:17	researched
79:25 81:8	referenced 68:1	repair 47:18	52:12,18
recommended	94:11 95:15	repairs 42:20	reserved 90:3
69:10		43:1,9 44:14	

[reside - service] Page 21

	1		
reside 9:13	respondents	42:8,9 44:10	saying 23:8
residences	1:14	46:2 48:6,7	30:5 33:8 41:6
31:20 86:9	responsible	51:19 57:21	42:18 54:13
residential 27:1	9:17	58:6 59:15	87:21
70:24	responsive	60:1 62:4 63:9	says 51:5 58:20
residents 1:5	13:25 30:4	63:23 66:5	62:19 80:24
2:3 3:19 5:7	rest 40:21	71:23 72:22	88:9,25
6:8 9:22 11:18	result 18:19	74:7,12 77:15	scale 10:16
11:23 12:1,6	retain 62:12	85:12,14,14	scenario 42:12
14:3,8,9,21,21	retention 57:22	86:25 89:6	48:8,14
15:7 16:8,12	62:14	90:1	schedule 28:19
16:15,21 17:10	returned 93:19	road 28:20	29:3,6,7,22
17:11 18:2,2	revenue 18:19	29:15 30:18	seal 94:15
22:15,25 24:3	18:22 19:2,3,8	43:22 85:6	95:21
24:24 26:24	19:13,23 20:2	88:13	seat 11:16
31:1,19 32:8	20:22 21:22	robertson 2:6	section 79:17
33:2 37:15	24:20	rolled 62:25	80:3
38:11 40:8	reversed 62:20	rollout 65:12	see 43:19 44:13
42:19,21 43:8	review 79:24	room 1:21 5:12	61:14 69:25
45:2,22 47:6	89:15 91:21	32:16 69:25	79:8,17 80:15
47:13,14 54:25	93:13 94:1	74:14	seem 61:4
66:15,25 67:6	95:1	roughly 9:1	seen 58:20
81:19 84:6	reviewed 20:12	60:21	76:14 88:12
85:7 93:6 94:3	20:19 21:7,14	rule 70:14	sense 27:3
95:3	55:17	rules 5:22 94:5	43:11,13
resource 57:16	reviews 79:22	95:5	separate 82:19
resources	rice 3:11 6:9,9	running 68:8	serve 32:23
66:21 82:24	79:24 80:21	S	33:14 34:14
respect 46:17	82:20 89:24	s 2:1 3:1 4:5 5:1	45:8
64:1,2 65:3	riding 67:22	93:16 95:8,8	served 31:11
68:25 74:25	right 6:19	96:3	40:12 46:13
respond 13:13	21:10 25:23	safety 57:2,11	serves 29:21
respondent	29:4 31:2,19	57:17 58:1	42:20
2:12 3:2	32:1 36:4,22	63:4,6 70:10	service 9:22
	38:6,14 41:24	70:16	11:25 25:4

	1		1
27:20 30:20	41:8,16,18	signature 90:3	six 32:16 56:1
32:19 34:9	43:3 45:23	91:16 92:14	68:4
36:12,13,21	46:4,8,13,25	93:15	size 68:11,15
39:8 40:4	51:3 52:25	signed 13:1	68:18,19 85:14
45:23 46:8,13	53:17	32:9 94:13	sizing 85:14
56:6 57:5	shadowing	95:18	86:25
67:21	67:22	significance	skills 91:10
services 9:18	shake 7:19	19:16 73:9	92:6
12:8 13:3 21:9	sheet 93:14	significant	slightly 22:6
25:6 26:13	95:7,10,18	11:19 12:19	small 10:16
37:23 41:8,23	96:1	13:7,19 14:1	solutions 93:1
52:22,25 65:12	sheriff's 77:21	18:14,16,17	96:1
77:24 78:16	77:24	19:18 32:6	somebody
serving 31:5,7	shift 37:18	36:5 40:3,10	43:22 80:9
31:8 32:13	shifts 57:15	41:18 44:11,11	someplace
33:2 85:8	short 56:2 89:5	57:17 61:5	87:12
sessions 62:17	shortage 64:3	62:19,21 75:15	soon 41:18
setting 27:1	shorter 35:1	77:13,17,19	sorry 37:1,5,22
settings 56:20	showers 62:21	significantly	59:5 68:14
seven 34:23	shown 93:16	56:4	sort 78:20 85:4
several 21:25	sickness 56:7	signing 93:20	sound 68:16
30:24 40:7	side 30:9 34:2	signs 87:25	sounded 22:7
83:7,21 86:15	88:2	88:19	sounds 51:17
sewage 36:16	sidewalk 46:21	similar 50:21	68:14 77:15
sewer 9:22 25:4	47:6,9,15,17,23	51:2 81:16	source 42:23
25:6,10,12,17	48:8,9,11,12,17	86:3 87:22	48:21
26:5,6,16,21	48:22,23 49:7	sincerely 93:21	south 2:7 30:9
27:20 28:10	sidewalks	sir 93:10	30:16 34:2
29:25 30:20,21	46:16,18 47:1	sit 31:3 42:18	88:2,3,12,14
31:4 32:19	47:4,10,15,19	46:9,13 47:21	sparse 87:11
33:6 34:9,22	49:14,18 50:3	49:11,12 55:25	speak 8:16 9:7
36:13,19 37:7	50:19 51:2	sitting 80:5	68:8
37:11,18,20,24	sign 48:5 87:21	situations	specific 12:5
37:25 38:5,13	87:22 88:9	31:17	18:17 35:15
39:17 40:14	89:15		49:13 50:25

		I	
specifically	stations 12:2	96:21	65:9 68:12,19
24:18 30:11	status 67:21	successful 61:1	91:5 94:10,13
35:3 77:10	statute 14:12	succinctly	95:14,18 96:21
82:3	15:9 22:19	17:19	system 26:21
specifics 11:7	stenographic	sudbury 30:18	t
speculation	5:24	31:5,10,15,18	t 4:5
27:12 39:20	step 62:20	31:24 35:7,12	table 32:16
71:9	stephe 6:11	35:18 45:7,15	table 32.10 take 5:4,13 8:1
spent 60:8	stephen 3:4	45:18,19 46:1	12:15 19:13,21
72:20 75:11	steve 69:22,23	sudden 43:11	22:10 31:9
83:11	69:25 70:1	suggest 65:18	37:9 46:20
square 75:19	stipulation	suggestion 33:4	49:17 50:17
76:10,13,17	5:25	suite 93:2	51:1,9 59:25
staff 55:12 65:9	street 1:21 3:13	sum 24:6	63:6 65:23
75:13 77:8	5:12 44:7	summit 30:14	66:2 70:10
82:24 83:23,24	50:20,23 51:4	30:15	83:10 89:5
staffed 64:8	51:6,6,13	sunger 3:8	taken 5:7 35:16
started 62:17	88:13	superior 93:1	50:15 91:3,12
86:9	streets 51:25	support 62:22	92:9
state 1:1 7:3	stretch 65:8	sure 11:20 12:4	talk 8:20,20
17:19 68:13	stretched 65:24	12:5 13:6	19:15 44:6
82:12 88:12	66:17 67:2	18:18 24:2	47:20 56:22
91:19 94:10	strike 38:10	30:4 31:10,11	57:10,13
95:15	structure 57:15	39:9 41:5,20	talked 35:25
stated 45:13	study 30:2,3,6	42:17 43:14	36:1,19 37:7
67:11 75:9	30:10,19,22	47:14 49:11	48:18 70:23
82:21	31:4,6,10,24	50:8 54:4,7	71:16
statement	35:16,20,22,23	57:24 58:20	talking 19:3,3
94:13,14 95:19	36:2,5 41:11	60:9 63:1 77:3	28:17 36:3
95:19	stuff 44:9	82:13 88:16	42:6 47:13
statements 9:2	subdivided	swear 6:17	50:18 55:6
9:2 58:7	55:22	switching 50:2	57:9 62:24
states 80:11	subject 9:4	sworn 5:16	tallied 77:10
81:7	subscribed	6:22 55:24	tangible 62:23
	94:10 95:14	57:19 59:1	taligible 02.23

[tap - touched] Page 24

tap 26:16,21	testimony 26:9	67:5 69:5,18	thousands
27:1	63:19 94:6,7	69:19 70:21,24	12:15
tapp 30:18	95:6,9,12	71:3 75:1,8,25	three 78:8,13
tapping 34:5	thank 6:16 8:4	76:5,21 78:8	time 1:19 6:2
tax 23:2 24:5	11:21 17:23	79:5 85:5 87:3	7:11 8:1 44:5,8
70:19 71:4,14	38:1 45:21	87:24 88:12,22	55:13 56:2
taxes 23:6	50:6 52:3	88:25,25	60:8 64:16
24:11,22	89:13	thinking 21:2	67:23 68:2,4
taxpayers	thereabouts	76:24 77:1	70:10 75:13
79:25 80:8,15	28:20 29:18	85:11	82:24 83:12,23
81:4 82:18	thereof 41:12	thinner 65:9,24	83:24 87:17
83:4	thing 89:2	66:17 67:2	times 7:17
team 74:13	things 12:2	thirty 93:19	21:25 40:7
technically	23:15 47:19	thompson 1:17	53:22 78:19
29:17	55:15 56:6	5:7 6:15,15,21	79:16
tell 6:23 27:6	57:16 60:5	7:5,10 9:7	today 7:11 8:20
41:15 43:6	83:20 88:23	12:19 13:7	9:8 11:5 23:25
75:20 84:25	think 8:20	14:7 17:25	31:3 42:18
86:6 87:7,8	10:18 13:22	18:12,18 19:8	46:9,14 47:21
88:19	15:16 17:4	22:14,25 32:21	49:11,12 53:23
telling 38:6	20:8 21:21	34:7 50:15	55:25 68:6
41:21	22:8 23:18	51:23 53:4,11	76:22 80:5
tells 87:22	24:3,5,17	54:24 55:21	today's 56:25
ten 12:6,14	26:21 27:5,5	64:21 65:1,6	told 16:17,18
32:16 50:7,16	28:21,23 30:15	69:4 70:18	29:6 61:2 70:8
tenants 71:7,15	31:12,23 33:8	77:20 80:1	81:22 82:20
term 43:12	38:3,5 39:12	81:3 85:13	tomorrow
85:15,25 87:3	41:10 46:3	93:9 94:4,9	65:16
87:4,5	47:2,19 53:23	95:4,13 96:20	took 10:22 41:1
terms 48:24	54:6 56:25	thompson's	41:3 50:19
71:1 86:15	57:6,7,8,13,19	79:21	total 24:6 61:15
testified 6:24	57:23 58:5	thought 33:21	76:12
testify 68:7	59:9,15,18	34:16	touch 44:5,8
testifying 91:5	60:2 62:6,12	thousand 30:24	touched 78:20
	64:12 66:19		

[towns - walnut] Page 25

towns 69:2	turn 33:6	understanding	$oldsymbol{ ext{V}}$
trained 57:4	twice 17:13	16:14,19 27:23	v 1:10 93:6
transcribed	two 27:25	41:20 42:13	94:3 95:3
94:7	56:14 60:22	67:16 73:16	vague 18:15
transcriber	87:16	understood	vaguely 67:14
92:1	type 7:21 89:2	28:9 55:16	value 79:25
transcript 5:18	typewriting	undoubtedly	80:8,15,22
20:10 89:16,18	91:7	7:10	81:4,18,25
91:21 92:3,5	typically 51:13	undue 16:15,20	82:17 83:3,9
93:12,13 94:5	u	unger 3:4 6:11	valued 63:2
94:12 95:5,11	uh 7:19,19	6:11	values 62:19
95:17	ultimately 17:8	universally	varies 12:6
transcriptionist	unable 62:11	37:23	56:9
91:8	77:4	upgrade 28:10	various 57:25
transfer 61:9	uncooperative	29:5,24	60:5
67:18,21	65:3	upgrades 30:7	varying 12:14
transfers 60:5	under 5:21	upheld 39:7,24	vast 78:12
61:4	14:12 22:19	upstream	veritext 5:4
traveling 41:14	38:12 39:6	57:25	93:1,8 96:1
87:7	74:15	urbanization	veritext.com.
treat 37:13	understand	41:17	93:17
treated 46:24	5:17 7:12	urbanized	version 55:9,11
treatment	11:20,24 12:9	14:17 15:13,18	virtually 86:5
28:15 29:12	12:24,25 13:6	53:16,24	virtue 24:5
36:4,16 40:19	15:5,11,15,25	use 46:2 54:8	vision 54:16,19
42:1	16:25,25 17:16	used 53:22	57:1
trial 15:16	19:16 21:8	54:18 86:9	vs 5:9
16:19 18:12	26:2,4 30:5	users 41:22	W
true 91:9 92:5	33:3,9,21 34:6	71:7	
truth 6:23,23	35:5,9 38:1	uses 5:20	wait 52:2
6:24	39:16 43:25	using 51:25	waiting 43:18
try 48:14 68:17	44:22 46:24	utilities 10:1	waived 93:20
trying 7:22	54:13 59:17	32:4,9 34:5,13	waivers 32:9
16:1 22:6 70:9	60:14,15 65:14	34:23 35:17	39:7,24
70:14	86:25		walnut 87:14
	00.23		88:3,13

[want - zones] Page 26

4 10 2	20 10 40 14	10.7.20.12	
want 12:3	39:18 40:14	18:7 20:12	y
13:15,16 14:5	41:8,25 43:21	22:2,21 27:14	yeah 11:21
15:20,25 16:16	45:23 46:7,13	33:13 38:18	13:15,18 25:18
17:20 21:11	46:24 51:3	39:22 44:19	25:19 26:3
24:2 26:1,2,20	52:25 53:16	45:12 54:12	29:19 30:3,15
31:9 33:18	way 23:12	58:25 59:14,21	33:13 44:24
34:13 35:10	27:24 45:22	62:2 71:11	58:25 59:14
37:18 39:7	78:24 87:16	74:18 75:8	60:22 62:2,13
40:6,7 41:5,20	we've 24:17	79:12 81:10	68:16 69:16
43:14 44:22	32:24 34:1	82:13 84:4	73:13 78:14,23
47:14,15 48:5	50:15 61:2,12	85:2,24 89:15	79:10 80:3,20
48:18 54:7	61:14,14	91:4 93:9,12	82:1 84:22
57:10,24 66:8	website 10:22	94:1,4,11 95:1	85:20 87:14
74:23 77:3	week 32:15	95:4,15	year 65:11,20
78:22 79:3,6	34:19	witness' 93:15	65:23 66:10,16
79:12 84:25,25	welcome 12:24	wjbeggs 2:9	67:1 69:9 70:2
89:15	13:4 89:2	won 76:5	years 19:1
wanted 14:4	welcomes 88:9	word 13:5	32:12 34:24
28:5 34:17	89:1	words 15:19	60:22 66:4
60:4	welcoming	19:4 41:6	67:20 82:23
wants 36:21	85:7	77:12	86:12,13,15
wastewater	west 62:21 88:8	work 27:24	yellow 79:18
28:14,16 42:1	whatsoever	28:1 38:20	Z
wastewaters	7:14 72:5,7	39:9 59:9	
29:1	73:18	worker 44:2	zero 61:13
wasting 83:5,9	whereabouts	working 55:13	zones 53:16
84:12	88:1	62:13 63:1	
water 9:22	why's 39:5 67:7	69:18	
28:15 29:12	william 2:5	written 5:25	
30:21 31:4	wind 17:18	29:11 48:23	
32:19 33:6	winding 19:22	75:23	
34:9 36:4,11	witness 5:16,17	X	
36:12,13,16,21	6:14,18,22	x 4:1,5 91:21	
37:1,3,10,19,24	14:14,25 15:11	23 1.1,5 /1.21	
37:25 38:4,13	15:17 16:5		

Indiana Rules of Trial Procedure Depositions Upon Oral Examination Rule 30

- (e) Submission to witness--Changes--Signing.
- (1) When the testimony is fully transcribed, the deposition shall be submitted to the witness for reading and signing and shall be read to or by him, unless such reading and signing have been waived by the witness and by each party. "Submitted to the witness" as used in this subsection shall mean (a) mailing of written notification by registered or certified mail to the witness and each attorney attending the deposition that the deposition can be read and examined in the office of the officer before whom the deposition was taken, or (b), mailing the original deposition, by registered or certified mail, to the witness at an address designated by the witness or his attorney, if requested to do so by the witness, his attorney, or the party taking the deposition.
- (2) If the witness desires to change any answer in the deposition submitted to him, each change, with a statement of the reason therefor, shall be made

by the witness on a separate form provided by the officer, shall be signed by the witness and affixed to the original deposition by the officer. A copy of such changes shall be furnished by the officer to each party.

- (3) If the reading and signing have not been waived by the witness and by each party the deposition shall be signed by the witness and returned by him to the officer within thirty (30) days after it is submitted to the witness. If the deposition has been returned to the officer and has not been signed by the witness, the officer shall execute a certificate of that fact, attach it to the original deposition and deliver it to the party taking it. In such event, the deposition may be used by any party with the same force and effect as though it had been signed by the witness.
- (4) In the event the deposition is not returned to the officer within thirty (30) days after it has been submitted to the witness, the reporter shall execute a certificate of that fact and cause the certificate to be delivered to the party taking it. In such event, any party may use a copy of the

deposition with the same force and effect as though the original had been signed by the witness.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES

ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1,

2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE STATE RULES

OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

VERITEXT LEGAL SOLUTIONS

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted

fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions'
confidentiality and security policies and practices
should be directed to Veritext's Client Services
Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.